# $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ IS NOT OF GENERAL TYPE 
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Abstract. We prove that the moduli space of curves of genus 16 is not of general type.
The problem of determining the nature of the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ of stable curves of genus $g$ has long been one of the key questions in the field, motivating important developments in moduli theory. Severi [Sev] observed that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ is unirational for $g \leq 10$, see [AC] for a modern presentation. Much later, in the celebrated series of papers [HM], [H], [EH], Harris, Mumford and Eisenbud showed that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ is of general type for $g \geq 24$. Very recently, it has been showed in [FJP] that both $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{22}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}$ are of general type. On the other hand, due to work of Sernesi [Ser], Chang-Ran [CR1], [CR2] and Verra [Ve] it is known that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ is unirational also for $11 \leq g \leq 14$. Finally, Bruno and Verra [BV] proved that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15}$ is rationally connected. Our result is the following:

Theorem 1. The moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ of stable curves of genus 16 is not of general type.
Note that 16 is the highest genus for which it is known that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ is not of general type. We further refer to Tseng's recent paper [Ts] for further details on the convoluted history of determining the Kodaira dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$.

Before explaining our strategy of proving Theorem 1, recall the standard notation $\Delta_{0}, \ldots, \Delta_{\left\lfloor\frac{g}{2}\right\rfloor}$ for the irreducible boundary divisors on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$, see [HM]. Here $\Delta_{0}$ denotes the closure in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ of the locus of irreducible 1-nodal curves of arithmetic genus $g$. Our approach relies on the explicit uniruled parametrization of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15}$ found by Bruno and Verra [BV]. Their work establishes that through a general point of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15}$ there passes not only a rational curve, but in fact a rational surface. This extra degree of freedom, yields a uniruled parametrization of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15,2}$, therefore also a parametrization the boundary divisor $\Delta_{0}$ inside $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$. We show the following:
Theorem 2. The boundary divisor $\Delta_{0}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ is uniruled and swept by a family of rational curves, whose general member $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta_{0}$ satisfies $\Gamma \cdot K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}}=0$ and $\Gamma \cdot \Delta_{0}>0$.

Assuming Theorem 2, we conclude that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ cannot be of general type, thus establishing Theorem 1. To that end, note first that in any effective representation of the canonical divisor

$$
K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}} \equiv \alpha \cdot \Delta_{0}+D,
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}>0$ and $D$ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ not containing $\Delta_{0}$ in its support, we must have $\alpha=0$. Indeed, we can choose the curve $\Gamma$ such that $\Gamma \nsubseteq D$, then we write

$$
0=\Gamma \cdot K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}}=\alpha \Gamma \cdot \Delta_{0}+\Gamma \cdot D \geq \alpha \Gamma \cdot \Delta_{0} \geq 0
$$

hence $\alpha=0$. Furthermore, since the singularities of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ do not impose adjunction conditions [HM, Theorem 1], $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ is a variety of general type for a given $g \geq 4$ if and
only if the canonical class $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}}$ is a big divisor class, that is, it can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}} \equiv A+E \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor and $E$ is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor respectively. Assume that $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}}$ can be written like in (1). It has already been observed that $\Delta_{0} \nsubseteq \operatorname{supp}(E)$, in particular $\Gamma \cdot E \geq 0$. Using Kleiman's ampleness criterion, $\Gamma \cdot A>0$, which yields the immediate contradiction $0=\Gamma \cdot K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}}=\Gamma \cdot A+\Gamma \cdot E \geq \Gamma \cdot A>0$.

We are left therefore with proving Theorem 2, which is what we do in the rest of the paper. The rational curve $\Gamma$ constructed in Theorem 2 is the moduli curve corresponding to an appropriate pencil of curves of genus 15 on a certain canonical surface $S \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{6}$. Establishing that this pencil can be chosen in such a way to contain only stable curves will take up most of Section 2.

## 1. The Bruno-Verra parametrization of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15}$

The parametrization of the boundary divisor $\Delta_{0}$ of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ and the proof of Theorem 2 uses several results from [BV], which we now recall. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_{15,9}$ the Hurwitz space parametrizing degree 9 covers $C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ having simple ramification, where $C$ is a smooth curve of genus 15 . Then $\mathcal{H}_{15,9}$ is birational to the parameter space $\mathcal{G}_{15,9}^{1}$ classifying pairs $(C, A)$, where $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{15}$ and $A \in W_{9}^{1}(C)$ is a pencil. By residuation, $\mathcal{G}_{15,9}^{1}$ is isomorphic to the parameter space $\mathcal{G}_{15,19}^{6}$ of pairs $[C, L]$, where $C$ is a smooth curve of genus 15 and $L \in W_{19}^{6}(C)$. Note that the general fibre of the forgetful map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: \mathcal{H}_{15,9} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{15}, \quad[C, A] \mapsto[C] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is 1-dimensional. Clearly, $\mathcal{H}_{15,9}$ and thus $\mathcal{G}_{15,19}^{6}$ is irreducible.
We pick a general element $[C, L] \in \mathcal{G}_{15,19}^{6}$, in particular $L$ is very ample and $h^{0}(C, L)=7$. We set $A:=\omega_{C} \otimes L^{\vee} \in W_{9}^{1}(C)$. We may assume that $A$ is base point free and the pencil $|A|$ has simple ramification. We consider the multiplication map

$$
\phi_{L}: \operatorname{Sym}^{2} H^{0}(C, L) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C, L^{2}\right)
$$

