## On Symplectic Cobordisms Between Contact Manifolds



## Chris Wendl Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin

Slides available at:

http://www.math.hu-berlin.de/~wendl/publications.html

#### Prologue

The following famous quotation is due to George Orwell:

All animals are equal, but some animals are more equal than others.

The following is not:

Most contact manifolds are non-fillable, but some are more non-fillable than others.

#### Outline

- Part 1: On Symplectic Fillings
- Part 2: On Symplectic Cobordisms
- Part 3: A Hierarchy of Obstructions
- Part 4: Open Books and Fiber Sums
- Part 5: Non-Exact Cobordisms (or some low-tech proofs of results that used to seem hard)

## Part 1 On Symplectic Fillings

#### Definitions

 $(W, \omega)$  compact, symplectic,  $\partial W = M$ . Assume  $\eta$  is a Liouville vector field, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\eta}\omega=\omega,$$

defined near  $\partial W$  and pointing transversely outward. Then

$$\lambda := \iota_{\eta} \omega$$

satisfies  $d\lambda = \omega$  and is a positive contact form on M, defining a contact structure  $\xi = \ker \lambda$ .

 $(W, \omega)$  is a **strong** (symplectic) **filling** of  $(M, \xi)$ .

$$((-\epsilon, 0] \times M, d(e^{t}\alpha))$$

$$(W, \omega)$$

 $(W, \omega)$  is an **exact filling** of  $(M, \xi) \iff$  $\eta$  (or equivalently  $\lambda$ ) exists globally.

# **Gromov '85, Eliashberg '89** $(M,\xi)$ overtwisted $\Rightarrow$ not fillable.



Proof requires technology:

e.g. holomorphic curves, Seiberg-Witten, Heegaard Floer...

**A modern proof**: overtwisted  $\Rightarrow$  the ECH contact invariant vanishes.

## Recall Embedded Contact Homology:

Assume dim M = 3 and choose:

- Contact form  $\alpha$  for  $\xi$
- Compatible J on  $\mathbb{R}\times M$

Choices  $\rightsquigarrow$ 

- Chain complex C<sub>\*</sub>(M, α) generated by sets of Reeb orbits
- Differential  $\partial : C_*(M, \alpha) \to C_*(M, \alpha)$  counting embedded *J*-holomorphic curves in  $\mathbb{R} \times M$ .

$$\mathsf{ECH}_*(M,\alpha,J) := H_*(C_*(M,\alpha),\partial)$$

matches the Seiberg-Witten Floer homology of M (Taubes '08).

*ECH contact invariant* := "homology class of the *empty* orbit set"

$$c_{\mathsf{ech}}(\xi) = [\emptyset] \in \mathsf{ECH}_*(M, \alpha, J).$$

## **Taubes '08 + Kronheimer-Mrowka '97**: $c_{ech}(\xi)$ is an invariant of $(M, \xi)$ , and is nonzero whenever $(M, \xi)$ is strongly fillable.

 $(M,\xi)$  overtwisted  $\Rightarrow$  contains a "Lutz tube" (Eliashberg classification '89)



 $\Rightarrow$  an orbit  $\gamma$  spanned by a unique embedded rigid *J*-holomorphic **plane**. Thus

 $\partial(\gamma) = \emptyset,$ 

so  $c_{ech}(\xi) = [\emptyset] = 0$ ,  $\Rightarrow$  not fillable.  $\Box$ 

#### Remark 1

Same argument proves trivial contact homology:  $HC_*(M,\xi) = \{1\}.$ 

#### Remark 2

Conjecturally,  $c_{ech}(\xi)$  is equivalent to the Ozsváth-Szabó contact invariant in Heegaard Floer homology. **D.** Gay '06:

 $(M,\xi)$  has Giroux torsion  $\geq 1 \Rightarrow$  not fillable.

Recall:

 $(M,\xi)$  has *Giroux torsion* N if it contains  $[0,1] \times T^2 \ni (s,\phi,\theta)$  with contact structure

 $\xi_N := \ker \left[ \cos(2\pi Ns) \, d\theta + \sin(2\pi Ns) \, d\phi \right].$ 



**Proof by ECH**: count holomorphic **cylinders**  $\Rightarrow \partial(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = \emptyset \Rightarrow c_{ech}(\xi) = 0.$ 

(Corresponding Heegaard result by Ghiggini, Honda, Van Horn-Morris '07.)

## Part 2 On Symplectic Cobordisms

#### Definitions

 $(W, \omega)$  compact, symplectic,

$$\partial W = M_+ \sqcup (-M_-),$$

with Liouville vector field  $\eta$  near  $\partial W$  pointing outward at  $M_+$  and inward at  $M_-$ .

Call this a symplectic cobordism from  $(M_-, \xi_-)$  to  $(M_+, \xi_+)$ , and write

$$(M_-,\xi_-) \prec (M_+,\xi_+).$$



If  $\eta$  exists globally, call  $(W, \omega)$  an **exact cobordism** and write

$$(M_{-},\xi_{-}) \prec (M_{+},\xi_{+}).$$



Observe  $M_{-} \prec M_{+}$  implies  $M_{-} \preccurlyeq M_{+}$ .

