

§2 Forcing to obtain a \Diamond^+ -sequence from a \Diamond -sequence.

Let N be a trans. model of

$$\text{ZFC} + 2^\omega = \omega_1 + 2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2 + \Diamond. \quad \star$$

Let $S = \langle S_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ be a fixed \Diamond -sequence,

For $\alpha < \omega_1$ let $d_\alpha : \omega \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \alpha + 1$, where
 $d = \langle d_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle \in N$. For $\alpha < \omega_1$ set:

$$M_\alpha = L_{\beta_\alpha} [S \restriction \alpha + 1, d \restriction \alpha + 1],$$

where $\beta_\alpha = \text{the least } \beta > \alpha \text{ int.}$

$\beta > \sup_{\gamma < \alpha} \beta_\gamma$ and $L_\beta [S \restriction \alpha + 1, d \restriction \alpha + 1] \models \text{ZFC}^*$.

Define $S^* = \langle S_\alpha^* \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ by: $S_\alpha^* = \#(\alpha) \cap M_\alpha$.

Set $M = \langle M_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$.

We shall generically extend N to
 an $N[G]$ s.t. S^* is a \Diamond^+ -sequence in $N[G]$.

Lemma 1 Let $Dr = \langle A, E, \dots \rangle \in H_{\omega_2}^N$ be
 transitive. Then in N there is $X \subset Dr$
 s.t. $\bar{X} \leq \omega$, $d = \omega_1 \cap X$ is transitive,
 and $\bar{Dr} \in M_d$, where \bar{Dr} is the transi-
 tivization of X .

\star N plays the role of $N' = N[G]$ in §1

prf. of Lemma 1.

Assume w.l.o.g. that $\omega_1 \subset \text{Or}$ and let $f: \omega_1 \longleftrightarrow \text{Or}$. Let $T = T_{\text{Or}, f} =$
 = The complete theory of $\langle \text{Or}, f, r(v < \omega_1) \rangle$
 (with a constant r for $v < \omega_1$). We
 suppose this theory to be coded in
 such a way that $T \subset \omega_1$. Let
 $C = \{\alpha \mid \langle \text{Or} | f''\alpha, f \upharpoonright \alpha \rangle \prec \langle \text{Or}, f \rangle\}$.
 Then C is cub in ω_1 . Let $\alpha \in C$
 s.t. $T \cap \alpha = S_\alpha$. Then, letting
 $\sigma: \langle \bar{\text{Or}}, \bar{f} \rangle \hookrightarrow \langle \text{Or} | f''\alpha, f \upharpoonright \alpha \rangle$, we
 have $\sigma \circ \bar{f} = f \upharpoonright \alpha$, $\sigma \upharpoonright \alpha = \text{id}$, $\sigma(\alpha) = \omega_1$,
 and $T \cap \alpha =$ the complete theory
 of $\langle \bar{\text{Or}}, \bar{f}, r(v < \alpha) \rangle$. Since $T \cap \alpha \in M_\alpha$,
 we conclude: $\langle \bar{\text{Or}}, \bar{f} \rangle \in M_\alpha$, since
 $\langle \bar{\text{Or}}, \bar{f} \rangle$ is uniquely recoverable
 from $T \cap \alpha = \text{theory}(\langle \bar{\text{Or}}, \bar{f}, r(v < \alpha) \rangle)$
 in any ZFC^- model containing
 $T \cap \alpha$. Hence the lemma holds
 with $X = f''\alpha$. QED (Lemma 1)

Def let $A \subset \omega_2$ in \mathbb{N} s.t. $L_{\omega_2}[A] = H_{\omega_2}$.

Define $\langle p_\nu | \nu < \omega_2 \rangle$ by:

p_ν = the least $p > \omega_1$ s.t. $p > \sup_{\zeta < \nu} p_\zeta$,

$m \in L_p$, cf $(p) = \omega_1$ and

$L_p[A] \models (\text{ZFC}^- + \lambda x \bar{x} \leq \omega_1)$

Set: $\tilde{p}_\nu = \omega_1 \cup \sup_{\zeta < \nu} p_\zeta$ (Hence $\tilde{p}_{\nu+1} = p_\nu$)

$M_\nu = \langle L_{p_\nu}[A], G, A \cap p_\nu, m \rangle$

For $\nu > 0$ set:
 $\tilde{M}_\nu = \bigcup_{\zeta < \nu} M_\zeta = \langle L_{\tilde{p}_\nu}[A], G, A \cap \tilde{p}_\nu, m \rangle$.