Since $C$ is Petri general, $h^{1}\left(C, L^{2}\right)=0$, therefore $h^{0}\left(C, L^{2}\right)=2 \cdot 19+1-15=24$. Furthermore, via a degeneration argument it is shown in [BV, Theorem 3.11], that for a general choice of $(C, L)$, the map $\phi_{L}$ is surjective, hence $h^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{6}, \mathcal{I}_{C / \mathbf{P}^{6}}(2)\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker}\left(\phi_{L}\right)\right)=4$, that is, the degree 19 curve $C \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{6}$ lies on precisely 4 independent quadrics. We let

$$
\begin{equation*}
S:=\operatorname{Bs}\left|\mathcal{I}_{C / \mathbf{P}^{6}}(2)\right| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the base locus of the system of quadrics containing $C$. It is further established in [BV, Theorem 3.11] that under our generality assumptions, $S$ is a smooth surface. From the adjunction formula it follows that $\omega_{S}=\mathcal{O}_{S}(1)$, that is, $S$ is a canonical surface. We write down the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}(C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}(C) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the adjunction formula $\mathcal{O}_{C}(C) \cong \omega_{C} \otimes \omega_{S \mid C}^{\vee}=\omega_{C} \otimes L^{\vee}=A \in W_{9}^{1}(C)$. Since $S$ is a regular surface, by taking cohomology in (4), we obtain

$$
h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right)=h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}\right)+h^{0}(C, A)=3 .
$$

Observe also from the sequence (4) that the linear system $\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right|$ is base point free, for $\left|\mathcal{O}_{C}(C)\right|=|A|$ is so. This brings to an end our summary of the results from [BV].

In what follows, we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f: S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}=\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right|^{\vee} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the induced map. For what we intend to do, it is important to show that $f$ is a finite map, or equivalently, that $\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)$ is ample.
Theorem 3. For a general pair $(C, A) \in \mathcal{H}_{15,9}$, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)$ is ample.
In order to prove Theorem 3 it suffices to exhibit a single pair $(C, A) \in \mathcal{H}_{15,9}$ for which the corresponding map $f: S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ given by (5) is finite. We shall realize the canonical surface $S \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{6}$ as the double cover of a suitable $K 3$ surface $Y \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{5}$ of genus 5 (that is, of degree 8). It will prove advantageous to consider $K 3$ surfaces having a certain Picard lattice of rank 3. We first discuss the geometry of such $K 3$ surfaces.

Definition 4. We denote by $\Lambda$ the even lattice of signature $(1,2)$ generated by elements $\bar{H}, \bar{F}$ and $\bar{R}$ having the following intersection matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{H}^{2} & \bar{H} \cdot \bar{F} & \bar{H} \cdot \bar{R} \\
\bar{F} \cdot \bar{H} & \bar{F}^{2} & \bar{F} \cdot \bar{R} \\
\bar{R} \cdot \bar{H} & \bar{R} \cdot \bar{F} & \bar{R}^{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
8 & 9 & 1 \\
9 & 4 & 2 \\
1 & 2 & -2
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We denote by $\mathcal{F}_{5}^{\Lambda}$ the moduli space of polarized $K 3$ surfaces $[Y, \bar{H}]$, where $\bar{H}^{2}=8$, admitting a primitive embedding $\Lambda \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(Y)$, such that the classes $\bar{H}, \bar{F}, \bar{R}$ correspond to curve classes on $Y$ which we denote by the same symbol. Furthermore, $\bar{H} \in \operatorname{Pic}(Y)$ is assumed to be ample.

For details on the construction of the moduli space $\mathcal{F}_{5}^{\Lambda}$ we refer to [Do, Section 3]. It follows from loc.cit. that $\mathcal{F}_{5}^{\Lambda}$ is an irreducible variety of dimension $17=20-\mathrm{rk}(\Lambda)$. Let us now fix a general element $[Y, \bar{H}]$, where $\operatorname{Pic}(Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle\bar{H}, \bar{F}, \bar{R}\rangle$ as in Definition 4. Then $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(\bar{H})$ is very ample and we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\bar{H}}: Y \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{5} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

the embedding induced by this linear system. Observe that $h^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{5}, \mathcal{I}_{Y / \mathbf{P}^{5}}(2)\right)=3$ and that $Y=\mathrm{Bs}\left|\mathcal{I}_{Y / \mathbf{P}^{5}}(2)\right|$ is in fact a complete intersection of three quadrics. Note that $\bar{F} \subseteq Y$ is a curve of genus 3 , whereas $\bar{R} \subseteq Y$ is a smooth rational curve embedded as a line under the map $\varphi_{\bar{H}}$. The class $\bar{E}:=2 \bar{H}-\bar{F}$ satisfies $\bar{E}^{2}=0$. Since $\bar{E} \cdot \bar{H}=7>0$, it follows that $|\bar{E}|$ is an elliptic pencil and furthermore $\bar{E} \cdot \bar{R}=0$. Setting also

$$
\bar{D}:=2 \bar{H}+\bar{R}-\bar{E} \in \operatorname{Pic}(Y),
$$

we compute $\bar{D}^{2}=6, \bar{D} \cdot \bar{E}=14$ and $\bar{D} \cdot \bar{R}=0$. In the basis $(\bar{D}, \bar{E}, \bar{R})$ of $\operatorname{Pic}(Y)$, the intersection form on $Y$ is described by the following simpler matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{D}^{2} & \bar{D} \cdot \bar{E} & \bar{D} \cdot \bar{R}  \tag{7}\\
\bar{E} \cdot \bar{D} & \bar{E}^{2} & \bar{E} \cdot \bar{R} \\
\bar{R} \cdot \bar{D} & \bar{R} \cdot \bar{E} & \bar{R}^{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
6 & 14 & 0 \\
14 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -2
\end{array}\right) .
$$

On our way to proving Theorem 3, we establish the following result:
Proposition 5. The line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(\bar{F})$ is very ample.

