

§1 premise

A ppm (prepremouse) is a structure satisfying all of the premouse axioms other than the initial segment condition. There are, in fact, different versions of this condition. The minimal initial segment condition (MIS) says that if $F = E_{\nu}^M \neq \emptyset$ and $\tau_{\nu} < \lambda' < \lambda_{\nu}$ and $\nu' = \lambda' + M \parallel \nu$, then $\langle J_{\nu'}^E, F \upharpoonright \lambda' \rangle$ is not a ppm. This condition does not, however, have the preservation properties we want.

The full initial segment condition (IS) says that if ν, λ' are above, $J_{\lambda'}^{E^M} = J_{\lambda'}^E$, and E', ν' are s.t. $\langle J_{\nu'}^{E'}, F \upharpoonright \lambda' \rangle$ is a ppm satisfying MIS, then $F \upharpoonright \lambda' \in M \parallel \nu$. (Note that we then have $\pi : J_{\tau_{\nu}}^E \rightarrow_{F \upharpoonright \lambda'} J_{\nu'}^{E'}$.)

[Note: Here $\kappa_{\nu} = \kappa_{\nu}^M = \text{crit}(E_{\nu}^M)$, $\lambda_{\nu} = \lambda_{\nu}^M = F(\kappa_{\nu}) = \text{lh}(F)$, $\tau_{\nu} = \tau_{\nu}^M = \kappa_{\nu} + M \parallel \nu$. At $M = \langle J_{\nu}^E, F \rangle$ we also set: $\kappa(M) = \kappa(F) = \kappa_{\nu}^M$, $\lambda(M) = \lambda(F) = \lambda_{\nu}^M$, $\tau(M) = \tau(F) = \tau_{\nu}^M$.]

A premouse is a ppm satisfying IS.

Lemma 1.1 Every premouse satisfies MIS.

prf.

Suppose not. Let $M = \langle J_{\nu}^E, F \rangle$ be a counterexample of minimal length. Hence there is $\lambda' \in (\tau_{\nu}, \lambda_{\nu})$ s.t.

$N = \langle J_{\nu'}^E, F \mid \lambda' \rangle$ is a ppm, where $\nu' = \lambda' + M$,

Hence λ' is a limit cardinal in M . Hence N satisfies IS. Hence N satisfies MIS by the minimality of M . Hence $N \in M$.

Hence $N^M \leq \lambda'$. Hence $\nu' < \lambda' + M$. Contradiction!

QED (Lemma 1.1)

Cor 1.2 The condition " $\langle J_{\nu'}^E, F \mid \lambda' \rangle$ satisfies MIS" can be omitted from IS.

Proof.

Let $\langle J_{\nu'}^E, F \mid \lambda' \rangle$ be a ppm and let

$\pi' : J_{\nu'}^E \rightarrow J_{\nu'}^E$ defined by

$\sigma : J_{\nu'}^E \rightarrow J_{\nu'}^E$ defined by

$\sigma(\pi'(f)(\alpha)) = \pi(f)(\alpha)$ for $\alpha < \lambda'$,

$f : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ in $M \parallel \nu$. Then $\lambda' = \text{crit}(\sigma)$.

Hence λ' is a limit cardinal in $M \parallel \nu$.

Hence $N = \langle J_{\nu'}^E, F \rangle$ satisfies IS.

Hence N satisfies MIS. QED (1.2)

However, there are narrower classes of premisses satisfying their own initial segment conditions. An example is the class of 1-premisses.

Def Let $M = \langle J, E, F \rangle$ be an active p.p.m.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(M) &= \text{the natural length of } F \\ &= \text{lub} \{ \alpha, \cup \text{gen}^{\alpha} F \}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{gen}^{\alpha} F =$ the set of generators of $F =$ the set of $\exists < \lambda, \text{ etc. } \dots$

$\exists \neq \pi(f)(\vec{d})$ for any $f \in M_n(\mathbb{N}_k)$ and any tuple $d_1, \dots, d_m < \exists$.

Def $\lambda(M)^+ = \lambda(M)^{+M}$

Def For $v \in \mathbb{N}$, $E_v^{\mathbb{N}} = \emptyset$ set:

$$\lambda(v)^{\mathbb{N}} = \lambda(N \parallel v), \quad \lambda^{+}(v)^{\mathbb{N}} = \lambda^{+}(N \parallel v)$$

Def The minimal initial segment condition (α -MIS) says that if $F = E^N \neq \emptyset$ and $\tau_\nu \leq \zeta < \alpha_\nu$ in N , $\pi: J_{E^N}^{\nu} \rightarrow_{F|\zeta} J_{E'}^{\nu}$, and $\zeta' = \zeta + N||\nu$, then $J_{\zeta'}^{E'} \neq J_{\zeta}^{E^N}$.

Def Let $\nu = \text{ht}(N)$ and let $\zeta, \pi, J_{E'}^{\nu}$ be as above. $N_{\zeta} = \text{pt} \langle J_{E'}^{\nu}, F' \rangle$, where $F' = \pi^{-1} \# (N_\nu)$.

