# Stability of Stochastic Programming Problems

W. Römisch

Humboldt-Universität Berlin Institut für Mathematik

http://www-iam.mathematik.hu-berlin.de/~romisch

Tutorial

9th International Conference on Stochastic Programming Berlin (Germany), August 25-31, 2001

## Introduction

# Consider the stochastic programming model $\min\{\int_{=} f_0(\xi, x) \mu(d\xi) : x \in M(\mu)\}$ (1)

 $M(\mu) := \{x \in X : \int_{\Xi} f_j(\xi, x) \mu(d\xi) \leq 0, j = 1, ..., d\}$ where  $f_j$  from  $\Xi \times \mathbb{R}^m$  to the extended reals  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ are normal integrands, X is a nonempty closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\Xi$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^s$  and  $\mu$ is a Borel probability measure on  $\Xi$ . (Recall that  $f_j$  is a normal integrand if it is Borel measurable and  $f_j(\xi, .)$  is lower semicontinuous for each  $\xi \in \Xi$ .)

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\Xi)$  the set of all Borel probability measures on  $\Xi$  and by  $v(\mu)$  and  $S_{\varepsilon}(\mu)$  the optimal value and the ( $\varepsilon$ -approximate) solution set ( $\varepsilon \geq 0$ ) of (1), i.e.,

$$v(\mu) = \inf_{x \in M(\mu)} \int_{\Xi} f_0(\xi, x) \mu(d\xi),$$
  

$$S_{\varepsilon}(\mu) = \{x \in M(\mu) : \int_{\Xi} f_0(\xi, x) \mu(d\xi) \le v(\mu) + \varepsilon\},$$
  

$$S(\mu) = S_0(\mu) = \arg \min_{x \in M(\mu)} \int_{\Xi} f_0(\xi, x) \mu(d\xi).$$

Since the underlying probability distribution  $\mu$  is often incompletely known in applied models, the **stability behaviour** of the stochastic program when changing (perturbing, estimating, approximating)  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\Xi)$  is important.

Here, stability refers to (quantitative) continuity properties of the optimal value function v(.)and of the set-valued mapping  $S_{\varepsilon}(.)$  at  $\mu$ , where both are regarded as mappings given on certain subset of  $\mathcal{P}(\Xi)$  equipped with some convergence of probability measures and some probability metric, respectively.

(The corresponding subset of probability measures is determined such that certain moment conditions are satisfied that are related to growth properties of the integrands  $f_j$  with respect to  $\xi$ .)

# Examples:

two-stage stochastic programs, chance constrained stochastic programs.

#### Literatur

#### Surveys: Dupačová 90, Schultz 00

70s: Kall, Kaňková (78), Marti, Wets

Kaňková 80,..., Dupačová 84,...,

Wets 83, 89, Birge/Wets 86,

Kall 87, Robinson/Wets 87, Römisch/Wakolbinger 87,

Dupačová/Wets 88, Vogel 88, 92,94, King 89,

King/Wets 90, King/Rockafellar 93,

Salinetti 81, 89, Shapiro 89, 91, 94, 95, 99,

Ermoliev/Norkin 91, Lucchetti/Wets 93,

Römisch/Schultz 91, 93, 96, Schultz 92, 95, 96,

Artstein 94, Artstein/Wets 94, 95, Wang 95,

Pflug 96, 99, Pflug/Ruszczyński/Schultz 98, 99,

Fiedler/Römisch 95, Dentcheva/Römisch 00,

Gröwe 97, Henrion 00, Henrion/Römisch 99, 00, Rachev/Römisch 00,..... Weak convergence in  $\mathcal{P}(\Xi)$ 

$$\mu_n \to_w \mu \quad \text{iff} \quad \int_{\Xi} f(\xi)\mu_n(d\xi) \to \int_{\Xi} f(\xi)\mu(d\xi) \\ (\forall f \in C_b(\Xi)), \\ \text{iff} \quad \mu_n(\{\xi \le z\}) \to \mu(\{\xi \le z\}) \\ \text{if} \quad \mu(\{\xi \le \cdot\}) \text{ is continuous at } z.$$

Probability metrics on  $\mathcal{P}(\Xi)$ 

Monographs: Rachev 91, Rachev/Rüschendorf 98

Metrics with  $\zeta$ -structure:

 $d_{\mathcal{F}}(\mu,\nu) = \sup\{\left|\int_{\Xi} f(\xi)(\mu-\nu)(d\xi)\right| : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ where  $\mathcal{F}$  is an appropriate set of measurable functions from  $\Xi$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  are probability measures in some set  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}}$  on which  $d_{\mathcal{F}}$  is finite.