Proof. We first claim that $\bar{F}$ is nef. Since $\bar{F}^{2}=4>0$, it suffices to check that for any smooth rational curve $\bar{\Gamma} \subseteq Y$, one has $\bar{\Gamma} \cdot \bar{F} \geq 0$. We write $\bar{\Gamma} \equiv a \bar{D}+b \bar{E}+c \bar{R}$, where $a, b$ and $c$ are integers. We may assume $\bar{\Gamma} \neq \bar{R}$, thus $\bar{\Gamma} \cdot \bar{R} \geq 0$, implying $c \leq 0$. Furthermore, $\bar{\Gamma} \cdot \bar{E} \geq 0$, hence $a \geq 0$. Using (7), one has $\bar{\Gamma}^{2}=6 a^{2}-2 c^{2}+28 a b=-2$. Assume by contradiction $\bar{\Gamma} \cdot \bar{F}=\bar{\Gamma} \cdot(\bar{D}-\bar{R})=6 a+14 b+2 c \leq-2$. Multiplying this inequality with $2 a \geq 0$ and substituting in the equality $\bar{\Gamma}^{2}=-2$ we obtain that $(a+c)^{2}+2 a^{2}+2 a-1 \geq 0$, implying $a=0$ and $c \in\{-1,1\}$. If, say $c=1$, then $\bar{\Gamma} \equiv \bar{R}+b \bar{E}$. From the assumption $\bar{\Gamma} \cdot \bar{F} \leq-2$, we obtain that $b \leq-1$, hence $\bar{\Gamma} \cdot \bar{H}<0$, thus $\bar{\Gamma}$ cannot be effective, a contradiction. The case $c=-1$, implying $b \leq 0$ is ruled out similarly

Thus $\bar{F}$ is a nef curve. To conclude that $\bar{F}$ is very ample, we invoke [SD]. It suffices to rule out the existence of a divisor class $\bar{M} \in \operatorname{Pic}(Y)$ such that (i) $\bar{M}^{2}=0$ and $\bar{M} \cdot \bar{F} \in\{1,2\}$, or satisfying (ii) $\bar{M}^{2}=-2$ and $\bar{M} \cdot \bar{F}=0$. We discuss only (i), the remaining case being similar. Write $\bar{M}=a \bar{D}+b \bar{E}+c \bar{R}$. Since $\bar{M}^{2}=0$, from (7) we obtain $3 a^{2}-c^{2}+14 a b=0$, whereas from $\bar{M} \cdot \bar{F}=2$, we obtain that $3 a+7 b+c=1$. Eliminating $c$, we find $6 a^{2}+a(28 b-6)+49 b^{2}-14 b+1=0$. Since the discriminant of this equation is negative, this case is excluded. We conclude that $\bar{F}$ is very ample.

We fix a general polarized $K 3$ surface $[Y, \bar{H}] \in \mathcal{F}_{5}^{\Lambda}$, while keeping the notation from above. Choose a smooth divisor $\bar{Q} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Y}(2 \bar{H})\right|$ and consider the double cover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma: S \rightarrow Y \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

branched along $\bar{Q}$. We denote by $Q \subseteq S$ the ramification divisor of $\sigma$, hence $\sigma^{*}(\bar{Q})=2 Q$. We set $H:=\sigma^{*}(\bar{H})$, where $\bar{H} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Y}(1)\right|$ is a linear section of $Y$. Note that $Q \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(H)\right|$.
Proposition 6. The induced morphism $\varphi_{H}: S \rightarrow \boldsymbol{P}^{6}$ embeds $S$ as a canonical surface which is the complete intersection of 4 quadrics in $\boldsymbol{P}^{6}$. More precisely, $S$ is a quadratic section of the cone $\mathcal{C}_{Y} \subseteq \boldsymbol{P}^{6}$ over the $K 3$ surface $Y \subseteq \boldsymbol{P}^{5}$.

Proof. From the adjunction formula we find $\omega_{S}=\mathcal{O}_{S}(Q)=\mathcal{O}_{S}(H)$. Furthermore, we have $\sigma_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}(-H)$, hence from the projection formula we can write

$$
H^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(H)\right) \cong H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(\bar{H})\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right) \cong H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(\bar{H})\right) \oplus \mathbb{C}\langle Q\rangle
$$

where recall that $Q \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(H)\right|$, as well as

$$
H^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(2 H)\right) \cong H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(2 \bar{H})\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(\bar{H})\right) \cdot Q
$$

Thus $h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(H)\right)=6$ and $h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(2 H)\right)=h^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(2)\right)+h^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(1)\right)=$ $2+2 \bar{H}^{2}+6=24$. Furthermore, $S \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{6}$ is projectively normal, so $h^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{6}, \mathcal{I}_{S / \mathbf{P}^{6}}(2)\right)=4$. Since clearly $S \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{Y}$, it follows that $S$ can be viewed as a quadratic section of the cone $\mathcal{C}_{Y}$, precisely the intersection of $\mathcal{C}_{Y}$ with one of the quadrics containing $S$ not lying in the subsystem $\left|\sigma^{*} H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{5}, \mathcal{I}_{Y / \mathbf{P}^{5}}(2)\right)\right|$.

