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# THE UNIVERSAL ABELIAN VARIETY OVER $\mathscr{C}_{5}$ 

## By Gavril FARKAS and Alessandro VERRA


#### Abstract

We establish a structure result for the universal abelian variety over $\mathscr{U}_{5}$. This implies that the boundary divisor of $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$ is unirational and leads to a lower bound on the slope of the cone of effective divisors on $\overline{\mathscr{C}}_{6}$.


RÉSumé. - On établit un théorème de structure pour la variété abélienne universelle sur $\mathscr{Q}_{5}$. Le résultat entraîne que le diviseur de la frontière de $\overline{\mathscr{C}}_{6}$ est unirationnel et ceci donne lieu à une borne inférieure pour la pente du cône des diviseurs effectifs en $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$.

The general principally polarized abelian variety $[A, \Theta] \in \mathscr{Q}_{g}$ of dimension $g \leq 5$ can be realized as a Prym variety. Abelian varieties of small dimension can be studied in this way via the rich and concrete theory of curves, in particular, one can establish that $\mathscr{C}_{g}$ is unirational in this range. In the case $g=5$, the Prym map $P: \mathscr{R}_{6} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}_{5}$ is finite of degree 27, see [7]; three different proofs [6, 17], [22] of the unirationality of $\mathscr{R}_{6}$ are known. The moduli space $\mathscr{G}_{g}$ is of general type for $g \geq 7$, see [12, 18], [21]. Determining the Kodaira dimension of $\mathscr{G}_{6}$ is a notorious open problem.

The aim of this paper is to give a simple unirational parametrization of the universal abelian variety over $\mathscr{Q}_{5}$ and hence of the boundary divisor of a compactification of $\mathscr{Q}_{6}$. We denote by $\phi: \chi_{g-1} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}_{g-1}$ the universal abelian variety of dimension $g-1$ (in the sense of stacks). The moduli space $\widetilde{\mathscr{G}}_{g}$ of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension $g$ and their rank 1 degenerations is a partial compactification of $\mathscr{Q}_{g}$ obtained by blowing up $\mathscr{Q}_{g-1}$ in the Satake compactification, cf. [18]. Its boundary $\partial \widetilde{\mathscr{Q}}_{g}$ is isomorphic to the universal Kummer variety in dimension $g-1$ and there exists a surjective double covering $j: \chi_{g-1} \rightarrow \partial \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{g}$. We establish a simple structure result for the boundary $\partial \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{6}$ :

Theorem 0.1. - The universal abelian variety $\chi_{5}$ is unirational.
This immediately implies that the boundary divisor $\partial \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{6}$ is unirational as well. What we prove is actually stronger than Theorem 0.1. Over the moduli space $\mathcal{R}_{g}$ of smooth Prym curves of genus $g$, we consider the universal Prym variety $\varphi: \mathscr{Y}_{g} \rightarrow \mathscr{R}_{g}$ obtained by pulling back $\chi_{g-1} \rightarrow \mathscr{C}_{g-1}$ via the Prym map $P: \mathscr{R}_{g} \rightarrow \mathscr{\mathscr { G }}_{g-1}$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{g}$ be the moduli space of stable

Prym curves of genus $g$ together with the universal Prym curve $\tilde{\pi}: \widetilde{\mathscr{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{g}$ of genus $2 g-1$. If $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{g-1}:=\widetilde{\mathscr{C}} \times \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{g} \cdots \times_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{g}} \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}$ is the $(g-1)$-fold product, one has a universal Abel-Prym rational map $\mathfrak{a p}: \tilde{\mathscr{C}}^{g-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{g}$, whose restriction on each individual Prym variety is the usual Abel-Prym map. The rational map ap is dominant and generically finite (see Section 4 for details). We prove the following result:

THEOREM 0.2. - The five-fold product $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}$ of the universal Prym curve over $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{6}$ is unirational.

The key idea in the proof of Theorem 0.2 is to view smooth Prym curves of genus 6 as discriminants of conic bundles, via their representation as symmetric determinants of quadratic forms in three variables. We fix four general points $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{4} \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$ and set $w_{i}:=\left(u_{i}, u_{i}\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$. Since the action of the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ on $\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ is 4-transitive, any set of four general points in $\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ can be brought to this form. We then consider the linear system

$$
\mathbf{P}^{15}:=\left|\vartheta_{\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{4}\right\}}^{2}(2,2)\right| \subset\left|\vartheta_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}(2,2)\right|
$$

of hypersurfaces $Q \subset \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ of bidegree $(2,2)$ which are nodal at $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{4}$. For a general threefold $Q \in \mathbf{P}^{15}$, the first projection $p: Q \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ induces a conic bundle structure with a sextic discriminant curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}^{2}$ such that $p(\operatorname{Sing}(Q))=\operatorname{Sing}(\Gamma)$. The discriminant curve $\Gamma$ is nodal precisely at the points $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{4}$. Furthermore, $\Gamma$ is equipped with an unramified double cover $p_{\Gamma}: \widetilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$, parametrizing the lines which are components of the singular fibres of $p: Q \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$. By normalizing, $p_{\Gamma}$ lifts to an unramified double cover $f: \widetilde{C} \rightarrow C$ between the normalization $\widetilde{C}$ of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ and the normalization $C$ of $\Gamma$ respectively. Note that there exists an exact sequence of generalized Prym varieties

$$
0 \longrightarrow\left(\mathbf{C}^{*}\right)^{4} \longrightarrow P(\widetilde{\Gamma} / \Gamma) \longrightarrow P(\widetilde{C} / C) \longrightarrow 0
$$

One can show without much effort that the assignment $\mathbf{P}^{15} \ni Q \mapsto[\widetilde{C} \xrightarrow{f} C] \in \mathcal{R}_{6}$ is dominant. This offers an alternative, much simpler, proof of the unirationality of $\mathscr{R}_{6}$. However, much more can be obtained with this construction.

Let $\mathbf{G}:=\mathbf{P}^{2} \times\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)^{\vee}=\left\{(o, \ell): o \in \mathbf{P}^{2}, \ell \in\{o\} \times\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)^{\vee}\right\}$ be the Hilbert scheme of lines in the fibres of the first projection $p: \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$. Since containing a given line in a fibre of $p$ imposes three linear conditions on the linear system $\mathbf{P}^{15}$ of threefolds $Q \subset \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ as above, it follows that imposing the condition $\left\{o_{i}\right\} \times \ell_{i} \subset Q$ for five general lines, singles out a unique conic bundle $Q \in \mathbf{P}^{15}$. This induces an étale double cover $f: \widetilde{C} \rightarrow C$, as above, over a smooth curve of genus 6 . Moreover, $f$ comes equipped with five marked points $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{5} \in \widetilde{C}$. To summarize, we can define a rational map

$$
\zeta: \mathbf{G}^{5} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}, \quad \zeta\left(\left(o_{1}, \ell_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(o_{5}, \ell_{5}\right)\right):=\left(f: \widetilde{C} \rightarrow C, \ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{5}\right)
$$

between two 20 -dimensional varieties, where $\mathbf{G}^{5}$ denotes the 5 -fold product of $\mathbf{G}$.
THEOREM 0.3. - The morphism $\zeta: \boldsymbol{G}^{5} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}$ is dominant, so that $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}$ is unirational.
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More precisely, we show that $\mathbf{G}^{5}$ is birationally isomorphic to the fibre product $\mathbf{P}^{15} \times \overline{\mathscr{A}}_{6} \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}$. In order to set Theorem 0.3 on the right footing and in view of enumerative calculations, we introduce a $\mathbf{P}^{2}$-bundle $\pi: \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow S$ over the quintic del Pezzo surface $S$ obtained by blowing up $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ at the points $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{4}$. The rank 3 vector bundle $\mathcal{M}$ on $S$ is obtained by making an elementary transformation along the four exceptional divisors $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{4}$ over $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{4}$. The nodal threefolds $Q \subset \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ considered above can be thought of as sections of a tautological linear system on $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M})$ and, via the identification

$$
\left|\mathcal{J}_{\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{4}\right\}}^{2}(2,2)\right|=\left|\Theta_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M})}(2)\right|,
$$

we can view 4-nodal conic bundles in $\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ as smooth conic bundles over $S$. In this way the numerical characters of a pencil of such conic bundles can be computed (see Sections 2 and 3 for details).

Theorem 0.3 is then used to give a lower bound for the slope of the effective cone of $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$ (though we stop short of determining the Kodaira dimension of $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$ ). Recall that if $E$ is an effective divisor on the perfect cone compactification $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{g}$ of $\mathscr{Q}_{g}$ with no component supported on the boundary $D_{g}:=\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{g}-\mathscr{\mathscr { G }}_{g}$ and $[E]=a \lambda_{1}-b\left[D_{g}\right]$, where $\lambda_{1} \in C H^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{G}}_{g}\right)$ is the Hodge class, then the slope of $E$ is defined as $s(E):=\frac{a}{b} \geq 0$. The slope $s\left(\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{g}\right)$ of the effective cone of divisors of $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{g}$ is the infimum of the slopes of all effective divisors on $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{g}$. This important invariant governs to a large extent the birational geometry of $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{g}$; for instance, since $K_{\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{g}}=(g+1) \lambda_{1}-\left[D_{g}\right]$, the variety $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{g}$ is of general type if $s\left(\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{g}\right)<g+1$, and uniruled when $s\left(\overline{\mathscr{Q}}_{g}\right)>g+1$. It is shown in the appendix of [14] that the slope of the moduli space $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{g}$ is independent of the choice of a toroidal compactification.

It is known that $s\left(\overline{\mathscr{C}}_{4}\right)=8$ and that the Jacobian locus $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{4} \subset \overline{\mathscr{G}}_{4}$ achieves the minimal slope [19]; one of the results of [9] is the calculation $s\left(\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{5}\right)=\frac{54}{7}$. Furthermore, the only irreducible effective divisor on $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{5}$ of minimal slope is the closure of the Andreotti-Mayer divisor $N_{0}^{\prime}$ consisting of 5 -dimensional ppav's $[A, \Theta]$ for which the theta divisor $\Theta$ is singular at a point which is not 2 -torsion. Concerning $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$, we establish the following estimate:

Theorem 0.4. - The following lower bound holds: $s\left(\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}\right) \geq \frac{53}{10}$.
Note that this is the first concrete lower bound on the slope of $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$. The idea of proof of Theorem 0.4 is very simple. Since $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}$ is unirational, we choose a suitable sweeping rational curve $i: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}$, which we then push forward to $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$ as follows:


Here $\widetilde{\mathscr{Y}}_{6}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_{5}$ are partial compactifications of $\mathscr{Y}_{6}$ and $\chi_{5}$ respectively which are described in Section 4 , whereas $D_{6}$ is the boundary divisor of $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$. The curve $h\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)$ sweeps the boundary divisor of $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$ and intersects non-negatively any effective divisor on $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$ not containing $D_{6}$. In particular,

$$
s\left(\overline{\mathscr{C}}_{6}\right) \geq \frac{h\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \cdot\left[D_{6}\right]}{h\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \cdot \lambda_{1}} .
$$

To define $i: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}$, we fix general points $\left(o_{1}, \ell_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(o_{4}, \ell_{4}\right) \in \mathbf{G}$ and a further general point $o \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$. Then we consider the image under $\zeta$ of the pencil of lines in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ through $o$, that is, the sweeping curve $i$ is defined as

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(T_{o}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right) \ni \ell \mapsto \zeta\left(\left(o_{1}, \ell_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(o_{4}, \ell_{4}\right),(o, \ell)\right) \in \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}
$$

The calculation of the numerical characters of $h(R) \subset \overline{\mathscr{C}}_{6}$ is a consequence of the geometry of the map $\zeta$ and is completed in Section 4.