Each is a preorder (reflexive and transitive) on the contact category.

#### Some facts about cobordisms

Abbreviate  $M = (M, \xi)$ . Let  $M_{ot}$  denote anything overtwisted.

- $\emptyset \preccurlyeq M \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{fillable}$ ;  $\emptyset \prec M \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{exactly fillable}$
- No *M* satisfies  $M \prec \emptyset$ . (Stokes theorem)
- All *M* satisfy  $M \preccurlyeq \emptyset$ . (Etnyre-Honda '02)
- If  $M_{-} \preccurlyeq M_{+}$  and  $M_{-}$  is fillable, then  $M_{+}$  is also fillable. For example,

 $M \preccurlyeq M_{\text{ot}} \Rightarrow M \text{ not fillable.}$ 

•  $M_{\text{ot}} \prec M$  for all M. (Etnyre-Honda '02)

Are overtwisted contact manifolds more nonfillable than some others?

Is there a non-fillable  ${\cal M}$  such that

 $M \not\prec M_{\mathsf{ot}}$ 

for all overtwisted  $M_{ot}$ ?

## Yes:

 $M \not\prec M_{ot} \Rightarrow$  by adapting a holomorphic disk argument due to Hofer, M always has a contractible Reeb orbit.

There are non-fillable examples without contractible orbits, e.g.  $(T^3, \xi_N)$  for  $N \ge 2$  $(\Rightarrow$  Giroux torsion N - 1).

We'll show: these *do* admit non-exact cobordisms to some  $M_{ot}$  (a result of Gay '06 for  $N \ge 3$ ).

## Exercise for bored listeners:

There are symplectic cobordisms from  $(T^3, \xi_{std})$  to  $(S^3, \xi_{std})$ , but they are never exact.

## Part 3 A Hierarchy of Obstructions

**Theorem** (joint with J. Latschev) For closed contact manifolds  $(M, \xi)$  in all dimensions, one can use Symplectic Field Theory to define the *algebraic torsion* 

$$\mathsf{AT}(M,\xi) = \inf \left\{ k \ge 0 \mid [\hbar^k] = 0 \in H^{\mathsf{SFT}}_*(M,\xi) \right\}$$
  
 
$$\in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0,\infty\},$$

which has the following properties:

1.  $AT(M,\xi) < \infty \Rightarrow$  not strongly fillable.

2. 
$$HC_*(M,\xi) = \{1\} \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{AT}(M,\xi) = 0$$

- 3. positive Giroux torsion  $\Rightarrow AT(M,\xi) \leq 1$ .
- 4. For every integer  $k \ge 0$ , there are examples  $(M_k, \xi_k)$  with  $AT(M_k, \xi_k) = k$ .
- 5.  $(M_-, \xi_-) \prec (M_+, \xi_+) \Rightarrow$  $\mathsf{AT}(M_-, \xi_-) \leq \mathsf{AT}(M_+, \xi_+).$

#### Morally:

"Larger  $AT(M,\xi) \cong closer$  to fillability."

## Remark 1

As we'll see, all examples I know for which  $AT(M) < \infty$  satisfy:

- 1. ECH contact invariant = 0
- 2.  $M \preccurlyeq M_{ot}$

Hence by Etnyre-Honda, they are (non-exactly!) cobordant to everything.

## Remark 2

An analogue of  $AT(M,\xi)$  can be defined via ECH. Heegaard???

The examples  $(M_k, \xi_k)$ 



## Part 4 Open Books and Fiber Sums

## Initial Goal:

Find more general contact subdomains  $(M_0, \xi_0)$  (possibly with boundary) such that

 $(M_0,\xi_0) \hookrightarrow (M,\xi) \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_{\operatorname{ech}}(\xi) = 0.$ 

## **Observation**:

Informally, there is a correspondence (Hofer-Wysocki-Zehnder, Abbas, W.)

pages of supporting open books  $\longleftrightarrow$  embedded J-holomorphic curves



 $\pi: M \setminus B \to S^1$ 

## Two operations on open books (and contact structures)

1. Blow up a binding component  $\gamma \subset B$ : Replace  $\gamma$  with  $\hat{\gamma} := (\nu \gamma \setminus \gamma) / \mathbb{R}_+ \cong T^2$ .  $\rightsquigarrow$  natural basis  $\{\lambda, \mu\} \in H_1(\hat{\gamma})$ .

2. Binding sum of  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \subset B$ : Blow up both and attach such that  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda, \ \mu \mapsto -\mu$ .

 $\cong$  contact fiber sum along  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$ (Gromov, Geiges)

 $\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2$  replaced by one "*interface*" torus.

## Definitions

Blown up summed open book := result of blowing up and/or summing some binding components of an open book.

 $\rightsquigarrow$  compact mfd. M (maybe with boundary), and fibration

$$\pi: M \setminus (B \cup \mathcal{I}) \to S^1$$

Here:

- B (the "binding") = a link
- $\mathcal{I}$  (the "interface") = a disjoint union of 2-tori with homology bases  $(\lambda, \pm \mu)$
- $\partial M = 2$ -tori with homology bases  $(\lambda, \mu)$

pages := connected components of fibers.  $\pi$  is *irreducible*  $\Leftrightarrow$  fibers connected.

