### Are (continuous) two-stage stochastic programs solvable?

W. Römisch

Humboldt-University Berlin Institute of Mathematics

www.math.hu-berlin.de/~romisch



ICSP 2016, Buzios (Brazil), Round-table, June 29, 2016

### Introduction

- It was recently proved that even the approximate solution of linear two-stage stochastic programs with fixed recourse for a sufficiently high accuracy is NP-hard (Hanasusanto-Kuhn-Wiesemann 15).
- Computational methods for solving stochastic programs require a discretization of the underlying probability distribution induced by a numerical integration scheme for computing expectations.
- Standard approach: Variants of Monte Carlo (MC) methods. However, MC methods are extremely slow and may require enormous sample sizes.
- On the other hand, it is known that numerical integration is strongly polynomially tractable for integrands belonging to weighted tensor product mixed Sobolev spaces if the weights satisfy certain condition (Sloan-Woźniakowski 98).
- Moreover, the optimal order of convergence of numerical integration in such spaces can essentially be achieved by certain randomized Quasi-Monte Carlo methods (Sloan-Kuo-Joe 02, Kuo 03).
- Typical integrands in two-stage stochastic programming can be approximated by functions from mixed Sobolev spaces if their effective dimension is low.

### Complexity of two-stage stochastic programs

The two papers Dyer-Stougie 06, Hanasusanto-Kuhn-Wiesemann 15 consider the following second-stage optimal value function

$$Q(\xi;\alpha,\beta) = \max\left\{\xi^{\top}y - \beta z : y \le \alpha z, \ y \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \ z \in [0,1]\right\} = \max\{\xi^{\top}\alpha - \beta, 0\}$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d_+$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$  are parameters and the random vector  $\xi$  is uniformly distributed in  $[0, 1]^d$ . Then the expected recourse function is of the form

$$Q(\alpha,\beta) = \mathbb{E}[Q(\xi;\alpha,\beta)] = \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{\top}e - \beta + \int_{0}^{\beta} \operatorname{Vol} P(\alpha,t)dt,$$

where  $P(\alpha, \beta) = \{z \in [0, 1]^d : \alpha^{\top} z \leq \beta\}$  is the knapsack polytope and  $e = (1, \dots, 1)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Theorem:** (Hanasusanto-Kuhn-Wiesemann 15)

For any pair  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+$  there exists  $\varepsilon(d, \alpha)$  such that the computation of  $Q(\alpha, \beta)$  within an absolute accuracy of  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon(d, \alpha)$  is NP-hard.

Note that for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  the constant  $\varepsilon(d, \alpha)$  tends to 0 exponentially with respect to the dimension d.

Note also that the function

$$f(\xi) = \max\{\xi^{\top}\alpha - \beta, 0\} \ (\xi \in [0, 1]^d)$$

is not of bounded variation in the sense of Hardy and Krause if d > 2 (Owen 05) and does not belong to mixed Sobolev spaces on  $[0, 1]^d$ .

But, both properties are particularly relevant for the application of Quasi-Monte Carlo methods for numerical integration.

For general linear two-stage stochastic programs, the second-stage optimal value function is of the form  $\Phi(q(\xi), h(\xi) - T(\xi)x)$ , where

$$\Phi(u,t) = \inf\{u^\top y : Wy = t, \ y \in Y\} \quad ((u,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{\overline{m}} \times \mathbb{R}^s),$$

with first-stage decision  $x \in X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $s \times \overline{m}$  recourse matrix W, polyhedral cone Y,  $s \times m$ -matrix  $T(\cdot)$ ,  $q(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^{\overline{m}}$ ,  $h(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^s$  being affine functions and d-dimensional random vector  $\xi$  with support  $\Xi$ . If the condition **(A1)** relatively complete recourse and dual feasibility is satisfied, the second-stage optimal value function is continuous and piecewise linear-quadratic on  $\Xi$  and it holds

$$\Phi(q(\xi), h(\xi) - T(\xi)x) = \max_{j=1,\dots,\ell} (C_j q(\xi))^\top (h(\xi) - T(\xi)x) \quad ((x,\xi) \in X \times \Xi).$$

