



The birational type of the moduli space of even spin curves[☆]

Gavril Farkas

Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Institut Für Mathematik, Unter den Linden 6, 10099 Berlin, Germany

Received 24 November 2008; accepted 18 August 2009

Available online 27 August 2009

Communicated by Ravi Vakil

Abstract

We determine the Kodaira dimension of the moduli space \mathcal{S}_g of even spin curves for all g . Precisely, we show that \mathcal{S}_g is of general type for $g > 8$ and has negative Kodaira dimension for $g < 8$.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Moduli space of curves; Spin structure

The moduli space \mathcal{S}_g of smooth spin curves parameterizes pairs $[C, \eta]$, where $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$ is a curve of genus g and $\eta \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ is a theta-characteristic. The finite forgetful map $\pi : \mathcal{S}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ has degree 2^{2g} and \mathcal{S}_g is a disjoint union of two connected components \mathcal{S}_g^+ and \mathcal{S}_g^- of relative degrees $2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)$ and $2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)$ corresponding to even and odd theta-characteristics respectively. A compactification $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g$ of \mathcal{S}_g over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ is obtained by considering the coarse moduli space of the stack of stable spin curves of genus g (cf. [4,3,1]). The projection $\mathcal{S}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ extends to a finite branched covering $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. In this paper we determine the Kodaira dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$:

Theorem 0.1. *The moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ of even spin curves is a variety of general type for $g > 8$ and it is uniruled for $g < 8$. The Kodaira dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ is non-negative.¹*

[☆] Research partially supported by an Alfred P. Sloan Fellowship.

E-mail address: farkas@math.hu-berlin.de.

¹ Building on the results of this paper, we have proved quite recently in joint work with A. Verra, that $\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+) = 0$. Details will appear later.

It was classically known that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_2^+$ is rational. The Scorza map establishes a birational isomorphism between $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_3^+$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_3$, cf. [5], hence $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_3^+$ is rational. Very recently, Takagi and Zucconi [17] showed that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_4^+$ is rational as well. Theorem 0.1 can be compared to [11, Theorem 0.3]: The moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ of Prym varieties of dimension $g - 1$ (that is, non-trivial square roots of \mathcal{O}_C for each $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$) is of general type when $g > 13$ and $g \neq 15$. On the other hand $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ is unirational for $g < 8$. Surprisingly, the problem of determining the Kodaira dimension has a much shorter solution for $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ than for $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ and our results are complete.

We describe the strategy to prove that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ is of general type for a given g . We denote by $\lambda = \pi^*(\lambda) \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$ the pull-back of the Hodge class and by $\alpha_0, \beta_0 \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$ and $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$ for $1 \leq i \leq [g/2]$ boundary divisor classes such that

$$\pi^*(\delta_0) = \alpha_0 + 2\beta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^*(\delta_i) = \alpha_i + \beta_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq [g/2]$$

(see Section 2 for precise definitions). Using the Riemann–Hurwitz formula [14] we find that

$$K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+} \equiv \pi^*(K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g}) + \beta_0 \equiv 13\lambda - 2\alpha_0 - 3\beta_0 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{[g/2]} (\alpha_i + \beta_i) - (\alpha_1 + \beta_1).$$

We prove that $K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+}$ is a big \mathbb{Q} -divisor class by comparing it against the class of the closure in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ of the divisor Θ_{null} on \mathcal{S}_g^+ of non-vanishing even theta-characteristics:

Theorem 0.2. *The closure in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ of the divisor $\Theta_{\text{null}} := \{[C, \eta] \in \mathcal{S}_g^+ : H^0(C, \eta) \neq 0\}$ of non-vanishing even theta-characteristics has class equal to*

$$\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} \equiv \frac{1}{4}\lambda - \frac{1}{16}\alpha_0 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{[g/2]} \beta_i \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+).$$

Note that the coefficients of β_0 and α_i for $1 \leq i \leq [g/2]$ in the expansion of $[\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}]$ are equal to 0. To prove Theorem 0.2, one can use test curves on $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ or alternatively, realize $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$ as the push-forward of the degeneracy locus of a map of vector bundles of the same rank defined over a certain Hurwitz scheme covering $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ and use [9,10] to compute the class of this locus. Then we use [12, Theorem 1.1], to construct for each genus $3 \leq g \leq 22$ an effective divisor class $D \equiv a\lambda - \sum_{i=0}^{[g/2]} b_i \delta_i \in \text{Eff}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)$ with coefficients satisfying the inequalities

$$\frac{a}{b_0} \leq \begin{cases} 6 + \frac{12}{g+1}, & \text{if } g + 1 \text{ is composite,} \\ 7, & \text{if } g = 10, \\ \frac{6k^2+k-6}{k(k-1)}, & \text{if } g = 2k - 2 \geq 4 \end{cases}$$

and $b_i/b_0 \geq 4/3$ for $1 \leq i \leq [g/2]$. When $g + 1$ is composite we choose for D the closure of the Brill–Noether divisor of curves with a g_d^r , that is, $\mathcal{M}_{g,d}^r := \{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g : G_d^r(C) \neq \emptyset\}$ in case when the Brill–Noether number $\rho(g, r, d) = -1$, and then cf. [7]

