

Appendix to "Measures of Order Zero"

Steel has found a more direct proof of the existence of K and of the theorems in § 3.7, avoiding the sequence lemma of § 3.5 and most of the material on beavers in § 3.6. However, his proof appears to make essential use of second order set theory (More-Kelley), whereas § 3.5 - 3.7 can be carried out in ZF. We give an account of Steel's proof.

Def J_d^E is incompressible iff there is a weasel W s.t.

(a) $J_d^E = J_d^{EW}$

(b) Some $X \subset \Omega_n$ is massive in W

(c) If X is massive in W , then

$d \subset h_W(X)$ (hence $J_d^E \subset h_W(X)$),

It is clear that if W satisfies

(a) - (c) and $W'd = Wd$, then

W' satisfies (a), (c). It is also

clear that if $\alpha \leq \beta$ and J_β^E is incompressible, then so is J_α^E .

We also note;

Lemma 1 For any α there is at most one incompressible J_α^E ,

proof.

Let $J_\alpha^E, J_{\alpha'}^E$ be incompressible as witnessed by w, w' resp.

Let X, X' be massive in w, w' resp. Iterate w, w' to \hat{w} and set;

$$\hat{X} = \{ \alpha \in X \cap X' \mid \alpha = \pi(\alpha) = \pi'(\alpha) \}$$

where $\pi = \pi_{w\hat{w}}, \pi' = \pi_{w'\hat{w}}$.

Then \hat{X} is massive in w, w', \hat{w} .

Hence:

(1) $\pi^{-1} \alpha = \pi'^{-1} \alpha =$ the first α elements of $h_{\hat{w}}(\hat{X})$.

At $\sigma: \bar{w} \xrightarrow{\sim} h_{\hat{w}}(\hat{X})$, we

have: $\pi^{-1} \sigma : \bar{W} \rightarrow_{\Sigma_1} W, \pi^{-1} \sigma \upharpoonright d = \text{id}$,

Hence $J_d^E = J_d^{E^W} = J_d^{E^{\bar{W}}}$. But

The same argument shows:

$$J_d^{E'} = J_d^{E^{W'}} = J_d^{E^{\bar{W}}}. \quad \text{QED (Lemma 1)}$$

Lemma 2 For every α there is an
incompressible J_α^E .

proof.

Let W be the canonical ω -complete
weasel in which all measures are
of cofinality ω_1 . Then On is
massive in W .

Claim 1 There is an ω_2 -closed
unbounded class C of ordinals
s.t. $\nu \in h_W(\nu \cup X)$ whenever
 $\nu \in C$ & X is massive in W .

proof.

C is defined (in V) to be the
of cardinals ν s.t.

$$\text{cf}(\nu) = \omega_1 \text{ and } \omega_1^{\omega_1} < \nu.$$

Then $v^+ = v^+ w$ for $v \in C$.

Now let $v \in C$ be a counter-example, i.e. $v \notin h_w(v \cup X)$, where X is massive in w . Let $\sigma: \bar{w} \xrightarrow{\sim} h_w(v \cup X)$. Then

$$\sigma: \bar{w} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} w \text{ and } v = \text{crit}(\sigma).$$

Then $U = \{z \in \mathcal{P}(v) \cap \bar{w} \mid v \in \sigma(z)\}$

is a normal measure on \bar{w} and

$\langle J_v^{E^w}, U \rangle$ is easily seen to

be amenable. Moreover U is

w -complete. Since $\gamma < \theta^+$,

$\langle J_v^{E^w}, U \rangle$ is easily seen to

be a mouse. Hence $U = E_{\gamma^+}^w$

by the def. of w . Now set:

$$\sigma': \bar{w} \xrightarrow{U} \bar{w}'. \text{ There is a}$$

map $k: \bar{w}' \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} w$ defined

by: $k(\sigma'(f)(v)) = \sigma(f)(v)$.

But $k \upharpoonright (v+1) = \text{id}$ and $E_{\gamma^+}^{\bar{w}'} = \emptyset$.

Hence $E_{\gamma^+}^w = \emptyset$. Contr!

QED (Claim 1)

We now inductively construct massive X_α in W s.t.