### **Complexity of numerical integration**

We consider the approximate computation of

$$I_d(f) = \int_{[0,1]^d} f(\xi) d\xi$$

by a linear numerical integration or quadrature method of the form

$$Q_n(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(\xi^i)$$

with points  $\xi^i \in [0, 1]^d$  and weights  $w_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , i = 1, ..., n. We assume that f belongs to a linear normed space  $\mathbb{F}_d$  of functions on  $[0, 1]^d$ with norm  $\|\cdot\|_d$  and unit ball  $\mathbb{B}_d = \{f \in \mathbb{F}_d : \|f\|_d \leq 1\}$  such that  $I_d$  and  $Q_n$ are linear bounded functionals on  $\mathbb{F}_d$ .

Worst-case error of  $Q_n$  over  $\mathbb{B}_d$  and optimal error are given by:

$$e(Q_n) = \sup_{f \in \mathbb{B}_d} |I_d(f) - Q_n(f)|$$
$$e(n, \mathbb{B}_d) = \inf_{Q_n} e(Q_n).$$

(Novak 14)

It is known that due to the convexity and symmetry of  $\mathbb{B}_d$  linear algorithms are optimal among nonlinear and adaptive ones (Bakhvalov 71, Novak 88).

The information complexity  $n(\varepsilon, \mathbb{B}_d)$  is the minimal number of function values which is needed that the worst-case error is at most  $\varepsilon$ , i.e.,

 $n(\varepsilon, \mathbb{B}_d) = \min\{n : \exists Q_n \text{ such that } e(Q_n) \le \varepsilon\}$ 

Of course, the behavior of  $n(\varepsilon, \mathbb{B}_d)$  as function of  $(\varepsilon, d)$  depends heavily on  $\mathbb{F}_d$ .

Numerical integration is said to

be polynomially tractable if there exist constants C > 0  $q \ge 0$ , p > 0 such that

 $n(\varepsilon, \mathbb{B}_d) \leq C d^q \varepsilon^{-p},$ 

be strongly polynomially tractable if there exist constants  ${\cal C}>0 \mbox{, } p>0$  such that

 $n(\varepsilon, \mathbb{B}_d) \leq C\varepsilon^{-p},$ 

have the curse of dimension if there exist c > 0,  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$  such that

 $n(\varepsilon, \mathbb{B}_d) \ge c(1+\gamma)^d$  for all  $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$  and for infinitely many  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### Randomized algorithms:

A randomized quadrature algorithm is denoted by  $(Q(\omega))_{\omega \in \Omega}$  and considered on a probabability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . We assume that  $Q(\omega)$  is a quadrature algorithm for each  $\omega$  and that it depends on  $\omega$  in a measurable way. Let  $n(f, \omega)$  denote the number of evaluations of  $f \in \mathbb{F}_d$  needed to perform  $Q(\omega)f$ . The number

$$n(Q) = \sup_{f \in \mathbb{B}_d} \int_{\Omega} n(f, \omega) \mathbb{P}(d\omega)$$

is called the cardinality of the randomized algorithm  ${\boldsymbol{Q}}$  and

$$e^{\operatorname{ran}}(Q) = \sup_{f \in \mathbb{B}_d} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|I_d f - Q(\omega)f\|^2 \mathbb{P}(d\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

the error of Q. The minimal error of randomized algorithms is  $e^{\operatorname{ran}}(n, \mathbb{B}_d) = \inf\{e^{\operatorname{ran}}(Q) : n(Q) \le n\}.$ 

By construction it is clear that  $e^{\operatorname{ran}}(n, \mathbb{B}_d) \leq e(n, \mathbb{B}_d)$  holds.

Standard Monte Carlo (MC) method Q based on n i.i.d. samples: (Mathé 95)  $e^{\rm ran}(Q)=(1+\sqrt{n})^{-1}\leq n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ 

if  $\mathbb{B}_d$  is the unit ball of  $\mathbb{F}_d = L_p([0,1]^d)$  for  $2 \leq p < \infty$ .

