



Problem Set 9

To be discussed: 13.07.2022

Notation:

For the first two problems, assume V is an n -dimensional vector space equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, which is used in the definition of the Clifford algebra $\text{Cl}(V)$ and spin group $\text{Spin}(V) \subset \text{Cl}(V)$. We denote by $\text{SO}(V)$ the group of orientation-preserving linear maps $A : V \rightarrow V$ that satisfy $\langle Av, Aw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all $v, w \in V$.

Problem 1

For any codimension 1 subspace $H \subset V$ on which the restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is nondegenerate, one can define the *reflection about H* as the unique linear map $V \rightarrow V$ that fixes every point in H but sends $v \mapsto -v$ for all $v \in H^\perp$. (Note that this definition does not make sense if $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_H$ is degenerate, because H^\perp is then contained in H ; see Lemma 24.7 in the notes from the first semester.)

- (a) For $x \in V$ with $\langle x, x \rangle = \pm 1$, show that the reflection $V \rightarrow V$ about $x^\perp \subset V$ is given by $v \mapsto -xvx^{-1}$.
- (b) Deduce that for each $x \in \text{Spin}(V)$, the transformation $\text{Ad}_x : \text{Cl}(V) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(V) : y \mapsto xyx^{-1}$ preserves the subspace $V \subset \text{Cl}(V)$ and acts on it by orientation-preserving orthogonal transformations, defining a group homomorphism $\Phi : \text{Spin}(V) \rightarrow \text{SO}(V)$.

Problem 2

Given an orthonormal basis $e_1, \dots, e_n \in V$, let $\mathfrak{spin}(V) \subset \text{Cl}(V)$ denote the vector space spanned by all products of the form $e_i e_j$ for $i \neq j$. Prove:

- (a) $\mathfrak{spin}(V) \subset \text{Cl}(V)$ does not depend on the choice of orthonormal basis $e_1, \dots, e_n \in V$.
- (b) $\mathfrak{spin}(V)$ is a Lie algebra with respect to the commutator bracket $[x, y] := xy - yx$.
- (c) For any $v, w \in V$ satisfying $\langle v, v \rangle = \pm 1$, $\langle w, w \rangle = \pm 1$ and $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$, we have $vw \in \mathfrak{spin}(V)$ and $e^{\frac{1}{2}tvw} \in \text{Spin}(V)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where for $x \in \text{Cl}(V)$, we define $e^x := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!} \in \text{Cl}(V)$.

In the following, $\Phi : \text{Spin}(V) \rightarrow \text{SO}(V)$ is the homomorphism from Problem 1(b).

- (d) Under the assumptions of part (c), can you give a geometric interpretation to the family of transformations $\Phi(e^{\frac{1}{2}tvw}) \in \text{SO}(V)$?
Hint: Evaluate $\Phi(e^{\frac{1}{2}tvw})$ on v and w and on an arbitrary vector orthogonal to both.
- (e) Construct a smooth map $\varphi : \mathfrak{spin}(V) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(V)$ whose derivative at $0 \in \mathfrak{spin}(V)$ is the inclusion $\mathfrak{spin}(V) \hookrightarrow \text{Cl}(V)$, such that the image of φ is in $\text{Spin}(V)$ and the derivative of $\Phi \circ \varphi : \mathfrak{spin}(V) \rightarrow \text{SO}(V)$ at 0 is a Lie algebra isomorphism $\mathfrak{spin}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(V)$.
Hint: Using the orthonormal basis $e_1, \dots, e_n \in V$, first define $\varphi(te_i e_j)$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $i \neq j$, then extend it to the rest of $\mathfrak{spin}(V)$ in whatever way is convenient.

Comment: If you find this problem intimidating, try attacking a special case such as $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ or \mathbb{R}^3 with the Euclidean inner product. As outlined in the notes, one can combine the result with an algebraic computation of $\ker \Phi$ to prove that $\text{Spin}(V)$ is a Lie group

and $\Phi : \text{Spin}(V) \rightarrow \text{SO}(V)$ is a covering map of degree 2.

Problem 3

Let $\sigma_i \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ denote the Pauli matrices defined in §39.2 of the lecture notes, and let $\sigma_0 = \mathbf{1}$. These four matrices form a basis of the real 4-dimensional vector space $H \subset \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ consisting of all Hermitian 2-by-2 matrices. Show that if \mathbb{R}^4 is identified with H in this way, then the $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -action on \mathbb{R}^4 defined by

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} := \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A}^\dagger \quad \text{for } \mathbf{A} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \text{ and } \mathbf{B} \in H$$

defines a Lie group homomorphism $\Phi : \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{O}(1, 3) \subset \text{GL}(4, \mathbb{R})$ with $\ker \Phi = \{\pm \mathbf{1}\}$. What does this tell you about the relationship between the groups $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $\text{Spin}(1, 3)$? (*Caution: $\text{SO}(1, 3)$ is not connected!*)

Hint: What is the determinant of a real-linear combination of the σ_μ for $\mu = 0, \dots, 3$?

Problem 4

Since $\text{U}(1)$ and $\text{SO}(2)$ are naturally isomorphic, the tautological complex line bundle $E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ with its standard bundle metric can also be viewed as an $\text{SO}(2)$ -bundle, meaning an oriented Euclidean vector bundle of rank 2. Show that this bundle does not admit a spin structure. You may use as a black box the following standard fact from covering space theory: if M is simply connected, then every covering map $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is a homeomorphism.

Problem 5

For $n \geq 2$, $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ is a simply connected $2n$ -manifold that is not homeomorphic to S^{2n} or \mathbb{R}^{2n} , so by a theorem proved in lecture, it cannot admit any Riemannian metric with constant sectional curvature. Prove however that it does admit a metric that is homogeneous and isotropic.

Problem 6

A *Riemannian symmetric space* is a Riemannian manifold (M, g) such that for every point $p \in M$, there exists an isometry $\psi \in \text{Isom}(M, g)$ with $\psi(p) = p$ and $T_p\psi = -\mathbf{1}$. (Note that unlike the notion of *locally* symmetric Riemannian manifolds we defined in lecture, the isometry ψ is required to be defined globally.) Prove that every Riemannian symmetric space is homogeneous.

Problem 7

Find an explicit example of a closed Riemannian manifold that is homogeneous but not isotropic.

Problem 8

In lecture we proved that every simply connected and complete Riemannian manifold (M, g) with constant positive sectional curvature $K_S = 1/R^2$ is isometric to the sphere S_R^n of radius R in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Prove that the same conclusion holds if instead of assuming (M, g) is complete, we assume there exists a point $p \in M$ at which the exponential map \exp_p is well defined on a ball $B_r(0) \subset T_p M$ of some radius $r > \pi R$ about the origin.

Problem 9

Suppose (M, g) is a connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function such that the sectional curvature satisfies $K_S(P) = f(p)$ for all $P \subset T_p M$, $p \in M$. Prove that K_S is then constant. (Is this true for $n = 2$?)

Hint: Prove that g is an Einstein metric.