We close the Introduction by discussing the structure of the paper. Theorem 0.3 (and hence also Theorems 0.1 and 0.2 ) are proven rather quickly in Section 1. The bulk of the paper is devoted to the explicit description of the numerical characters of a curve that sweeps the boundary divisor of $\overline{\mathscr{C}}_{6}$ and to the proof of Theorem 0.4 . Section 2 concerns enumerative properties of pencils of conic bundles over quintic del Pezzo surfaces. The sweeping curve for the boundary divisor of $\overline{\mathscr{C}}_{6}$ is constructed in Section 3. In the final Section 4, we prove Theorem 0.4.

Acknowledgment. - We are grateful to Sam Grushevsky for explaining to us important aspects of the geometry of the universal Kummer variety (especially Proposition 4.1). We also thank the referee for a careful reading and for suggestions that improved the presentation.

## 1. Determinantal nodal sextics and a parametrization of $\chi_{5}$

In this section we prove Theorem 0.3. We begin by recalling basic facts about determinantal representation of nodal plane sextics, see [3, 5], [4]. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}^{2}$ be an integral 4-nodal sextic and $\nu: C \rightarrow \Gamma$ the normalization map, thus $C$ is a smooth curve of genus 6 . One has an exact sequence at the level of 2 -torsion groups

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\oplus 4} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\Gamma)[2] \xrightarrow{\nu^{*}} \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C)[2] \longrightarrow 0
$$

In particular, étale double covers $f: \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow \Gamma$ with an irreducible source curve $\Gamma^{\prime}$ are induced by 2 -torsion points $\eta \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\Gamma)[2]$, such that $\eta_{C}:=\nu^{*}(\eta) \neq \Theta_{C}$.

Definition 1.1. - We denote by $\mathscr{P}_{6}$ the quasi-projective moduli space of pairs $(\Gamma, \eta)$ as above, where $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}^{2}$ is an integral 4-nodal sextic and $\eta \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\Gamma)[2]$ is a torsion point such that $\eta_{C} \neq \theta_{C}$. Equivalently, the induced double cover $\Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow \Gamma$ is unsplit, that is, the curve $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is irreducible.

Starting with a general element $\left[C, \eta_{C}\right] \in \mathcal{R}_{6}$, since $\left|W_{6}^{2}(C)\right|=5$, there are five sextic nodal plane models $\nu: C \rightarrow \Gamma$. For each of them, there are $2^{4}$ further ways of choosing $\eta \in\left(\nu^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\eta_{C}\right)$. Thus there is a degree $80=5 \cdot 2^{4}$ covering $\rho: \mathscr{P}_{6} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{6}$.

Suppose now that $(\Gamma, \eta) \in \mathscr{P}_{6}$ is a general point ${ }^{(1)}$. In particular $h^{0}(\Gamma, \eta(1))=0$, or equivalently, $h^{0}(\Gamma, \eta(2))=3$. Indeed, the condition $h^{0}(\Gamma, \eta(1)) \geq 1$ implies that $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}^{2}$ possesses a totally tangent conic, that is, there exists a reduced conic $B \subset \mathbf{P}^{2}$ such that $\nu^{*}(B)=2 b$, with $b$ being an effective divisor of $C$. This condition is satisfied only if $\rho(\Gamma, \eta)$

[^0]lies in the ramification divisor of the Prym map $P: \mathscr{R}_{6} \rightarrow \mathscr{\mathscr { C }}_{5}$, see [9]. Thus we may assume that $h^{0}(\Gamma, \eta(2))=3$, for a general point $(\Gamma, \eta) \in \mathscr{P}_{6}$.

Following [3] Theorem B, it is known that such a sheaf $\eta$ admits a resolution

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \vartheta_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(-4)^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{A} \vartheta_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(-2)^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow \eta \longrightarrow 0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the map $A$ is given by a symmetric matrix $\left(a_{i j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right)_{i, j=1}^{3}$ of quadratic forms. More precisely, we can view the resolution (1) as a twist of the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow H^{0}(\Gamma, \eta(2))^{\vee} \otimes \Theta_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(-2) \xrightarrow{A} H^{0}(\Gamma, \eta(2)) \otimes \vartheta_{\mathbf{P}^{2}} \xrightarrow{\text { ev }} \eta(2) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ev is the evaluation map on sections. Indeed, since the multiplication map $H^{0}(\Gamma, \eta(2)) \otimes H^{0}\left(\vartheta_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(j)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}(\Gamma, \eta(2+j))$ is surjective for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ (use again that $H^{0}(\Gamma, \eta(1))=0$, see also [23] Proposition 3.1 for a very similar situation), it follows that the kernel of the morphism ev splits as a sum of line bundles, which then necessarily must be $\vartheta_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(-2)^{\oplus 3}$.

Since $\eta$ is invertible, for each point $x \in \Gamma$ one has

$$
1=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \eta(x)=3-\operatorname{rk} A(x),
$$

where, as usual, $\eta(x):=\eta_{x} \otimes_{\varrho_{\Gamma, x}} \mathbb{C}(x)$ is the fibre of the sheaf $\eta$ at the point $x$. Thus rk $A(x)=2$, for each $x \in \Gamma$.

To the matrix $A \in M_{3}\left(H^{0}\left(\vartheta_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(2)\right)\right)$ we can associate the following $(2,2)$ threefold in $\mathbf{P}_{\left[x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right]}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}_{\left[y_{1}: y_{2}: y_{3}\right]}^{2}=\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$

$$
Q: \sum_{i, j=1}^{3} a_{i j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) y_{i} y_{j}=0,
$$

which is a conic bundle with respect to the two projections. Alternatively, if

$$
A: H^{0}(\Gamma, \eta(2))^{\vee} \otimes H^{0}(\Gamma, \eta(2))^{\vee} \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\Gamma, \vartheta_{\Gamma}(2)\right)
$$

is the symmetric map appearing in (2), then $A$ induces the $(2,2)$ hypersurface

$$
Q \subset \mathbf{P}\left(H^{0}\left(\Gamma, \vartheta_{\Gamma}(1)\right)^{\vee}\right) \times \mathbf{P}\left(H^{0}(\Gamma, \eta(2))^{\vee}\right)=\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} .
$$

We denote by $p: Q \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ the first projection and then $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}^{2}$ is precisely the discriminant curve of $Q$ given by determinantal equation $\Gamma:=\left\{\operatorname{det} A\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=0\right\}$. Let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ denote the Fano scheme of lines $F_{1}\left(p^{-1}(\Gamma) / \Gamma\right)$ over the discriminant curve $\Gamma$. That means that $\Gamma^{\prime}$ parametrizes pairs $(x, \ell)$, where $x \in \Gamma$ and $\ell$ is an irreducible component of the fibre $p^{-1}(x)$. The map $f: \Gamma^{\prime} \rightarrow \Gamma$ is given by $f(x, \ell):=x$. Since rk $A(x)=2$ for all $x \in \Gamma$, it follows that $f$ is an étale double cover.

Proposition 1.2. - For a general point $(\Gamma, \eta) \in \mathscr{P}_{6}$, the restriction map $\left.p\right|_{\operatorname{Sing}(Q)}$ : $\operatorname{Sing}(Q) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sing}(\Gamma)$ is bijective.

Proof. - Let $x \in \Gamma$ and $R:=\Theta_{\mathbf{P}^{2}, x}$ be the local ring of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ its maximal ideal. After a linear change of coordinates, we may assume that the matrix $A \bmod \mathfrak{m}=: A(x)$ equals

$$
A(x)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Suppose $\left(x, y=\left[y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right]\right) \in \operatorname{Sing}(Q)$. Then $A(x) \cdot{ }^{t} y=0$, hence $y_{1}=y_{2}=0$. Imposing that the partials of the defining equation of $Q$ with respect to $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ vanish, we obtain that $a_{33} \in \mathfrak{m}^{2}$. Since $\operatorname{det}\left(a_{i j}\right) \equiv a_{33} \bmod \mathfrak{m}^{2}$, this implies that $\Gamma$ is singular at $x$. Conversely, for $x \in \operatorname{Sing}(\Gamma)$, we obtain that $\operatorname{Sing}(Q) \cap p^{-1}(x)=\{(x, y)\}$, where $y \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$ is uniquely determined by the condition $A(x) \cdot{ }^{t} y=0$ (use once more that rk $A(x)=2$ ).

Proposition 1.3. $-f_{*}\left(\vartheta_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\right)=\vartheta_{\Gamma} \oplus \eta$, that is, the double cover $f$ is induced by $\eta$.

Proof. - Essentially identical to [1] Lemme 6.14.

To sum up, to a general point $(\Gamma, \eta) \in \mathscr{P}_{6}$ we have associated a 4-nodal conic bundle $p: Q \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ as above. Conversely, as explained in the Introduction, the discriminant curve of a 4-nodal conic bundle in $Q \subset \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ gives rise to an element in $\mathscr{P}_{6}$.

Let $\mathbf{T} \subset\left|\vartheta_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}(2,2)\right|$ be the subvariety consisting of 4-nodal hypersurfaces of bidegree $(2,2)$. This is an irreducible 31 -dimensional variety endowed with an action of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$. The following result summarizes what has been achieved so far:

Theorem 1.4. - A general Prym curve $(\Gamma, \eta) \in \mathscr{P}_{6}$ is the discriminant of a 4-nodal conic bundle $p: Q \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{2}$, where $Q \subset \boldsymbol{P}^{2} \times \boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ is a 4-nodal threefold of bidegree (2,2). More precisely, we have a birational isomorphism $\boldsymbol{T} / / \operatorname{Aut}\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{2} \times \boldsymbol{P}^{2}\right) \stackrel{-}{\cong} \mathscr{P}_{6}$.

REMARK 1.5. - A similar isomorphism between the moduli space of Prym curves over smooth plane sextics and the quotient $\left|\vartheta_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}(2,2)\right| / / \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ has already been established and used in [1] and [23].

REMARK 1.6. - Theorem 1.4 yields another (shorter) proof of the unirationality of $\mathcal{R}_{6}$.