(a) $\alpha \leq \beta \rightarrow X_\beta \subset X_\alpha$

(b) If $\bar{W} \cong h_W(X_\alpha)$, then \bar{W}

witnesses the incompressibility of $J_\alpha^{E\bar{W}}$ (i.e. $\alpha \in h_{\bar{W}}(X)$ for massive X ,

(b) will follow from:

(c) If $X \subset h_W(X_\alpha)$ is massive in W , then $Z_\alpha \subset h_W(X)$, where $Z_\alpha =$ the first α elements of $h_W(X_\alpha)$

(To see (c) \rightarrow (b), iterate \bar{W}, W to \hat{W} & let \bar{X} be massive in \bar{W} , let X be massive in W . Set:

$$\hat{X} = \{ \alpha \in X \cap \bar{X} \mid \alpha = \pi_{\bar{W} \rightarrow W}^{-1}(\alpha) = \pi_{W \rightarrow \hat{W}}(\alpha) \}$$

Then \hat{X} is massive in \bar{W}, W, \hat{W} .

Hence $Z_\alpha \subset h_W(\hat{X}) \cong h_{\bar{W}}(\hat{X})$.

It follows easily that

$$\alpha \in h_{\bar{W}}(\hat{X}) \subset h_{\bar{W}}(\bar{X}),$$

Set: $X_0 = \emptyset$. For limit λ set:

$X_\lambda = \bigcup_{\nu < \lambda} X_\nu$. The verification of (a), (c) is straightforward. Now let X_d be given. We construct X_{d+1} .

Claim 2 There is $\nu \supset \bar{z}_d$ s.t., $\nu \in h_w(X)$ for every massive X .

(Claim 2 proves the theorem, for we can take $\nu =$ the least such

+ set $X_{d+1} = X_d \cap \bigcap_{\bar{z} \in [d, \nu)} Y_{\bar{z}}$, where

$Y_{\bar{z}}$ is massive in w s.t. $\bar{z} \notin h_w(Y_{\bar{z}})$).

To prove Claim 2, suppose not.

For each $\nu \supset \bar{z}_d$ pick massive Y_ν in w s.t. $\nu \notin h_w(Y_\nu)$. Set:

$$\Gamma_\nu = \bigcap_{\bar{z}_d \leq \bar{z} \leq \nu} Y_{\bar{z}} \quad \text{for } \bar{z}_d < \nu.$$

Then Γ_ν is massive in w . Set:

$\beta_\nu =$ the least $\beta \in h_w(\Gamma_\nu)$ s.t. $\nu \leq \beta$.

Then $\nu < \beta_\nu$ for $\bar{z}_d < \nu$.

Fix $\xi \supset \mathbb{Z}_d$ s.t.

(a) $\xi \in h_W(X \cup \xi)$ for all massive X .

(b) $\mathbb{Z}_d \subset \nu < \xi \rightarrow \beta_\nu < \xi$.

(This is possible by Claim 1).

Pick $\vec{\gamma} < \xi$, $\vec{\delta} \in \Gamma_\xi$ s.t. $\xi = t^W(\vec{\gamma}, \vec{\delta})$.

Pick $\nu < \xi$ s.t. $\gamma < \beta_\nu$. Then

(1) $\beta_\nu, \beta_\xi, \delta \in H = h(\Gamma_\nu)$.

(2) $W \neq \forall \vec{\gamma} < \beta_\nu \ t(\vec{\gamma}, \vec{\delta}) \in (\beta_\nu, \beta_\xi)$.

Hence there is such $\vec{\gamma}^* \in H \prec_{\Sigma_1} W$,

But $H \cap \beta_\nu \subset \mathbb{Z}_d$. Hence $\vec{\gamma}^* \in \mathbb{Z}_d$

and $t^W(\vec{\gamma}^*, \vec{\delta}) \in (\beta_\nu, \beta_\xi) \cap h_W(\Gamma_\xi) = \emptyset$.

Contr! QED (Lemma 2)

Now set:

$$\hat{K} = \bigcup_{\infty} \hat{E} = \bigcup \{N \mid N \text{ incompressible}\}$$

\hat{K} is a weasel by the foregoing lemmas. We claim that \hat{K} satisfies the def. of K (hence $\hat{K} = K$).

An order to prove this we shall need a little bit of the material in § 3.6, namely the definition of beaver and Lemmas 1-3. Using this we prove:

Lemma 3 Let $\langle J_d^{\hat{E}}, u \rangle$ be a beaver.

Then $u = \hat{E}_d$.

proof.

Let W witness the incompressibility of $J_{d+1}^{\hat{E}}$. Let X be massive in W . Since $\langle J_d^{\hat{E}}, u \rangle$ is

a beaver, we can form the ultraproduct $\sigma: W \xrightarrow{u} W'$.

Coiterate W, W' to P . Set:

$$\Gamma = \{d \in X \mid d = \pi_{W'P} \sigma(d) = \pi_{\mathcal{Q}P}(d)\}$$

Γ is massive in W, W', P by the remark at the end of § 3.5.