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 3. We denote by Hilb ${ }_{15,19}$ the unique component of the Hilbert scheme of curves $C \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{6}$ of genus 15 and degree 19 dominating $\mathcal{M}_{15}$. A general point of Hilb ${ }_{15,19}$ corresponds to a smooth projectively normal curve $C \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{6}$ such that the canonical surface $S$ defined by (3) is smooth.
Proof of Theorem 3. We choose a $K 3$ surface $\left[Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(\bar{H}] \in \mathcal{F}_{5}^{A}\right.$ with $\operatorname{Pic}(Y)=\mathbb{Z}\langle\bar{H}, \bar{F}, \bar{R}\rangle$, where the intersection matrix is given as in Definition 4. The restriction map

$$
H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(2 \bar{H})\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\bar{R}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{R}}(2 \bar{H})\right)
$$

being surjective, we can choose a smooth curve $\bar{Q} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Y}(2 \bar{H})\right|$ which is tangent to $\bar{R}$, that is, $\bar{Q} \cdot \bar{R}=2 y$, for a point $y \in Y$. Construct the double cover $\sigma: S \rightarrow Y$ defined in (8). The pull-back $\sigma^{*}(\bar{R})$ is then a double cover of $\bar{R}$ branched over the single point $y$, hence necessarily

$$
\sigma^{*}(\bar{R})=R+R^{\prime} \subseteq S,
$$

where $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ are lines on $S \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{6}$ meeting at a single point. Next, we choose a smooth genus 3 curve $\bar{F} \subseteq Y$ general in its linear system and set

$$
C^{\prime}:=\sigma^{*}(\bar{F}) \subseteq S
$$

Since $\bar{F} \cdot \bar{Q}=2 \bar{F} \cdot \bar{H}=18$, we obtain that $C^{\prime}$ is a smooth curve of genus 14 and degree 18 endowed with the double cover $C^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{F}$. Note that the linear system

$$
\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right|=\pi^{*}\left|\mathcal{O}_{Y}(\bar{F})\right|
$$

is 3-dimensional. Applying Theorem 5, since $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(\bar{F})$ is ample and $\sigma$ is finite, we obtain that $\mathcal{O}_{S}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ is ample as well. Observe that $C^{\prime} \cdot R=\bar{F} \cdot \bar{R}=2$. Choosing $\bar{F}$ general in its linear system, we can arrange the intersection of $R$ and $C^{\prime}$ to be transverse, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
C:=C^{\prime}+R \subseteq S \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{6} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a nodal curve of genus 15 and degree 19. Note that the linear system $\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right|$ has $R$ as a fixed component, and $\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right|=R+\pi^{*}\left|\mathcal{O}_{Y}(\bar{F})\right|$.

Despite the fact that $\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right|$ is not ample, we can complete the proof of Theorem 3. Indeed, let us pick a general family $\left\{\left[C_{t} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{6}\right]\right\}_{t \in T} \subseteq$ Hilb $_{15,19}$ over a pointed base ( $T, o$ ), whose fibre over $o \in T$ is the curve $C$ described in (9). If $S_{t}=\mathrm{Bs}\left|\mathcal{I}_{C_{t} / \mathbf{P}^{6}}(2)\right|$, assume the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{S_{t}}\left(C_{t}\right)$ is not ample for each $t \in T$. As we have already observed, we may assume that $\mathcal{O}_{S_{t}}\left(C_{t}\right)$ is nef for all $t \in T$ and we denote by $f_{t}: S_{t} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ the map induced by the linear system $\left|\mathcal{O}_{S_{t}}\left(C_{t}\right)\right|$ for $t \in T \backslash\{o\}$. The limiting map of this family

$$
f_{o}: S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}
$$

satisfies then $f_{o}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(1)\right)=\mathcal{O}_{S}\left(R+C^{\prime}\right)$ and is induced by a subspace of sections $\sigma^{*}(V)$, where $V \subseteq H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(\bar{F})\right)$ is 3-dimensional. By assumption, there exists a family of curves $\Gamma_{t} \subseteq S_{t}$ such that $\Gamma_{t} \cdot C_{t}=0$. We denote by $\Gamma_{o} \subseteq S$ the limiting curve of $\Gamma_{t}$, therefore $\Gamma_{o} \cdot\left(C^{\prime}+R\right)=0$. We write $\Gamma_{o}=G+m R$, where $m \geq 0$ and $G \subseteq S$ is a curve not having $R$ in its support. From the adjunction formula, we find $R^{2}=-3$. Since $R \cdot C^{\prime}=2$, it follows that $R \cdot\left(C^{\prime}+R\right)=1$, thus $G \neq 0$. Furthermore, the morphism $f_{o}$ contracts $G$, which we argue, leads to a contradiction. Indeed, $f_{o}$ admits a factorization

where $p: \mathbf{P}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}$ is the linear projection corresponding to $V \subseteq H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(\bar{F})\right)$. Since $\sigma$ is finite and $|\bar{F}|$ is very ample, it follows that $\sigma(G)$ must be contracted by the projection $p$, that is, $\sigma(C)$ is a line in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$. By inspecting the intersection matrix (7) of $\operatorname{Pic}(Y)$ we immediately see that no such line can exist on $Y$, which finishes the proof.

