

ON UNITARY REPRESENTATIONS OF GL_{2n} DISTINGUISHED BY THE SYMPLECTIC GROUP

OMER OFFEN AND EITAN SAYAG

Let F be a p -adic field and let $G = GL_{2n}(F)$. We denote by

$$H_x = \{g \in G \mid {}^t g x g = x\}$$

the symplectic group associated with the skew symmetric matrix $x \in G$. We further denote by H the group $H_{\epsilon_{2n}}$ where

$$\epsilon_{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} & w_n \\ -w_n & \end{pmatrix}$$

and w_n is the $n \times n$ permutation matrix with unit anti-diagonal.

Definition 1. A representation π of G is called H -distinguished if

$$\text{Hom}_H(\pi, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0.$$

In this work we show that a certain family of irreducible, unitary representations of G are distinguished by the symplectic group H . In an upcoming work ([OS]) we show, in particular, that this family exhausts the irreducible, unitary, H -distinguished representations of G .

Our interest in local symplectic periods is motivated by the work of Klyachko over finite fields [Kl84]. In [HR90], Heumos and Rallis began the study of an analogue for p -adic fields. A survey of their work, with some motivation and the relation to periods of automorphic forms, can also be found in [Heu93]. Let us briefly describe the problem at hand.

Let ψ be an additive character of F and let U_q denote the group of upper triangular unipotent matrices in $GL_q(F)$. We denote by

$$\psi_q(u) = \psi(u_{1,2} + \cdots + u_{q-1,q})$$

the associated character of U_q . We will also denote by H_{2q} the symplectic group $H_{\epsilon_{2q}}$. For $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{q}{2} \rfloor$, let $M_{q,k}$ be the subgroup of $GL_q(F)$ of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} u & X \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix}$$

where $u \in U_{q-2k}$, $h \in H_{2k}$ and $X \in M_{(q-2k) \times 2k}(F)$. We denote by $\psi_{q,k}$ the character

$$\psi_{q,k} \begin{pmatrix} u & X \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix} = \psi_{q-2k}(u).$$

We refer to the spaces

$$\mathcal{M}_{q,k} = \text{Ind}_{M_{q,k}}^{GL_q(F)}(\psi_{q,k})$$

as *Klyachko models*. The model $\mathcal{M}_{2n,n}$ is referred to as a symplectic model and the Klyachko models interpolate between a Whittaker model and (if q is even) a symplectic model. An irreducible representation π of $GL_q(F)$ is said to have the Klyachko model $\mathcal{M}_{q,k}$ if

$$\text{Hom}_{GL_q(F)}(\pi, \mathcal{M}_{q,k}) \neq 0.$$

Note that a representation is H -distinguished if and only if it has a symplectic model. In [Kl84], Klyachko showed that each irreducible representation of GL_q over a finite field has a unique Klyachko model. In [HR90], Heumos-Rallis provide evidence that every irreducible, unitary representation of $GL_q(F)$ has a Klyachko model. In fact they prove this fact for $q \leq 4$. They also show that irreducible, unitary representations can imbed in at most one of the different Klyachko models $\mathcal{M}_{q,k}$. We refer to [Heu93, p. 143] for the local conjecture and its global analogue. The present work is a step towards proving the conjectures of [loc. cit.]. In [OS], we will show that any irreducible unitary representation has a Klyachko model. Moreover, we specify the model it has in terms of the Tadic parameter of the representation. The exact description of a Klyachko model for any unitary representation, together with the main result of the present work and the unitary disjointness of models [HR90, Theorem 3.1], imply that the representations that we consider in Theorem 1 are precisely all irreducible, unitary representations that are distinguished by H .