### Example:

Consider the Banach space  $\mathbb{F}_d = C^r([0,1]^d)$   $(r \in \mathbb{N})$  with the norm

$$\|f\|_{r,d} = \max_{|\alpha| \le r} \|D^{\alpha}f\|_{\infty},$$

where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  and  $D^{\alpha}f$  denotes the mixed partial derivative of order  $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i$ , i.e.,

$$D^{\alpha}f(\xi) = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}f}{\partial \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial \xi_d^{\alpha_d}}(\xi) \,.$$

It is long known (Bakhvalov 59) that there exist constants  $C_{r,d}$ ,  $c_{r,d} > 0$  such that

$$c_{r,d} n^{-\frac{r}{d}} \le e(n, \mathbb{B}_d) \le C_{r,d} n^{-\frac{r}{d}}.$$

But, surprisingly it was shown only recently that the numerical integration on  $C^r([0,1]^d)$  suffers from the curse of dimension (Hinrichs-Novak-Ullrich-Woźniakowski 14).

For the tensor product mixed Sobolev space

 $W_{2,\min}^{(r,\dots,r)}([0,1]^d) = \{f: [0,1]^d \to \mathbb{R} : D^{\alpha}f \in L_2([0,1]^d) \text{ if } \|\alpha\|_{\infty} \le r\}$ 

it is known that  $e(n, \mathbb{B}_d) = O(n^{-r}(\log n)^{\frac{(d-1)}{2}})$  (Frolov 76, Bykovskii 85).

We consider the linear space  $W_{2,\gamma}^1([0,1])$  of all absolutely continuous functions on [0,1] with derivatives belonging to  $L_2([0,1])$  and the weighted inner product

$$\langle f,g \rangle_{\gamma} = \int_0^1 f(x) dx \int_0^1 g(x) dx + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_0^1 f'(x) g'(x) dx.$$

Then the weighted tensor product mixed Sobolev space

$$W_{2,\gamma,\min}^{(1,\dots,1)}([0,1]^d) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^d W_{2,\gamma_i}^1([0,1])$$

is equipped with the inner product

$$\langle g, \tilde{g} \rangle_{\gamma} = \sum_{u \subseteq \mathfrak{D}} \gamma_u^{-1} \int_{[0,1]^{|u|}} \Big( \int_{[0,1]^{d-|u|}} \frac{\partial^{|u|}}{\partial t^u} g(t) dt^{-u} \Big) \Big( \int_{[0,1]^{d-|u|}} \frac{\partial^{|u|}}{\partial t^u} \tilde{g}(t) dt^{-u} \Big) dt^u \,,$$

where  $\mathfrak{D} = \{1, \ldots, d\}$ , the weights  $\gamma_i$  are positive and  $\gamma_u$  is given in product form  $\gamma_u = \prod_{i \in u} \gamma_i$ for  $u \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$ , where  $\gamma_{\emptyset} = 1$ . For  $u \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$  we use the notation |u| for its cardinality, -u for  $\mathfrak{D} \setminus u$ and  $t^u$  for the |u|-dimensional vector with components  $t_j$  for  $j \in u$ .

**Theorem:** (Sloan-Woźniakowski 98, Sloan-Wang-Woźniakowski 04) Numerical integration is strongly polynomially tractable on  $W_{2,\gamma,\min}^{(1,...,1)}([0,1]^d)$  if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j < \infty$$

### Randomly shifted lattice rules

We consider the randomized Quasi-Monte Carlo method

$$Q_n(\omega)(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f\left(\left\{\frac{(i-1)}{n}g + \Delta(\omega)\right\}\right),$$

where  $\triangle$  is a random vector with uniform distribution on  $[0,1]^d$ .

#### Theorem:

Let n be prime,  $\mathbb{B}_d$  be the unit ball in  $\mathcal{W}_{2,\gamma,\min}^{(1,\dots,1)}([0,1]^d)$ . Then  $g \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  can be constructed component-by-component such that for any  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  there exists a constant  $C(\delta) > 0$  and the randomized minimal error allows the estimate

$$e^{\operatorname{ran}}(Q_n, \mathbb{B}_d) \le C(\delta) \, n^{-1+\delta} \,$$

where the constant  $C(\delta)$  increases when  $\delta$  decreases, but does not depend on the dimension d if the sequence  $(\gamma_j)$  satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j^{\frac{1}{2(1-\delta)}} < \infty \qquad (\mathsf{e.g.} \ \gamma_j = \frac{1}{j^3}).$$