The automorphism group of $\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ sits in an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow P G L(3) \times P G L(3) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2} \longrightarrow 0
$$

In particular, we can fix four general points $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{4} \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$, as well as diagonal points $w_{i}:=\left(u_{i}, u_{i}\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$, and consider the linear system $\mathbf{P}^{15}:=\left|\mathcal{g}_{\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{4}\right\}}^{2}(2,2)\right|$ of $(2,2)$ threefolds with assigned nodes at these points. Theorem 1.4 implies the existence of a dominant discriminant map $\mathfrak{d}: \mathbf{P}^{15} \rightarrow \mathscr{P}_{6}$ assigning $\mathfrak{d}(Q):=\left(\Gamma^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f} \Gamma\right)$.
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Proof of Theorem 0.3. - Using the notation introduced in this section and in the Introduction, setting $\mu:=\varphi \circ \mathfrak{a p}: \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{5} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{6}$, one has the following commutative diagram:


The dominance of the composite $\operatorname{map} \zeta: \mathbf{G}^{5} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}$ follows once we observe, that the above diagram is birationally a fibre product, that is, $\mathbf{G}^{5} \xlongequal{\cong} \mathbf{P}^{15} \times \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{6} \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}$.

## 2. Conic bundles over a del Pezzo surface

With view to further applications, we analyze the linear system of conic bundles of type $(2,2)$ in $\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ which are singular at four fixed general points and birationally, we reconstruct such a linear system as the complete linear system of smooth conic bundles in a certain $\mathbf{P}^{2}$-bundle over a smooth quintic del Pezzo surface.

We fix four general points $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{4} \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$ and set $w_{i}:=\left(u_{i}, u_{i}\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$. Let $S$ be the Del Pezzo surface defined by the blow-up $\sigma: S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ of $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{4}$. For $i=1, \ldots, 4$, we denote by $E_{i}:=\sigma^{-1}\left(u_{i}\right)$ the exceptional line over $u_{i}$. Set $E:=E_{1}+\cdots+E_{4}$ and denote by $L \in\left|\sigma^{*} \Theta_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(1)\right|$ the pull-back of a line in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ under $\sigma$. An important role is played by the rank 3 vector bundle $\mathcal{M}$ on $S$ defined by the following sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{j} H^{0}\left(S, \Theta_{S}(L)\right) \otimes \Theta_{S}(L) \xrightarrow{r} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{4} \Theta_{E_{i}}(2 L) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $r_{i}: H^{0}\left(S, \Theta_{S}(L)\right) \otimes \Theta_{S}(L) \rightarrow \Theta_{E_{i}}(2 L)$ is the evaluation map and $r:=\oplus_{i=1}^{4} r_{i}$. Since $\Theta_{E_{i}}(L)$ is trivial, it follows that $h^{0}(r)$ is surjective. Passing to cohomology, we write the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{0}(S, \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{h^{0}(j)} H^{0}\left(S, O_{S}(L)\right) \otimes H^{0}\left(S, \Theta_{S}(L)\right) \xrightarrow{h^{0}(r)} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{4} H^{0}\left(\Theta_{E_{i}}(2 L)\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

In particular, we obtain that $h^{0}(S, \mathcal{M})=5$. By direct calculation, we also find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}(\mathcal{M})=\Theta_{S}\left(-K_{S}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad c_{2}(\mathcal{M})=3 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the decomposition $H^{0}\left(\Theta_{S}(L)\right) \otimes H^{0}\left(\Theta_{S}(L)\right)=\wedge^{2} H^{0}\left(\Theta_{S}(L)\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(\Theta_{S}(2 L)\right)$ into symmetric and anti-symmetric tensors, the space $H^{0}(S, \mathcal{M}) \subset H^{0}\left(\Theta_{S}(L)\right) \otimes H^{0}\left(\Theta_{S}(L)\right)$ decomposes as

$$
H^{0}(S, \mathcal{M})=H^{0}(S, \mathcal{M})^{-} \oplus H^{0}(S, \mathcal{M})^{+}=\bigwedge^{2} H^{0}\left(S, \Theta_{S}(L)\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(S, \Theta_{S}(2 L-E)\right)
$$

Lemma 2.1. - The vector bundle $\mathcal{M}$ is globally generated.

Proof. - Clearly, we only need to address the global generation of $\mathcal{M}$ along $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} E_{i}$ and to that end, we consider the restriction of the sequence (3) to $E_{i}$,

$$
\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{E_{i}} \xrightarrow{j_{E_{i}}} H^{0}\left(S, \Theta_{S}(L)\right) \otimes \Theta_{E_{i}} \xrightarrow{\left.r\right|_{E_{i}}} \Theta_{E_{i}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where we recall that $\vartheta_{E_{i}}(L)$ is trivial. The sheaf $H^{0}\left(\vartheta_{S}\left(L-E_{i}\right)\right) \otimes \Theta_{E_{i}}=\emptyset_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}^{\oplus 2}$ is the kernel of $\left.r\right|_{E_{i}}$. Since $\operatorname{det}\left(\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{E_{i}}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{E_{i}}(1)$, it follows that $\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{E_{i}}$ fits into an exact sequence of bundles on $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Theta_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{E_{i}} \xrightarrow{j \mid E_{i}} \vartheta_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow 0
$$

This sequence is split, so that $\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{E_{i}}=\emptyset_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(1) \oplus \emptyset_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}^{\oplus 2}$, which is globally generated. The same holds for $\mathcal{M}$ if the map $H^{0}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{E_{i}}\right)$ is surjective; this is implied by the vanishing $H^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{M}\left(-E_{i}\right)\right)=0$. We twist by $\Theta_{S}\left(-E_{i}\right)$ the sequence (3), and write

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}\left(-E_{i}\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(S, \Theta_{S}(L)\right) \otimes \Theta_{S}\left(L-E_{i}\right) \stackrel{r}{\longrightarrow} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{4} \Theta_{E_{i}}\left(2 L-E_{i}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Since $h^{0}(r)$ is surjective and $h^{1}\left(S, \Theta_{S}\left(L-E_{i}\right)\right)=0$, it follows $h^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{M}\left(-E_{i}\right)\right)=0$.
From now on we set $\mathbf{P}:=\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{M})$ and consider the $\mathbf{P}^{2}$-bundle $\pi: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow S$. The linear system $\left|\vartheta_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right|$ is base point free, for $\mathcal{M}$ is globally generated. We reserve the notation

$$
h:=\phi_{\vartheta_{\mathbf{P}}(1)}: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{4}:=\mathbf{P} H^{0}(S, \mathcal{M})^{\vee}
$$

for the induced morphism. A Chern classes count implies that $\operatorname{deg}(h)=2$. The map $j$ from the sequence (3) induces a birational morphism

$$
\epsilon: S \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}
$$

We describe a factorization of $\epsilon$. Since $j$ is an isomorphism along $U:=S-\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} E_{i}$, it follows that $\epsilon: U \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U)$ is biregular. The behaviour of $\epsilon$ along $E_{i} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ can be understood in terms of the restriction of the sequence (3) to $E_{i}$. Following the proof of Lemma 2.1, one has the exact sequence

$$
\left.0 \longrightarrow \Theta_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}\right|_{E_{i}} \xrightarrow{\left.j\right|_{E_{i}}} H^{0}\left(S, \Theta_{S}(L)\right) \otimes \Theta_{E_{i}} \xrightarrow{\left.r\right|_{E_{i}}} \Theta_{E_{i}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\operatorname{Im}\left(\left.j\right|_{E_{i}}\right)=H^{0}\left(\Theta_{S}\left(L-E_{i}\right)\right) \otimes \Theta_{E_{i}}$. Now $\left.j\right|_{E_{i}}$ induces a rational map

$$
\left.\epsilon\right|_{E_{i} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}: E_{i} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}\left(\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{E_{i}}\right) \subset \mathbf{P}
$$

For a point $x \in E_{i}$, the restriction of $\epsilon$ to $\mathbf{P}^{2} \times\{x\}$ is the projection $\{x\} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ of center $\left(x, u_{i}\right)$. This implies that:

Lemma 2.2. - The birational map $\epsilon$ contracts $E_{i} \times \boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ to a surface which is a copy of $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$. Furthermore, the indeterminacy scheme of $\epsilon$ is equal to $\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} E_{i} \times\left\{u_{i}\right\}$.

Let $D_{i}:=E_{i} \times\left\{u_{i}\right\} \subset S \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ and $D:=D_{1}+\cdots+D_{4}$. We consider the blow-up

$$
\alpha: \widetilde{S \times \mathbf{P}^{2}} \rightarrow S \times \mathbf{P}^{2}
$$

of $S \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ along $D$, and the birational map

$$
\epsilon_{2}:=\epsilon \circ \alpha: \widetilde{S \times \mathbf{P}^{2}} \rightarrow \mathbf{P} .
$$

The restriction of $\epsilon_{2}$ to the strict transform $\widetilde{E_{i} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}$ of $E_{i} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ is a regular morphism, for $\left.\epsilon\right|_{E_{i} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}$ is defined by the linear system $\left|才_{E_{i} \times\left\{u_{i}\right\} / S \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}(1,1)\right|$. This implies that $\epsilon_{2}$ itself is a regular morphism:

Proposition 2.3. - The following commutative diagram resolves the indeterminacy locus of $\epsilon$ :


In the sequel, it will be useful to consider the exact commutative diagram

where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms induced by $\sigma: S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$. Starting from the left arrow, one can construct the commutative diagram


Passing to evaluation maps, we obtain the morphism $h: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{4}$ and the rational map $h_{D}: S \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{4}$ defined by the space $\left(\sigma \times \operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}\right)^{*} H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}, \mathscr{I}_{\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{4}\right\}}(1,1)\right)$.

The discussion above is summarized in the following commutative diagram:


We derive a few consequences. Let $\pi_{1}: S \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \rightarrow S$ and $\pi_{2}: S \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ be the two projections, then define the following effective divisors of $\widetilde{S \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}$ :

$$
\widetilde{H} \in\left|\left(\pi_{1} \circ \alpha\right)^{*}\left(\vartheta_{S}\left(-K_{S}\right)\right)\right|, \widetilde{H}_{1} \in\left|\left(\pi_{1} \circ \alpha\right)^{*}\left(\Theta_{S}(L)\right)\right|, \widetilde{H}_{2} \in\left|\left(\pi_{2} \circ \alpha\right)^{*}\left(\Theta_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(1)\right)\right|,
$$

as well as

$$
\widetilde{N}_{i}:=\alpha^{-1}\left(D_{i}\right) \text { and } \widetilde{N}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \widetilde{N}_{i}
$$

Applying push-forward under $\epsilon_{2}$, we obtain the following divisors on $\mathbf{P}$ :

$$
H:=\epsilon_{2 *}(\widetilde{H}), \quad H_{i}:=\epsilon_{2 *}\left(\widetilde{H}_{i}\right), \quad N_{i}:=\epsilon_{2 *}\left(\widetilde{N}_{i}\right), \text { and } N:=\sum_{i=1}^{4} N_{i} .
$$

Proposition 2.4. $-\left|\vartheta_{\boldsymbol{P}}(1)\right|=\left|H_{1}+H_{2}-N\right|$.
Proof. - Using for instance [16] Theorem 1.4, we have $\epsilon_{2}^{*}\left(\vartheta_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right)=\Theta_{\widehat{S \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}}\left(\widetilde{H}_{1}+\widetilde{H}_{2}-\widetilde{N}\right)$. By pushing forward, we obtain the desired result.