To state our main theorem we briefly review Tadic's classification of the unitary dual of G [Tad86]. Denote by ν the character $g \mapsto |\det g|$ on $GL_q(F)$ for any q . For representations π_i of $GL_{q_i}(F)$, $i = 1, \dots, t$ and for $q = q_1 + \dots + q_t$ we denote by $\pi_1 \times \dots \times \pi_t$ the representation of $GL_q(F)$ obtained from $\pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_t$ by normalized parabolic induction. For a representation τ of $GL_q(F)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote $\pi(\tau, \alpha) = \nu^\alpha \tau \times \nu^{-\alpha} \tau$. For representations π_i of $GL_{q_i}(F)$ set $\pi = \pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_t$ and let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t) \in \mathbb{C}^t$. We denote

$$\pi[\lambda] = \nu^{\lambda_1} \pi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \nu^{\lambda_t} \pi_t$$

and

$$I(\pi, \lambda) = \nu^{\lambda_1} \pi_1 \times \dots \times \nu^{\lambda_t} \pi_t.$$

Let

$$\Lambda_m = \left(\frac{m-1}{2}, \frac{m-3}{2}, \dots, \frac{1-m}{2} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

A representation of $GL_r(F)$ is called square integrable if its matrix coefficients are square integrable modulo the center. Square integrable representations are in particular unitary. For a square integrable representation δ of $GL_r(F)$, the representation $I(\delta^{\otimes m}, \Lambda_m)$ has a unique irreducible quotient which we denote by $U(\delta, m)$. Let

$$B_u = \left\{ U(\delta, m), \pi(U(\delta, m), \alpha) : \delta\text{-square integrable, } m \in \mathbb{N}, |\alpha| < \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

A representation of the form $\sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_t$ where $\sigma_i \in B_u$, is irreducible and unitary. Any irreducible, unitary representation of $GL_q(F)$ for some q has this form and is uniquely determined by the multi-set of σ_i 's up to reordering. This is the classification of Tadic. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. *Let $\pi = \sigma_1 \times \cdots \times \sigma_t \times \tau_{t+1} \times \cdots \times \tau_s$ be a unitary representation of G , such that $\sigma_i = U(\delta_i, 2m_i) \in B_u$ and $\tau_i = \pi(U(\delta_i, 2m_i), \alpha_i) \in B_u$. Then π is H -distinguished.*

In fact we prove in Proposition 2 that π is H -distinguished for a wider family of – not necessarily unitary – representations. Theorem 1 is a generalization of a result of Heumos-Rallis. They showed in [HR90] that the representations $U(\delta, 2)$ are H -distinguished. Their argument is the following. First, they construct a non-vanishing H -invariant functional on $I(\delta \otimes \delta, \Lambda_2)$. This representation has length 2 and its unique irreducible subrepresentation has a Whittaker model. The existence of an H -invariant functional on $U(\delta, 2)$ is therefore a consequence of the fact that irreducible generic representations are not H -distinguished. This is a special case of [HR90, Theorem 3.1]. The method of proof of Heumos-Rallis does not generalize directly to the case $m > 1$. In Remark 1 we explain where the difficulties lie. Our proof of Theorem 1 is in two steps. We first use global methods to show that the building blocks $U(\delta, 2m)$ are H -distinguished. We introduce in (1) a non zero H -invariant functional j_H on $I(\delta^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m})$ and imbed $I(\delta^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m})$ as the local component of a certain global representation $I(\sigma^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m})$ induced from cuspidal. The functional j_H is then the corresponding local component of a certain factorizable, period j_H on $I(\sigma^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m})$ and $U(\delta, 2m)$ is the local component of the unique irreducible quotient $L(\sigma, 2m)$ of $I(\sigma^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m})$. We then use the results of [Off06b] to show that j_H is not identically zero and factors through $L(\sigma, 2m)$. The second step consists of showing that symplectic periods on the building blocks can be induced. Our proof of this fact is rather technical. The idea, due to Heumos-Rallis, is to apply Bernstein's principle of meromorphic

continuation. This requires convergence of a certain complicated integral dependent on a complex parameter in some right half-plane. We accomplish this in Lemma 2 using an integration formula of Jacquet-Rallis [JR92]. In fact we now know that the hereditary property of symplectic periods follows from a recent work of Delorme-Blanc [DB].