Def The full α -initial segment condition (α -IS) says that whenever $E^M \neq \emptyset$ and $N = M||\nu$, then if $\tau_\nu \leq \zeta < \alpha_\nu$ in N and $\zeta = \alpha(N_\zeta)$, then:

- (a) If N_ζ satisfies α -MIS, then $N_\zeta \in W$
- (b) If N_ζ satisfies α -MIS and $\tau_\nu \leq \zeta' < \zeta$ s.t. $N_{\zeta'}$ satisfies α -MIS, then $N_{\zeta'} \in N_\zeta$.

Note Clearly $\zeta = \alpha(N_\zeta)$ (if $\zeta = \text{lub}(\tau_\nu \cup \{ \alpha \text{ on } F \} \cap \zeta)$)

Note (a) holds for any $\zeta \in [\tau_\nu, \alpha_\nu]$ if it holds for $\zeta = \alpha(N_\zeta)$

Note If ζ, ζ' are as in (b), then $N_{\zeta'} = (N_\zeta)_{\zeta'}$.

By this last remark we easily get:

Lemma 2.1 Let N satisfy α -IS. Let $\tau_v \leq \zeta < \alpha_v$ in N s.t. N_ζ satisfies α -MIS. Then N_ζ satisfies α -IS

Moreover:

Lemma 2.2 Let N satisfy α -IS. Then N satisfies α -MIS.

Prf. Suppose not.

Let $N = \langle J_v^E, F \rangle$ be a counterexample of minimal length. Then N satisfies α -MIS for all $\zeta < v$. Thus there is ζ s.t. $\tau_v \leq \zeta < \alpha_v$ and $J_{\zeta'}^E = J_{\zeta'}^E$, where $\zeta' = \zeta + N$

We suppose w.l.o.g. that $\alpha(v)$ is minimal for counterexamples of length v . For the given N we also suppose ζ chosen minimally.

(1) N_ζ satisfies α -IS.

proof. Assume w.l.o.g. $\zeta = \text{lub}(\tau_v \cap \text{gen}_F^{\alpha \zeta})$. Then $\zeta = \alpha(N_\zeta)$. Let $\eta \in [\tau_v, \zeta)$ s.t.

$(N_\zeta)_\eta$ satisfies α -MIS. Then

$(N_\zeta)_\eta = N_\eta \in N$. But $\overline{N_\eta} \leq \eta < \zeta = \alpha(N_\zeta)$

in N . Hence $N_\eta \in J_{\zeta'}^E = J_{\zeta'}^E \subset N_\zeta$.

QED(1)

There is $\sigma: N_{\aleph_3} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_0} N$ defined by:

$$\sigma(\pi'(f)(\vec{\alpha})) = \pi(f)(\vec{\alpha}) \text{ for } f: \kappa_1^m \rightarrow \kappa_2, f \in N, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m < \aleph_3, \text{ where } \pi': J_{\aleph_2}^{EN} \rightarrow J_{\aleph_3}^{EN}$$

and $\pi: J_{\aleph_2}^{EN} \xrightarrow{F} J_{\aleph_3}^{EN}$. Hence

$ht(N_{\aleph_3}) \leq \nu$. Moreover $\aleph(N_{\aleph_3}) = \aleph_3 < \aleph(N)$. Hence

N_{\aleph_3} satisfies \aleph -MIS by (1) and the minimality of M . Hence $N_{\aleph_3} \in N$. But

$\aleph(N_{\aleph_3}) \leq \aleph(N) \leq \aleph_3 < \aleph_3' = \aleph_3^+$ in N . Hence

$$N_{\aleph_3} \in J_{\aleph_3'}^{EN} = J_{\aleph_3'}^{EN_{\aleph_3}} \subset N_{\aleph_3}. \text{ Contr!}$$

QED (2.2)

Def An \aleph -premouse is a p.p.m satisfying IS.

Lemma 2.2 corresponds to Lemma 1.1. However, the proof of Lemma 1.2 does not go through.

There can, in fact, be an \aleph -premouse M and a $\aleph_3 < \aleph(M)$ s.t. M_{\aleph_3} does not satisfy \aleph -MIS. By Lemma 2.1, 2.2

however, we at least know that M_{\aleph_3} satisfies \aleph -MIS iff M_{\aleph_3} is an \aleph -premouse.

Lemma 2.3 \aleph -MIS \rightarrow MIS

pf. trivial

Lemma 2.7 Let $\tau_r \leq \gamma < \text{cof}(\tau_r)$ s.t. γ is a cardinal in $N = \langle J_{\tau_r}^E, F \rangle$, where N is an active premouse. Then N_γ is an τ -premouse.

prf.

Let $\zeta < \gamma$ s.t. $N_\zeta = (N_\gamma)_\zeta$ satisfies τ -MIS and $\zeta = \text{lub}(\tau_r \cup \text{gen}_F \cap \zeta)$. Then $N_\zeta \in N$. Hence $N_\zeta \in J_\gamma^E = J_\gamma^{E^{N_\zeta}} \subset N_\zeta$.