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,d}^r \equiv c_{g,d,r} \left((g+3)\lambda - \frac{g+1}{6}\delta_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor} i(g-i)\delta_i \right) \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g).$$

For $g = 10$ we take the closure of the divisor $\mathcal{K}_{10} := \{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{10} : C \text{ lies on a } K3 \text{ surface}\}$ (cf. [12, Theorem 1.6]). In the remaining cases, when necessarily $g = 2k - 2$, we choose for D the Gieseker–Petri divisor $\overline{\mathcal{GP}}_{g,k}^1$ consisting of those curves $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$ such that there exists a pencil $A \in W_k^1(C)$ such that the multiplication map

$$\mu_0(A) : H^0(C, A) \otimes H^0(C, K_C \otimes A^\vee) \rightarrow H^0(C, K_C)$$

is not an isomorphism, see [7,10]. Having chosen D , we form the \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of divisor classes

$$8 \cdot \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} + \frac{3}{2b_0} \cdot \pi^*(D) = \left(2 + \frac{3a}{2b_0} \right) \lambda - 2\alpha_0 - 3\beta_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor} \frac{3b_i}{2b_0} \alpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor} \left(4 + \frac{3b_i}{2} \right) \beta_i \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+),$$

from which we can write

$$K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+} = v_g \cdot \lambda + 8\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} + \frac{3}{2b_0} \pi^*(D) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor} (c_i \cdot \alpha_i + c'_i \cdot \beta_i),$$

where $c_i, c'_i \geq 0$. Moreover $v_g > 0$ precisely when $g \geq 9$, while $v_8 = 0$. Since the class $\lambda \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$ is big and nef, we obtain that $K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+}$ is a big \mathbb{Q} -divisor class on the normal variety $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ as soon as $g > 8$. It is proved in [15] that for $g \geq 4$ pluricanonical forms defined on $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g,\text{reg}}^+$ extend to any resolution of singularities $\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$, which shows that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ is of general type whenever $v_g > 0$ and completes the proof of Theorem 0.1 for $g \geq 8$. When $g \leq 7$ we show that $K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+} \notin \overline{\text{Eff}}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$ by constructing a covering curve $R \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ such that $R \cdot K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+} < 0$, cf. Theorem 1.2. We then use [2] to conclude that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ is uniruled.

1. The stack of spin curves

We review a few facts about Cornalba’s compactification $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, see [4]. If X is a nodal curve, a smooth rational component $E \subset X$ is said to be *exceptional* if $\#(E \cap \overline{X - E}) = 2$. The curve X is said to be *quasi-stable* if $\#(E \cap \overline{X - E}) \geq 2$ for any smooth rational component $E \subset X$, and moreover any two exceptional components of X are disjoint. A quasi-stable curve is obtained from a stable curve by blowing-up each node at most once. We denote by $[st(X)] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ the stable model of X .

Definition 1.1. A *spin curve* of genus g consists of a triple (X, η, β) , where X is a genus g quasi-stable curve, $\eta \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(X)$ is a line bundle of degree $g - 1$ such that $\eta_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1)$ for

every exceptional component $E \subset X$, and $\beta : \eta^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \omega_X$ is a sheaf homomorphism which is generically non-zero along each non-exceptional component of X .

A family of spin curves over a base scheme S consists of a triple $(\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{f} S, \eta, \beta)$, where $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ is a flat family of quasi-stable curves, $\eta \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{X})$ is a line bundle and $\beta : \eta^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ is a sheaf homomorphism, such that for every point $s \in S$ the restriction $(X_s, \eta_{X_s}, \beta_{X_s} : \eta_{X_s}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \omega_{X_s})$ is a spin curve.

To describe locally the map $\pi : \bar{\mathcal{S}}_g \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_g$ we follow [4, Section 5]. We fix $[X, \eta, \beta] \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}_g$ and set $C := st(X)$. We denote by E_1, \dots, E_r the exceptional components of X and by $p_1, \dots, p_r \in C_{\text{sing}}$ the nodes which are images of exceptional components. The automorphism group of (X, η, β) fits in the exact sequence of groups

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_0(X, \eta, \beta) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(X, \eta, \beta) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_C} \text{Aut}(C).$$

We denote by \mathbb{C}_τ^{3g-3} the versal deformation space of (X, η, β) where for $1 \leq i \leq r$ the locus $(\tau_i = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}_\tau^{3g-3}$ corresponds to spin curves in which the component $E_i \subset X$ persists. Similarly, we denote by $\mathbb{C}_t^{3g-3} = \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ the versal deformation space of C and denote by $(t_i = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}_t^{3g-3}$ the locus where the node $p_i \in C$ is not smoothed. Then around the point $[X, \eta, \beta]$, the morphism $\pi : \bar{\mathcal{S}}_g \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_g$ is locally given by the map

$$\frac{\mathbb{C}_\tau^{3g-3}}{\text{Aut}(X, \eta, \beta)} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{C}_t^{3g-3}}{\text{Aut}(C)}, \quad t_i = \tau_i^2 \ (1 \leq i \leq r) \text{ and } t_i = \tau_i \ (r+1 \leq i \leq 3g-3). \quad (1)$$

From now on we specialize to the case of even spin curves and describe the boundary of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$. In the process we determine the ramification of the finite covering $\pi : \bar{\mathcal{S}}_g^+ \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_g$.