We have already remarked that $h: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{4}$ is a morphism of degree 2 . The inverse image $E \subset \mathbf{P}$ under $h$ of a general line in $\mathbf{P}^{4}$ is a smooth elliptic curve. The restriction $h_{E}$ has 4 branch points and the branch locus of $h$ is a quartic hypersurface $B \subset \mathbf{P}^{4}$.

Proposition 2.5. - For each $d \geq 0$, one has $h^{0}\left(\boldsymbol{P}, \vartheta_{\boldsymbol{P}}(d)\right)=\binom{d+4}{4}+\binom{2 d}{4}$.
Proof. - We pass to the Stein factorization $h:=s \circ f$, where $f: \overline{\mathbf{P}} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{4}$ is a double cover and $s: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{P}}$ is birational. In particular, $h^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}, \vartheta_{\mathbf{P}}(d)\right)=h^{0}\left(f^{*} \Theta_{\mathbf{P}^{4}}(d)\right)$. The involution $\iota: \overline{\mathbf{P}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{P}}$ induced by $f$ acts on $H^{0}\left(f^{*} \Theta_{\mathbf{P}^{4}}(d)\right)$ and the eigenspaces are $f^{*} H^{0}\left(\Theta_{\mathbf{P}^{4}}(d)\right)$ and $b \cdot f^{*} H^{0}\left(\vartheta_{\mathbf{P}^{4}}(2 d-4)\right)$ respectively, where $b \in H^{0}\left(f^{*} \vartheta_{\mathbf{P}^{4}}(2)\right)$ and $\operatorname{div}(b)=f^{-1}(B)$.

We can now relate the 15 -dimensional linear system $\left|\vartheta_{\mathbf{P}}(2)\right|$ of smooth conic bundles in $\mathbf{P}$ to the linear system of 4-nodal conic bundles of type (2,2) in $\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$. Let $\tilde{I}$ be the moving part of the total transform $\left(\left(\sigma \times \operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}\right) \circ \alpha\right)^{*}\left|\mathcal{f}_{\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{4}\right\}}^{2}(2,2)\right|$. Over $\mathbf{P}$, we consider the linear system $I^{\prime}:=\left(\epsilon_{2}\right)_{*} \tilde{I}$, and conclude that:

Proposition 2.6. - One has the equality $I^{\prime}=\left|\emptyset_{\boldsymbol{P}}(2)\right|$ of linear systems on $\boldsymbol{P}$.
Proof. - Consider a general threefold $Y \in\left|\mathcal{I}_{\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{4}\right\}}(1,1)\right|$. Its strict transform $\widetilde{Y}$ under the morphism $\left(\sigma \times \operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}\right) \circ \alpha$ is smooth and has class $\widetilde{H}_{1}+\widetilde{H}_{2}-\widetilde{N}$. Therefore we obtain $\left(\epsilon_{2}\right)_{*}(\widetilde{Y}) \in\left|H_{1}+H_{2}-N\right|=\left|\vartheta_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right|$, and then $I^{\prime}=\left|\vartheta_{\mathbf{P}}(2)\right|$.

To conclude this discussion, the identification

$$
\left|\vartheta_{\mathbf{P}}(2)\right|=\left|\mathscr{J}_{\left\{w_{1}, \ldots w_{2}\right\}}^{2}(2,2)\right|:=\mathbf{P}^{15}
$$

induced by the birational map $\epsilon$, will be used throughout the rest of the paper.
Remark 2.7. - One can describe $h: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{4}$ in geometric terms. Consider the rational map $h^{\prime}:=h_{D} \circ\left(\sigma \times \operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}\right)^{-1}: \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{4}$, where $h_{D}$ appears in a previous diagram. Then $h^{\prime}$ is defined by the linear system $\left|\mathcal{I}_{\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{4}\right\}}(1,1)\right|$. If $\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \subset \mathbf{P}^{8}$ is the Segre embedding and $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{P}^{8}$ the linear span of $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{4}$, then $h^{\prime}$ is the restriction to $\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ of the linear projection having center $\Lambda$.

One can also recover the tautological bundle $\mathcal{M}$ as follows. Consider the family of planes $\left\{\mathbf{P}_{x}:=h_{*}^{\prime}\left(\{x\} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right\}_{x \in \mathbf{P}^{2}}$. Its closure in the Grassmannian $\mathbf{G}(2,4)$ of planes of $\mathbf{P}^{4}$ is equal to the image of $S$ under the classifying map of $\mathcal{M}$. We omit further details.

Proposition 2.8. - The following relations hold in $C H^{4}\left(\widetilde{S \times \boldsymbol{P}^{2}}\right)$ :
$\widetilde{N}^{4}=-4, \widetilde{N}^{3} \cdot \widetilde{H}=4, \widetilde{N}^{3} \cdot \widetilde{H}_{1}=\widetilde{N}^{3} \cdot \widetilde{H}_{2}=0, \widetilde{N}^{2} \cdot \widetilde{H}^{2}=\widetilde{N}^{2} \cdot \widetilde{H}_{1}^{2}=\widetilde{N}^{2} \cdot \widetilde{H}_{2}^{2}=0$.
Proof. - These are standard calculations on blow-ups. We fix $i \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$ and note that $\widetilde{N}_{i}=\mathbf{P}\left(\theta_{D_{i}}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \theta_{D_{i}}(1)\right)$. We denote by $\xi_{i}:=c_{1}\left(\theta_{\tilde{N}_{i}}(1)\right) \in C H^{1}\left(\widetilde{N}_{i}\right)$ the class of the tautological bundle on the exceptional divisor, by $\alpha_{i}:=\left.\alpha\right|_{\tilde{N}_{i}}: \widetilde{N}_{i} \rightarrow D_{i}$ the restriction of $\alpha$, and by $j_{i}: \tilde{N}_{i} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{S \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}$ the inclusion map. Then for $k=1, \ldots, 4$, the formula $\tilde{N}_{i}^{k}=(-1)^{k-1}\left(j_{i}\right)_{*}\left(\xi_{i}^{k-1}\right)$ holds in $C H^{k}\left(\widetilde{S \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}\right)$. In particular,

$$
\widetilde{N}_{i}^{4}=-\left(j_{i}\right)_{*}\left(\xi_{i}^{3}\right)=-c_{1}\left(\vartheta_{D_{i}}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \Theta_{D_{i}}(1)\right)=-1,
$$

which implies that $\widetilde{N}^{4}=\widetilde{N}_{1}^{4}+\cdots+\widetilde{N}_{4}^{4}=-4$. Furthermore, based on dimension reasons, $\widetilde{N}_{i}^{2} \cdot \alpha^{*}(\gamma)=-\left(j_{i}\right)_{*}\left(\xi_{i} \cdot \alpha_{i}^{*}\left(\left.\gamma\right|_{D_{i}}\right)\right)=0$, for each class $\gamma \in C H^{2}\left(S \times \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$. Finally, for a class $\gamma \in C H^{1}\left(S \times \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$, we have that $\widetilde{N}_{i}^{3} \cdot \alpha^{*}(\gamma)=\left(j_{i}\right)_{*}\left(\xi_{i}^{2} \cdot \alpha_{i}^{*}\left(\left.\gamma\right|_{D_{i}}\right)\right)=\left.\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{*}\left(\xi_{i}^{2}\right) \cdot \gamma\right|_{D_{i}}=\gamma \cdot D_{i}$, where the last intersection product is computed on $S \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$. This determines all top intersection numbers involving $\widetilde{N}^{3}$, which finishes the proof.

Remark 2.9. - Since $\epsilon_{2}$ contracts the divisors $\widetilde{E_{i} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}$, clearly $H=3 H_{1}-N$. An immediate consequence of Proposition 2.8 is that the degree of the morphism $h: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{4}$ equals $\operatorname{deg}(h)=\left(H_{1}+H_{2}-N\right)^{4}=6 H_{1}^{2} \cdot H_{2}^{2}+N^{4}=2$.

### 2.1. Pencils of conic bundles in the projective bundle $\mathbf{P}$

In this section we determine the numerical characters of a pencil of 4-nodal conic bundles of type $(2,2)$. Let

$$
P \subset\left|\Theta_{\mathbf{P}}(2)\right|=\left|\Theta_{\mathbf{P}}\left(2 H_{1}+2 H_{2}-2 N\right)\right|
$$

be a Lefschetz pencil in $\mathbf{P}$. We may assume that its base locus $B \subset \mathbf{P}$ is a smooth surface. We are primarily interested in the number of singular conic bundles and those having a double line respectively. We first describe $B$.

Lemma 2.10. - For the base surface $B \subset \boldsymbol{P}$ of a pencil of conic bundles, the following hold:

1. $K_{B}=\Theta_{B}\left(H_{1}+H_{2}-N\right) \in \operatorname{Pic}(B)$.
2. $K_{B}^{2}=8$ and $c_{2}(B)=64$.

Proof. - The surface $B$ is a complete intersection in $\mathbf{P}$, hence by adjunction

$$
K_{B}=K_{\mathbf{P} \mid B} \otimes \Theta_{B}\left(4 H_{1}+4 H_{2}-4 N\right) .
$$

Furthermore, $K_{\widehat{S \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}}=\alpha^{*}\left(\theta_{S}(-H) \boxtimes \Theta_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(-3)\right) \otimes \Theta_{\widetilde{S \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}}(2 \widetilde{N})$, and by push-pull

$$
K_{\mathbf{P}}=\left(\epsilon_{2}\right)_{*}\left(K_{\widetilde{S \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}}\right)=\emptyset_{\mathbf{P}}\left(-H-3 H_{2}+2 N\right)=\emptyset_{\mathbf{P}}\left(-3 H_{1}-3 H_{2}+3 N\right),
$$

for $H=3 H_{1}-N$. We find that $K_{B}=\Theta_{B}\left(H_{1}+H_{2}-N\right)$. From Lemma 2.8, we compute

$$
K_{B}^{2}=4\left(H_{1}+H_{2}-N\right)^{2} \cdot\left(H_{1}+H_{2}-N\right)^{2}=24 H_{1}^{2} \cdot H_{2}^{2}+4 N^{4}=8 .
$$

Finally, from the Euler formula applied for $B$, we obtain $12 \chi\left(B, \vartheta_{B}\right)=K_{B}^{2}+c_{2}(B)$. Since $\chi\left(B, \Theta_{B}\right)=6$, this yields $c_{2}(B)=64$.

For a variety $Z$ we denote as usual by $e(Z)$ its topological Euler characteristic.
Lemma 2.11. - For a general conic bundle $Q \in\left|\emptyset_{\boldsymbol{P}}(2)\right|$, we have that $e(Q)=4$, whereas for conic bundle $Q_{0}$ with a single ordinary quadratic singularity, $e\left(Q_{0}\right)=5$.