Then:

Lemma 2

(a) $\langle p_\zeta | \zeta < \nu \rangle$ is uniformly M_ν -definable

(b) $[x]^\omega \in M_\nu$ for $x \in M_\nu$

(c) $[M_\nu]^\omega \subset M_\nu$

prf.

(a) is trivial, (b) follows by $M_\nu \models \lambda x \bar{x} \leq \omega_1$
and $[\omega_1]^\omega \in M_\nu$ (since $[\omega_1]^\omega \subset$
 $\subset \bigcup_{\zeta < \omega_1} M_\zeta \in M_\nu$).

(c) follows by (b) and cf $(p_\nu) = \omega_1$.

Set: $f_\nu =$ the M_ν -least $f: \omega_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\rho}_\nu$.

$a_{\tilde{\rho}_\nu} =$ the $\tilde{\beta}$ -th $\dot{a} \in \omega_1$ in $L_{\omega_2}[\alpha]$.

$\tilde{a}_\nu = \{\langle \tilde{\beta}, \mu \rangle \mid \tilde{\beta} \in a_{f_\nu(\mu)}\}$.

Then:

Lemma 2 (d) $\langle f_\beta \mid \beta < \nu \rangle$ is uniformly M_ν -definable (and M_ν -definable).

(e) $f \in \text{M}_\nu$ is uniformly

M_ν -definable

(f) $\langle a_\beta \mid \beta < \tilde{\rho}_\nu \rangle$ is uniformly

M_ν -definable

(g) $\tilde{a}_\nu \in \text{M}_\nu$ is uniformly

M_ν -definable.

We now define forcing conditions

$$P = P^A.$$

Def $\text{IP}_r = \text{IP}_r^A =$ The set of $\dot{\wedge}^P$ s.t.,
p is closed, bounded in ω_1 and
 $d \in p \rightarrow \tilde{c}_{p,r} \cap d \in M_d$,

Set: $m_p = \max(p)$ for $p \in \text{IP}_r$.

$p \leq q \leftrightarrow q = p \dot{\wedge} (m_q + 1)$ for $p, q \in \text{IP}_r$.

Def $\text{IP} = \text{IP}^A =$ the set of maps
p s.t. $\text{dom}(p) \subset \omega_2$ is countable,
 $p(v) \in \text{IP}_r$ for $v \in \text{dom}(p)$, and
whenever $v \in \text{dom}(p)$, then:

(a) $f_r^{m_{p(v)}} \subset \text{dom}(p)$

(b) $m_{p(\bar{z})} \geq m_{p(\bar{y})}$ for $\bar{z} \in f_r^{m_{p(v)}}$

(c) $d \in p(v) \rightarrow \tilde{c}_{p,v} \cap d \in M_d$,

where $\tilde{c}_{p,v} = \{ \langle \mu, \bar{z} \rangle < m_{p(v)} \mid \mu \in p(f_v^{(\bar{z})}) \}$,

$p \leq q \leftrightarrow$ $\text{dom}(q) \subset \text{dom}(p) \wedge$

$\wedge \forall v \in \text{dom}(q) \quad p_v \leq q_v \text{ in } \text{IP}_r$

Def For $p \in \text{IP}$ set:

$m_p = \min \{ m_{p(v)} \mid v \in \text{dom}(p) \}$

$\ell_p = \text{lub}(\text{dom}(p))$

At is immediate from the definition that $\text{IP} \subset L_{\omega_1}[A]$ is $L_{\omega_1}[A]$ definable. At is also clear that, if W is an inner model of N with $[W]^\omega \subset W$ in N , then $\text{IP} \subset W$. Hence (A), (I) of §1 are proven. (B) is also trivial from the definition of IP. For $r < \omega_1$ set:

$\tilde{\text{IP}}_r = \{p \in \text{IP} \mid \text{dom}(p) \subset r\}$. At is apparent that

$\tilde{\text{IP}}_r = \text{IP}^r = \{p \upharpoonright r \mid p \in \text{IP}\}$. (Hence $\tilde{\text{IP}} \subset \text{IP}$)

(In this section we generally write $\tilde{\text{IP}}_r$ instead of IP^r . The reason is that §2 was largely written before §1.) At is apparent that if $p \in \text{IP}$ and $q \leq p \upharpoonright r$ in $\tilde{\text{IP}}_r$, then $p \cup q \in \text{IP}$. Hence (D), (E) are proven. Before making the further verification we prove:

Lemma 3 Let $p \in IP_A$, $\gamma < \omega_1$, $\delta < \omega_2$.
 There is $p' \leq p$ s.t. $\gamma \leq mp'$, $\delta \leq l(p')$.
 proof.