$\kappa = \text{crit}(\pi_{W'P} \sigma)$; hence

$\kappa \notin h_P(\kappa \cup \Gamma)$. But

$\kappa \in h_W(\kappa \cup \Gamma)$ by incom-

prescribability. Hence $\kappa = \text{crit}(\pi_{w,p})$.

Since $\mathcal{F}(\kappa) \cap W \subset h_w(\Gamma)$,

we have $\pi_{w,p} \circ \sigma \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}(\kappa) = \pi_{w,p} \upharpoonright \mathcal{F}(\kappa)$.

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{E}_\alpha &= \{x \in \kappa \mid \kappa \in \pi_{w,p}(x)\} \\ &= \{x \in \kappa \mid \kappa \in \pi_{w,p} \circ \sigma(x)\} = U. \end{aligned}$$

QED (Lemma 3)

Cor 4 $\hat{\kappa}$ is universal

proof.

By Lemma 3, if $\langle J_\alpha^{\hat{E}}, U \rangle$ is ^{a premouse} λ -strat.

U is w -complete, then $U = E_\alpha$.

The conclusion follows by the argument of §3.1. QED (Cor 4)

Cor 5 $\hat{\kappa} = \kappa$

proof.

Let $\langle J_\alpha^{\hat{E}}, U \rangle$ be strong, $U \neq \emptyset$.

Claim $U = \hat{E}_\alpha$.

Care 1 $\langle J_\alpha^{\hat{E}}, U \rangle = W \upharpoonright \alpha$, where

W is univ + $\alpha = \kappa + w$.

Then $\langle \mathcal{J}_\alpha^{\hat{E}}, U \rangle$ is a beaver + the conclusion follows by Lemma 3, Care 2 Care 1 fails.

Then there is a mouse N s.t.

$$N \upharpoonright \alpha = \langle \mathcal{J}_\lambda^{\hat{E}}, U \rangle \text{ and } \rho_N^\omega \leq \kappa$$

(where U is on κ). We take $\text{On} \cap N$ as minimal for this property.

But there is an $M = \hat{K} \upharpoonright \beta$ s.t. $\beta \geq \alpha$ and $\rho_M^\omega \leq \kappa$, since otherwise the coiteration of N, \hat{K} would not terminate. Let β be minimal for this property.

Coiterate M, N to M', N' .

Claim $M' = N'$ is a simple iterate of M, N .

proof.

Suppose e.g. that M' is a non simple iterate of M or N' is a proper segment of M' . Then

$A_N^m = A_{N'}^m \in M$ where $\text{wp}_N^m \leq \kappa$.

But α is a cardinal in M . Contr!

QED (Claim 1)

But then $M = N$ and $\langle J_\alpha^{\hat{E}}, U \rangle =$

$= N \upharpoonright \alpha = \hat{K} \upharpoonright \alpha$. QED (Lemma 4)

The proofs of §3.7 Lemmas 1 - 2.1 then go through exactly as before (whereby the appeal to the fact that every beaver is strong is replaced by a direct use of Lemma 3).

Now let $E = \langle E_\nu \mid \nu < \omega \rangle$ be a st. $\langle J_\delta^E, \emptyset \rangle$ is a strong mouse.

We wish to show that $K[E]$ exists. To this end we generalize the construction of \hat{K} to obtain $\hat{K}[E]$ in the obvious sense!

Def J_d^E is incompressible w.r.t $\delta \leq d$

iff There is a word w s.t.

(a) $J_d^E = J_d^{E^w}$

(b) Some x is massive in w

(c) If x is massive in w , then

$$d < h_w(\delta \cup X).$$

Let $E' = \langle E, |r < w \delta \rangle$ s.t. $\langle J_\delta^E, \emptyset \rangle$ is strong. Repeating the proofs of Lemmas 1, 2:

Lemma 5 For any $d \geq \delta$ there is at most one incompressible J_d^E s.t.

$$J_\delta^E = J_\delta^{E'}$$

Lemma 6 For every $d \geq \delta$ there is an incompressible J_d^E s.t. $J_\delta^E = J_\delta^{E'}$.

Set $\hat{K}[E'] = J_\infty^{\hat{E}} =$ the union

of the incompressible J_d^E with

$$J_\delta^E = J_\delta^{E'}, \text{ as before?}$$

Lemma 7 If $\langle J_d^{\hat{E}}, U \rangle$ is a beaver
and $d \geq 5$, then $U = \hat{E}_d$

Lemma 8 $\hat{K}[E'] = K[E']$.

The remaining lemmas of § 3.7
then go through as before.