Proof. - We fix a conic bundle $\pi_{1}: Q \rightarrow S$ with smooth discriminant curve $C \in\left|-2 K_{S}\right|$. We then write the relation $e\left(Q-\pi_{1}^{*}(C)\right)=2 e(S-C)$. Since $e\left(\pi_{1}^{*}(C)\right)=3 e(C)$, we find that $e(Q)=2 e(S)+e(C)=2 \cdot 7-10=4$.

Similarly, if $\pi_{1}: Q_{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ is a conic bundle such that the discriminant curve $C_{0} \subset S$ has a unique node, then $e\left(Q_{0}\right)=2 e(S)+e\left(C_{0}\right)=14-9=5$.

In the next statement we use the notation from [10] for divisors classes on $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{g}$, see also the beginning of Section 3 for further details.

Theorem 2.12. - In a Lefschetz pencil of conic bundles $P \subset\left|\Theta_{\boldsymbol{P}}(2)\right|$ there are precisely 77 singular conic bundles and 32 conic bundles with a double line.

Proof. - Retaining the notation from above, $B \subset \mathbf{P}$ is the base surface of the pencil. The number $\delta$ of nodal conic bundles in $P$ is given by the formula:

$$
\delta=e(\mathbf{P})+e(B)-2 e(Q)=3 e(S)+64-2 \cdot 4=77
$$

where the relation $e(\mathbf{P})=3 e(S)$ follows because $\pi: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow S$ is a $\mathbf{P}^{2}$-bundle.
The number of conic bundles in the pencil $P$ having a double line equals the number of discriminant curves in the family induced by $P$ in $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{6}$, that lie in the ramification divisor $\Delta_{0}^{\text {ram }}$ of the projection map $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{6} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{6}$. We choose general conic bundles $Q_{1}, Q_{2} \in P$, and let $A=\left(a_{i j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right)_{i, j=1}^{3}$ and $B=\left(b_{i j}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right)_{i, j=1}^{3}$ be the symmetric matrices of quadratic forms giving rise to Prym curves $\left(\Gamma_{1}, \eta_{1}\right):=\mathfrak{d}\left(Q_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\Gamma_{2}, \eta_{2}\right):=\mathfrak{d}\left(Q_{2}\right) \in \mathscr{P}_{6}$ respectively. Note that both curves $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are nodal precisely at the points $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{4}$. Let us consider the surface

$$
Y:=\left\{\left(\left[x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right],\left[t_{1}: t_{2}\right]\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}: \operatorname{det}\left(\left(t_{1} a_{i j}+t_{2} b_{i j}\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right)=0\right\},
$$

together with the projection $\gamma: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$. If $h_{1}, h_{2} \in C H^{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}\right)$ are the pullbacks of the hyperplane classes under the two projections, then $Y \equiv 6 h_{1}+3 h_{2}$. Therefore $\omega_{Y}=\emptyset_{Y}\left(3 h_{1}+h_{2}\right)$ and $h^{0}\left(Y, \omega_{Y}\right)=20$. Observe that the surface $Y$ is singular along the curves $L_{j}:=\left\{u_{j}\right\} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ for $j=1, \ldots, 4$, and let $\nu_{Y}: \mathscr{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be the normalization. From the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(y, \omega_{y}\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(Y, \omega_{Y}\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{4} H^{0}\left(L_{j}, \omega_{Y \mid L_{j}}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

taking also into account that $\omega_{Y \mid L_{j}}=\vartheta_{L_{j}}(1)$, we compute that $h^{0}\left(\mathscr{Y}, \omega_{y}\right)=12$, and hence $\chi\left(\mathscr{Y}, \theta_{y}\right)=13$. The morphism $\tilde{\gamma}:=\gamma \circ \nu_{Y}: \mathscr{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ is a family of Prym curves of genus 6 and it induces a moduli map $m(\tilde{\gamma}): \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{6}$.

The points $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{4} \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$ being general, the curve $\mathfrak{e}:=m(\tilde{\gamma})\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{6}$ is disjoint from the pull-back $\pi^{*}\left(\overline{\mathscr{P}}_{6}\right) \subset \overline{\mathscr{R}}_{6}$ of the Gieseker-Petri divisor consisting of curves of genus 6 lying on a singular quintic del Pezzo surface, see [9] for details on the geometry of $\pi^{-1}\left(\overline{\mathscr{P}}_{6}\right)$. Since $\left.\pi^{*}\left(\left[\overline{\mathscr{P}}_{6}\right]\right)\right|_{\tilde{\mathscr{R}}_{6}}=94 \lambda-12\left(\delta_{0}^{\prime}+\delta_{0}^{\prime \prime}+2 \delta_{0}^{\mathrm{ram}}\right) \in C H^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{6}\right)$, and $\mathfrak{e} \cdot \delta_{0}^{\prime}=77$ (this being
the already computed number of nodal conic bundles in $P$ ), whereas $\mathfrak{e} \cdot \delta_{0}^{\prime \prime}=0$, we obtain the following relation

$$
47 \mathfrak{e} \cdot \lambda-6 \mathfrak{e} \cdot \delta_{0}^{\prime}-12 \mathfrak{e} \cdot \delta_{0}^{\mathrm{ram}}=0
$$

Finally, we observe that $\mathfrak{e} \cdot \lambda=\chi\left(y, \theta_{y}\right)+g-1=18$, which leads to $\mathfrak{e} \cdot \delta_{0}^{\text {ram }}=32$.

## 3. A sweeping rational curve in the boundary of $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$

In this section we construct an explicit sweeping rational curve in $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}$, whose numerical properties we shall use in order to bound the slope of $\overline{\mathscr{Q}}_{6}$. Before doing that, we quickly review basic facts concerning the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{g}$ of stable Prym curves of genus $g$, while referring to [10] for details.

Geometric points of $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{g}$ correspond to triples $(X, \eta, \beta)$, where $X$ is a quasi-stable curve of arithmetic genus $g, \eta$ is a line bundle on $X$ of degree 0 , such that $\eta_{E}=\theta_{E}(1)$ for each smooth rational component $E \subset X$ with $|E \cap \overline{(X-E)}|=2$, and $\beta: \eta^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \Theta_{X}$ is a sheaf homomorphism whose restriction to any non-exceptional component of $X$ is an isomorphism. Denoting by $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{g} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ the forgetful map, one has the following formula [10] Example 1.4

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{*}\left(\delta_{0}\right)=\delta_{0}^{\prime}+\delta_{0}^{\prime \prime}+2 \delta_{0}^{\mathrm{ram}} \in C H^{1}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{g}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{0}^{\prime}:=\left[\Delta_{0}^{\prime}\right], \delta_{0}^{\prime \prime}:=\left[\Delta_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right]$, and $\delta_{0}^{\mathrm{ram}}:=\left[\Delta_{0}^{\mathrm{ram}}\right]$ are boundary divisor classes on $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{g}$ whose meaning we recall. Let us fix a general point $[C] \in \Delta_{0}$ corresponding to a smooth 2-pointed curve $(N, x, y)$ of genus $g-1$ with normalization map $\nu: N \rightarrow C$, where $\nu(x)=\nu(y)$. A general point of $\Delta_{0}^{\prime}$ (respectively of $\Delta_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ ) corresponds to a stable Prym curve $[C, \eta]$, where $\eta \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C)[2]$ and $\nu^{*}(\eta) \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(N)$ is non-trivial (respectively, $\nu^{*}(\eta)=\Theta_{N}$ ). A general point of $\Delta_{0}^{\mathrm{ram}}$ is of the form $(X, \eta)$, where $X:=N \cup_{\{x, y\}} \mathbf{P}^{1}$ is a quasi-stable curve of arithmetic genus $g$, whereas $\eta \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ is a line bundle characterized by $\eta_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}=\Theta_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}(1)$ and $\eta_{N}^{\otimes 2}=\Theta_{N}(-x-y)$. Throughout this paper, we only work on the partial compactification $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g}:=\pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g} \cup \Delta_{0}^{0}\right)$ of $\mathscr{R}_{g}$, where $\Delta_{0}^{0}$ is the open subvariety of $\Delta_{0}$ consisting of irreducible one-nodal curves. We denote by $\delta_{0}^{\prime}, \delta_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\delta_{0}^{\text {ram }}$ the restrictions of the corresponding boundary classes to $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g}$. Note that $C H^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g}\right)=\mathbf{Q}\left\langle\lambda, \delta_{0}^{\prime}, \delta_{0}^{\prime \prime}, \delta_{0}^{\text {ram }}\right\rangle$.

Recall that we use the identification $\mathbf{P}^{15}:=\left|\mathcal{J}_{\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{4}\right\}}^{2}(2,2)\right|=\left|\vartheta_{\mathbf{P}}(2)\right|$ for the linear system of $(2,2)$ threefolds in $\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ which are nodal at $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{4}$. Recall also that $\mathbf{P} \rightarrow S$ is the $\mathbf{P}^{2}$-bundle constructed in Section 2.

We start constructing a sweeping curve $i: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}$, by fixing general points $\left(o_{1}, \ell_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(o_{4}, \ell_{4}\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{2} \times\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)^{\vee}$ and a general point $o \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$. We introduce the net

$$
T:=\left\{Q \in \mathbf{P}^{15}:(o, o) \in Q \text { and }\left\{o_{j}\right\} \times \ell_{i} \subset Q \text { for } j=1, \ldots, 4\right\}
$$

consisting of conic bundles containing the lines $\left\{o_{1}\right\} \times \ell_{1}, \ldots,\left\{o_{4}\right\} \times \ell_{4}$ and passing through the point $(o, o) \in \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$. Because of the genericity of our choices, the restriction

$$
\left.\operatorname{res}\right|_{\{o\} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}: T \rightarrow\left|\Theta_{\{o\} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}(2)\right|
$$

is an injective map and we can view $T$ as a general net of conics in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ passing through the fixed point $o \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$. The discriminant curve of the net is a nodal cubic curve $\Delta_{T} \subset T$; its
singularity corresponds to the only conic of type $\ell_{0}+m_{0} \in T$, consisting of a pair of lines $\ell_{0}$ and $m_{0}$ passing through $o$.