Assume w.l.o.g. $l(p) < \delta$, $mp < \gamma$.

Let $M = \langle L_\beta[A], A, M, p, \delta \rangle$, where
 β is least s.t.

$$\langle L_\beta[A], A, M, p, \delta \rangle \prec \langle L_{\omega_2}[A], A, M, p, \delta \rangle.$$

Then $M = \tilde{M}_\beta$, $\beta = \tilde{\beta}$.

Let $X \subset M$ be countable s.t.
 $\delta, \gamma \in X$ and $\alpha = X \cap \omega_1$ is transitive
 and $\bar{M} \in M_\alpha$, where \bar{M} is
 the transfixiation of $M|X$.

Let $\sigma: \bar{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} M|X$. Let

$\bar{M} = \langle L_{\tilde{\beta}}[\bar{A}], \bar{A}, \bar{M}, \bar{p} \rangle$. Define

\bar{p}' by: $\text{dom}(\bar{p}') = \omega_2 \cap X$,

$$\bar{p}'(v) = \begin{cases} p(v) \cup \{\alpha\} & \text{if } v \in \text{dom}(p), \\ \{\alpha\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Claim $\bar{p}' \in IP$ (hence $\bar{p}' \leq p$).

(a) $\bar{p}'(v) \in IP_2$ (trivial)

(b) Let $v \in \text{dom}(\bar{p}')$. Then $f_v \in X$.

Let $\sigma(\bar{f}) = f_v$. Then

$\bar{f}: \alpha \leftrightarrow \bar{p}'$, where $\sigma(\bar{f}) = p$.

- 7 -

But $\tilde{\alpha}_r \in X$. Let $\sigma(\bar{\alpha}) = \alpha_r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\alpha} &= \{ \langle \mu, z \rangle \in d \mid \mu \in \bar{f}(z) \} = \\ &= \{ \langle \mu, z \rangle \in d \mid \mu \in f_r(z) \} = \\ &= \tilde{\alpha}_r \cap d. \text{ Hence}\end{aligned}$$

(c) $\tilde{\alpha}_r \cap d \in M_d$

(d) $\tilde{C}_{p',r} \in M_d$, since

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{C}_{p',r} &= \{ \langle \mu, z \rangle \in d \mid r \in p'(f_r(z)) \} = \\ &= \{ \langle \mu, z \rangle \in d \mid f_r(z) \in \text{dom}(p) \wedge r \in p(f_r(z)) \} \\ &= \{ \quad \quad \quad \mid \bar{f}(z) \in \text{dom}(\bar{p}) \wedge r \in \bar{p}(\bar{f}(z)) \} \\ &\in \bar{M} \subset M_d.\end{aligned}$$

(e) At $y \in p'(r) \cap d$, then

$$p'(r) \cap d = p(r) + \text{ hence}$$

$$\tilde{C}_{p',r} \cap y = \tilde{C}_{p,r} \cap y \in M_y.$$

QED (Lemma 3)

We now verify (c) of §1:

Lemma 4 IP is ω_1 - distributive.

Prf.

Let $p \in IP$. Let Δ_i be strongly dense in IP for $i < \omega$. Claim $\bigvee p' \leq p$ $p' \in \bigcap \Delta_i$.

Fix $\langle \langle x_i, m_i \rangle \mid i < \omega_1 \rangle$ r.t.

(a) $m_i < \omega$; (b) $x_i < i$ for $0 < i < \omega_1$;

(c) If $\langle x_i, n \rangle \in \omega_1 \times \omega_1$ then

$\{i \mid \langle x_i, m_i \rangle = \langle x_i, n \rangle\}$ is unbounded in ω_1 .