1.1. The boundary divisors of $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$

If $[X, \eta, \beta] \in \pi^{-1}([C \cup_y D])$ where $[C, y] \in \mathcal{M}_{i,1}$ and $[D, y] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-i,1}$, then necessarily $X := C \cup_{y_1} E \cup_{y_2} D$, where E is an exceptional component such that $C \cap E = \{y_1\}$ and $D \cap E = \{y_2\}$. Moreover

$$\eta = (\eta_C, \eta_D, \eta_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1)) \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(X),$$

where $\eta_C^{\otimes 2} = K_C$, $\eta_D^{\otimes 2} = K_D$. The condition $h^0(X, \eta) \equiv 0 \pmod 2$, implies that the theta-characteristics η_C and η_D have the same parity. We denote by $A_i \subset \bar{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ the closure of the locus corresponding to pairs $([C, y, \eta_C], [D, y, \eta_D]) \in \mathcal{S}_{i,1}^+ \times \mathcal{S}_{g-i,1}^+$ and by $B_i \subset \bar{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ the closure of the locus corresponding to pairs $([C, y, \eta_C], [D, y, \eta_D]) \in \mathcal{S}_{i,1}^- \times \mathcal{S}_{g-i,1}^-$.

For a general point $[X, \eta, \beta] \in A_i \cup B_i$ we have that $\text{Aut}_0(X, \eta, \beta) = \text{Aut}(X, \eta, \beta) = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Using (1), the map $\mathbb{C}_\tau^{3g-3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_t^{3g-3}$ is given by $t_1 = \tau_1^2$ and $t_i = \tau_i$ for $i \geq 2$. Furthermore, $\text{Aut}_0(X, \eta, \beta)$ acts on \mathbb{C}_τ^{3g-3} via $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{3g-3}) \mapsto (-\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{3g-3})$. It follows that $\Delta_i \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_g$ is not a branch divisor for $\pi : \bar{\mathcal{S}}_g^+ \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_g$ and if $\alpha_i = [A_i] \in \text{Pic}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$ and $\beta_i = [B_i] \in \text{Pic}(\bar{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$, then for $1 \leq i \leq [g/2]$ we have the relation

$$\pi^*(\delta_i) = \alpha_i + \beta_i. \tag{2}$$

Moreover, $\pi_*(\alpha_i) = 2^{g-2}(2^i + 1)(2^{g-i} + 1)\delta_i$ and $\pi_*(\beta_i) = 2^{g-2}(2^i - 1)(2^{g-i} - 1)\delta_i$.

For a point $[X, \eta, \beta]$ such that $st(X) = C_{yq} := C/y \sim q$, with $[C, y, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1,2}$, there are two possibilities depending on whether X possesses an exceptional component or not. If $X = C_{yq}$ and $\eta_C := v^*(\eta)$ where $v : C \rightarrow X$ denotes the normalization map, then $\eta_C^{\otimes 2} = K_C(y + q)$. For each choice of $\eta_C \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ as above, there is precisely one choice of gluing the fibers $\eta_C(y)$ and $\eta_C(q)$ such that $h^0(X, \eta) \equiv 0 \pmod 2$. We denote by A_0 the closure in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ of the locus of points $[C_{yq}, \eta_C \in \sqrt{K_C(y + q)}]$ as above and clearly $\text{deg}(A_0/\Delta_0) = 2^{2g-2}$.

If $X = C \cup_{\{y,q\}} E$ where E is an exceptional component, then $\eta_C := \eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_C$ is a theta-characteristic on C . Since $H^0(X, \omega) \cong H^0(C, \omega_C)$, it follows that $[C, \eta_C] \in \mathcal{S}_{g-1}^+$. For $[C, y, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1,2}$ sufficiently generic we have that $\text{Aut}(X, \eta, \beta) = \text{Aut}(C) = \{\text{Id}_C\}$, and then from (1) it follows that π is simply branched over such points. We denote by $B_0 \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ the closure of the locus of points $[C \cup_{\{y,q\}} E, \eta_C \in \sqrt{K_C}, \eta_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1)]$. If $\alpha_0 = [A_0] \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$ and $\beta_0 = [B_0] \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$, we then have the relation

$$\pi^*(\delta_0) = \alpha_0 + 2\beta_0. \tag{3}$$

Note that $\pi_*(\alpha_0) = 2^{2g-2}\delta_0$ and $\pi_*(\beta_0) = 2^{g-2}(2^{g-1} + 1)\delta_0$.

1.2. The uniruledness of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ for small g

We employ a simple negativity argument to determine $\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$ for small genus. Using an analogous idea we showed that similarly, for the moduli space of Prym curves, one has that $\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g) = -\infty$ for $g < 8$, cf. [11, Theorem 0.7].