To ease notation, we identify $\{o\} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ with $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ in everything that follows. Denoting by $\mathbf{P}^{1}:=\mathbf{P}\left(T_{o}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right)$ the pencil of lines through $o$, it is clear that the map

$$
\tau: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \Delta_{T}, \quad \tau(\ell):=Q_{\ell} \in T, \text { such that } Q_{\ell} \supset\{o\} \times \ell
$$

is the normalization map of $\Delta_{T}$. In particular, we have $\tau\left(\ell_{0}\right)=\tau\left(m_{0}\right)=\ell_{0}+m_{0}$, where, abusing notation, we identify $Q_{\ell}$ with its singular conic $\{o\} \times(\ell+m)=Q_{\ell} \cdot\left(\{o\} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$. For $\ell \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$, the double cover $f_{\ell}: \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\ell} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\ell}$ over the discriminant curve $\Gamma_{\ell}$ of $Q_{\ell}$ is an element of $\mathscr{P}_{6}$ (see Definition 1.1). Clearly $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\ell}$ carries the marked points $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}, \ell_{4}$ and $\ell$. This procedure induces a moduli map into the universal symmetric product

$$
i: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}, \quad i(\ell):=\left[\rho\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\ell} / \Gamma_{\ell}\right), \ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}, \ell_{4}, \ell\right]
$$

We explicitly construct the family of discriminant curves $\Gamma_{\ell}$ of the conic bundles $Q_{\ell}$, where $\tau(\ell) \in \Delta_{T}$. Setting coordinates $x:=\left[x_{1}: x_{2}: x_{3}\right], y:=\left[y_{1}: y_{2}: y_{3}\right]$ in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$, let

$$
Z:=\left\{(x, y, t) \in \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \Delta_{T}: y \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(\pi_{1}^{-1}(x) \cap Q_{t}\right)\right\} \subset \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times T
$$

Concretely, if $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}$ is a basis of $T$, then the surface $Z$ is given by the equations

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}\left(t_{1} Q_{1}(x, y)+t_{2} Q_{2}(x, y)+t_{3} Q_{3}(x, y)\right)=0, \quad \text { for } i=1,2,3
$$

where $\left[t_{1}: t_{2}: t_{3}\right] \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$ gives rise to the point $t \in T$, once the basis $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}$ of $T$ has been chosen. It follows immediately that $Z$ is a complete intersection of three divisors of multidegree $(2,1,1)$, defined by the partial derivatives, and the divisor $\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \Delta_{T}$ of multidegree $(0,0,3)$.

Lemma 3.1. - The first projection $\gamma_{1}: Z \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{2}$ is a map of degree 9.

Proof. - Denoting by $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3} \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times T\right)$ the pull-backs of the hyperplane bundles from the three factors, we find that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\left(2 h_{1}+h_{2}+h_{3}\right)^{3} \cdot\left(3 h_{3}\right) \cdot h_{1}^{2}=9$.

The third projection $\gamma_{3}: Z \rightarrow \Delta_{T}$ is a birational model of the family $\left\{C_{\ell}\right\}_{\ell \in \mathbf{P}^{1}}$ of underlying genus 6 curves, induced by the map $i: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}$. However, the surface $Z$ is not normal. It has singularities along the curves $\left\{w_{j}\right\} \times \Delta_{T}$ for $j=1, \ldots, 4$, as well as along the fibre $\gamma_{3}^{-1}\left(\ell_{0}+m_{0}\right)$ over the point $\tau\left(\ell_{0}\right)=\tau\left(m_{0}\right)=\ell_{0}+m_{0} \in T$. To construct a smooth model of $Z$, we pass instead to its natural birational model in the 5 -fold $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$.

Abusing notation, we still denote by $Q_{\ell} \subset \mathbf{P}$ the strict transform of the conic bundle $Q_{\ell}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$; its discriminant curve $C_{\ell}$ is viewed as an element of $\left|-K_{S}\right|$. We denote by $\pi_{\ell}: Q_{\ell} \rightarrow S$ the restriction of $\pi: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow S$, then consider the surface

$$
Z:=\left\{(z, \ell) \in \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}: z \in \operatorname{Sing} \pi_{\ell}^{-1}\left(C_{\ell}\right)\right\}
$$

Clearly $\mathcal{Z}$ is endowed with the projection $q_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}: Z \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$. We have the following commutative diagram, where $u:=\left(\sigma \times \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}\right) \circ \epsilon^{-1}: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ and the horizontal arrows are the natural inclusions or projections and $\nu_{Z}: Z \rightarrow Z$ is the normalization map:


Since $u \times \tau$ is birational, it follows that $\operatorname{deg}(Z / S)=\operatorname{deg}\left(Z / \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)=9$. The fibration $q_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}: Z \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ admits sections

$$
\tau_{j}: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow Z \text { for } j=1, \ldots, 5
$$

which we now define. For $1 \leq j \leq 4$ and each $\ell \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$, the fibre $Q_{\ell} \cdot\left(\left\{o_{j}\right\} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ contains the line $\ell_{j}$. Hence $\ell_{j}$ defines a point in the covering curve of $f_{\ell}: \widetilde{C}_{\ell} \rightarrow C_{\ell}$. By definition $\tau_{j}(\ell)$ is this point. Tautologically, $\tau_{5}(\ell)$ is the point corresponding to the line $\ell$.

Finally, we consider the universal family $Q \subset \mathbf{P} \times T$ defined by $T$. The pull-back of the projection $Q \rightarrow T$ by the morphism $\operatorname{id}_{\mathbf{P}} \times \tau$ induces a flat family of conic bundles $Q^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{P}^{1}$ and a projection $q^{\prime}: Q^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{Z} \subset Q^{\prime}$ and $q_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}=\left.q^{\prime}\right|_{Z}$.

Definition 3.2. - A conic bundle $Q \in\left|\theta_{\mathbf{P}}(2)\right|$ is said to be ordinary if both $Q$ and its discriminant curve $C$ are nodal. A subvariety in $\left|\theta_{\mathbf{P}}(2)\right|$ is said to be a Lefschetz family, if each of its members is an ordinary conic bundle.

Postponing the proof, we assume that the fibration $q^{\prime}: Q \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ constructed above is a Lefschetz family of conic bundles, and we determine the properties of the Prym moduli map $m: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{6}$, where $m(\ell):=\left[f_{\ell}: \widetilde{C}_{\ell} \rightarrow C_{\ell}\right]=\rho\left(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\ell} / \Gamma_{\ell}\right)$.

Proposition 3.3. - The numerical features of $m: \boldsymbol{P}^{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{R}}_{6} \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{6}$ are as follows:

$$
m\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{1}\right) \cdot \lambda=9 \cdot 6, m\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{1}\right) \cdot \delta_{0}^{\prime}=3 \cdot 77, m\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{1}\right) \cdot \delta_{0}^{\mathrm{ram}}=3 \cdot 32, m\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{1}\right) \cdot \delta_{0}^{\prime \prime}=0
$$

Proof. - We consider the composite map $\left.\rho \circ \mathfrak{d}\right|_{T}: T \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{6}$, assigning to a conic bundle from the net $T \subset \mathbf{P}^{15}$ the double covering of its (normalized) discriminant curve. This map is well-defined outside the codimension two locus in $T$ corresponding to conic bundles with non-nodal discriminant. Furthermore, $m=\rho \circ \mathfrak{d} \circ \tau: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{6}$, where we recall that $\tau\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)=\Delta_{T} \subset T$ is a nodal cubic curve. It follows that the intersection number of $m\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{6}$ with any divisor class on $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{6}$ is three times the intersection number of the corresponding class in $C H^{1}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{6}\right)$ with the curve of discriminants induced by a pencil of conic bundles in $\left|\vartheta_{\mathbf{P}}(2)\right|$. The latter numbers have been determined in Theorem 2.12.

Definition 3.4. - An irreducible variety $X$ is said to be swept by an irreducible curve $\Gamma$ on $X$, if $\Gamma$ flatly deforms in a family of curves $\left\{\Gamma_{t}\right\}_{t \in T}$ on $X$ such that for a general point $x \in X$, there exists $t \in T$ with $x \in \Gamma_{t}$.

The composition of the map $i: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}$ with the projection $\tilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{6}$ is the map $m: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{6}$ discussed in Proposition 3.3. We discuss the numerical properties of $i$ :

Proposition 3.5. - The moduli map $i: \boldsymbol{P}^{1} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}$ induced by the pointed family of Prym curves

$$
\left(q_{\boldsymbol{P}^{1}}: Z \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{1}, \tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{5}: \boldsymbol{P}^{1} \rightarrow Z\right)
$$

sweeps the five-fold product $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{5}$. Furthermore $i\left(\boldsymbol{P}^{1}\right) \cdot \psi_{x_{j}}=9$, for $j=1, \ldots, 5$.
Proof. - For $1 \leq j \leq 4$, the image of the section $\tilde{\tau}_{j}:=\nu_{Z} \circ \tau_{j}: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow Z$ is the curve

$$
L_{j}:=\left\{\left(o_{j}, y_{j}(\ell), \nu(\ell)\right) \in \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \times T: \ell \in \mathbf{P}^{1}\right\},
$$

where $y_{j}(\ell)=\ell_{j} \cap m_{j}(\ell)$, with $m_{j}(\ell)$ being the line in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ defined by the equality of cycles $Q_{\ell} \cdot\left(\left\{o_{j}\right\} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)=\left\{o_{j}\right\} \times\left(\ell_{j}+m_{j}(\ell)\right)$. Here, recall that $\ell \in \mathbf{P}^{1}=\mathbf{P}\left(T_{o}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right)$, is a point corresponding to a line in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ passing through $o$. In particular, noting that by the adjunction formula $\omega_{Z}=\theta_{Z}\left(3 h_{1}+3 h_{3}\right)$, we compute that $L_{j} \cdot h_{1}=0$ and $L_{j} \cdot h_{3}=3$, hence $L_{j} \cdot \omega_{Z}=L_{j} \cdot\left(3 h_{1}+3 h_{3}\right)=9$.

By definition $i\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \cdot \psi_{x_{j}}=\tau_{j}^{*}\left(c_{1}\left(\omega_{q_{\mathbf{P}}}\right)\right)$. To evaluate the dualizing class, we note that $\omega_{q_{\mathbf{P} 1}}=\omega_{Z} \otimes q_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}^{*}\left(T_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}\right)$, therefore $\operatorname{deg} \tau_{j}^{*}\left(c_{1}\left(\omega_{q_{\mathbf{P}_{1}}}\right)\right)=\operatorname{deg} \tau_{j}^{*}\left(\omega_{Z}\right)+2$. Furthermore,

$$
\nu_{Z}^{*}\left(\omega_{Z}\right)=\omega_{Z} \otimes \Theta_{Z}\left(q_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}^{-1}\left(\ell_{0}\right)+q_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}^{-1}\left(m_{0}\right)+D\right),
$$

where $D \subset Z$ is a curve disjoint from $\nu_{Z}^{-1}\left(L_{j}\right)$. We compute that

$$
\operatorname{deg} \tau_{j}^{*}\left(\omega_{Z}\right)=\operatorname{deg} \tilde{\tau}_{j}^{*}\left(\omega_{Z}\right)-\operatorname{deg} \tau_{j}^{*} q_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}^{*}\left(\ell_{0}\right)-\operatorname{deg} \tau_{j}^{*} q_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}^{*}\left(m_{0}\right)=\omega_{Z} \cdot L_{j}-2,
$$

and finally, $i\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}\right) \cdot \psi_{x_{j}}=\omega_{Z} \cdot L_{j}=9$. The calculation of $i\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \cdot \psi_{x_{5}}$ is largely similar and we skip it.