Now let $\delta < \zeta$ s.t. $N_\delta = (N_\gamma)_\delta$ satisfies τ -MIS. Then $N_\delta \in N_\zeta$, since $N_\delta = (N_\zeta)_\delta$ and N_ζ is an τ -premouse.

Hence $N_\delta \in N_\zeta \subset N_\gamma$. QED (2.7)

Lemma 2.8 Every τ -premouse is a premouse

prf.

Let $N = \langle J_{\tau_r}^E, F \rangle$ be an active τ -premouse.

Let $\tau_r < \lambda' < \lambda_r$ s.t. $N_{\lambda'} = \langle J_{\tau_r}^{E'}, F(\lambda) \rangle$

and $J_{\tau_r}^E = J_{\tau_r}^{E'}$.

Claim $F(\lambda) \in N$.

Define $\sigma : N_{\lambda'} \rightarrow_{\Sigma_1} N$ by $\sigma(\pi_{\lambda'}(f)(\alpha)) = \pi(f)(\alpha)$ for $f \in N \cap ({}^{\tau_r} \kappa_r)$, $\alpha < \lambda'$, where

$\pi_{\lambda'} : J_{\tau_r}^E \rightarrow_{F(\lambda')} |N_{\lambda'}|$, $\pi : J_{\tau_r}^E \rightarrow_F |N|$.

Then $\sigma \upharpoonright \lambda' = \text{id}$, $\sigma(\lambda') = \lambda$. Hence $\lambda' \in \text{gen}_F$ and λ' is a limit cardinal

in N . Hence $N_{\lambda'} \in N$ by Lemma 2.7.

QED 1781

Def Let N be a ppm.

$C_N = C_N^\lambda =$ the set of $\bar{z} < \kappa(N)$ s.t.
 $\bar{z} = \text{lub}(\bar{\tau}_v \cup \{\bar{z} \cap \text{gen}_N\})$ and $N_{\bar{z}}$ satisfies
 λ -MIS.

$$C_N(v) = C_N^\lambda(v) = C_{N \parallel v} \text{ for } E_v^N \neq \emptyset,$$

Lemma 3.1 Let N be an ^{active} λ -premouse.

Then C_N is closed in $\kappa = \kappa(N)$.

proof.

Let $\gamma < \kappa$ be a limit pt. of $C = C_N$.
 It suffices to show:

Claim N_γ is an λ -premouse.

Clearly $C_{N_\gamma} = \gamma \cap C_N$. For $\bar{z} \in C_{N_\gamma}$

let $\sigma_{\bar{z}\gamma}: N_{\bar{z}} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_\lambda} N_\gamma$ be defined

by $\sigma_{\bar{z}\gamma}(\pi_{\bar{z}}(f)(\vec{d})) = \pi_\gamma(f)(\vec{d})$ for

$\vec{d} < \bar{z}$, $f \in N \cap (u_{v\kappa}^m)$, where

$$\pi_{\bar{z}}: \mathcal{J}_{\bar{\tau}_v}^E \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\bar{z}}^{E^{N_{\bar{z}}}}, \text{ where}$$

$$N = \langle \mathcal{J}_v^E, F \rangle, N_{\bar{z}} = \langle \mathcal{J}_{\bar{z}}^{E^{N_{\bar{z}}}}, F_{\bar{z}} \rangle.$$

Then $\sigma_{\aleph} \upharpoonright \aleph = \text{id}$ and $N_{\aleph} = \bigcup_{\beta \in C_{N_{\aleph}}} \text{rng}(\sigma_{\beta})$

Let $\aleph \in C_{N_{\aleph}}$, $\aleph' \in C_{N_{\aleph}}$, $\aleph < \aleph'$.

Then $N_{\aleph} \in N_{\aleph'}$, since $N_{\aleph} = (N_{\aleph'}) / \aleph$ satisfies MIS. Hence $F / \aleph \in N_{\aleph'}$.

Hence $F / \aleph = \sigma_{\aleph'}(F / \aleph) \in N_{\aleph}$. Hence $N_{\aleph} \in N_{\aleph}$. Now let $\delta < \aleph$, $\delta \in C_{N_{\aleph}}$.

Then $N_{\delta} = (N_{\aleph}) / \delta \in N_{\aleph} \subset N_{\aleph}$.

QED (3.1)

Lemma 3.2 Let $N = \langle \bigcup_{\nu} E_{\nu}, F \rangle$ be an active κ -premouse. Let $\aleph < \kappa(\nu)$, $\aleph = \text{lub}(\tau_{\nu} \cup (\aleph \cap \text{gen}_F))$ s.t. N_{\aleph} is not a premouse. Then $\aleph = \gamma + 1$ where $\gamma \in \text{gen}_F$ and $\gamma = \text{lub}(\tau_{\nu} \cup (\gamma \cap \text{gen}_F))$ is a limit cardinal in N .

proof. Suppose not.

Let \aleph be a counterexample. There is a unique cardinal μ in N s.t.