Theorem 1.2. *For $g < 8$, the space $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ is uniruled.*

Proof. We start with a fixed K3 surface S carrying a Lefschetz pencil of curves of genus g . This induces a fibration $f : \text{Bl}_{g^2}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ and then we set $B := (m_f)_*(\mathbf{P}^1) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, where $m_f : \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ is the moduli map $m_f(t) := [f^{-1}(t)]$. We have the following well-known formulas on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ (cf. [12, Lemma 2.4]):

$$B \cdot \lambda = g + 1, \quad B \cdot \delta_0 = 6g + 18, \quad \text{and} \quad B \cdot \delta_i = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq 1.$$

We lift B to a pencil $R \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ of spin curves by taking

$$R := B \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g} \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+ = \{[C_t, \eta_{C_t}] \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+ : [C_t] \in B, \eta_{C_t} \in \overline{\text{Pic}}^{g-1}(C_t), t \in \mathbf{P}^1\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+.$$

Using (3) one computes the intersection numbers with the generators of $\text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$:

$$R \cdot \lambda = (g + 1)2^{g-1}(2^g + 1), \quad R \cdot \alpha_0 = (6g + 18)2^{2g-2} \quad \text{and} \\ R \cdot \beta_0 = (6g + 18)2^{g-2}(2^{g-1} + 1).$$

Furthermore, R is disjoint from all the remaining boundary classes of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$, that is, $R \cdot \alpha_i = R \cdot \beta_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq [g/2]$. One verifies that $R \cdot K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+} < 0$ precisely when $g \leq 7$. Since R is a covering curve for $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ in the range $g \leq 7$, we find that $K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+}$ is not pseudo-effective, that is, $K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+} \in \overline{\text{Eff}}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)^c$. Pseudo-effectiveness of the canonical bundle is a birational property for normal varieties, therefore the canonical bundle of any smooth model of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ lies outside the pseudo-effective cone as well. One can apply [2, Corollary 0.3], to conclude that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ is uniruled for $g \leq 7$. \square

2. The geometry of the divisor $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$

We compute the class of the divisor $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$ using test curves. The same calculation can be carried out using techniques developed in [9,10] to calculate push-forwards of tautological classes from stacks of limit linear series \mathfrak{g}_d^r (see also Remark 2.1).

For $g \geq 9$, Harer [13] has showed that $H^2(\mathcal{S}_g^+, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$. The range for which this result holds has been recently improved to $g \geq 5$ in [16]. In particular, it follows that $\text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is generated by the classes $\lambda, \alpha_i, \beta_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, [g/2]$. Thus we can expand the divisor class $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$ in terms of the generators of the Picard group

$$\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} \equiv \bar{\lambda} \cdot \lambda - \bar{\alpha}_0 \cdot \alpha_0 - \bar{\beta}_0 \cdot \beta_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{[g/2]} (\bar{\alpha}_i \cdot \alpha_i + \bar{\beta}_i \cdot \beta_i) \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)_{\mathbb{Q}}, \tag{4}$$

and determine the coefficients $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\alpha}_0, \bar{\beta}_0, \bar{\alpha}_i$ and $\bar{\beta}_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ for $1 \leq i \leq [g/2]$.

Remark 2.1. To show that the class $[\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}] \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a multiple of λ and thus, the expansion (4) makes sense for all $g \geq 3$, one does not need to know that $\text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is infinite cyclic. For instance, for even $g = 2k - 2 \geq 4$, we note that, via the base point free pencil trick, $[C, \eta] \in \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$ if and only if the multiplication map

$$\mu_C(A, \eta) : H^0(C, A) \otimes H^0(C, A \otimes \eta) \rightarrow H^0(C, A^{\otimes 2} \otimes \eta)$$

is not an isomorphism for a base point free pencil $A \in W_k^1(C)$. We set $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g$ to be the open subvariety consisting of curves $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$ such that $W_{k-1}^1(C) = \emptyset$ and denote by $\sigma : \mathfrak{G}_k^1 \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g$ the Hurwitz scheme of pencils \mathfrak{g}_k^1 and by

$$\tau : \mathfrak{G}_k^1 \times_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g} \mathcal{S}_g^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_g^+, \quad u : \mathfrak{G}_k^1 \times_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g} \mathcal{S}_g^+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_k^1$$

the (generically finite) projections. Then $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} = \tau_*(\mathcal{Z})$, where

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{[A, C, \eta] \in \mathfrak{G}_k^1 \times_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g} \mathcal{S}_g^+ : \mu_C(A, \eta) \text{ is not injective}\}.$$

Via this determinantal presentation, the class of the divisor \mathcal{Z} is expressible as a combination of $\tau^*(\lambda), u^*(\mathfrak{a}), u^*(\mathfrak{b})$, where $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in \text{Pic}(\mathfrak{G}_k^1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are the tautological classes defined in e.g. [11, p. 15]. Since $\tau_*(u^*(\mathfrak{a})) = \pi^*(\sigma_*(\mathfrak{a}))$ (and similarly for the class \mathfrak{b}), the conclusion follows. For odd genus $g = 2k - 1$, one uses a similar argument replacing \mathfrak{G}_k^1 with any generically finite

covering of \mathcal{M}_g given by a Hurwitz scheme (for instance, we take the space of pencils \mathfrak{g}_{k+1}^1 with a triple ramification point).