(Sloan-Kuo-Joe 02, Kuo 03, Nuyens-Cools 06)

### **ANOVA** decomposition and effective dimension

We consider a multivariate function  $f:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$  and intend to compute the mean of  $f(\xi),$  i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\xi)] = I_{d,\rho}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \rho(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_d ,$$

where  $\xi$  is a *d*-dimensional random vector with density

$$\rho(\xi) = \prod_{k=1}^d \rho_k(\xi_k) \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d).$$

We are interested in a representation of f consisting of  $2^d$  terms

$$f(\xi) = f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(\xi_i) + \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\i < j}}^d f_{ij}(\xi_i, \xi_j) + \dots + f_{12\cdots d}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d).$$

The previous representation can be more compactly written as

$$(*) \qquad f(\xi) = \sum_{u \subseteq \mathfrak{D}} f_u(\xi^u) \,,$$

where  $\mathfrak{D} = \{1, \ldots, d\}$  and  $\xi^u$  contains only the components  $\xi_j$  with  $j \in u$  and belongs to  $\mathbb{R}^{|u|}$ . Here, |u| denotes the cardinality of u.

Next we make use of the space  $L_{2,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of all square integrable functions with inner product

$$\langle f, \tilde{f} \rangle_{
ho} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\xi) \tilde{f}(\xi) \rho(\xi) d\xi$$

A representation of the form (\*) of  $f \in L_{2,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is called ANOVA decomposition of f if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_u(\xi^u) \rho_k(\xi_k) d\xi_k = 0 \quad (\text{for all } k \in u \text{ and } u \subseteq \mathfrak{D}).$$

The ANOVA terms  $f_u$ ,  $\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$ , are orthogonal in  $L_{2,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , i.e.

$$\langle f_u, f_v \rangle_{\rho} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_u(\xi) f_v(\xi) \rho(\xi) d\xi = 0$$
 if and only if  $u \neq v$ ,

The ANOVA terms  $f_u$  allow a representation in terms of (so-called) (ANOVA) projections, i.e.

$$(P_k f)(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, s, \xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_d) \rho_k(s) ds \ (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d; k \in \mathfrak{D}).$$

and

$$P_u f = \left(\prod_{k \in u} P_k\right)(f) \quad (u \subseteq \mathfrak{D})$$

Then it holds (Kuo-Sloan-Wasilkowski-Woźniakowski 10):

$$f_{u} = \left(\prod_{j \in u} (I - P_{j})\right) P_{-u}(f) = P_{-u}(f) + \sum_{v \subsetneq u} (-1)^{|u| - |v|} P_{-v}(f) ,$$

Consider the variances of f and  $f_u$ 

$$\sigma^2(f) = \|f - I_{d,
ho}(f)\|_{2,
ho}^2$$
 und  $\sigma^2_u(f) = \|f_u\|_{2,
ho}^2$ 

and obtain

$$\sigma^{2}(f) = \|f\|_{L_{2}}^{2} - (I_{d,\rho}(f))^{2} = \sum_{\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \mathfrak{D}} \sigma_{u}^{2}(f) \,.$$

For small  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$  (e.g.  $\varepsilon = 0.01$ )

$$d_S(\varepsilon) = \min\left\{s \in \mathfrak{D} : \sum_{|u| \le s} \frac{\sigma_u^2(f)}{\sigma^2(f)} \ge 1 - \varepsilon\right\}$$

is called effective (superposition) dimension of f and it holds

(+) 
$$\left\| f - \sum_{|u| \le d_S(\varepsilon)} f_u \right\|_{2,\rho} \le \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sigma(f) ,$$

i.e., the function f is approximated by a truncated ANOVA decomposition which contains all ANOVA terms  $f_u$  such that  $|u| \leq d_S(\varepsilon)$ . If f is nonsmooth and the ANOVA terms  $f_u$ ,  $|u| \leq d_S(\varepsilon)$ , are smoother than f, the estimate (+) means an approximate smoothing of f.