Examples:

(a) *F* is a class of locally Lipschitzian functions on Ξ,
(b) *F* = {*χ<sub>B</sub>* : *B* ∈ *B*}, *B* is a class of Borel subsets of Ξ.

It is possible to associate certain canonical sets  $\mathcal{F}$  and, hence, canonical metrics  $d_{\mathcal{F}}$  to specific classes of stochastic programs.

#### Example 1:

(two-stage model with simple recourse)  $m = s = 1, d = 0, f_0(\xi, x) := \max\{\xi - x, 0\},\$   $\Xi := I\!\!R, X := [-1, 1] (:= I\!\!R),\$   $\mu := \delta_0$  (unit mass at 0),  $\mu_n := (1 - \frac{1}{n})\delta_0 + \frac{1}{n}\delta_{n^2}, n \in I\!\!N.$ 

$$v(\mu) = 0, \ S(\mu) = [0, 1] \ (= [0, \infty)),$$
  
 $v(\mu_n) = n - \frac{1}{n} \ (= -\infty), \ S(\mu_n) = \{1\} \ (= \emptyset)$   
 $(n \in \mathbb{N}).$   
Note:  $\mu_n \to^w \mu$ , but first order moments do not  
converge !

#### Example 2:

(linear chance constrained model)  

$$m = s = d = 1, X := (-\infty, 0], \Xi = I\!\!R,$$
  
 $f_0(\xi, x) := x, f_1(\xi, x) := \frac{3}{4} - \chi_{(-\infty, x]}(\xi),$   
 $\mu := \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{-1},$   
 $\mu_n := (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n})\delta_{\frac{1}{n}} + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n})\delta_{-1} \quad (n \in I\!\!N).$ 

$$v(\mu) = 0, S(\mu) = \{0\},$$
  
 $v(\mu_n) = \infty, S(\mu_n) = \emptyset \ (n \in \mathbb{N}).$ 

Note:  $\mu_n \rightarrow^w \mu$ , but distribution functions do not converge uniformly !

## **Quantitative Stability**

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be some nonempty subset of  $I\!\!R^m$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}} &:= \{f_j(.,x) : x \in X \cap cl \, \mathcal{U}, j = 0, \dots, d\}, \\ \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}} &:= \{\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Xi) : \int_{\Xi} \inf_{x \in X} f_j(\xi,x) \nu(d\xi) > -\infty, \forall r > 0, \\ \sup_{x \in X \cap cl \, \mathcal{U}} |\int_{\Xi} f_j(\xi,x) \nu(d\xi)| < \infty, j = 0, \dots, d\}, \end{aligned}$$

and the probability (pseudo-) metric on  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}$ :

$$d_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}(\mu,\nu) = \sup_{x \in X \cap cl \,\mathcal{U}} \max_{j=0,\dots,d} \left| \int_{\Xi} f_j(\xi,x)(\mu-\nu)(d\xi) \right|.$$

#### Lemma:

The functions  $(x,\nu) \mapsto \int_{\Xi} f_j(\xi,x)\nu(d\xi)$  are lower semicontinuous on  $X \times \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}$ .

Localized concepts for optimal values and solution sets:

$$v_{\mathcal{U}}(\nu) = \inf\{\int_{\Xi} f_0(\xi, x)\nu(d\xi) : x \in M(\nu) \cap cl\mathcal{U}\},\$$
  
$$S_{\mathcal{U}}(\nu) = \{x \in M(\nu) \cap cl\mathcal{U} : \int_{\Xi} f_0(\xi, x)\nu(d\xi) = v_{\mathcal{U}}(\nu)\}.$$

A nonempty set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  is called a complete local minimizing (CLM) set of (1) with respect to  $\mathcal{U}$  if  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  is open and  $S = S_{\mathcal{U}}(\mu) \subset \mathcal{U}$ . Clearly, sets of global minimizers are CLM sets and it holds  $S_{\mathcal{U}}(\mu) = S(\mu)$  if  $S(\mu) \subset \mathcal{U}$ .