1. SYMPLECTIC PERIOD ON THE BUILDING BLOCKS

Let δ be a square integrable representation of $GL_r(F)$ and let $n = mr$. We construct an explicit non-zero and H -invariant linear form l_H on the representation $U(\delta, 2m)$ of $G = GL_{2n}(F)$. For a permutation $w \in \mathfrak{S}_{2m}$ in $2m$ variables, let $M(w)$ be the standard intertwining operator

$$M(w) : I(\delta^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m}) \rightarrow I(\delta^{\otimes 2m}, w\Lambda_{2m}).$$

Let $w' = w'_{2m}$ be the permutation defined by

$$w'(2i - 1) = i, w'(2i) = 2m + 1 - i, i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Let M be the standard Levi of type (r, \dots, r) of G and let $M_H = M \cap H$. Up to a scalar, there is a unique M_H -invariant form on $\delta^{\otimes 2m}$ which we denote by l_{M_H} . Indeed, l_{M_H} is given by the pairing of $\delta^{\otimes m}$ with its contragradient. Let K be the standard maximal compact subgroup of G and set $K_H = K \cap H$. The linear form

$$(1) \quad j_H(\varphi) = \int_{K_H} l_{M_H}(M(w')\varphi(k))dk$$

is a non-zero H -invariant form on $I(\delta^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m})$. Indeed, this is shown in [Off06b, §3], when δ is any irreducible, generic, unitary representation. To obtain a symplectic period on $U(\delta, 2m)$ it is therefore enough to show that the form j_H factors through the unique irreducible quotient $U(\delta, 2m)$ of $I(\delta^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m})$.

Remark 1. If δ is supercuspidal, we can show that the representation $I(\delta^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m})$ has a decomposition series for which no factor (except $U(\delta, 2m)$) is H -distinguished. When $m = 1$, the same is true for any square integrable δ . This was the key point in the proof of [HR90, Theorem 11.1]. For $m > 1$ and δ square integrable this is in general no longer true. The representation $I(\delta^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m})$ may have more than one decomposition factor which is H -distinguished. For this reason the method of proof of Heumos-Rallis does not generalize directly to $U(\delta, 2m)$. To overcome this problem we use a global approach.

We imbed our local problem in a global setting. In order to construct, locally, a non-vanishing symplectic period, we construct a global, decomposable symplectic period and apply [Off06b] to show that it factors through the unique irreducible quotient.

Proposition 1. *The form j_H on $I(\delta^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m})$ factors through $U(\delta, 2m)$, i.e. it defines a non-zero H -invariant form on $U(\delta, 2m)$.*

Proof. We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let δ be a square integrable representation of $GL_r(F)$. There is a number field k , a place v of k so that $F = k_v$ and a cuspidal automorphic representation σ of $GL_r(\mathbb{A}_k)$ so that $\delta = \sigma_v$.*

Proof of the lemma. The lemma follows from the proof of Proposition 5.15 in [Rog83]. \square

Let k , v and σ be as in Lemma 1. Let P be the standard parabolic subgroup of G with Levi M . For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ denote

$$I(\sigma^{\otimes 2m}, \lambda) = \text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A}_k)}^{G(\mathbb{A}_k)} (|\det|_{\mathbb{A}_k}^{\lambda_1} \sigma \otimes |\det|_{\mathbb{A}_k}^{\lambda_2} \sigma \otimes \dots \otimes |\det|_{\mathbb{A}_k}^{\lambda_{2m}} \sigma).$$