## 2. The uniruledness of the boundary divisor $\Delta_{0}$ IN $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$

We now lift the construction discussed above from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15}$ to the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15,2}$ of 2-pointed stable curves of genus 15 and eventually to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$. Recall that Hilb ${ }_{15,19}$ is the component of the Hilbert scheme of curves $C \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{6}$ of genus 15 and degree 19 dominating $\mathcal{M}_{15}$. We denote by $\operatorname{Hilb}_{2,2,2,2}$ the Hilbert scheme of complete intersections of 4 quadrics in $\mathbf{P}^{6}$. Since Hilb ${ }_{15,19} / / P G L(7)$ is birational to the Hurwitz space $\mathcal{H}_{15,9}$, we have a rational map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi: \mathcal{H}_{15,9} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hilb}_{2,2,2,2} / / P G L(7), \quad[C, A] \mapsto S:=\mathrm{Bs}\left|\mathcal{I}_{C / \mathbf{P}^{6}}(2)\right| \bmod P G L(7), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the canonical surface $S \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{6}$ is defined by (3). We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}:=\chi\left(\mathcal{H}_{15,9}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general fibre of the morphism $\chi: \mathcal{H}_{15,9} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ consists of finitely many linear nonempty open subsets of linear systems $\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right|$, where $C \subseteq S \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{6}$ is a smooth curve of genus 15 and degree 19. In particular, $\mathcal{S}$ is an irreducible variety of dimension $41=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{H}_{15,9}\right)-2$. Recall that $\pi: \mathcal{H}_{15,9} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{15}$ denotes the forgetful map. The next observation will prove to be useful in several moduli counts.
Proposition 7. If $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ is an irreducible subvariety of $\mathcal{S}$ of dimension $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right) \leq 39$, then $\pi\left(\chi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is a proper subvariety of $\mathcal{M}_{15}$.
Proof. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(\chi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\right)+2 \leq 41=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{M}_{15}\right)-1$, the claim follows.
Let us now take a general curve $C$ of genus 15 and consider the correspondence

$$
\Sigma:=\left\{(A, x+y) \in W_{9}^{1}(C) \times C_{2}: H^{0}(C, A(-x-y)) \neq 0\right\}
$$

endowed with the projections $\pi_{1}: \Sigma \rightarrow W_{9}^{1}(C)$ and $\pi_{2}: \Sigma \rightarrow C_{2}$ respectively. Here $C_{2}$ is the second symmetric product of $C$. It follows that $\Sigma$ is an irreducible surface and that $\pi_{2}$ is generically finite. Indeed, for a general point $2 x \in C_{2}$, we can invoke for instance [EH, Theorem 1.1] to conclude that $\pi_{2}^{-1}(2 x)$ is finite. The fibre $\pi_{1}^{-1}(A)$ is irreducible whenever $A$ has simple ramification.

We now fix a general element $[C, x, y] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15,2}$. Then there exist finitely many pencils $A \in W_{9}^{1}(C)$ containing both points $x$ and $y$ in the same fibre. Each of these pencils $A$ may be assumed to be base point free with simple ramification and general enough such that $L:=\omega_{C} \otimes A^{\vee} \in W_{19}^{6}(C)$ is very ample and in the embedding

$$
\varphi_{L}: C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{6}
$$

the curve $C$ lies on precisely 4 independent quadrics intersecting in a smooth canonical surface $S$ defined by (3).
Proposition 8. With the notation above, if $h^{0}(C, A(-x-y))=1$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left|\mathcal{I}_{\{x, y\}}(C)\right|=1$. Proof. It follows from the commutativity of the following diagram, keeping in mind that $h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right)=3$ and that the first column is injective.


We now introduce the moduli map of the pencil introduced in Proposition 8

$$
\begin{equation*}
m: \mathbf{P}=\left|\mathcal{I}_{\{x, y\}}(C)\right| \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15,2}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the marked points of the pencil are the base points $x$ and $y$ respectively. Composing $m$ with the clutching map $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15,2} \rightarrow \Delta_{0} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$, we obtain a pencil $\xi: \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \Delta_{0}$. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
R:=m_{*}(\mathbf{P}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15,2} \quad \text { and } \Gamma:=\xi_{*}(\mathbf{P}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 9. Every curve inside the pencil $\Gamma \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ corresponds to a nodal curve which does not belong to any of the boundary divisors $\Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{8}$.
Proof. Keeping the notation above, for a generic choice of $(A, x+y) \in \Sigma$, the pencil

$$
\mathbf{P}:=\left|\mathcal{I}_{\{x, y\}}(C)\right|
$$

corresponds to a generic line inside $\left.\mid \mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right) \mid$. As pointed out in Theorem 3, $\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right|$ is base point free and ample on the surface $S$ defined by (3), giving rise to the finite map

$$
f: S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2}=\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right|^{\vee}
$$

considered in (5). We show that the inverse image $\mathbf{P}$ under $f$ of a general pencil of lines in $\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right|^{\vee}$ consists only of integral curves with at most one node. This is achieved in several steps.
(i) Since $\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)$ is ample, we can apply [BL, Theorem A$]$ and conclude that each curve $C^{\prime} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right|$ is 2-connected, that is, it cannot be written as a sum of effective divisors $C^{\prime}=F+M$, where $F \cdot M \leq 1$. This implies that $\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right|$ does not contain any treelike curves, that is, curves for which its irreducible components meet at a single point, which furthermore is a node.
(ii) The essential step in our argument involves proving that $\mathbf{P}$ contains no curves with singularities worse than nodes. Precisely, we show that $\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right|$ contains only finitely many non-nodal curves. Note first that the branch curve $B \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{2}$ of $f$ is reduced, else we contradict the assumption that the pencil $A \in W_{9}^{1}(C)$ on $C$ has simple ramification. We introduce the discriminant curve

$$
J:=\left\{C^{\prime} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right|: C^{\prime} \text { is singular }\right\} .
$$

The dual curve $B^{\vee}$ is contained in $J$. Since $B$ is reduced, the general tangent line to $B$ is tangent at exactly one point $p \in B$ and with multiplicity 2 . A standard local calculation shows that $f^{*}\left(\mathbb{T}_{p}(B)\right) \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{S}(C)\right|$ is a one-nodal curve, singular at exactly one point $z \in f^{-1}(p)$ such that the differential $d f_{z}: T_{z}(S) \rightarrow T_{p}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ is not an isomorphism.