Pick $\langle p_i \mid i < \omega_1 \rangle$ r.t. $p_\alpha = p$ and

$p_i \leq p_{x_i}$, $p_i \in \Delta_{m_i}$, $m_p \geq i$ for

$0 < i < \omega_1$. Let

$M = \langle L_\beta[A], A, \langle p_i \mid i < \omega_1 \rangle, M \rangle \subset$

$\langle L_{\omega_2}[A], A, \dots, \dots \rangle$

r.t. $\beta < \omega_2$, $\text{cf}(\beta) = \omega_1$.

Let $X \subset M$ be countable r.t.

$\alpha = \omega_1 \cap X$ is transitive and

$\bar{M} \in M_\alpha$, where \bar{M} is the

transitivization of X . Then

$\bar{M} = \langle L_\beta[\bar{A}], \bar{A}, \langle \bar{p}_i \mid i < \alpha \rangle, M[\alpha] \rangle$,

Let $\delta = \sup_{i < \omega} d_i$, where $\{d_i \mid i < \omega\} \in$

$\in M_\alpha$ is monotone. An

M_α select $\langle \eta_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$

-

s.t. $\alpha_i < \gamma_i$, $\delta_{\gamma_{i+1}} = \gamma_i$, $m_{\gamma_{i+1}} = i$.

Define p' by: $\text{dom}(p') = \bigcup_{i < \omega} \text{dom}(p_{\gamma_i})$

$p'(v) = \{\alpha\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{i < \omega \\ v \in \text{dom}(p_{\gamma_i})}} p_{\gamma_i}(v)$ for $v \in \text{dom}(p')$.

It suffices to show:

Claim $p' \in P$ (Hence $p' \leq p_{\gamma_{i+1}} \in \Delta_i$ for $i < \omega$.)

pf.

$\text{dom}(p') \subset \omega_1$ is trivially countable.

Moreover:

(a) $p'(v)$ is closed, bounded in ω_1 ,

for $v \in \text{dom}(p')$,

since $p'(v) \cap \alpha$ is club in $\alpha = \max(p'(v))$

(b) $\tilde{\alpha}_v \cap \gamma \in M_\gamma$ for $\gamma \in p'(v)$

pf.

Case 1 $\gamma < \alpha$. Then $\gamma \in \text{dom}(p_{\gamma_i}(v))$ and

hence $\tilde{\alpha}_v \cap \gamma \in M_\gamma$.

Case 2 $\gamma = \alpha$.

Let $v \in \text{dom}(p_{\gamma_i})$. Then $v \in X$ since

$p_{\gamma_i} \in X$. Hence $\tilde{\alpha}_v \in X$. Let $\sigma(\bar{\alpha}) =$

$= \tilde{\alpha}_v$. Then $\bar{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha}_v \cap \alpha \in M_\alpha$.

QED(b)

(c) $f_\gamma'' m_{p'(v)} = f''\alpha \in \text{dom}(p')$

for $v \in \text{dom}(p)$.

Let $\bar{z} = f_\gamma(u)$, $u < \alpha$. Let $v \in \text{dom}(p)$, where $\gamma_i > u$ (hence $m_p \geq \gamma_i > u$).

Then $m_{p(v)} > u$ and $f_\gamma(u) \in$
 $\subseteq \text{dom}(p) \cap \text{dom}(p')$

(d) $m_{p'(z)} = m_{p'(v)} = \alpha$ for $\bar{z} \in f_\gamma''\alpha$

(e) $\gamma \in p'(v) \rightarrow \tilde{c}_{p',v} \cap \gamma \in M_\gamma$

Case 1 $\gamma < \alpha$. Trivial since
 $\gamma \in p'(v)$ for an $i < \omega$ and

$$\tilde{c}_{p',v} \cap \gamma = \tilde{c}_{p_{\gamma_i},v} \cap \gamma,$$

Case 2 $\gamma = \alpha$,

$$\tilde{c}_{p',v} = \bigcup_{\substack{i < \omega \\ v \in \text{dom}(p)}} \tilde{c}_{p_{\gamma_i},v}. \text{ But}$$

The function $\langle \tilde{c}_{p_{\gamma_i},v} \mid z < \omega, v \in \text{dom}(p) \rangle$
 is M_γ -definable. Let

$\langle \tilde{c}_{p_{\gamma_i},v} \mid z < \omega \wedge v \in \text{dom}(p) \rangle$ have
 the same def. in M_γ .