Let $L(\sigma, 2m)$ be the unique irreducible quotient of $I(\sigma^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m})$. Then $I(\delta^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m})$ is the local component of $I(\sigma^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m})$ and $U(\delta, 2m)$ is the local component of $L(\sigma, 2m)$ at v . Let

$$j_H(\varphi) = \int_{K_H} \int_{M_H \backslash M_H(\mathbb{A}_k)^1} (M_{-1}(w')\varphi)(mk) dm dk$$

where $M_{-1}(w')$ is the multi-residue at Λ_{2m} of the standard intertwining operator

$$M(w', \lambda) : I(\sigma^{\otimes 2m}, \lambda) \rightarrow I(\sigma^{\otimes 2m}, w'\lambda).$$

It is shown in [Off06b], that the form j_H is a non-zero $H(\mathbb{A}_{k,f})$ -invariant form on $I(\sigma^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m})$, where $\mathbb{A}_{k,f}$ is the ring of finite adèles of k . It is decomposable into local factors $j_H = \otimes_w j_{H,w}$ and $j_{H,v}$ is proportional to j_H given by (1). Let E_{-1} denote the intertwining operator that projects $I(\sigma^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m}) \rightarrow L(\sigma, 2m)$. It is also decomposable. In [Off06a] it is shown that j_H factors through $L(\sigma, 2m)$, i.e. there is a linear form ι_H on $L(\sigma, 2m)$ that makes the following diagram commute

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} I(\sigma^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m}) & \xrightarrow{E_{-1}} & L(\sigma, 2m) \\ j_H \searrow & & \swarrow \iota_H \\ & \mathbb{C} & \end{array} .$$

Fix a decomposable element $\varphi_0 = \otimes_w \varphi_{0,w} \in I(\sigma^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m})$ such that $j_H(\varphi_0) \neq 0$. For each $\varphi_v \in I(\delta^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m})$ denote $\varphi = \otimes_{w \neq v} \varphi_{0,w} \otimes \varphi_v$. If φ_v is in the kernel of the projection $I(\delta^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m}) \rightarrow U(\delta, 2m)$ then φ is

in the kernel of E_{-1} and therefore $j_H(\varphi) = 0 = j_{H,v}(\varphi_v) \prod_{w \neq v} j_{H,w}(\varphi_{0,w})$. Thus $j_{H,v}(\varphi_v) = 0$. This shows that $j_{H,v}$ factors through $U(\delta, 2m)$. The proposition follows. \square

We only needed to introduce global notation for the proof of Proposition 1. For the remainder of this work we remain strictly in a local setting. Recall that j_H is the H -invariant form on $I(\delta^{\otimes 2m}, \Lambda_{2m})$ defined by (1). It follows from Proposition 1 that there exists an H -invariant form l_H on $U(\delta, 2m)$ such that $j_H = l_H \circ M(w_{2n})$.

2. INDUCTION OF THE SYMPLECTIC PERIOD

In this section we fix irreducible, square integrable representations δ_i of $GL_{r_i}(F)$, $i = 1, \dots, t$. We also fix $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t \in \mathbb{R}$ and positive integers m_1, \dots, m_t .

Proposition 2. *The representation*

$$(3) \quad J = \nu^{\alpha_1} U(\delta_1, 2m_1) \times \cdots \times \nu^{\alpha_t} U(\delta_t, 2m_t)$$

is distinguished by H .

The rest of this work is devoted to the proof of Proposition 2. Let $k_i = m_i r_i$ and let $\mathbf{k} = (2k_1, \dots, 2k_t)$ be a partition of $2n$. Let $Q = LV$ be the standard parabolic subgroup of G of type \mathbf{k} , and let x be the skew symmetric matrix

$$x = \text{diag}(\epsilon_{2k_1}, \dots, \epsilon_{2k_t}).$$

We denote $Q_x = Q \cap H_x$ and let $P = MU$ be the standard parabolic of G of type

$$\left(\overbrace{r_1, \dots, r_1}^{2m_1}, \dots, \overbrace{r_t, \dots, r_t}^{2m_t} \right).$$