Proof of the claim. - We show that $q^{\prime}: Q \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ is a Lefschetz family, that is, it consists entirely of ordinary conic bundles. For $1 \leq j \leq 4$, let $\ell_{j}^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{P}$ be the inverse image of the line $\left\{o_{j}\right\} \times \ell_{j}$ under the map $u: \mathbf{P} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ and set $W:=\left|\mathcal{I}_{\left\{\ell_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \ell_{4}^{\prime}\right\}}(2)\right| \subset\left|\vartheta_{\mathbf{P}}(2)\right|$. The net $T:=T_{o}$ of conic bundles passing through the point $(o, o) \in \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ is a plane in $W$. Let $\Delta_{\text {no }}$ denote the locus of non-ordinary conic bundles $Q \in W$. We aim to show that $\Delta_{\text {no }} \cap \Delta_{T_{o}}=\varnothing$, for a general point $o \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$.

We consider the incidence correspondence

$$
\Sigma:=\left\{(Q,(o, \ell)) \in \Delta_{\mathrm{no}} \times \mathbf{G}:\{o\} \times \ell \subset u(Q), o \in \ell\right\}
$$

together with the projection map $p_{1}: \Sigma \rightarrow \Delta_{\text {no }}$. Over a conic bundle $Q \in \Delta_{\text {no }}$ for which the image $u(Q) \subset \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ is transversal to a general fibre $\{o\} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$, the fibre $p_{1}^{-1}(Q)$ is finite. To account for the conic bundles not enjoying this property, we define $\Delta_{h r}$ to be the union of the irreducible components of $\Delta_{\text {no }}$ consisting of conic bundles $Q \in W$ such that the branch locus of $Q \rightarrow S$ is equal to $S$.

To conclude that $\Delta_{\mathrm{no}} \cap \Delta_{T_{o}}=\varnothing$ for a general $o \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$, it suffices to show that (1) $\Delta_{\mathrm{no}}$ has codimension at least 2 in $W$, and (2) $\Delta_{\mathrm{hr}}$ has codimension at least 3 in $W$. The next two lemmas are devoted to the proof of these assertions.

Lemma 3.6. $-\Delta_{\text {no }}$ has codimension at least 2 in $W$.

Proof. - We have established that $h: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{4}$ is a morphism of degree two. We claim that the 4 lines $l_{i}:=h\left(\ell_{i}^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathbf{P}^{4}$ are general, in the sense that $V:=\left|\mathcal{S}_{\left\{l_{1}, \ldots, l_{4}\right\}}(2)\right|$ is a net of quadrics. Granting this and denoting by $L_{i j} \in H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{4}, \vartheta_{\mathbf{P}^{4}}(1)\right)$ the linear form vanishing along $l_{i} \cup l_{j}$, the space $V$ is generated by the quadrics $L_{12} \cdot L_{34}, L_{13} \cdot L_{24}$ and $L_{14} \cdot L_{23}$ respectively. The base locus bs $|V|$ of the net is a degenerate canonical curve of genus 5 , which is a union of 8 lines, namely $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{4}$ and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{4}$, where if $\{1,2,3,4\}=\{i, j, k, l\}$, then the line $b_{l} \subset \mathbf{P}^{4}$ is the common transversal to the lines $l_{i}, l_{j}$ and $l_{k}$. Then by direct calculation, the pull-back $P$ of a general pencil in $V$ is a Lefschetz family of conic budles in $\left|\theta_{\mathbf{P}}(2)\right|$. Since $P \cap \Delta_{\mathrm{no}}=\varnothing$, it follows that $\operatorname{codim}\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{no}}, W\right) \geq 2$. It remains to show that the lines $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{4}$ are general. To that end, we observe that the construction can be reversed. Four general lines $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{4} \in \mathbf{G}(1,4) \subset \mathbf{P}^{9}$ define a codimension 4 linear section $S^{\prime}$ of $\mathbf{G}(1,4)$ which is isomorphic to $S$. The projectivized universal bundle $\mathbf{P}^{\prime} \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ is a copy of $\mathbf{P}$ and the projection $h^{\prime}: \mathbf{P}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{4}$ is the tautological map. This completes the proof.

The second lemma follows from a direct analysis in $\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$.
Lemma 3.7. - $\Delta_{\mathrm{hr}}$ has codimension at least 3 in $W$.
Proof. - If $Q$ is a general element of an irreducible component of $\Delta_{\mathrm{hr}}$, then the discriminant locus of the projection $p: Q \rightarrow S$ equals $S$, and necessarily $Q=D+D^{\prime}$, where $p(D)=p\left(D^{\prime}\right)=S$. By a dimension count, it follows that $W$ contains only finitely many elements $Q \in \Delta_{\mathrm{hr}}$, such that $D, D^{\prime} \in\left|\theta_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right|$, and assume that we are not in this case.

Recall that $h^{\prime}: \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{4}$ is the map defined by $\left|\mathcal{I}_{\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{4}\right\}}(1,1)\right|$. The case when both $u(D), u\left(D^{\prime}\right) \in\left|\mathscr{I}_{\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{4}\right\}}(1,1)\right|$ having been excluded, we may assume that one of the components of $u(Q)$, say $u(D) \subset \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$, has type $(0,1)$. In particular, $u(D)=\mathbf{P}^{2} \times n$, where $n \subset \mathbf{P}^{2}$ is a line. Observe that $u(D)$ has degree three in the Segre embedding $\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2} \subset \mathbf{P}^{8}$ and the base scheme of $\left|\mathscr{I}_{\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{4}\right\}}(1,1)\right|$ consists of the simple points $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{4}$. Since $h^{\prime}(D)$ lies on a quadric, it follows $u(D) \cap\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{4}\right\} \neq \varnothing$, therefore we have $u_{i} \in n$ for some $i$, say $i=4$. Since the lines $\left\{o_{i}\right\} \times \ell_{i}$ are general, they do not lie on $u(D)$, for $\ell_{i} \neq n$. Hence $u\left(D^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}$ is a $(2,1)$ hypersurface which contains $\left\{o_{1}\right\} \times \ell_{1}, \ldots\left\{o_{4}\right\} \times \ell_{4}$, is singular at $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}$ and such that $w_{4} \in u\left(D^{\prime}\right)$. This contradicts the generality of the lines $\left\{o_{i}\right\} \times \ell_{i}$.

## 4. The slope of $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$

For $g \geq 2$, let $\overline{\mathscr{Q}}_{g}$ be the first Voronoi compactification of $\mathscr{Q}_{g}$ — this is the toroidal compactification of $\mathscr{Q}_{g}$ constructed using the perfect fan decomposition, see [20]. The rational Picard group of $\overline{\mathscr{Q}}_{g}$ has rank 2 and it is generated by the first Chern class $\lambda_{1}$ of the Hodge bundle and the class of the irreducible boundary divisor $D=D_{g}:=\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{g}-\mathscr{\varkappa}_{g}$. Following Mumford [18], we consider the moduli space $\widetilde{\mathscr{G}}_{g}$ of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension $g$ together with their rank 1 degenerations. Precisely, if $\xi: \overline{\mathscr{Q}}_{g} \rightarrow \mathscr{Q}_{g}^{s}=\mathscr{C}_{g} \sqcup \mathscr{C}_{g-1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathscr{G}_{1} \sqcup \mathscr{C}_{0}$ is the projection from the toroidal to the Satake compactification of $\mathscr{Q}_{g}$, then

$$
\widetilde{\mathscr{G}}_{g}:=\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{g}-\xi^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{j=2}^{g} \mathscr{G}_{g-j}\right):=\mathscr{G}_{g} \sqcup \widetilde{D}_{g},
$$

where $\widetilde{D}_{g}$ is an open dense subvariety of $D_{g}$ isomorphic to the universal Kummer variety $\operatorname{Kum}\left(\chi_{g-1}\right):=\chi_{g-1} / \pm$. Furthermore, if $\phi: \widetilde{\chi}_{g-1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{G}}_{g-1}$ is the extended universal abelian variety, there exists a degree two morphism $j: \widetilde{\chi}_{g-1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathscr{q}}_{g}$, extending the Kummer $\operatorname{map} \widetilde{\chi}_{g-1} \xrightarrow{2: 1} \widetilde{D}_{g}$. The geometry of the boundary divisor $\partial \widetilde{\chi}_{g-1}=\phi^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Kum}\left(\chi_{g-2}\right)\right)$ is discussed in [13] and [8]. In particular, $\operatorname{codim}\left(j\left(\partial \widetilde{\chi}_{g-1}\right), \overline{\mathscr{C}}_{g}\right)=2$. As usual, let $\mathbb{E}_{g}$ denote the Hodge bundle on $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{g}$.

Denoting by $\varphi: \widetilde{\mathscr{y}}_{g} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{R}}_{g}$ the universal Prym variety restricted to the partial compactification $\widetilde{\mathscr{R}}_{g}$ of $\overline{\mathscr{R}}_{g}$ introduced in Section 3, we have the following commutative diagram summarizing the situation, where the lower horizontal arrow is the Prym map:


Furthermore, let us denote by $\theta \in C H^{1}\left(\tilde{\chi}_{g-1}\right)$ the class of the universal theta divisor trivialized along the zero section and by $\theta_{\mathrm{pr}}:=\chi^{*}(\theta) \in C H^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{y}}_{g}\right)$ the Prym theta divisor. The following formulas have been pointed out to us by Sam Grushevsky:

Proposition 4.1. - The following relations at the level of divisor classes hold:

1. $j^{*}([D])=-2 \theta+\phi^{*}\left(\left[D_{g-1}\right]\right) \in C H^{1}\left(\widetilde{\chi}_{g-1}\right)$.
2. $(j \circ \chi)^{*}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=\varphi^{*}\left(\lambda-\frac{1}{4} \delta_{0}^{\mathrm{ram}}\right) \in C H^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{Y}}_{\underline{g}}\right)$.
3. $(j \circ \chi)^{*}([D])=-2 \theta_{\mathrm{pr}}+\varphi^{*}\left(\delta_{0}^{\prime}\right) \in C H^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{Y}}_{g}\right)$.

Proof. - At the level of the restriction $j: \chi_{g-1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathscr{G}}_{g}$, the formula

$$
j^{*}(D) \equiv-2 \theta \in C H^{1}\left(\chi_{g-1}\right)
$$

is proven in [18] Proposition 1.8. To extend this calculation to $\widetilde{\chi}_{g-1}$, it suffices to observe that the boundary divisor $\partial \widetilde{\chi}_{g-1}=\phi^{*}\left(\widetilde{D}_{g-1}\right)$ is mapped under $j$ to the locus in $\overline{\mathscr{Q}}_{g}$ parametrizing rank 2 degenerations and it will appear with multiplicity one in $j^{*}(D)$.