We start the proof of Theorem 0.2 by determining the coefficients of α_i and β_i ($i \geq 1$) in the expansion of $[\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}]$.

Theorem 2.2. *We fix integers $g \geq 3$ and $1 \leq i \leq [g/2]$. The coefficient of α_i in the expansion of $[\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}]$ equals 0, while the coefficient of β_i equals $-1/2$. That is, $\bar{\alpha}_i = 0$ and $\bar{\beta}_i = 1/2$.*

Proof. For each integer $2 \leq i \leq g - 1$, we fix general curves $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_i$ and $[D, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-i,1}$ and consider the test curve $C^i := \{C \cup_{y \sim q} D\}_{y \in C} \subset \Delta_i \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. We lift C^i to test curves $F_i \subset A_i$ and $G_i \subset B_i$ inside $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ constructed as follows. We fix even (resp. odd) theta-characteristics $\eta_C^+ \in \text{Pic}^{i-1}(C)$ and $\eta_D^+ \in \text{Pic}^{g-i-1}(D)$ (resp. $\eta_C^- \in \text{Pic}^{i-1}(C)$ and $\eta_D^- \in \text{Pic}^{g-i-1}(D)$).

If $E \cong \mathbf{P}^1$ is an exceptional component, we define the family F_i (resp. G_i) as consisting of spin curves

$$F_i := \{t := [C \cup_y E \cup_q D, \eta_C = \eta_C^+, \eta_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1), \eta_D = \eta_D^+] \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+ : y \in C\}$$

and

$$G_i := \{t := [C \cup_y E \cup_q D, \eta_C = \eta_C^-, \eta_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1), \eta_D = \eta_D^-] \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+ : y \in C\}.$$

Since $\pi_*(F_i) = \pi_*(G_i) = C^i$, clearly $F_i \cdot \alpha_i = C^i \cdot \delta_i = 2 - 2i$, $F_i \cdot \beta_i = 0$ and F_i has intersection number 0 with all other generators of $\text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$. Similarly

$$G_i \cdot \beta_i = 2 - 2i, \quad G_i \cdot \alpha_i = 0, \quad G_i \cdot \lambda = 0,$$

and G_i does not intersect the remaining boundary classes in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$.

Next we determine $F_i \cap \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$. Assume that a point $t \in F_i$ lies in $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$. Then there exists a family of even spin curves $(f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S, \eta, \beta)$, where $S = \text{Spec}(R)$, with R being a discrete valuation ring and \mathcal{X} is a smooth surface, such that, if $0, \xi \in S$ denote the special and the generic point of S respectively and X_ξ is the generic fiber of f , then

$$h^0(X_\xi, \eta_\xi) \geq 2, \quad h^0(X_\xi, \eta_\xi) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad \eta_\xi^{\otimes 2} \cong \omega_{X_\xi} \quad \text{and} \\ (f^{-1}(0), \eta_{f^{-1}(0)}) = t \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+.$$

Following the procedure described in [6, pp. 347–351], this data produces a limit linear series \mathfrak{g}_{g-1}^1 on $C \cup D$, say

$$l := (l_C = (L_C, V_C), l_D = (L_D, V_D)) \in G_{g-1}^1(C) \times G_{g-1}^1(D),$$

such that the underlying line bundles L_C and L_D respectively, are obtained from the line bundle $(\eta_C^+, \eta_E, \eta_D^+)$ by dropping the E -aspect and then tensoring the line bundles η_C^+ and η_D^+ by line bundles supported at the points $y \in C$ and $q \in D$ respectively. For degree reasons, it follows that $L_C = \eta_C^+ \otimes \mathcal{O}_C((g - i)y)$ and $L_D = \eta_D^+ \otimes \mathcal{O}_D(iq)$. Since both C and D are general

in their respective moduli spaces, we have that $H^0(C, \eta_C^+) = 0$ and $H^0(D, \eta_D^+) = 0$. In particular $a_1^{l_C}(y) \leq g - i - 1$ and $a_0^{l_D}(q) < a_1^{l_D}(q) \leq i - 1$, hence $a_1^{l_C}(y) + a_0^{l_D}(q) \leq g - 2$, which contradicts the definition of a limit \mathfrak{g}_{g-1}^1 . Thus $F_i \cap \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} = \emptyset$. This implies that $\bar{\alpha}_i = 0$, for all $1 \leq i \leq [g/2]$ (for $i = 1$, one uses instead the curve $F_{g-1} \subset A_1$ to reach the same conclusion).