The complement $J \backslash B^{\vee}$ is the (possibly empty) union of (some of) the pencils $\mathbf{P}_{b}$, where $b \in B_{\text {sing }}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{b}$ is defined as the pull-back by $f$ of the pencil of lines in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ through $b$. In view of the numerical situation at hand (that is, $C^{2}=9$ ), the geometric possibilities for such a pencil

$$
\mathbf{P}_{b} \subseteq J
$$

are quite constrained. Since $f$ is finite, the pencil $\mathbf{P}_{b}$ has no fixed components. Let $Z:=\operatorname{Bs}\left(\mathbf{P}_{b}\right)$. Then a general $C^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{b}$ is integral and smooth along $C^{\prime} \backslash Z$. Moreover, each
$C^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{b}$ is singular at a given point $z \in Z$ and a general such $C^{\prime}$ has multiplicity $m \geq 2$ at $z$. Necessarily, the differential $d f_{z}: T_{z}(S) \rightarrow T_{p}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ is zero. Since $m^{2} \leq C^{2}=\left(C^{\prime}\right)^{2}=9$, we find $m \in\{2,3\}$. Let

$$
\sigma: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S
$$

be the blow-up of $S$ at $z$ and denote by $E \subseteq S^{\prime}$ the exceptional divisor. The pencil $\left|\mathcal{O}_{S^{\prime}}\left(\sigma^{*} C-m E\right)\right|$ is the strict transform of $\mathbf{P}_{b}$. Observe that the restriction map

$$
r: H^{0}\left(S^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{S^{\prime}}\left(\sigma^{*} C-m E\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(E, \mathcal{O}_{E}(m)\right)
$$

is not zero, hence $\operatorname{Im}(r)$ defines a linear series $\mathfrak{p}_{b}$ on $E \cong \mathbf{P}^{1}$. Either $\mathfrak{p}_{b}$ is a pencil or a constant divisor of degree $m \in\{2,3\}$. We now list the possibilities for the pencil $\mathbf{P}_{b}$.
(P1) If $m=3$, then $\operatorname{supp}(Z)=\{z\}$. Every curve $C^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{b}$ has a triple point at $z$.
(P2) If $m=2$, then either each $C^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{b}$ has a node, or else, each $C^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{b}$ has a cusp at $z$. Indeed, if $\mathfrak{p}_{b}$ is a pencil on $E$, then each $C^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{b}$ is nodal at $z$. If $\mathfrak{p}_{b}=\left\{u_{1}+u_{2}\right\}$ consists of a fixed divisor, then $\mathbf{P}_{b}$ contains a unique curve $C_{z}$ having multiplicity at least 3 at $z$. If $u_{1} \neq u_{2}$, all other curves $C^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{b} \backslash\left\{C_{z}\right\}$ are nodal at $z$, whereas if $u_{1}=u_{2}$, then all such $C^{\prime}$ are cuspidal at $z$.

Both possibilities (P1) and (P2) can be ruled out by a parameter count that contradicts the generality of the pair $(C, A) \in \mathcal{H}_{15,9}$ we started with. We first rule out (P1). Assume $C^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{b}$ has a triple point at $z$ and no further singularities and denote by $\nu: \bar{C} \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ the normalization. Set $\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right\}=\nu^{-1}(z)$ and $\bar{A}:=\nu^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right) \in W_{9}^{1}(\bar{C})$. Since $\bar{A}$ is induced from a pencil of curves with a triple point at $z$, it follows that $\left|\bar{A}\left(-3 z_{1}-3 z_{2}-3 z_{3}\right)\right| \neq \emptyset$, therefore for degree reason $\bar{A}=\mathcal{O}_{\bar{C}}\left(3 z_{1}+3 z_{2}+3 z_{3}\right)$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_{12,9}^{\text {triple }}$ the Hurwitz space classifying degree 9 covers $\bar{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ having a divisor of the form $3\left(z_{1}+z_{2}+z_{3}\right)$ in a fibre, where $\bar{C}$ is of genus 12 . Then $\mathcal{H}_{12,9}^{\text {triple }}$ is pure of dimension $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{M}_{12}\right)-1=32$. Let $\mathcal{Y}_{1}$ be the parameter space of pairs $\left(S, C^{\prime}\right)$, where $S \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{6}$ is a smooth complete intersection of 4 quadrics and $C^{\prime} \subseteq S$ is an integral curve of arithmetic genus 15 with a triple point as described by ( $\mathbf{P 1 ) . ~ L e t ~}$

$$
\mathcal{S} \stackrel{\pi_{1}}{\longleftarrow} \mathcal{Y}_{1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} \mathcal{H}_{12,9}^{\text {triple }}
$$

be the projections given by $\pi_{1}\left(\left[S, C^{\prime}\right]\right):=[S]$ and $\pi_{2}\left(\left[S, C^{\prime}\right]\right):=[\bar{C}, \bar{A}]$ respectively. With the notation above, from the adjunction formula $\nu^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}(1)\right)=\omega_{\bar{C}}\left(-z_{1}-z_{2}-z_{3}\right)$. The fibre $\pi_{2}^{-1}\left(\pi_{2}\left(\left[S, C^{\prime}\right]\right)\right)$ corresponds then to the choice of a 7 -dimensional space of sections $V \subseteq H^{0}\left(\bar{C}, \omega_{\bar{C}}\left(-z_{1}-z_{2}-z_{3}\right)\right)$ satisfying $\operatorname{dim}\left(V \cap H^{0}\left(\omega_{\bar{C}}\left(-2 z_{1}-2 z_{2}-2 z_{3}\right)\right)\right) \geq 6$. Since $h^{0}\left(\omega_{\bar{C}}\left(-2 z_{1}-2 z_{2}-2 z_{3}\right)\right)=6$, it follows that

$$
\frac{V}{H^{0}\left(\omega_{\bar{C}}\left(-2 z_{1}-2 z_{2}-2 z_{3}\right)\right)} \in \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{H^{0}\left(\omega_{\bar{C}}\left(-z_{1}-z_{2}-z_{3}\right)\right)}{H^{0}\left(\omega_{\bar{C}}\left(-2 z_{1}-2 z_{2}-2 z_{3}\right)\right)}\right) \cong \mathbf{P}^{2} .
$$