*Planar* := irreducible with genus 0 pages.

Any blown up summed open book decomposes into *irreducible subdomains* 

$$M = M_1 \cup \ldots \cup M_n$$

glued along interface tori.

## Definition

The decomposition *supports* a contact structure  $\xi$  on M if there is a Reeb vector field X such that:

- 1. X is positively transverse to all pages
- 2. X is positively tangent to all boundaries of pages
- 3. Characteristic foliation at  $\mathcal{I} \cup \partial M$  is parallel to  $\pm \mu$

#### Proposition

Unless  $B \cup \mathcal{I} \cup \partial M = \emptyset$ , a supported contact structure exists.

(Otherwise  $\pi: M \to S^1$  has closed fibers.)

#### Examples

Consider simple open books on the tight  $S^3$  and  $S^1 \times S^2$ :



(1) Two copies of  $S^3$  with disk pages binding sum  $\rightsquigarrow$  tight  $S^1\times S^2$ 



(2) Two copies of tight  $S^1 \times S^2$ two binding sums  $\rightsquigarrow (T^3, \xi_1)$ 



(3) Two copies of  $S^1\times S^2$  one binding sum  $\rightsquigarrow$  overtwisted  $S^1\times S^2$ 



## Definition

A blown up summed open book is *symmetric* if it has exactly two irreducible subdomains, all its pages are diffeomorphic, and it has no binding or boundary.

## Examples

(1) and (2) are symmetric, (3) is not.





(5) One copy of  $S^1 \times S^2$ , sum one binding component to the other  $\rightsquigarrow$  Stein fillable torus bundle  $T^3/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

(sorry, I can't draw this)

(6) Three copies of  $S^1 \times S^2$ , two binding sums and two blow-ups  $\rightarrow$  ([0,3/2]  $\times T^2$ ,  $\xi_1$ ), i.e. *Giroux torsion domain* 



(7)  $S^3$  summed to  $S^1 \times S^2$ , remaining binding blown up  $\rightarrow Lutz \ tube$ 



## Definition

For  $k \ge 0$ , a compact contact domain  $(M_0, \xi_0)$ with supporting blown up summed open book is a *planar k-torsion domain* if:

- 1. It is not symmetric.
- 2. The interior contains a planar irreducible subdomain

$$M_0^P \subset \operatorname{int} M_0,$$

the planar piece, whose pages have k + 1boundary components. We call  $M_0 \setminus M_0^P$ the padding. A closed contact 3-manifold has *planar* k*torsion* if it admits a contact embedding of a planar k-torsion domain.

Some planar torsion domains of the form  $S^1 \times \Sigma$ 



#### Theorem

If  $(M,\xi)$  has planar k-torsion then it is not strongly fillable. Moreover,

- 1.  $c_{ech}(\xi) = 0$  and  $AT(M,\xi) \le k$
- 2. Overtwisted  $\Leftrightarrow$  planar O-torsion
- 3. Giroux torsion  $\Rightarrow$  planar 1-torsion
- 4. The examples  $(M_k, \xi_k)$  for  $k \ge 2$  have planar k-torsion but no Giroux torsion.



## Part 5 Non-Exact Cobordisms

Eliashberg '04 (symplectic capping): symplectically attaching 2-handles to binding → 0-surgery removes the binding

Gay-Stipsicz '09: doing this at *some* (not all!) binding components → symplectic cobordism between two open books

Blown up version can attach a round 1-handle

$$S^1 imes [0,1] imes \mathbb{D}$$

to remove an interface torus and cap off pages.



#### Theorem

If  $(M_{-}, \xi_{-})$  has planar k-torsion for  $k \geq 1$ , then  $(M_{-}, \xi_{-}) \preccurlyeq (M_{+}, \xi_{+})$  for some contact manifold  $(M_{+}, \xi_{+})$  with planar (k-1)-torsion.

Moreover, this induces a U-equivariant map

$$\mathsf{ECH}_*(M_+,\xi_+) \to \mathsf{ECH}_*(M_-,\xi_-)$$

taking  $c_{ech}(\xi_+)$  to  $c_{ech}(\xi_-)$ .

(Last part is known for Heegaard in simple open book case; J. Baldwin '09)

## Corollary

M with k-torsion is cobordant to something overtwisted, and hence to everything.

 $(\Rightarrow \text{ not fillable and } c_{ech}(\xi) = 0.)$ 

## **Final Remark**

Using such cobordisms, the proof that  $M_{ot}$  is not fillable can be reduced to the following:

## Lemma

Suppose  $(W, \omega)$  is a compact symplectic manifold with all boundary components either convex or Levi-flat, and it contains an embedded symplectic sphere of self-intersection 0. Then all boundary components of W are symplectic sphere-bundles.

**Proof** uses *closed* holomorphic curves; it's still technology, but it's *simpler* technology. Just read McDuff "Rational and Ruled..." 1990, and think about it.