Assume that $t \in G_i \cap \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$. By the same argument as above, retaining also the notation, there is an induced limit linear series on $C \cup D$,

$$(l_C, l_D) \in G_{g-1}^1(C) \times G_{g-1}^1(D),$$

where $L_C = \eta_C^- \otimes \mathcal{O}_C((g - i)y)$ and $L_D = \eta_D^- \otimes \mathcal{O}_D(iq)$. Since $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_i$ and $[D, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-i,1}$ are both general, we may assume that $h^0(D, \eta_D^-) = h^0(C, \eta_C^-) = 1$, $q \notin \text{supp}(\eta_D^-)$ and that $\text{supp}(\eta_C^-)$ consists of $i - 1$ distinct points. In particular $a_1^{l_D}(q) \leq i$, hence $a_0^{l_C}(y) \geq g - 1 - a_1^{l_D}(q) \geq g - i - 1$. Since $h^0(C, \eta_C^-) = 1$, it follows that one has in fact equality, that is, $a_0^{l_C}(y) = g - i - 1$ and then necessarily $a_1^{l_D}(q) = i$.

Similarly, $a_1^{l_C}(y) \leq g - i + 1$ (otherwise $\text{div}(\eta_C^-) \geq 2y$, that is, $\text{supp}(\eta_C^-)$ would be non-reduced, a contradiction), thus $a_0^{l_D}(q) \geq i - 2$, and the last two inequalities must be equalities as well (one uses that $h^0(D, L_D \otimes \mathcal{O}_D(-(i - 1)q)) = h^0(D, \eta_D^- \otimes \mathcal{O}_D(q)) = 1$, that is, $a_0^{l_D}(q) < i - 1$). Since $a_1^{l_C}(y) = g - i + 1$, we find that $y \in \text{supp}(\eta_C^-)$.

To sum up, we have showed that (l_C, l_D) is a refined limit \mathfrak{g}_{g-1}^1 and in fact

$$\begin{aligned} l_D &= |\eta_D^- \otimes \mathcal{O}_D(2q)| + (i - 2) \cdot q \in G_{g-1}^1(D), \\ l_C &= |\eta_C^- \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(y)| + (g - i - 1) \cdot y \in G_{g-1}^1(C), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

hence $a^{l_D}(q) = (i - 2, i)$ and $a^{l_C}(y) = (g - i - 1, g - i + 1)$.

To prove that the intersection between G_i and $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$ is transversal, we follow closely [8, Lemma 3.4] (see especially the *Remark* on p. 45): The restriction $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}|_{G_i}$ is isomorphic, as a scheme, to the variety $\tau : \mathfrak{T}_{g-1}^1(G_i) \rightarrow G_i$ of limit linear series \mathfrak{g}_{g-1}^1 on the curves of compact type $\{C \cup_{y \sim q} D : y \in C\}$, whose C - and D -aspects are obtained by twisting suitably at $y \in C$ and $q \in D$ the fixed theta-characteristics η_C^- and η_D^- respectively. Following the description of the scheme structure of this moduli space given in [6, Theorem 3.3] over an arbitrary base, we find that because G_i consists entirely of singular spin curves of compact type, the scheme $\mathfrak{T}_{g-1}^1(G_i)$ splits as a product of the corresponding moduli spaces of C - and D -aspects respectively of the limits \mathfrak{g}_{g-1}^1 . By direct calculation we have showed that $\mathfrak{T}_{g-1}^1(G_i) \cong \text{supp}(\eta_C^-) \times \{l_D\}$. Since $\text{supp}(\eta_C^-)$ is a reduced 0-dimensional scheme, we obtain that $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}|_{G_i}$ is everywhere reduced. It follows that $G_i \cdot \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} = \#\text{supp}(\eta_C^-) = i - 1$ and then $\beta_i = (G_i \cdot \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}})/(2i - 2)$. This argument does not work for $i = 1$, when one uses instead the intersection of $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$ with G_{g-1} , and this finishes the proof. \square

Next we construct two pencils in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ which are lifts of the standard degree 12 pencil of elliptic tails in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. We fix a general pointed curve $[C, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1,1}$ and a pencil $f : \text{Bl}_9(\mathbf{P}^2) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ of plane cubics together with a section $\sigma : \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \text{Bl}_9(\mathbf{P}^2)$ induced by one of the base points. We then consider the pencil $R := \{[C \cup_{q \sim \sigma(\lambda)} f^{-1}(\lambda)]\}_{\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^1} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$.

We fix an odd theta-characteristic $\eta_C^- \in \text{Pic}^{g-2}(C)$ such that $q \notin \text{supp}(\eta_C^-)$ and $E \cong \mathbf{P}^1$ will again denote an exceptional component. We define the family

$$F_0 := \{ [C \cup_q E \cup_{\sigma(\lambda)} f^{-1}(\lambda), \eta_C = \eta_C^-, \eta_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1), \eta_{f^{-1}(\lambda)} = \mathcal{O}_{f^{-1}(\lambda)}]: \lambda \in \mathbf{P}^1 \} \subset \bar{\mathcal{S}}_g^+.$$