Therefore $\operatorname{dim} \underline{\left(\mathcal{Y}_{1}\right)}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{H}_{12,9}^{\text {triple }}\right)+2=34 \leq 39$, so we can invoke Proposition 7 to conclude that $\overline{\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{1}\right)} \neq \mathcal{S}$ and rule out possibility (P1).

Next we rule out possibility ( $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ ), focusing on the case when each $C^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{b}$ is cuspidal at $z$. Passing to the normalization $\nu: \bar{C} \rightarrow C^{\prime}$, setting $\bar{z}:=\nu^{-1}(z)$ we obtain that $\bar{A}:=\nu^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \in W_{9}^{1}(\bar{C})\right.$ verifies $h^{0}(\bar{C}, \bar{A}(-4 \bar{z})) \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{14,9}^{\text {four }}$ be the Hurwitz space classifying degree 9 covers $\bar{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$ containing a divisor of type $4 \bar{z}$ in one of its fibres
and where $\bar{C}$ has genus 14 . Then $\mathcal{H}_{14,9}^{\text {four }}$ is irreducible of dimension $39=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{M}_{14}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{Y}_{2}$ be the parameter space of pairs $\left(S, C^{\prime}\right)$, where $S \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{6}$ is a smooth complete intersection of 4 quadrics and $C^{\prime} \subseteq S$ is an integral curve of arithmetic genus 15 with a cusp at $z$ as described by (P2). We consider the projections

$$
\mathcal{S} \stackrel{\pi_{1}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathcal{Y}_{2} \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} \mathcal{H}_{14,9}^{\text {four }}
$$

given by $\pi_{1}\left(\left[S, C^{\prime}\right]\right):=[S]$ and $\pi_{2}\left(\left[S, C^{\prime}\right]\right):=[\bar{C}, \bar{A}]$ respectively. Observe that $\pi_{2}$ is birational onto its image. Indeed, given $[\bar{C}, \bar{A}] \in \pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{2}\right)$, then we denote by $C^{\prime}$ the image of the map $\varphi_{\omega_{\bar{C}}(2 y) \otimes \bar{A} \vee}: \bar{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{6}$, in which case the canonical surface $S$ is recovered by (3). We conclude by Proposition 7 again that $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{Y}_{2}\right)$ is not dense in $\mathcal{S}$. The final case when all curves $C^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}_{b}$ are (at least) nodal at $z$ is ruled out analogously.

Before stating our next result, recall that one sets $\delta_{i}:=\left[\Delta_{i}\right] \in C H^{1}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}\right)$ for $0 \leq i \leq\left\lfloor\frac{g}{2}\right\rfloor$. We denote as usual by $\lambda \in C H^{1}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}\right)$ the Hodge class. Recall also the formula [HM] for the canonical class of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}} \equiv 13 \lambda-2 \delta_{0}-3 \delta_{1}-2 \delta_{2}-\cdots-2 \delta_{\left\lfloor\frac{g}{2}\right\rfloor} \in C H^{1}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 10. The rational curve $\Gamma$ is a sweeping pencil for the boundary divisor $\Delta_{0}$. Its intersection numbers with the standard generators of $C H^{1}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}\right)$ are as follows:

$$
\Gamma \cdot \lambda=22, \quad \Gamma \cdot \delta_{0}=143, \quad \Gamma \cdot \delta_{j}=0 \quad \text { for } j=2, \ldots, 8
$$

Proof. First we construct a fibration whose moduli map is precisely the rational curve $m: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15,2}$ considered in (12). We consider the curve $C \subseteq S$ and observe that since $\mathcal{O}_{C}(C) \cong A \in W_{9}^{1}(C)$, we have that $C^{2}=9$, that is, the pencil $\left|\mathcal{I}_{\{x, y\}}(C)\right|$ has precisely 9 base points, namely $x, y$, as well as the 7 further points lying in the same fibre of the pencil $|A|$ as $x$ and $y$. We consider the blow-up surface $\epsilon: \widetilde{S}=\operatorname{Bl}_{9}(S) \rightarrow S$ at these 9 points. It comes equipped with a fibration

$$
\pi: \widetilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}
$$

as well as with two sections $E_{x}, E_{y} \subseteq \widetilde{S}$ corresponding to the exceptional divisors at $x$ and $y$ respectively.