Its Levi component is $M = M_1 \times \cdots \times M_t$ where M_i is the standard Levi of GL_{2k_i} of type (r_i, \dots, r_i) . We denote by $M_{i,H}$ the intersection of M_i with the symplectic group H_{2k_i} and by $K_{i,H}$ the intersection of H_{2k_i} with the standard maximal compact subgroup of $GL_{2k_i}(F)$. In [Off06b] we provided an H -filtration of induced representations and a useful description of their composition factors, using the geometric lemma of Bernstein-Zelevinsky. The filtration of J is parameterized by $Q \backslash G / H$. Let l_i be the symplectic period on $U(\delta_i, 2m_i)$ introduced in §1. It gives rise to a period on the first composition factor coming from the open double coset. Let $\eta \in G$ be such that $x = \eta \epsilon_{2n}^t \eta$. Then $\eta H \eta^{-1} = H_x$ and $Q \eta H$ is the open double coset. It is a consequence of Frobenius

reciprocity that on the subspace of J , of functions supported on $Q\eta H$ we obtain a non-zero H -invariant functional defined by the formula

$$(4) \quad l_H(\varphi) = \int_{(H \cap \eta^{-1}Q\eta) \backslash H} (l_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes l_t)(\varphi(\eta h)) dh = \int_{Q_x \backslash H_x} (l_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes l_t)(\varphi(h\eta)) dh.$$

However, this integral need not converge on the fully induced space J . We follow the ideas of [HR90] to bypass this obstacle. We let

$$J_s = \text{Ind}_Q^G(\delta_Q^s \otimes (\nu^{\alpha_1} U(\delta_1, 2m_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu^{\alpha_t} U(\delta_t, 2m_t)))$$

where δ_Q is the modulus function of Q . Denote by $l_{s,H}$ the linear form on J_s defined by the right hand side of (4). We show that for Res large enough and for $\varphi \in J_s$, the integral defining $l_{s,H}(\varphi)$ is absolutely convergent. It will then follow from the uniqueness of symplectic periods [HR90, Theorem 2.4.2], and from Bernstein's principle of meromorphic continuation as used in [HR90, p. 277-278], that J_s has a non-zero symplectic period, which is a rational function of q^s , where q is the cardinality of the residual field of F . This will provide a non-zero symplectic period on $J = J_0$. Indeed, there will be an integer m so that $s^m l_{s,H}$ is holomorphic and non-zero at $s = 0$. We therefore only need to show that for $\text{Res} \gg 0$ and for $\varphi \in J_s$, the integral on the right hand side of (4) is absolutely convergent. Let

$$I'_s = \text{Ind}_P^G(\delta_Q^s|_P \otimes (\nu^{\alpha_1} \delta_1^{\otimes 2m_1} [\Lambda_{2m_1}] \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu^{\alpha_t} \delta_t^{\otimes 2m_t} [\Lambda_{2m_t}])).$$

Let E_i denote the projection from $I(\delta_i^{\otimes 2m_i}, \Lambda_{2m_i})$ to $U(\delta_i, \Lambda_{2m_i})$. The projection $E = E_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes E_t$ gives rise to a projection $\tilde{E}_s : I'_s \rightarrow J_s$ given by

$$(\tilde{E}_s(f))(g) = E(f(g)).$$

It is easy to see that if $\varphi = \tilde{E}_s(f)$, $f \in I'_s$ then

$$(5) \quad l_{s,H}(\varphi) = \int_{Q_x \backslash H_x} (j'_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes j'_t)(f(h\eta)) dh$$

where $j'_i = l_i \circ E_i$ is the non-zero symplectic period on $I(\delta_i^{\otimes 2m_i}, \Lambda_{2m_i})$ introduced in (1). We let $j'_{s,H}$ be the linear form on I'_s defined by the right hand side of (5). Let

$$I_s = \text{Ind}_P^G(\delta_Q^s|_P \otimes (\nu^{\alpha_1} \delta_1^{\otimes 2m_1} [w'_{2m_1} \Lambda_{2m_1}] \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu^{\alpha_t} \delta_t^{\otimes 2m_t} [w'_{2m_t} \Lambda_{2m_t}])))$$

and let $w' = \text{diag}(w'_{2m_1}, \dots, w'_{2m_t})$. Then $M(w')$ is the standard intertwining operator from I'_s to I_s . Making the j'_i 's explicit we observe