#### **Theorem 1:** (Rachev/Römisch 00)

Assume that  $S(\mu)$  is nonempty and  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is an open bounded neighbourhood of  $S(\mu)$ , and that  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}$ .

If  $d \ge 1$ , let the function  $x \mapsto \int_{\Xi} f_0(\xi, x) \mu(d\xi)$ be Lipschitz continuous on  $X \cap cl \mathcal{U}$ , and, let the function  $(x, y) \mapsto d(x, M_y(\mu))$  be locally Lipschitz continuous at each  $(\bar{x}, 0), \ \bar{x} \in S(\mu)$ .

Then there exist constants  $L, \delta > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |v(\mu) - v_{\mathcal{U}}(\nu)| &\leq Ld_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}(\mu,\nu) \\ \emptyset \neq S_{\mathcal{U}}(\nu) &\subseteq S(\mu) + \Psi(Ld_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}(\mu,\nu)) I\!B \end{aligned}$$

holds for all  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}$  and that  $S_{\mathcal{U}}(\nu)$  is a CLM set w.r.t.  $\mathcal{U}$  whenever  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}$ and  $d_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}(\mu,\nu) < \delta$ . Here  $\Psi(\eta) := \eta + \psi^{-1}(\eta)$  and  $\psi(\tau) := \min\{\int_{\Xi} f_0(\xi, x)\mu(d\xi) - v(\mu) : d(x, S(\mu)) \ge \tau, x \in M(\mu) \cap cl\mathcal{U}\} (\eta, \tau \in \mathbb{R}_+), \text{ and } M_y(\mu) := \{x \in X : \int_{\Xi} f_j(\xi, x)\mu(d\xi) \le y_j, j = 1, ..., d\}.$ 

The function  $\psi$  is the growth or conditioning function of (1) on  $\mathcal{U}$ .  $\psi$  and  $\Psi$  are lower semicontinuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ ;  $\psi$  is nondecreasing and  $\Psi$  is increasing, both vanish at 0 and  $\psi^{-1}(t) := \sup\{\tau \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \psi(\tau) \leq t\}.$ 

(Proof by appealing to stability results of Klatte 87, 94 and Rockafellar/Wets 97.)

Theorem 1 shows that  $d_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}$  plays the role of a minimal probability metric for (1) implying quantitative stability.

Furthermore, notice that Theorem 1 remains valid when bounding  $d_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}$  from above by another distance and when reducing the set  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}$  to a subset on which this distance is defined and finite.

Such a distance is called a canonical probability metric  $d_{ca}$  associated with (1), if it has the structure  $d_{\mathcal{F}}$  generated by some class  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{ca}$ of functions from  $\Xi$  to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_{ca}$  contains the functions  $Cf_j(\cdot, x)$  for each  $x \in X \cap cl \mathcal{U}, j =$  $0, \ldots, d$  and some normalizing constant C > 0, and that the functions in  $\mathcal{F}_{ca}$  have the same analytical properties as  $f_j(\cdot, x), j = 0, \ldots, d$ . Typical analytical properties defining canonical classes  $\mathcal{F}_{ca}$ , which are relevant in stochastic programming, are piecewise Lipschitz continuity properties.

**Example:** (Fortet-Mourier metrics) Let  $p \ge 1$ ,  $\xi_0 \in \Xi$  and consider the following class of continuous functions from  $\Xi$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ 

 $\mathcal{F}_{p} := \{ f : |f(\xi) - f(\tilde{\xi})| \le \max\{1, \|\xi - \xi_{0}\|^{p-1}, \|\tilde{\xi} - \xi_{0}\|^{p-1}\} \|\xi - \tilde{\xi}\|, \forall \xi, \tilde{\xi} \in \Xi \}$ 

and the corresponding probability metric generated by  $\mathcal{F}_p$  and defined on  $\mathcal{P}_p(\Xi)$ :

$$egin{aligned} & egin{aligned} & egi$$

#### Convex case and d := 0:

Assume that  $f_0(\xi, \cdot)$  is convex on  $\mathbb{R}^m \ \forall \xi \in \Xi$ .