In order to compute the intersection numbers of $R=m(\mathbf{P})$ with the tautological classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15,2}$, we use for instance [Tan]. The subscript indicates the moduli space on which the intersection number is computed.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R \cdot \lambda)_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15,2}}=\chi\left(\widetilde{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{S}}\right)+g-1=h^{2}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}\right)+g=h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(1)\right)+15=22 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have used $H^{1}\left(\widetilde{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{S}}\right)=H^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=0$, as well as the fact that $S$ is a canonical surface, hence $\omega_{S}=\mathcal{O}_{S}(1)$, therefore $h^{2}\left(\widetilde{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{S}}\right)=h^{2}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=7$. Furthermore, recalling that all curves in the fibres of $m$ are irreducible, we find via [Tan] that

$$
\left(R \cdot \delta_{0}\right)_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15,2}}=c_{2}(\widetilde{S})+4(g-1)=c_{2}(\widetilde{S})+56 .
$$

From the Euler formula, $c_{2}(\widetilde{S})=12 \chi\left(\widetilde{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{S}}\right)-K_{\widetilde{S}}^{2}$. We have already computed that $\chi\left(\widetilde{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{S}}\right)=8$, whereas $K_{\widetilde{S}}^{2}=K_{S}^{2}-9=\operatorname{deg}(S)-9=7$, for $S$ is an intersection of 4 quadrics. Thus $c_{2}(\widetilde{S})=12 \cdot 8-7=89$, leading to $\left(R \cdot \delta_{0}\right)_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15,2}}=89+4 \cdot 14=145$.

If we denote by $\psi_{x}, \psi_{y} \in C H^{1}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15,2}\right)$ the cotangent classes corresponding to the marked points labelled by $x$ and $y$ respectively, we compute furthermore

$$
R \cdot \psi_{x}=-E_{x}^{2}=1 \text { and } R \cdot \psi_{y}=-E_{y}^{2}=1 .
$$

We now pass to the pencil $\xi: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ obtained from $m$ by identifying pointwise the disjoint sections $E_{x}$ and $E_{y}$ on the surface $\widetilde{S}$. First, using (15) we observe that

$$
\Gamma \cdot \lambda=\xi(\mathbf{P}) \cdot \lambda=(R \cdot \lambda)_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15,2}}=22 .
$$

Furthermore, using Proposition 9 we conclude that $\Gamma \cdot \delta_{i}=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, 8$. Finally, invoking for instance [CR3, page 271], we find that

$$
\Gamma \cdot \delta_{0}=\left(R \cdot \delta_{0}\right)_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15,2}}-\left(R \cdot \psi_{x}\right)_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15,2}}-\left(R \cdot \psi_{y}\right)_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15,2}}=145-2=143 .
$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Since the image of $m$ passes through a general point of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15,2}$, the rational curve $\Gamma \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ constructed in Proposition 10 is a sweeping curve for the boundary divisor $\Delta_{0}$. Using the expression (14) for the canonical divisor of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$, we compute $\Gamma \cdot K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}}=13 \Gamma \cdot \lambda-2 \Gamma \cdot \delta_{0}=13 \cdot 22-2 \cdot 143=0$. Also $\Gamma \cdot \Delta_{0}=143>0$.

## 3. The slope of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$.

The slope of an effective divisor $D$ on the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ not containing any boundary divisor $\Delta_{i}$ in its support is defined as the quantity $s(D):=\frac{a}{\min _{i \geq 0} b_{i}}$, where $[D]=a \lambda-\sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{g}{2}\right\rfloor} b_{i} \delta_{i} \in C H^{1}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}\right)$, with $a, b_{i} \geq 0$. Then the slope $s\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}\right)$ of the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ is defined as the infimum of the slopes $s(D)$ over such effective divisors $D$.
Corollary 11. We have that $s\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}\right) \geq \frac{13}{2}$.
Proof. For any effective divisor $D$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ containing no boundary divisor in its support, we may assume that the curve $\Gamma$ constructed in Proposition 10 does not lie inside $D$, hence $\Gamma \cdot D \geq 0$. Writing $[D]=a \lambda-\sum_{i=0}^{8} b_{i} \delta_{i}$, using Theorem 2 we obtain $\frac{a}{b_{0}} \geq \frac{\Gamma \cdot \delta_{0}}{\Gamma \cdot \lambda}=\frac{13}{2}$. Furthermore, using [FP, Theorem 1.4], we conclude that for this divisor $D$ we have $b_{i} \geq b_{0}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 8$, that is, $s(D)=\frac{a}{b_{0}}$.

Final remarks: Our results establish that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ is not of general type. Showing that the Kodaira dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ is non-negative amounts to constructing an effective divisor $D$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ havind slope $s(D) \leq s\left(K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}}\right)=\frac{13}{2}$. Currently the known effective divisor on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ of smallest slope is the closure in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ of the Koszul divisor $\mathcal{Z}_{16}$ consisting of curves $C$ having a linear system $L \in W_{21}^{7}(C)$ such that the image curve $\varphi_{L}: C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{6}$ is ideal-theoretically not cut out by quadrics. It is shown in [F1, Theorem 1.1] that $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{16}$ is an effective divisor on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$ and $s\left(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{16}\right)=\frac{407}{61}=6.705 \ldots$. In a related direction, it is shown in [F2] that the canonical class of the space of admissible covers $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{16,9}$ is effective. Note that one has a generically finite cover $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{16,9} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$.

Soon after the appearance of the first version of this paper, it has been pointed out by Agostini and Barros [AB] that our proof of Theorem 2 yields in fact the bound $\kappa\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}\right)-2$. Indeed, consider the parameter space $\mathcal{Z}$ of elements $[C, A, x, y]$, where $C$ is a genus 15 irreducible nodal curve, $A \in W_{9}^{1}(C)$ and $x, y \in C$ are points such
that $|A(-x-y)| \neq 0$. As we explain in this paper, $\mathcal{Z}$ has the structure of a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-bundle and one has a dominant morphism $v: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \Delta_{0}$ given by $[C, A, x, y] \mapsto[C / x \sim y]$. In Proposition 10 we establish that the restriction of $v^{*}\left(K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}}\right)$ to the general fibre of this fibration is trivial. Accordingly, $\kappa\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{Z})-1=\operatorname{dim}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}\right)-2$.
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