Then $\sigma(\bar{c}_{\bar{p}_3}, v) = \tilde{c}_{\bar{p}_3, \sigma(v)}$; hence

$\bar{c}_{\bar{p}_3, v} = \tilde{c}_{\bar{p}_3, \sigma(v)} \cap \mathbb{A}$. Since $\langle \bar{p}_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in M_\alpha$, we have: $\tilde{c}_{\bar{p}_3, v} = \bigcup_{\substack{i < \omega \\ v \in \text{dom}(\bar{p}_i)}} \bar{c}_{\bar{p}_{\gamma_i}}$, where $\sigma(v) = v$.

QED (Lemma 4)

Note A modification of this proof shows:

Cor. 4.1 Let $v < \omega_1$. Set $\mathbb{Q} =$ the set of $\langle p, q \rangle \in \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ s.t. $p \Vdash v = q \ Vdash v$, with the ordering: $\langle p, q \rangle \leq \langle p', q' \rangle \leftrightarrow (p \leq p' \wedge q \leq q')$. Then \mathbb{Q} is ω_1 -distributive.

(Note $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ for $v = 0$)

As in §1, a standard proof using (D), (E) given:

Lemma 5 \mathbb{P} satisfies the ω_2 -CC
(i.e. every antichain has cardinality $\leq \omega_1$).

Hence \mathbb{P} preserves cardinals.

Lemma 6 Let G be IP_r -generic over N .

Set $C = \bigcup G$. Then

(a) C is cub in ω_1 and $\alpha \in M_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in C$.

(b) Let $\alpha \in \omega_1$, $\alpha \in L_p[A]$. Then

there is $d_0 \in C$ s.t. $\alpha \in M_d$ for all $d \in C \setminus d_0$.

Proof. Trivial.

Def Let G be IP -generic over N .

$$G_r = \{ p(r) \mid p \in G \wedge r \in \text{dom}(p) \}$$

$$C_r = C_r^G = \bigcup G_r$$

$$\tilde{C}_r = \tilde{C}_r^G = \{ \langle \mu, \tau \rangle < \omega_1 \mid \mu \in C_{f_r(\tau)} \}.$$

$$\text{Def } \tilde{\text{IP}}_r = \tilde{\text{IP}}_r^A = \{ p \in \text{IP} \mid \text{dom}(p) \subset r \}.$$

(Hence $\tilde{\text{IP}}_r \in \text{M}_r$ by Lemma 2)

Lemma 7 Let G be IP -generic over N .

(a) G_r is IP_r -generic over N

(b) $\alpha \in C_r \rightarrow \alpha \in \tilde{C}_r$, $\alpha \in M_\alpha$

(c) $N[G] = N[C_r \mid r < \omega_1]$

(d) $N[G \cap \tilde{\text{IP}}_r] = N[\tilde{C}_r]$

Proof

(a) Let $p \in \text{IP}$, $q \leq p(r)$ in IP_r .

Claim There is $p' \leq p$ in IP s.t. $p'(r) \leq q$ in IP_r .

Amitating the proof of Lemma 3 (applied to $p \wedge r$, we find an $\alpha > m_g$ and a $p'' \in \tilde{P}_r$ s.t. $p'' \leq p \wedge r$ in \tilde{P} and

$$m_{p''(\beta)} = \alpha \text{ for all } \beta \in \text{dom}(p'')$$

$$\text{and } p''(\beta) \cap d = \begin{cases} p(\beta) & \text{if } \beta \in \text{dom}(p) \\ \emptyset & \text{if not} \end{cases}$$

for $\beta \in \text{dom}(p'')$. Set $p' = p'' \cup \{\langle g, \alpha \rangle\}$.

It is easily verified that $p' \in \tilde{P}$.

Hence $p' \leq p$ and $p'_r = g$. QED (a)

(b) trivial

(c) (\Rightarrow) trivial, (\Leftarrow) follows by:

For $p \in \tilde{P}$ we have:

$$p \in G \Leftrightarrow \forall r \in \text{dom}(p) (p(r) = C_r \cap (m_{p(r)} + 1))$$

$$(d) N[G \cap \tilde{P}_r] = N[\langle C_\beta | \beta < r \rangle]$$

follows as in (c). But

$$N[\langle C_\beta | \beta < r \rangle] = N[\tilde{C}_r]. \text{ QED (Lemma 7)}$$

Using this we verify (G) of §1.