#### Theorem 2:

Assume that  $S(\mu)$  is nonempty and  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is an open bounded neighbourhood of  $S(\mu)$ , and that  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}$ .

Then there exist constants  $L, \bar{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

$$egin{aligned} |v(\mu)-v(
u)| &\leq d_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}(\mu,
u) & ext{and} \ & \emptyset
eq S(
u) &\subset S(\mu)+\Psi(d_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}(\mu,
u))I\!B \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}$  with  $d_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}(\mu,\nu) < \overline{\varepsilon}$ , and that it holds for any  $\varepsilon \in (0,\overline{\varepsilon})$ 

$$D_H(S_{arepsilon}(\mu),S_{arepsilon}(
u))\leq rac{L}{arepsilon}d_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}(\mu,
u)$$

whenever  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}, d_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}(\mu,\nu) < \varepsilon$ . Here  $\Psi(\eta) := \eta + \psi^{-1}(2\eta), \eta \ge 0$ ,  $\psi$  is the conditioning function of Theorem 1 and  $D_H$  is the Hausdorff distance of nonempty closed subsets of  $I\!\!R^m$ .

Proof using a perturbation result by Rockafellar/Wets 97.

# Linear two-stage stochastic programs

We consider the linear two-stage stochastic program with fixed recourse

# $\min\{cx + \int_{\Xi} q(\xi)y(\xi)\mu(d\xi) : Wy(\xi) = h(\xi) - T(\xi)x,$ $u(\xi) \ge 0, x \in X\}$

where  $c \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  is a polyhedron,  $\Xi$  is a polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^s$ , W is an  $(r, \overline{m})$ -matrix,  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\Xi)$ , and  $q(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{\overline{m}}$ ,  $h(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^r$  and the (r, m)-matrix  $T(\xi)$  depend affine linearly on  $\xi \in \Xi$ .

Denoting by  $\Phi(q(\xi), h(\xi) - T(\xi)x)$  the value of the optimal second stage decision, the above problem may be rewritten equivalently as a minimization problem with respect to the first stage decision x.

Defining the integrand  $f_0: \Xi \times I\!\!R^m \to \overline{I\!\!R}$  by

$$f_0(\xi, x) = \begin{cases} cx + \Phi(q(\xi), h(\xi) - T(\xi)x), \\ h(\xi) - T(\xi)x \in \text{pos } W, q(\xi) \in D, \\ +\infty, \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$pos W := \{Wy : y \in \mathbb{R}^{\overline{m}}\},\$$

$$D := \{u \in \mathbb{R}^{\overline{m}} : \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{r} : W'z \leq u\} \neq \emptyset\}$$

$$\Phi(u,t) := \inf\{uy : Wy = t, y > 0\} ((u,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{\overline{m}} \times \mathbb{R}^{r}),\$$

the equivalent minimization problem takes the form

$$\min\{\int_{\Xi} f_0(\xi, x) \mu(d\xi) : x \in X\}.$$
 (2)

# **Assumptions:**

(A1) There holds  $h(\xi) - T(\xi)x \in \text{pos}W$  and  $q(\xi) \in D$  for each pair  $(\xi, x) \in \Xi \times X$  (relatively complete recourse and dual feasibility).

(A2)  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\Xi)$  has a finite second order moment.

#### Theorem 3:

Let (A1) and (A2) be satisfied and let  $S(\mu)$  be nonempty and  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open, bounded neighbourhood of  $S(\mu)$ .

Then there exist constants  $L, \overline{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

$$|v(\mu) - v(\nu)| \leq L\zeta_2(\mu, \nu)$$
  
$$\emptyset \neq S(\nu) \subseteq S(\mu) + \Psi(L\zeta_2(\mu, \nu))\mathbb{B}$$

whenever  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\Xi)$  and  $\zeta_2(\mu, \nu) < \overline{\varepsilon}$ , where  $\Psi$  is defined as in Theorem 2.