### **ANOVA** decomposition of two-stage integrands

# Assumptions: (A1) and

- (A2) P has fourth order absolute moments.
- (A3) P has a density of the form  $\rho(\xi) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \rho_i(\xi_i)$  ( $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ) with continuous marginal densities  $\rho_i$ ,  $i \in \mathfrak{D}$ .
- (A4) For each  $x \in X$  all common faces of the adjacent convex polyhedral sets

 $\Xi_j(x) = \{\xi \in \Xi : (q(\xi), h(\xi) - T(\xi)x) \in \mathcal{K}_j\} \quad (j = 1, \dots, \ell)$ 

do not parallel any coordinate axis, where the polyhedral cones  $\mathcal{K}_j$ ,  $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$ , represent a partition of dom  $\Phi$  (geometric condition).

**Theorem:** Let  $x \in X$ , assume (A1)–(A4) and  $f = f(x, \cdot)$  be the two-stage integrand. Then the second order truncated ANOVA decomposition of f

$$f^{(2)} := \sum_{|u| \le 2} f_u$$
 where  $f = f^{(2)} + \sum_{|u|=3}^u f_u$ 

belongs to  $W_{2,\rho,\text{mix}}^{(1,\dots,1)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  if all marginal densities  $\rho_k$ ,  $k \in \mathfrak{D}$ , belong to  $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ . **Remark:** The second order truncated ANOVA decomposition  $f^{(2)}$  is a good approximation of f if the effective superposition dimension  $d_S(\varepsilon)$  is at most 2.

## Conclusions

- The approximate solution of linear two-stage stochastic programs with fixed recourse for a sufficiently high accuracy is NP-hard.
- The numerical integration on weighted tensor product mixed Sobolev spaces on  $[0, 1]^d$  is strongly polynomially tractable if the weights satisfy a suitable condition.
- Randomly shifted lattice rules attain the optimal order of convergence on such spaces if the weights satisfy a slightly stronger condition. Hence, such methods are superior to Monte Carlo methods and reduce the sample sizes from n to almost √n.
- The second order ANOVA decomposition of two-stage integrands belongs to a mixed Sobolev space on R<sup>d</sup> if the marginal densities are in C<sup>1</sup> and represent a good L<sub>2,ρ</sub>(R<sup>d</sup>) approximation if the effective superposition dimension d<sub>S</sub>(ε) of the integrands is at most two. It is conjectured that this result extends to higher effective dimensions.
- Unfortunately, mixed Sobolev spaces on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  are in general not of tensor product type. Sufficient conditions have to be studied!

#### **References:**

M. Dyer and L. Stougie: Computational complexity of stochastic programming problems, *Mathematical Pro*gramming 106 (2006), 423–432.

J. Dick, F. Y. Kuo and I. H. Sloan: High-dimensional integration – the Quasi-Monte Carlo way, *Acta Numerica* 22 (2013), 133–288.

J. Dick, F. Pillichshammer: Digital Nets and Sequences, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2010.

G. A. Hanasusanto, D. Kuhn and W. Wiesemann: A comment on "computational complexity of stochastic programming problems", *Mathematical Programming* (to appear).

A. Hinrichs, E. Novak, M. Ullrich and H. Woźniakowski: The curse of dimensionality for numerical integration of smooth functions, *Mathematics of Computation* 83 (2014), 2853–2863.

F. Y. Kuo: Component-by-component constructions achieve the optimal rate of convergence in weighted Ko-robov and Sobolev spaces, *Journal of Complexity* 19 (2003), 301–320.

F. Y. Kuo, I. H. Sloan, G. W. Wasilkowski, H. Woźniakowski: On decomposition of multivariate functions, *Mathematics of Computation* 79 (2010), 953–966.

H. Leövey and W. Römisch: Quasi-Monte Carlo methods for linear two-stage stochastic programming problems, *Mathematical Programming* 151 (2015), 315–345.

E. Novak: Some results on the complexity of numerical integration, arXiv:1409.6714v1 [math.NA], 2014.

A. B. Owen: The dimension distribution and quadrature test functions, Statistica Sinica 13 (2003), 1-17.

I. H. Sloan and H. Woźniakowski: When are Quasi Monte Carlo algorithms efficient for high-dimensional integration, *Journal of Complexity* 14 (1998), 1–33.

I. H. Sloan, F. Y. Kuo and S. Joe: Constructing randomly shifted lattice rules in weighted Sobolev spaces, *SIAM Journal Numerical Analysis* 40 (2002), 1650–1665.