For $f \in I_s$ we therefore have

$$j_{s,H}(I_s(\eta^{-1})f) = \int_{K_{H_x}} \int \gamma(X) \int_{K_{1,H} \times \dots \times K_{t,H}}$$

$$(l_{M_{1,H}} \otimes \dots \otimes l_{M_{t,H}})(f(\text{diag}(k_1, \dots, k_t)\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(X)k))d(k_1, \dots, k_t)dXdk.$$

Since f is K -finite, fixing a basis $\{f_j\}$ of $I_s(K)f$, there are smooth functions a_j on K such that $I_s(k)(f) = \sum_j a_j(k)f_j$. It follows that $j_{s,H}(I_s(\eta^{-1})f)$ is the finite sum over j of $\int_{K_{H_x}} a_j(k)dk$ times

$$\int \gamma(X) \int_{K_{1,H} \times \dots \times K_{t,H}} (l_{M_{1,H}} \otimes \dots \otimes l_{M_{t,H}})(f_j(\text{diag}(k_1, \dots, k_t)\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(X)))d(k_1, \dots, k_t)dX.$$

To prove the proposition it is therefore enough to show that the integral

$$(7) \quad \int \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \lambda(X_i) \right)^m \int_{K_{1,H} \times \dots \times K_{t,H}} |(l_{M_{1,H}} \otimes \dots \otimes l_{M_{t,H}})(f(\text{diag}(k_1, \dots, k_t)\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(X)))| d(k_1, \dots, k_t)dX$$

is convergent. For any matrix g we will denote by $\|\epsilon_i g\|$ the maximum of the absolute values of the $i \times i$ minors in the lower i rows of g . For each $j \in [1, t]$, $i \in [1, 2m_j]$, let $R_{i,j} = ir_j + 2 \sum_{q=j+1}^t k_q$. We write the coordinates of each Λ_{2m_j} as $\Lambda_{2m_j} = (\mu_1^j, \dots, \mu_{2m_j}^j)$ (in fact the convergence is proved for μ_i^j arbitrary). Let $\mu = (\Lambda_{2m_1}, \dots, \Lambda_{2m_t}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2(m_1 + \dots + m_t)}$. For $p \in P$ with diagonal blocks $p_i^j \in GL_{r_j}(F)$, $j \in [1, t]$, $i \in [1, 2m_j]$ we denote $p^\mu = \prod_{i,j} |\det p_i^j|^{\mu_i^j}$. If we write an Iwasawa decomposition of $g \in G$ with respect to P as $g = p(g)\kappa(g)$ then we have

$$f(g) = \delta_Q^s(p(g))p(g)^{\mu + \rho_P} \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^t \delta_j^{\otimes 2m_j} \right) (p(g))f(\kappa(g))$$

where ρ_P is half the sum of positive roots with respect to the parabolic P of G . If $g = pk$ where $p \in P$ has diagonal blocks denoted as before we may write

$$|\det p_i^j| = \frac{\|\epsilon_{R_{2m_j+1-i,j}} g\|}{\|\epsilon_R g\|}$$

where $R = R_{2m_j-i,j}$ if $i < 2m_j$ and $R = R_{1,j+1}$ otherwise. In other words we may find $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{2(m_1 + \dots + m_t)}$ dependent only on μ so that

$$f(g) = \delta_Q^s(p(g)) \prod_{i,j} \|\epsilon_{R_{i,j}} g\|^{\lambda_i^j} \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^t \delta_j^{\otimes 2m_j} \right) (p(g))f(\kappa(g)).$$