Since $F_0 \cap A_1 = \emptyset$, we find that $F_0 \cdot \beta_1 = \pi_*(F_0) \cdot \delta_1 = -1$. Similarly, $F_0 \cdot \lambda = \pi_*(F_0) \cdot \lambda = 1$ and obviously $F_0 \cdot \alpha_i = F_0 \cdot \beta_i = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq [g/2]$. For each of the 12 points $\lambda_\infty \in \mathbf{P}^1$ corresponding to singular fibers of R , the associated $\eta_{\lambda_\infty} \in \overline{\text{Pic}}^{g-1}(C \cup E \cup f^{-1}(\lambda_\infty))$ are actual line bundles on $C \cup E \cup f^{-1}(\lambda_\infty)$ (that is, we do not have to blow-up the extra node). Thus we obtain that $F_0 \cdot \beta_0 = 0$, therefore $F_0 \cdot \alpha_0 = \pi_*(F_0) \cdot \delta_0 = 12$.

We also fix an even theta-characteristic $\eta_C^+ \in \text{Pic}^{g-2}(C)$ and consider the degree 3 branched covering $\gamma : \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{1,1}^+ \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ forgetting the spin structure. We define the pencil

$$G_0 := \{ [C \cup_q E \cup_{\sigma(\lambda)} f^{-1}(\lambda), \eta_C = \eta_C^+, \eta_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1), \eta_{f^{-1}(\lambda)} \in \gamma^{-1}[f^{-1}(\lambda)]]: \lambda \in \mathbf{P}^1 \} \subset \bar{\mathcal{S}}_g^+.$$

Since $\pi_*(G_0) = 3R$, we have that $G_0 \cdot \lambda = 3$. Obviously $G_0 \cdot \beta_0 = G_0 \cdot \beta_1 = 0$, hence $G_0 \cdot \alpha_1 = \pi_*(G_0) \cdot \delta_1 = -3$. The map $\gamma : \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{1,1}^+ \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$ is simply ramified over the point corresponding to j -invariant ∞ . Hence, $G_0 \cdot \alpha_0 = 12$ and $G_0 \cdot \beta_0 = 12$, which is consistent with formula (3).

The last pencil we construct lies in the boundary divisor $B_0 \subset \bar{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$. Setting $E \cong \mathbf{P}^1$ for an exceptional component, we define

$$H_0 := \{ [C \cup_{\{y,q\}} E, \eta_C = \eta_C^+, \eta_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1)]: y \in C \} \subset \bar{\mathcal{S}}_g^+.$$

The fiber of H_0 over the point $y = q \in C$ is the even spin curve

$$[C \cup_q E' \cup_{q'} E'' \cup_{\{q'',y''\}} E, \eta_C = \eta_C^+, \eta_{E'} = \mathcal{O}_{E'}(1), \eta_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1), \eta_{E''} = \mathcal{O}_{E''}(-1)],$$

having as stable model $[C \cup_q E_\infty]$, where $E_\infty := E''/y'' \sim q''$ is the rational nodal curve corresponding to $j = \infty$. Here E', E'' are rational curves, $E' \cap E'' = \{q'\}$, $E \cap E'' = \{q'', y''\}$ and the stabilization map for $C \cup E \cup E' \cup E''$ contracts the components E' and E , while identifying q'' and y'' .

We find that $H_0 \cdot \lambda = 0$, $H_0 \cdot \alpha_i = H_0 \cdot \beta_i = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq [g/2]$. Moreover $H_0 \cdot \alpha_0 = 0$, hence $H_0 \cdot \beta_0 = \frac{1}{2}\pi_*(H_0) \cdot \delta_0 = 1 - g$. Finally, $H_0 \cdot \alpha_1 = 1$ and $H_0 \cdot \beta_1 = 0$.

Theorem 2.3. *If $F_0, G_0, H_0 \subset \bar{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ are the families of spin curves defined above, then*

$$F_0 \cdot \bar{\Theta}_{\text{null}} = G_0 \cdot \bar{\Theta}_{\text{null}} = H_0 \cdot \bar{\Theta}_{\text{null}} = 0.$$

Proof. From the limit linear series argument in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we get that the assumption $F_0 \cap \bar{\Theta}_{\text{null}} \neq \emptyset$ implies that $q \in \text{supp}(\eta_C^-)$, a contradiction. Similarly, we have that $G_0 \cap \bar{\Theta}_{\text{null}} = \emptyset$ because $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1}$ can be assumed to have no even theta-characteristics $\eta_C^+ \in \text{Pic}^{g-2}(C)$ with $h^0(C, \eta_C^+) \geq 2$, that is $[C, \eta_C^+] \notin \bar{\mathcal{S}}_{g-1}^+$. Finally, we assume that there exists a point $[X := C \cup_{\{y,q\}} E, \eta_C = \eta_C^+, \eta_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1)] \in H_0 \cap \bar{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$. Then certainly $h^0(X, \eta_X) \geq 2$ and from the Mayer–Vietoris sequence on X we find that

$$H^0(X, \eta_X) = \text{Ker}\{H^0(C, \eta_C) \oplus H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{y,q}^2\},$$