$\tau_{\nu} \leq \mu \leq \aleph < \mu + N$. Let $\sigma = \sigma_{\aleph}$ where

$\sigma_{\aleph} : N_{\aleph} \rightarrow \sum_1 N$ is the canonical

map (i.e. $\sigma(\pi_{\aleph}(f)(\vec{\alpha})) = \pi(f)(\vec{\alpha})$)

for $f \in N \cap (\kappa_r^m \kappa_r)$, $\alpha < \xi$. Clearly $\sigma \upharpoonright \xi =$

(1) $\sigma(\mu) = \mu$,

since otherwise $\mu \in \text{gen}_F$ is a limit cardinal in N and $\mu = \xi$. Hence N_ξ is an α -premouse by Lemma 3.1. Contr!

Hence:

(2) $\sigma \upharpoonright \tilde{\xi} = \text{id}$, where $\tilde{\xi} = \mu + N_\xi$.

(3) Let $\mu \leq \delta < \xi$ s.t. $\sigma_\delta(\mu) = \mu$.

Set: $\tilde{\delta} = \mu + N_\delta$. Then $\tilde{\delta} < \xi$.

proof. $\sigma_\delta \upharpoonright \tilde{\delta} = \text{id}$ as before. But then

$[\delta, \tilde{\delta}) \cap \text{gen}_F = \emptyset$, where $\delta < \xi$ and

$\xi = \text{lub}(\xi \cap \text{gen}_F)$. Hence $\tilde{\delta} < \xi$.

(4) Let $\varepsilon_r \leq \delta < \mu$. Then $(J_{\delta^+}^E)^{N_\delta} \neq (J_{\delta^+}^E)^\mu$

proof.

$(J_{\delta^+}^E)^{N_\delta} \neq (J_{\delta^+}^E)^\mu$ since N satisfies

MIS. But μ is a cardinal in N

and $J_\mu^{E^N} = J_\mu^{E^N}$. N_μ is an

α -premouse by Lemma 2.7. Hence

$N_\mu \in N$ and μ is a cardinal in N_μ .

Hence $(J_{\delta^+}^E)^N = (J_{\delta^+}^E)^{N_\mu}$.

QED (4)

But $N_{\tilde{z}}$ does not satisfy MIS. Hence
 there is a $\tilde{s} < \tilde{z}$ s.t. $(J_{\tilde{s}}^E)^{N_{\tilde{z}}} = (J_{\tilde{s}}^E)^{N_{\tilde{z}}}$.
 By (3), (4) there is only one possibility
 - i.e. $\tilde{s} = \mu$;

(5) $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{z}$ and $J_{\tilde{\mu}}^{E N_{\mu}} = J_{\tilde{z}}^{E N_{\tilde{z}}}$,

where $\mu = \text{crit}(\sigma_{\mu})$.

But since \tilde{z} is a counterexample, we
 have $\tilde{z} > \mu + 1$. Hence by (3):

(6) $\tilde{\mu} > \tilde{\mu} + 1 = \mu + N_{\mu+1}$

But then $N_{\mu+1}$ satisfies s -MIS by (5)

Hence $N_{\mu+1} \in N$ is an s -premouse.

Since $N_{\mu} = (N_{\mu+1})_{\mu}$ is an s -premouse

we conclude: $N_{\mu} \in N_{\mu+1}$. But

$\bar{N}_{\mu} \equiv \mu$ in $N_{\mu+1}$. Hence

$\tilde{\mu} = \mu + N_{\mu} < \tilde{\mu} + 1$. Contr!

QED (Lemma 3.2)

(Thus $N_{\tilde{z}}$ can only fail to be an s -
 -premouse in an unlikely seeming
 case. Martin Zeman has shown, however
 that this case does occur at the
 level "strong past a measurable".)

Cor 3.3 Let γ be a limit pt of gen_F where $N = \langle J_{\nu}^E, F \rangle$ is an active premouse. Then γ is a limit point of C_N^2 .

(Note $\min(\text{gen}_F) = \kappa_\nu$, but if $\xi > \kappa_\nu$, $\xi \in \text{gen}_F$, then $\xi > \bar{\tau}_\nu$.)

Def An active premouse $N = \langle J_{\nu}^E, F \rangle$ is of type 1 iff $\kappa(\nu) = \tau_\nu$

N is of type 2 iff $\kappa(\nu) = \xi + 1$ for some ξ

N is of type 3 iff $\kappa(\nu)$ is a limit ordinal $> \tau_\nu$.

[Note If N is of type 2, there is a maximal $\gamma \in C_N$ s.t. $\gamma \leq \xi$, since if ξ is a limit point of gen_F , then $\xi \in C_N$. Moreover, if $\xi \notin C_N$ and $\gamma = \sup(\xi \cap \text{gen}_F)$, then γ is a limit cardinal in N , $\gamma \in \text{gen}_F$ and $\xi = \gamma + N_\xi$, where $N_\xi = N_{\gamma+1}$ and $J_{\xi}^{EN_\xi} = J_{\xi}^{EN_\xi} = J_{\xi}^{EN}$.]

Lemma 3.4 Let N be of type 3. Then $w_N^1 = r \vee 1$.

prf. Let $r = r \vee 1$

$w_N^1 \leq r$; since $h_N(r) = N$. We

prove \geq . Let $\exists < r$ and let $a < \exists$

be $\Sigma_1(N)$. Claim $a \in N$.