Furthermore, it holds for any  $\varepsilon \in (0, \overline{\varepsilon})$ 

$$D_H(S_{\varepsilon}(\mu), S_{\varepsilon}(\nu)) \leq rac{L}{\varepsilon} \zeta_2(\mu, 
u)$$

whenever  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\Xi), \, \zeta_2(\mu, \nu) < \varepsilon$ .

#### Chance constrained stochastic programs

 $\min\{cx: x \in X, \, \mu(\{\xi \in \Xi: T(\xi)x \ge h(\xi)\}) \ge p\}$ 

where  $c \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , X is a polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $\Xi$  a polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^s$ ,  $p \in (0,1)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\Xi)$ , and  $h(\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^r$  and the (r,m)matrix  $T(\xi)$  depend affine linearly on  $\xi \in \Xi$ . We set d = 1,  $f_0(\xi, x) = cx$ ,  $f_1(x,\xi) = p - \chi_{H(x)}(\xi)$ , where  $H(x) = \{\xi \in \Xi : T(\xi)x \ge h(\xi)\}$ , and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}(\Xi) &= \mathcal{P}(\Xi) \,, \\ d_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}(\mu,\nu) &= \sup_{x \in X \cap cl \, \mathcal{U}} |\mu(H(x)) - \nu(H(x))| \; (\mu, \, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Xi)) \end{aligned}$$

The sets H(x) are polyhedra with a uniformly bounded number of faces. Canonical metric:

$$d_{ph,k}(\mu,\nu) := \sup\{|\mu(P) - \nu(P)| : P \text{ polyhedron} \\ \text{with at most } k \text{ faces}\}$$

#### Theorem 4:

а

Let  $S(\mu)$  be nonempty and  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  be an open bounded neighbourhood of  $S(\mu)$ , and  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\Xi)$ . Let the function  $(x, y) \mapsto d(x, M_y(\mu))$  be locally Lipschitz continuous at each  $(\bar{x}, 0)$ ,  $\bar{x} \in S(\mu)$ . Then there exist constants L > 0,  $\delta > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |v(\mu) - v_{\mathcal{U}}(\nu)| &\leq L d_{ph,k}(\mu,\nu) \\ \emptyset \neq S_{\mathcal{U}}(\nu) &\subseteq S(\mu) + \Psi(Ld_{ph,k}(\mu,\nu)) I\!B \\ \text{nd } S_{\mathcal{U}}(\nu) \text{ is a CLM set w.r.t. } \mathcal{U} \text{ whenever } \nu \end{aligned}$$

 $\in$ 

 $\mathcal{P}(\Xi)$  and  $d_{ph,k}(\mu,\nu) < \delta$ . Here,  $\Psi$  is defined as in Theorem 1.

#### **Empirical Approximations**

Let  $\xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_n, ...$  be i.i.d. random vectors in  $I\!\!R^s$  (on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, I\!\!P)$ ) with common probability distribution  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F},\mathcal{U}}$ . We consider the empirical measures  $\mu_n(.) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\xi_i(.)}$   $(n \in I\!\!N)$  and the empirical approximations of (1)

$$\min\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f_{0}(\xi_{i}(\cdot),x):x\in X,\\ \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}f_{j}(\xi_{i}(\cdot),x)\leq 0, j=1,\ldots,d\}.$$

Then  $v_{\mathcal{U}}(\mu_n(\cdot))$  and  $S_{\mathcal{U}}(\mu_n(\cdot))$  are measurable.

A class  $\mathcal{F}$  is called permissible if the mappings  $d_{\mathcal{F}}(\mu, \mu_n(.))$  from  $\Omega$  to  $I\!R$  are measurable.  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a  $\mu$ -Glivenko-Cantelli class if  $I\!P - \lim_{n \to \infty} d_{\mathcal{F}}(\mu, \mu_n(\cdot)) = 0.$ 

Ky Fan metric in  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R})$ :  $\kappa(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) := \inf\{\eta \ge 0 : \mathbb{P}(|\mathcal{X} - \mathcal{Y}| > \eta) \le \eta\}.$ 