hence $h^0(C, \eta_C) = h^0(X, \eta_X) \geq 2$. This contradicts the assumption that $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1}$ is general. A similar argument works for the special point in $H_0 \cap \pi^{-1}(\Delta_1)$, hence $H_0 \cdot \bar{\Theta}_{\text{null}} = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 0.2. Looking at the expansion of $[\bar{\Theta}_{\text{null}}]$, Theorem 2.3 gives the relations

$$F_0 \cdot \bar{\Theta}_{\text{null}} = \bar{\lambda} - 12\bar{\alpha}_0 + \bar{\beta}_1 = 0, \quad G_0 \cdot \bar{\Theta}_{\text{null}} = 3\bar{\lambda} - 12\bar{\alpha}_0 - 12\bar{\beta}_0 + 3\bar{\alpha}_1 = 0, \quad \text{and} \\ H_0 \cdot \bar{\Theta}_{\text{null}} = (g-1)\bar{\beta}_0 - \bar{\alpha}_1 = 0.$$

Since we have already computed $\bar{\alpha}_i = 0$ and $\bar{\beta}_i = 1/2$ for $1 \leq i \leq [g/2]$ (cf. Theorem 2.2), we obtain that $\bar{\lambda} = 1/4$, $\bar{\alpha}_0 = 1/16$ and $\bar{\beta}_0 = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

A consequence of Theorem 0.2 is a new proof of the main result from [18]:

Theorem 2.4. *If \mathcal{M}_g^1 is the locus of curves $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$ with a vanishing theta-null then its closure has class equal to*

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g^1 \equiv 2^{g-3} \left((2^g + 1)\lambda - 2^{g-3}\delta_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{[g/2]} (2^{g-i} - 1)(2^i - 1)\delta_i \right) \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g).$$

Proof. We use the scheme-theoretic equality $\pi_*(\bar{\Theta}_{\text{null}}) = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g^1$ as well as the formulas $\pi_*(\lambda) = 2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)\lambda$, $\pi_*(\alpha_0) = 2^{2g-2}\delta_0$, $\pi_*(\beta_0) = 2^{g-2}(2^{g-1} + 1)\delta_0$, $\pi_*(\alpha_i) = 2^{g-2}(2^i + 1)(2^{g-i} + 1)\delta_i$ and $\pi_*(\beta_i) = 2^{g-2}(2^i - 1)(2^{g-i} - 1)\delta_i$ valid for $1 \leq i \leq [g/2]$. \square

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank the referee for pertinent comments which led to a clearly improved version of this paper.

References

- [1] D. Abramovich, T. Jarvis, Moduli of twisted spin curves, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003) 685–699.
- [2] S. Boucksom, J.P. Demailly, M. Paun, T. Peternell, The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension, arXiv:math/0405285.
- [3] L. Caporaso, C. Casagrande, M. Cornalba, Moduli of roots of line bundles on curves, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007) 3733–3768.
- [4] M. Cornalba, Moduli of curves and theta-characteristics, in: Lectures on Riemann Surfaces, Trieste, 1987, pp. 560–589.
- [5] I. Dolgachev, V. Kanev, Polar covariants of plane cubics and quartics, Adv. Math. 98 (1993) 216–301.
- [6] D. Eisenbud, J. Harris, Limit linear series: Basic theory, Invent. Math. 85 (1986) 337–371.
- [7] D. Eisenbud, J. Harris, The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves of genus 23, Invent. Math. 90 (1987) 359–387.
- [8] D. Eisenbud, J. Harris, Irreducibility of some families of linear series with Brill–Noether number -1 , Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 22 (1989) 33–53.
- [9] G. Farkas, Syzygies of curves and the effective cone of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g^1$, Duke Math. J. 135 (2006) 53–98.
- [10] G. Farkas, Koszul divisors on moduli spaces of curves, Amer. J. Math. 131 (2009) 819–869, math.AG/0607475.

- [11] G. Farkas, K. Ludwig, The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of Prym varieties, *J. European Math. Soc.* (2009), in press, arXiv:0804.4616.
- [12] G. Farkas, M. Popa, Effective divisors on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, curves on $K3$ surfaces and the Slope Conjecture, *J. Algebraic Geom.* 14 (2005) 151–174.
- [13] J. Harer, The rational Picard group of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces with spin structure, in: *Mapping Class Groups and Moduli Spaces of Riemann Surfaces*, Göttingen/Seattle, 1991, in: *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 150, 1993, pp. 107–136.
- [14] J. Harris, D. Mumford, On the Kodaira dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, *Invent. Math.* 67 (1982) 23–88.
- [15] K. Ludwig, On the geometry of the moduli space of spin curves, *J. Algebraic Geom.* (2009), in press, arXiv:0707.1831.
- [16] A. Putman, The Picard group of moduli spaces of curves with level structures, preprint, 2009.
- [17] H. Takagi, F. Zucconi, The moduli space of genus 4 even spin curves is rational, arXiv:0904.3591.
- [18] M. Teixidor i Bigas, The divisor of curves with a vanishing theta-null, *Compos. Math.* 66 (1988) 15–22.