Since $N_r = N$, we have $N = \bigcup_{\gamma < r} \text{rng}(\sigma_\gamma)$

where $\sigma_\gamma : N_\gamma \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} N$ is the canonical

map (i.e. $\text{rng}(\sigma_\gamma) =$ the set of $\pi(f)(\vec{\alpha})$

s.t. $f \in N_1(\mu_r, \mu_r)$, $\vec{\alpha} < \gamma$, where

$\pi : J_{\mu_r}^E \xrightarrow{F} J_r^E$ and $N = \langle J_r^E, F \rangle$,

Pick $\gamma > \exists$ s.t. $q \in \text{rng} \sigma_\gamma$, where

a is $\Sigma_1(N)$ in q . Then a is $\Sigma_1(N_\gamma)$

in $\sigma_\gamma^{-1}(q)$, where $N_\gamma \in N$. Hence

$a \in N$.

QED (3.4)

Note Steel codes $N = \langle J_r^E, F \rangle$ of type 3 by $\tilde{N} =$

$\langle J_r^E, \tilde{F} \rangle$, where $\tilde{F} = \{ \langle x, d \rangle \mid \alpha < r \wedge d \in F(x) \}$,

where $r = r(N)$. \tilde{N} is then an amenable

structure and is essentially the same as

the reduct $N^1 = N^{1, \emptyset}$, since e.g.

$$\Sigma_1(\tilde{N}) = \Sigma_1(N^1),$$

Lemma 3.5 Let $N = \langle J_{\nu}^E, F \rangle$ be an active ppm

Let $\sigma: N \xrightarrow{G} N' = \langle J_{\nu'}^{E'}, F' \rangle$, where

$\text{crit}(G) < \lambda(N)$. Then $\lambda(N') = \sup \sigma'' \lambda(N)$.

Proof.

Set $\lambda = \lambda(N)$, $\lambda' = \lambda(N')$. Then

$\sup \sigma'' \lambda \leq \lambda'$, since $\sigma'' (\tau_{\nu} \cup \text{gen}_F) \subset$

$\subset (\tau_{\nu'} \cup \text{gen}_{F'}) \subset \lambda'$. We prove \geq .

First note that:

(1) $\pi' \sigma(X) = \sigma \pi(X)$ for $X \in \#(\kappa_{\nu} \cap N)$,

where $\pi: J_{\nu}^E \xrightarrow{F} J_{\nu}^E$, $\pi': J_{\nu'}^{E'} \xrightarrow{F'} J_{\nu'}^{E'}$,

since:

$$Y = \pi(X) \iff \sigma(Y) = \pi' \sigma(X).$$

But then if $\bar{\alpha} \geq \sup \sigma'' \lambda$ and $\bar{\alpha} = \sigma(f)(\alpha)$, $\alpha < \text{lh}(G)$, then, letting

$f = \pi(g)(\vec{\beta})$, $\vec{\beta} < \lambda$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha} &= (\sigma \pi(g)(\sigma(\vec{\beta})))(\alpha) \\ &= \sigma \pi(\tilde{g})(\sigma(\vec{\beta}))(\alpha) \\ &= \pi' \sigma(\tilde{g})(\sigma(\vec{\beta}))(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{g} \in (\kappa_{\nu}^m \kappa_{\nu}) \cap N$ and $\alpha, \sigma(\vec{\beta}) < \lambda$,

and $\sigma(\tilde{g}) \in (\kappa_{\nu'}^m \kappa_{\nu'}) \cap N'$. Hence

$\bar{\alpha} \notin \text{gen}_{F'}$.

QED (3.5)

by Π_1 -preservation

Lemma 3.6 Let $\sigma: N \xrightarrow{\Sigma_2} N'$. Then
 $\sup \sigma^{-1} \alpha(N) \leq \alpha(N') \leq \sigma(\alpha(N))$.

prf.

$\sup \sigma^{-1} \alpha(N) \leq \alpha(N')$ follows as before.

But the condition " $\exists \geq \alpha$ " is uniformly Π_2 in \exists ($\exists \geq \alpha \leftrightarrow \exists \beta \geq \alpha \ \beta \notin \text{gen}_F$).

Hence $\sigma(\alpha(N))$ satisfies this condition in N' . QED (3.6)

Lemma 4.1 Let $\pi: N \xrightarrow{G} N'$, where
 $N = \langle \cup_r E_r, F \rangle$ is of type 1 and $\text{crit}(G) < \alpha(N)$. Then N' is of type 1.
 prf. By Lemma 3.5

Lemma 4.2 Let $\pi: N \xrightarrow{G} N'$, where
 N is of type 1 and $\text{crit}(G) < \alpha(N)$.
 Then N' is of type 1.

prf.

By 4.1 if $\text{crit}(G) \geq \omega_N^1$. Otherwise
 by 3.6 and $\alpha(N) = \tau_N$ (hence
 $\sigma(\alpha(N)) = \tau_{N'}$ in N'). QED (4.2)

Def Let $N = \langle \cup_r E_r, F \rangle$ be of type 2.

$$\mathfrak{q}_N = \text{pt } F \mid \max C_N^1$$

(Hence $\mathfrak{q}_N \in N$).