Lemma 8 Assume $L_{\omega_2}[A] = H_{\omega_2}$ in N . Then $S^* = \langle S_3^* |_{3 < \omega_1} \rangle$ is a \Diamond^+ -sequence in $N[G]$, prf.

Let $B \subset \omega_1$ in $N[G]$. Claim There is a cub $C \subset \omega_1$ in $N[G]$ s.t.

$$\forall \alpha \in C \quad B \cap \alpha, C \cap \alpha \in M_\alpha.$$

Let $B = \dot{B}^G$. For $r < \omega_1$ choose in N a maximal antichain X_r in $\{p \mid p \Vdash r \in \dot{B}\}$.

Then $\bar{X}_r \leq \omega_1$, where $X_r \subset H_{\omega_2}$. Hence

$\langle X_r | r < \omega_1 \rangle \in L_{\omega_2}[A]$. We know:

$$(1) \quad r \in B \iff G \cap X_r \neq \emptyset$$

Pick $\beta < \omega_2$ s.t.

$$M = \langle L_{\beta}[A], A \cap \beta, M, X \rangle \subset \langle L_{\omega_1}[A], A, M, X \rangle$$

where $X = \langle X_r | r < \omega_1 \rangle$, and $cf(\beta) = \omega_1$.

Clearly $\beta = \sup p_r$, $M = \langle \dot{D}_\beta, X \rangle$.

Since $cf(\beta) = \omega_1$, we have

$$(2) \quad [M]^{\omega} \subset M; \quad x \in M \rightarrow [x]^{\omega} \in M$$

Hence:

(3) $\dot{D}_\beta \subset M$ is M -definable.

Clearly (1) can be improved to

$$(4) \quad r \in B \iff (G \cap \dot{D}_\beta) \cap X_r \neq \emptyset.$$

Note that $p > \beta$ and $f_\beta \in L_{\beta}[A]$,

where $f_\beta : \omega_1 \longleftrightarrow \beta$.

-15-

Let $D \subset \omega_1$ code the complete theory of $\langle M, f_\beta, v \mid r < \omega_1 \rangle$. Then M is uniquely recoverable from D in any transitive ZFC -model containing D as a set.

Then $D \in L_p[A]$. Hence there is

$d_0 \in C_\beta$ s.t. $D \cap d \in M_d$ for all $d \in C_\beta \setminus d_0$.

Set: $M^* = \langle M, D, G \cap \bar{P}_\beta \rangle$. Set:

Def γ_α = the smallest $\gamma \in M^*$
s.t. $\alpha \in \gamma$ ($\alpha \leq \omega_1$).

Then $M^* = \gamma_{\omega_1}$, and γ_α is countable
for $\alpha < \omega_1$. Set:

$$C = \{\alpha \in C_\beta \mid d_0 \leq \alpha = \omega_1 \cap \gamma_\alpha\}.$$

Then C is cub in ω_1 .

Claim Let $\alpha \in C$. Then $B \cap \alpha, C \cap \alpha \in M_\alpha$.

prf.

Let $\sigma: \bar{M}^* \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_\alpha$, where $\bar{M}^* = \langle \bar{M}, \bar{G}, \bar{D} \rangle$.

and $\bar{M} = \langle L_{\bar{A}}[\bar{A}], \bar{A}, \bar{M}, \bar{X} \rangle$. Then:

$\delta = \text{crit}(\sigma)$, $\sigma(\alpha) = \omega_1$, $\sigma(\bar{A}) = A \cap \beta$,

$\sigma(\bar{M}) = M$ (hence $\bar{M} = M \cap \alpha$),

$\sigma(\bar{X}) = X$ (hence $\bar{X} = \langle \bar{X}_r \mid r < \alpha \rangle$, where

\bar{X}_r is a maximal antichain in \bar{P} ,

and \bar{P} is defined in \bar{M} as \bar{P}_β in M .

Finally, we note that $\bar{D} = D \cap {}^\alpha M_\alpha$, since $\sigma(\bar{D}) = D$ and $\alpha \in C_\beta \setminus d_0$. Hence

$$(5) \quad \bar{M} \in M_\alpha.$$

Since \bar{M} is recoverable from \bar{D} as M was recoverable from D ,

$$(6) \quad \bar{G} \in M_\alpha$$

pf.