The integral (7) then becomes

$$(8) \quad \int \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \lambda(X_i) \right)^m \int_{K_{1,H} \times \dots \times K_{t,H}} \delta_Q^s(p(\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(X))) \prod_{i,j} \|\epsilon_{R_{i,j}} \text{diag}(k_1, \dots, k_t) \sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(X)\|^{\lambda_i^j} \\ \left| (l_{M_{1,H}} \otimes \dots \otimes l_{M_{t,H}}) \left(\left(\otimes_{j=1}^t \delta_j^{\otimes 2m_i} \right) (p(\text{diag}(k_1, \dots, k_t) \sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(X))) \right) \right. \\ \left. f(\kappa(\text{diag}(k_1, \dots, k_t) \sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(X))) \right| d(k_1, \dots, k_t) dX.$$

We first claim that the expression in the absolute value is now bounded, independently of k_1, \dots, k_t and X . Indeed, f being smooth, obtains only finitely many values on K and therefore it is enough to bound

$$(l_{M_{1,H}} \otimes \dots \otimes l_{M_{t,H}}) \left(\left(\otimes_{j=1}^t \delta_j^{\otimes 2m_i} \right) (p(\text{diag}(k_1, \dots, k_t) \sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(X))) \right) v$$

for any v in the space of $\otimes_{j=1}^t \delta_j^{\otimes 2m_j}$. We may further assume that v decomposes as $v = v_{1,1} \otimes v_{1,2} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{t,1} \otimes v_{t,2}$ where $v_{i,j}$ lies in the space of $\delta_i^{\otimes m_i}$ for $j = 1, 2$. For $p \in M$ we denote by $p_i^j \in GL_{r_i}(F)$ its diagonal blocks as before. The map

$$p \mapsto (l_{M_{1,H}} \otimes \dots \otimes l_{M_{t,H}}) \left(\left(\otimes_{j=1}^t \delta_j^{\otimes 2m_i} \right) (p) v \right)$$

is a matrix coefficient of the unitary representation $\otimes_{j=1}^t \delta_j^{\otimes m_j}$ evaluated at

$$\text{diag}((\tilde{p}_{2m_1}^1)^{-1} p_1^1, \dots, (\tilde{p}_{m_1+1}^1)^{-1} p_{m_1}^1, (\tilde{p}_{2m_2}^2)^{-1} p_1^2, \dots, (\tilde{p}_{m_t+1}^t)^{-1} p_{m_t}^t).$$

Here $\tilde{g} = w_q^t g^{-1} w_q$ for $g \in GL_q(F)$. Matrix coefficients of unitary representations are bounded. It is therefore enough to show that for Res large enough the expression

$$(9) \quad \int \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \lambda(X_i) \right)^m \int_{K_{1,H} \times \dots \times K_{t,H}} \delta_Q^s(p(\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(X))) \\ \prod_{i,j} \|\epsilon_{R_{i,j}} \text{diag}(k_1, \dots, k_t) \sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(X)\|^{\lambda_i^j} \times d(k_1, \dots, k_t) dX$$

converges. In order to bound the integrand in (9), we will use the following two claims.