Lemma 4.3 Let $\pi: N \rightarrow_G N'$ where N is of type 2 and $\text{crit}(G) < \alpha_N$. Then N' is of type 2, $\pi(\alpha_N) = \alpha_{N'}$, and $\pi(q_N) = q_{N'}$.

pf.

Let $N = \langle J, E, F \rangle$, $N' = \langle J, E', F' \rangle$. Then $\alpha = \beta + 1$ for $\beta = \max(\text{gen } F)$ and $\alpha' = \pi(\beta) + 1 = \pi(\alpha)$ by Lemma 3.5. We note:

Lemma 4.3.1 Let $\gamma < \alpha_N$. Then $\gamma + N_\gamma \leq \gamma + N$.

pf. Let $\xi < \gamma + N_\gamma$. Let $a \in \gamma$ code a well ordering of type ξ . Then $a = \sigma_\gamma(a) \cap \gamma \in N$

⊙ E D (4.3.1)

Now let $\gamma = \max C_N$. Then $\gamma' = \sigma(\gamma) = \text{lub}(\text{gen } \sigma(N_\gamma))$. Moreover $E_{\text{ht}}^{\sigma(N_\gamma)} \upharpoonright \gamma' = F'$.

since the same Π_1 statement holds of γ, N_γ in N . Moreover, $\gamma' < \alpha_{N'}$ and $\sigma(N_\gamma)$ is an α -premouse. Hence:

(1) $\gamma' \in C_{N'}$ and $\sigma(N_\gamma) = N'_{\gamma'}$.

Claim $\gamma' = \max C_{N'}$.

Set $\beta = \text{crit}(\sigma_\gamma)$, $\beta' = \pi(\beta)$. Then $\beta = \gamma$ or $\gamma + \alpha$

Case 1 $N_{\gamma+1} = N$

Then $\beta' = \gamma'$, $\alpha' = \gamma' + 1$.

Case 2 $N_{\gamma+1} = N_\gamma$ (i.e. $\sigma_\gamma(\gamma) = \gamma$),

Then $\gamma = \pi_{\xi}(f)(\vec{\alpha})$ where $\vec{\alpha} < \gamma$, $f \in ({}^{\kappa}\kappa) \cap N$ ($\kappa = \kappa_N$),
 and $\pi_{\xi} : \bigcup_{\xi} E \xrightarrow{F/\gamma} \bigcup_{N_{\gamma}} E^{N_{\gamma}}$. Hence we have:

$\{ \langle \vec{\alpha}, \mu \rangle \mid f(\vec{\alpha}) = \mu \} \in F_{\langle \vec{\alpha}, \gamma \rangle}$. Hence the same Δ_1 -
 statement holds of $\pi(\vec{\alpha}), \pi(f), \gamma'$ in N' . Hence
 $N_{\gamma'+1} = N'_{\gamma'}$ and $\text{crit}(\sigma_{\gamma'}^{N'} \mid \gamma') = \delta' = \gamma' + N_{\gamma'}$,
 where $\kappa_{N'} = \delta' + 1$. QED (Case 2)

Case 3 The above fail.

$N_{\gamma+1}$ does not satisfy κ -MIS. Hence $\gamma \in \text{gen}_1$
 is a limit cardinal in N and $(J_{\gamma+1}^E)^{N_{\gamma}} = (J_{\gamma+1}^E)^{N_{\gamma}}$
 $= \bigcup_{\xi} E^N$ for an $\xi < \gamma + N$. Set $\xi = \pi(\xi)$.

Then $\xi = \text{crit}(\sigma_{\gamma+1} \mid \xi)$, $\kappa_{\xi} = \xi + 1$ and $\kappa_{N'} = \xi' + 1$.

It suffices to show:

Claim $(J_{\gamma'+1}^E)^{N'_{\gamma'}} = (J_{\gamma'+1}^E)^{N'_{\gamma'+1}} = \bigcup_{\xi'} E^{N'}$.

$(J_{\gamma'+1}^E)^{N'_{\gamma'}} = \bigcup_{\xi'} E^{N'}$ is immediate. Set:

$\delta = \gamma' + N'_{\gamma'+1}$. Then $J_{\delta}^{EN} = J_{\delta}^{EN'_{\gamma'+1}}$. But

$\xi' \leq \delta$ by Lemma 4.3.1, since $N'_{\gamma'} = (N'_{\gamma'+1})_{\gamma}$

$\delta \leq \xi'$ " " " " since $N'_{\gamma'+1} = (N'_{\xi'})_{\gamma}$

where $\xi' \in \text{gen}_{N'}$. (Hence $\xi' = \gamma' + N'_{\xi'}$.)

QED (Lemma 4.3)

Similarly:

Lemma 4.4 Let $\pi : N \xrightarrow{g} N'$, where N is of
 type 2 and $\text{crit}(g) < \kappa_N$. Then N' is of type 2,
 $\pi(\kappa_N) = \kappa_{N'}$, $\pi(q_N) = q_{N'}$.