To establish relation (ii), we observe that $j^{*}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=\phi^{*}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$, where we use the same symbol to denote the Hodge class on $\mathscr{Q}_{g}$ and that on $\mathscr{C}_{g-1}$. Indeed, there exists an exact sequence of vector bundles on $\tilde{\chi}_{g}$, see also [13] p.74:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \phi^{*}\left(\mathbb{E}_{g-1}\right) \longrightarrow j^{*}\left(\mathbb{E}_{g}\right) \longrightarrow \Theta_{\tilde{\chi}_{g-1}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

It follows that $\chi^{*} j^{*}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=\varphi^{*} P^{*}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=\varphi^{*}\left(\lambda-\frac{1}{4} \delta_{0}^{\mathrm{ram}}\right)$, where $P^{*}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=\lambda-\frac{1}{4} \delta_{0}^{\mathrm{ram}}$, see $[10,14]$. Finally, (iii) is a consequence of (i) and of the relation $P^{*}\left(\left[\widetilde{D}_{g-1}\right]\right)=\delta_{0}^{\prime}$, see [14].

Assume now that $g$ is an even integer and let $\tilde{\pi}: \widetilde{\mathscr{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{g}$ be the universal curve of genus $2 g-1$, that is, $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}=\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2 g-1,1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2 g-1} \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{g}$, and $\pi: \overline{\mathscr{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{g}$ the universal curve of genus $g$, that is, $\overline{\mathscr{C}}=\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 1} \times \overline{\mathscr{M}}_{g} \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{g}$. There is a degree two map $f: \widetilde{\mathscr{C}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathscr{C}}$ unramified in codimension one and an involution $\iota: \widetilde{\mathscr{C}} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}$, such that $f \circ \iota=f$. Note that $\omega_{\tilde{\pi}}=f^{*}\left(\omega_{\pi}\right)$.
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We consider the global Abel-Prym map ap : $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{g-1} \xrightarrow{ } \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{Y}}_{g}$, defined by $\mathfrak{a p}\left(\widetilde{C} / C, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{g-1}\right):=\left(\widetilde{C} / C, \Theta_{\widetilde{C}}\left(x_{1}-\iota\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+x_{g-2}-\iota\left(x_{g-2}\right)+2 x_{g-1}-2 \iota\left(x_{g-1}\right)\right)\right)$.

Remark 4.2. - We recall that if $\widetilde{C} \rightarrow C$ is an étale double cover and $\iota: \widetilde{C} \rightarrow \widetilde{C}$ the induced involution, then the Prym variety $P(\widetilde{C} / C) \subset \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\widetilde{C})$ can be realized as the locus of line bundles $\theta_{\widetilde{C}}(E-\iota(E))$, where $E$ is a divisor on $\widetilde{C}$ having even degree, see [2]. Furthermore, for a general point $[\widetilde{C} \rightarrow C] \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{g}$, where $g \geq 3$, and for an integer $1 \leq n \leq g-1$, the difference map $\widetilde{C}_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\widetilde{C})$ given by $E \mapsto \Theta_{\widetilde{C}}(E-\iota(E))$ is generically finite. In particular, for even $g$, the locus

$$
Z_{g-2}(\widetilde{C} / C):=\left\{\Theta_{\widetilde{C}}(E-\iota(E)): E \in \widetilde{C}_{g-2}\right\}
$$

is a divisor inside $P(\widetilde{C} / C)$. We refer to $Z_{g-2}(\widetilde{C} / C)$ as the top difference Prym variety.
One computes the pull-back of the universal theta divisor under the Abel-Prym map. Recall that $\psi_{x_{1}}, \ldots, \psi_{x_{g-1}} \in C H^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{g-1}\right)$ are the cotangent classes corresponding to the marked points on the curves of genus $2 g-1$.

Proposition 4.3. - For even $g$, if $\mu=\varphi \circ \mathfrak{a p}: \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{g-1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{R}}_{g}$ denotes the projection map, one has

$$
\mathfrak{a p}^{*}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{pr}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{g-2} \psi_{x_{j}}+2 \psi_{x_{g-1}}+0 \cdot\left(\lambda+\mu^{*}\left(\delta_{0}^{\prime}+\delta_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\delta_{0}^{\mathrm{ram}}\right)\right)-\cdots \in C H^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{g-1}\right) .
$$

Proof. - We factor the map $\mathfrak{p p}: \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{g-1} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{y}}_{g}$ as $\mathfrak{a p}=\mathfrak{a j o} \Delta$, where $\Delta: \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{g-1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{2 g-2}$ is defined by $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{g-1}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{g-1}, \iota\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \iota\left(x_{g-1}\right)\right)$ and $\mathfrak{a j}: \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{2 g-2} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{P i c}_{2 g-1}^{0}}$ is the difference Abel-Jacobi map between the first and the last $g-1$ marked points on each curve into the universal Jacobian of degree zero over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2 g-1}$ respectively. There is a generically injective rational map $\widetilde{\mathscr{Y}}_{g} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{\mathfrak{P i c}}_{2 g-1}^{0}$, which globalizes the usual inclusion $P(\widetilde{C} / C) \subset \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\widetilde{C})$ valid for each Prym curve $[\widetilde{C} \rightarrow C] \in \mathcal{R}_{g}$. Using [15] Theorem 6, one computes the pull-back $\mathfrak{a j}{ }^{*}\left(\theta_{2 g-1}\right) \in C H^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{2 g-2}\right)$ of the universal theta divisor $\theta_{2 g-1}$ on $\overline{\mathfrak{P i c}}_{2 g-1}^{0}$ trivialized along the zero section. Remarkably, the coefficient of $\lambda$, as well as that of the $\delta_{0}^{\prime}, \delta_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\delta_{0}^{\text {ram }}$ classes in this expression, are all zero. This is then pulled back to $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{g-1}$ keeping in mind that the pull-back of $\theta_{2 g-1}$ to $\widetilde{y}_{g}$ is equal to $2 \theta_{\mathrm{pr}}$. Using the formulas $\Delta^{*}\left(\psi_{x_{j}}\right)=\Delta^{*}\left(\psi_{y_{j}}\right)=\psi_{x_{j}}$, and $\Delta^{*}\left(\delta_{0: x_{i} y_{j}}\right)=\delta_{0: x_{i} x_{j}}$, as well as $\Delta^{*}\left(\delta_{0: y_{i} y_{j}}\right)=\delta_{0: x_{i} x_{j}}$, we conclude.

Remark 4.4. - The other boundary coefficients of $\mathfrak{a p}{ }^{*}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{pr}}\right) \in C H^{1}\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{g-1}\right)$ can be determined explicitly, but play no role in our future considerations.

Remark 4.5. - Restricting ourselves to even $g$, we consider the restricted (nondominant) Abel-Prym map $\mathfrak{a p}_{g-2}: \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{g-2} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{y}}_{g}$ given by

$$
\mathfrak{a p}_{g-2}\left(\widetilde{C} / C, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{g-2}\right):=\left(\widetilde{C} / C, \theta_{\widetilde{C}}\left(\left(x_{1}-\iota\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+x_{g-2}-\iota\left(x_{g-2}\right)\right)\right),\right.
$$

and obtain the formula: $\mathfrak{a p}_{g-2}^{*}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{pr}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{g-2} \psi_{x_{j}}+0 \cdot\left(\lambda+\mu^{*}\left(\delta_{0}^{\prime}+\delta_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\delta_{0}^{\mathrm{ram}}\right)\right)-\cdots$.
The image of $\mathfrak{a p}{ }_{g-2}$ is a divisor $Z_{g-2}$ on $\widetilde{\mathscr{Y}}_{g}$ characterized by the property

$$
\left.\left(Z_{g-2}\right)\right|_{P(\widetilde{C} / C)}=Z_{g-2}(\widetilde{C} / C)
$$

for each $[\widetilde{C} \rightarrow C] \in \mathcal{R}_{g}$. In other words, $Z_{g-2}$ is the divisor cutting out on each Prym variety the top difference variety. A similar difference variety inside the universal Jacobian over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ has been studied in [11]. Specializing to the case $g=6$, the locus

$$
\mathcal{U}_{4}:=\overline{(j \circ \chi)\left(Z_{4}\right)} \subset \overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}
$$

is a codimension two cycle on $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$, which will appear as an obstruction for an effective divisor on $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$ to have small slope.

We use these considerations to bound from below the slope of $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$.

Proof of Theorem 0.4. - We have seen that the boundary divisor $D_{6}$ of $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$ is filled up by rational curves $h: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow D_{6}$ constructed in Theorem 3.5 by pushing forward the sweeping rational curve $i: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{C}^{5}$ of discriminants of a pencil of conic bundles. In particular, $\gamma:=h_{*}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \in N E_{1}\left(\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}\right)$ is an effective class that intersects every non-boundary effective divisor on $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$ non-negatively. We compute using Propositions 4.1 and 4.3:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma \cdot \lambda_{1}=i_{*}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \cdot \mu^{*}\left(\lambda-\frac{1}{4} \delta_{0}^{\mathrm{ram}}\right)=6 \cdot 9-\frac{3 \cdot 32}{4}=30, \text { and } \\
\gamma \cdot\left[D_{6}\right]=-i_{*}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{j=1}^{4} \psi_{x_{j}}+4 \psi_{x_{5}}\right)+i_{*}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \cdot \mu^{*}\left(\delta_{0}^{\prime}\right)=-8 \cdot 9+3 \cdot 77=159 .
\end{gathered}
$$

We obtain the bound $s\left(\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}\right) \geq \frac{\gamma \cdot\left[D_{6}\right]}{\gamma \cdot \lambda_{1}}=\frac{53}{10}$.
For effective divisors on $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$ transversal to $U_{4}$, we obtain a better slope bound:

Theorem 4.6. - If $E$ is an effective divisor on $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$ not containing the universal codimension two Prym difference variety $\mathcal{U}_{4} \subset \overline{\mathscr{C}}_{6}$, then $s(E) \geq \frac{13}{2}$.

Proof. - We consider the family $\left(q_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}: Z \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}, \tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{4}: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow Z\right)$ obtained from the construction explained in Theorem 3.5, where we retain only the first four sections. We obtain an induced moduli map $i_{4}: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathscr{C}}^{4}$. Pushing $i_{4}$ forward via the AbelPrym map, we obtain a curve $h_{4}: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{4} \subset \overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$, which fills up the locus $\mathscr{U}_{4}$. Thus $\gamma_{4}:=\left(h_{4}\right)_{*}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right) \in N E_{1}\left(\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}\right)$ is an effective class which intersects non-negatively any effective divisor on $\overline{\mathscr{G}}_{6}$ not containing $\mathscr{U}_{4}$. We compute using Theorems 3.3 and 3.5:

$$
\gamma_{4} \cdot \lambda_{1}=\gamma \cdot \lambda_{1}=30 \text { and } \gamma_{4} \cdot\left[D_{6}\right]=-4 \cdot 9+3 \cdot 77=195 .
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ We shall soon establish that $\mathscr{P}_{6}$ is irreducible, but here we just require that $\rho(\Gamma, \eta)$ be a general point of the irreducible variety $\mathcal{R}_{6}$.
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