Claim 1: There exist an N such that

$$1 \leq \|\epsilon_{R_{i,j}} \text{diag}(k_1, \dots, k_t) \sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(X)\| \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \lambda(X_i) \right)^N$$

and

Claim 2:

$$\delta_Q^s(p(\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(X))) \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \lambda(X_i) \right)^{-s}.$$

The upper bound in **Claim 1** is obvious. We show the lower bound. To avoid ambiguity of notation let us denote by $k_{i,H}$ the elements of $K_{i,H}$. Note that the lower $R_{1,j}$ rows of $\text{diag}(k_{1,H}, \dots, k_{t,H})\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ have the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & k_{j,H} & & 0 \\ * & * & \text{diag}(k_{j+1,H}, \dots, k_{t,H})\sigma_{(2k_{j+1}, \dots, 2k_t)}(X_{j+1}, \dots, X_{t-1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

where we put $*$ in each block that will play no role for us. For each $i \in [1, 2m_j]$ there is a $ir_j \times ir_j$ minor A in the lower ir_j rows of $k_{j,H}$ of absolute value 1. Together with the lower right $2(k_{j+1} + \dots + k_t) \times 2(k_{j+1} + \dots + k_t)$ -block of $\text{diag}(k_{1,H}, \dots, k_{t,H})\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$ we get that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & & 0 \\ * & \text{diag}(k_{j+1,H}, \dots, k_{t,H})\sigma_{(2k_{j+1}, \dots, 2k_t)}(X_{j+1}, \dots, X_{t-1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a $R_{i,j} \times R_{i,j}$ minor of absolute value 1 in the lower $R_{i,j}$ rows of the matrix $\text{diag}(k_{1,H}, \dots, k_{t,H})\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$. This shows **Claim 1**. To show **Claim 2**, we note that if $|\det g| = 1$ then

$$\delta_Q(p(g)) = \prod_{j=1}^{t-1} \|\epsilon_{R_{1,j}} g\|^{-2k_{t+1-j} - 2k_{t-j}}.$$

It can be proved as in [Off06a, Lemma 5.5], that

$$\|\epsilon_{R_{1,j}} p(\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(X))\| \geq \lambda(X_{t-j}).$$

Claim 2 readily follows. Using the two claims, we bound the integral (9) by replacing each term $\|\epsilon_{R_{i,j}} \text{diag}(k_1, \dots, k_t)\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(X)\|^{\lambda_i^j}$ by 1 if $\lambda_i^j \leq 0$ and by a certain fixed and large enough power of $\left(\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \lambda(X_i) \right)$ otherwise. It is shown in [JR92] that for any q the integral

$$\int_{F^q} \lambda(Y)^{-s} dY$$

is convergent for $s \gg 0$. The lemma therefore follows from the two claims. \square

This concludes the proof of Proposition 2 and in particular also of Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

- [DB] Philippe Blanc and Patrick Delorme. Vecteurs distributions H -invariants de représentations induites, pour un espace symétrique réductif p -adique G/H . arXiv:math.RT/0412435
- [Heu93] Michael J. Heumos. Models and periods for automorphic forms on GL_n . In *Representation theory of groups and algebras*, volume 145 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 135–144. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
- [HR90] Michael J. Heumos and Stephen Rallis. Symplectic-Whittaker models for GL_n . *Pacific J. Math.*, 146(2):247–279, 1990.
- [JR92] Hervé Jacquet and Stephen Rallis. Symplectic periods. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 423:175–197, 1992.
- [Kl84] A. A. Klyachko. Models for complex representations of the groups $GL(n, q)$. *Matematicheskii Sbornik. Novaya Seriya*, 48(2):365–378, 1984.
- [Off06a] Omer Offen. On symplectic periods of discrete spectrum of GL_{2n} . *Isr. Jour. Math.*, 154:253–298, 2006.
- [Off06b] Omer Offen. Distinguished residual spectrum. *Duke Math. J.*, 134(2):313–357, 2006.
- [OS] Omer Offen and Eitan Sayag. Global Mixed Periods and local Klyachko models for the general linear group. Submitted.
- [Rog83] Jonathan D. Rogawski. Representations of $GL(n)$ and division algebras over a p -adic field. *Duke Math. J.*, 50(1):161–196, 1983.
- [Tad86] Marko Tadić. Classification of unitary representations in irreducible representations of general linear group (non-Archimedean case). *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 19(3):335–382, 1986.