At $\text{crit}(g) < \omega_N^1$ we Lemma 3.6 to get $\pi(\kappa_N) = \kappa_{N'}$.
 Otherwise the proof is the same.



Lemma 4.5: Let $N = \langle J_{\nu}^E, F \rangle$ be of type 3. Let $\kappa = \kappa(N) = \omega \rho^1$, let $\delta: J_{\kappa}^E \xrightarrow{G} J_{\kappa'}^{E'}$, where G is an extender on J_{κ}^E . Let $\delta': N \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{(1)}} N'$ be the canonical completion of δ . Then N' is an κ' -premouse of type 3 and $\kappa' = \kappa_{N'}$. = proof.

Since $N = h_N(\kappa)$, we have $N' = h_{N'}(\kappa')$ hence:

(1) $\kappa' = \omega \rho^1 \leq \kappa(N')$

(2) $\kappa' = \kappa(N')$

proof.

Let $x \in N'$. Claim $x = \pi'(f)(\alpha)$

for an $\alpha < \kappa'$ and an $f \in ({}^{\kappa'}\kappa' / \mathbb{N})$

where $\pi': J_{\tau'}^{E'} \xrightarrow{F'} J_{\nu'}^{E'}$ (and

$N' = \langle J_{\nu'}^{E'}, F' \rangle$).

Let $x = h_{N'}(i, \delta)$, where $\delta < \kappa'$.

Let $\bar{\zeta} < \kappa \vee \kappa'$ ^{be predclosed} $\delta < \delta(\bar{\zeta})$. The

statement: $E|\bar{\zeta} = E^{N_{\bar{\zeta}}}|_{\bar{\zeta}}$ is

$\pi_1(N_1)$ in $N_{\frac{1}{3}}$, Hence the corresponding statement holds for $\delta(N_{\frac{1}{3}})$, $\delta(\frac{1}{3})$,

Hence $N'_{\delta(\frac{1}{3})} = \delta(N_{\frac{1}{3}})$, But

$$\sigma_{\frac{1}{3}} : N'_{\delta(\frac{1}{3})} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} N' \text{ and } \sigma_{\frac{1}{3}}(x) = x,$$

Let $\bar{x} = \sigma_{\delta(\frac{1}{3})}^{-1}(x) = h_{N'_{\delta(\frac{1}{3})}}(x)$, Then

$$\bar{x} = \pi'_{\delta(\frac{1}{3})}(f)(\alpha) \text{ for some } f \in (U'_1, U'_2) \cap N$$

and some $\alpha < \frac{1}{3}$, where

$$\pi'_{\delta(\frac{1}{3})} : \bigcup_{U'_1} E' \xrightarrow{F'|\delta(\frac{1}{3})} \bigcup_{U''} E^{N'_{\delta(\frac{1}{3})}}$$

$$\text{Hence } x = \sigma_{\delta(\frac{1}{3})}(\pi'_{\delta(\frac{1}{3})}(f)(\alpha)) =$$

$$= \pi'(f)(\alpha). \quad \text{QED (2)}$$

(3) N' is of type 3.

It suffices to show that $N'_{\frac{1}{3}} \in N'$ for arbitrarily large $\frac{1}{3} < \epsilon'$, since

then $N'_{\frac{1}{3}} \in N'$ for all $\frac{1}{3} < \epsilon'$. But

$$N'_{\delta(\frac{1}{3})} = \delta(N_{\frac{1}{3}}) \in N' \text{ for } \frac{1}{3} < \epsilon,$$

QED (Lemma 4.5)

(Note This uses only $\delta : \mathbb{R}^E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{E'}$ continually)

Lemma 4.6 Let $N = \langle J, E, F \rangle$ be of type 3

Let $\delta: N \rightarrow_G^* N'$ where $\text{crit}(G) < \kappa(N)$,

Then N' is of type 3. Moreover,

$$\kappa(N') = \begin{cases} \sup \delta \kappa(N) & \text{if } \text{crit}(G) \geq \omega_N^2 \\ \delta(\kappa(N)) & \text{if not} \end{cases}$$

prf.

Set $\kappa = \kappa(N)$. If $\text{crit}(G) \geq \omega_N^2$, the result follows by Lemma 4.5, so assume $\text{crit}(G) < \omega_N^2$, let $\kappa' = \delta(\kappa)$.

Then $\kappa' = \omega_N^1$. Hence $\kappa' \leq \kappa(N')$.

The statement: $\bigwedge \bar{\alpha} < \kappa \exists \beta \in N$
is $\Pi_2^{(1)}(N)$ in $\bar{\alpha}, \kappa$, since it can be written as:

$\bigwedge \bar{\alpha}^1 < \kappa \forall e^1 [e^1 \text{ is a function } \wedge$

$\wedge \text{dom}(e^1) \subset \#(\kappa_2) \wedge$

$\wedge \underbrace{\bigwedge x^0 \bigwedge y^0 (y^0 = F(x^0) \rightarrow y^0 \wedge \bar{\alpha} = e^1(x^0))}_{\Pi_1^0}$

Π_1^0

But σ is $\Pi_2^{(1)}$ -preserving; hence $F \upharpoonright \mathbb{Z} \in N'$ for $\mathbb{Z} < \kappa'$. Hence $N_{\mathbb{Z}} \in N'$ for $\mathbb{Z} < \kappa'$ and it follows that $\kappa' = \kappa(N')$. It also follows from this that N' is of type 3.