#### Theorem 5:

Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be satisfied and  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}$  be permissible for  $\mu$ . Then it holds each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

 $\begin{aligned} &\kappa(v(\mu), v_{\mathcal{U}}(\mu_n(\cdot))) &\leq \max\{1, L\}\kappa(d_{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U}}(\mu_n(\cdot), \mu), 0) \\ &\kappa(\sup_{x \in S_{\mathcal{U}}(\mu_n(\cdot))} d(x, S(\mu)), 0) &\leq \Psi(\kappa(d_{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U}}(\mu_n(\cdot), \mu), 0)), \end{aligned}$ 

where L > 0 and  $\Psi$  are as in Theorem 1. Moreover, for  $I\!P$ -almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$  the set  $S_{\mathcal{U}}(\mu_n(\omega))$  is a CLM set of (1) w.r.t.  $\mathcal{U}$  for sufficiently large  $n \in I\!N$ .

Whether (a rate of) convergence of  $(d_{\mathcal{F}}(\mu_n(\cdot),\mu))$  is available, depends on the size of the class  $\mathcal{F}$  measured in terms of covering or bracketing numbers.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a subset of the normed space  $L_p(\Xi,\mu)$  (for some  $p \ge 1$ ) equipped with the usual norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . The covering number  $N(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_p(\Xi, \mu))$  is the minimal number of open balls  $\{g \in L_p(\Xi, \mu) : \|g - f\|_p < \varepsilon\}$  needed to cover  $\mathcal{F}$ . Given two functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  from  $L_p(\Xi, \mu)$ , the set  $[f_1, f_2] := \{f \in L_p(\Xi, \mu) : f_1(\xi) \le f(\xi) \le f_2(\xi) \text{ for } \mu$ -almost all  $\xi \in \Xi\}$  is called an  $\varepsilon$ -bracket if  $\|f_1 - f_2\|_p < \varepsilon$ . Then the bracketing number  $N_{[]}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_p(\Xi, \mu))$  is the minimal number of  $\varepsilon$ -brackets needed to cover  $\mathcal{F}$ . A class  $\mathcal{F} \subset L_1(\Xi, \mu)$  is a  $\mu$ -Glivenko-Cantelli class if  $N_{[]}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}, L_1(\Xi, \mu)) < \infty$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

# Theorem 6:

Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be satisfied and  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}$  be uniformly bounded and permissible for  $\mu$ . Assume that either of the following conditions holds for some constants  $r \ge 1$ ,  $R \ge 1$ and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ :

(i)  $N(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}, L_2(\Xi, \nu)) \leq (\frac{R}{\varepsilon})^r$  for any discrete  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\Xi)$  with finite support,

(ii)  $N_{[]}(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}}, L_2(\Xi, \mu)) \leq (\frac{R}{\varepsilon})^r$ .

Then the following rates of convergence

 $\kappa(v(\mu), v_{\mathcal{U}}(\mu_n(\cdot))) = O((\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ 

 $\kappa(\sup_{x \in S_{\mathcal{U}}(\mu_{n}(\cdot))} d(x, S(\mu)), 0) = O(\Psi((\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}}))$ 

are valid, where  $\Psi$  is as in Theorem 1.

#### **Examples:**

The class  $\mathcal{F}_{ph,k} := \{\chi_P : P \text{ polyhedron with at most } k \text{ faces} \}$  satisfies (i) of Theorem 6.

The class  $\mathcal{F}_{lts} := \{f_0(\cdot, x) : f_0 \text{ is defined as for two-stage models satisfying (A1), x \in X \cap cl \mathcal{U}\}$  satisfies the property

$$N_{[]}(\varepsilon K_p, \mathcal{F}_{lts}, L_p(\Xi, \mu)) \leq C \varepsilon^{-m},$$

for each  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ,  $p \ge 1$ , some C > 0 depending only on m and the diameter of  $X \cap cl \mathcal{U}$  and some  $K_p > 0$  depending on the 2p-th order moment of  $\mu$ .

Hence, (ii) is satisfied if  $\int_{\Xi} ||\xi||^4 \mu(d\xi) < \infty$  and Theorem 6 applies if  $\Xi$  is bounded.