By the proof of Lemma 7(d), $G \cap \tilde{P}_\beta$ $\langle M, \tilde{C}_\beta \rangle$ -definable, where \tilde{C} is, in turn, M^* -definable. Let \bar{C} have the same definition in \bar{M}^* . Then

$$\bar{C} = \sigma^{-1}(\tilde{C}_\beta) = \tilde{C}_\beta \cap d \in M_\alpha,$$

since $d \in C_\beta$. But then $\bar{G} \in \langle \bar{M}, \bar{C} \rangle$ - definable as $G \cap \tilde{P}_\beta$ was defined in $\langle M, \tilde{C}_\beta \rangle$, since $\sigma(\bar{G}) = G \cap \tilde{P}_\beta$ and, $\sigma: \langle \bar{M}, \bar{C}, \bar{G} \rangle \prec \langle M, \tilde{C}_\beta, G \cap \tilde{P}_\beta \rangle$.

Hence $G \in M_\alpha$, since $\langle \bar{M}, \bar{C} \rangle \in M_\alpha$!

QED (6)

By the proof of Lemma 7(c):

$$r \in B \leftrightarrow (G \cap \tilde{P}_r) \cap X_r \neq \emptyset$$

$$\leftrightarrow \bar{G} \cap \bar{X}_r \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for } r < \alpha.$$

Hence:

$$(7) \quad B \cap d \in M_\alpha, \text{ since } \bar{G}, \langle \bar{X}_r | r < \alpha \rangle \in M_\alpha.$$

Finally we note that:

(8) $C \cap d \in M_\alpha$,

since $\bar{M}^* \in M_\alpha$ by (6), $C_\beta \cap d$, $d_0 \in M_\alpha$, and $C \cap d$ is definable from \bar{M}^* , $C_\beta \cap d$, d_0 as C was defined from M^* , C_β , d_0 . QED (Lemma 8)

It remains only to verify (H), which will follow by Corollary 4.1:

Lemma 9 Let \bar{G} be \tilde{P}_β -generic over

N . Set: $IP_{\bar{G}} = \{p \in IP \mid p \upharpoonright r \in \bar{G}\}$. Then

$IP_{\bar{G}} \times IP_{\bar{G}}$ is ω_1 -distributive.

Proof.

Let $G_0 \times G_1$ be $IP_{\bar{G}} \times IP_{\bar{G}}$ -generic over $N[\bar{G}]$. We must show that $N[\bar{G}][G_0 \times G_1] = N[G_0 \times G_1]$ contains no new countable subsets of $N[\bar{G}]$. Let $\mathbb{Q} =$

$= \{(p, q) \in IP \times IP \mid p \upharpoonright r = q \upharpoonright r\}$ be as in

Lemma 4.1. Set $\mathbb{Q}_{\bar{G}} = \mathbb{Q} \cap (IP_{\bar{G}} \times IP_{\bar{G}})$.

It is easily seen that $\mathbb{Q}_{\bar{G}}$ is dense in $IP_{\bar{G}} \times IP_{\bar{G}}$. Hence

$N[G_0 \times G_1] = N[\tilde{G}]$, where $\tilde{G} = (G_0 \times G_1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$, and \tilde{G} is $\mathbb{Q}_{\bar{G}}$ -generic over $N[\bar{G}]$,

It is apparent from the def. of \mathbb{Q} that:

(E') Let $\langle p, p' \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let $q \leq p \wedge r = p' \upharpoonright r$ in $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_r$. Then $\langle p \vee q, p' \vee q \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Using this we can repeat the proof of (2) (following (G1)) in §1 to get:

$\mathbb{Q} \cap (G_0 \times G_1)$ is \mathbb{Q} -generic over N iff

$\tilde{G} = G_c \cap \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_r$ is $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_r$ -generic over N

and $\mathbb{Q} \cap (G_0 \times G_1)$ is $\mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{G}}$ -generic over $N[\tilde{G}]$. Hence $\tilde{G} = \mathbb{Q} \cap (G_0 \times G_1)$ is \mathbb{Q} -generic over N , where \mathbb{Q} is ω_1 -distributive in N . Hence $N[G_0 \times G_1] = N[\tilde{G}]$ contains no new countable sets of ordinals.

QED