□ E D (Lemma 4.6)

Putting these together:

Lemma 4.7 Let N be an active κ -premouse

Let $\pi : N \xrightarrow[G]{*} N'$, where $\text{crit}(G) < \kappa(N)$

Then N' is an active κ -premouse of the same type. Moreover:

(a) If N is of type 1 or 2, then

$$\pi(\kappa(N)) = \kappa(N')$$

(b) If N is of type 2, then $\pi(\wp_N) = \wp_{N'}$

(c) If N is of type 3, then

$$\kappa(N') = \begin{cases} \sup \pi'' \kappa(N) & \text{if } \wp_N^2 \geq \text{crit}(G) \\ \pi(\kappa(N)) & \text{if not} \end{cases}$$

By the remark following the proof of Lemma 3.2, we know that it is possible to have: $\pi: \bar{N} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} N$, where N is an active π -premodule and \bar{N} is not. Nonetheless we do get:

Lemma 5.1 Let N be an active π -premodule of type 1, where $\sigma: \bar{N} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} N$. Then \bar{N} is an π -premodule of type 1.

prf.

\bar{N} is clearly a p.p.m. But $\exists \notin \text{gen } \bar{N}$ for $\exists \geq \tau_{\bar{N}}$, since then $\sigma(\exists) \notin \text{gen } N$.
QED (5.1)

Lemma 5.2 Let N be of type 2, where $\sigma: \bar{N} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} N$ and $q_N \in \text{aug}(\sigma)$. Then \bar{N} is of type 2 and $\sigma(q_{\bar{N}}) = q_N$, $\sigma(\lambda_{\bar{N}}) = \lambda_N$.

proof.

Let $N = \langle J_r^E, F \rangle$, $\bar{N} = \langle J_r^{\bar{E}}, \bar{F} \rangle$, \bar{N}

is then a p.p.m. Let $\sigma(q_{\bar{N}}) = q \neq q_N$.

Let $s = \lambda_N = \exists + 1$. We consider two cases!

Care 1 $N_{\bar{3}}$ satisfies κ -MIS.

Then $N_{\bar{3}} \in N$ and $q = F|\bar{3}$. Hence

$\bar{3} = q(\kappa_N) \in \text{rng}(\sigma)$. Let $\sigma(\bar{3}) = \bar{3}$.

Then $\bar{3} \in \text{gen}_F$, since $\bar{3} \in \text{gen}_F$.

Hence $\bar{3} + 1 = \bar{\alpha} = \sigma^{-1}(\bar{\alpha}) \leq \alpha(N)$. But

if $\bar{3} \geq \bar{\alpha}$, then $\bar{3} \geq \kappa^V +$ hence

$\bar{3} \notin \text{gen}_F$. Hence $\bar{3} \notin \text{gen}_F$, hence

$\bar{\alpha} = \alpha(N)$. $N_{\bar{3}}$ is coded by q ; hence

$N_{\bar{3}} \in \text{rng}(\sigma)$. Let $\sigma(N') = N_{\bar{3}}$.

Then $\bar{q} = F|\bar{N}'|\bar{3} = F|\bar{N}|\bar{3}$ and

There is $\bar{\alpha}' : J_{\bar{V}}^{\bar{E}'|\bar{N}'}$ \rightarrow $J_{\bar{V}'}^{\bar{E}'|\bar{3}'}$

where $\bar{N}' = \langle J_{\bar{V}'}^{\bar{E}'|\bar{N}'}, \bar{F}' \rangle$. Hence

$\bar{N}' = \bar{N}_{\bar{3}}$ and $\bar{q} = q_{\bar{N}}$. Hence

$N_{\bar{3}} \in \bar{N}$ for $\gamma \leq \bar{3}$, where $\alpha(N) =$

$= \bar{3} + 1$. Hence \bar{N} is an κ -premouse,

QED (Care 1)

Care 2 Care 2 fails.

Let $\gamma = \max C_N^{\kappa} = \max(\text{gen}_F \cap \bar{3})$.

Then γ is a limit cardinal in N

and $(J_{\gamma^+}^E)^N = (J_{\gamma^+}^E)^{N_{\bar{z}}}$, where
 $\bar{z} = \gamma^+ + N_{\bar{z}}$. Moreover $N_{\bar{z}} = N_{\gamma^+ + 1}$.

Then $\mathfrak{g} = F/\gamma = F^{N_{\gamma}}/\gamma$ and
 $\gamma = \iota(N_{\gamma})$. Since $\bar{z} = \gamma^+ + N_{\gamma}$ and
 N_{γ} is constructed from \mathfrak{g} , we
 have $\bar{z} \in \text{rng}(\sigma)$. Let

$$\sigma(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{z}, \bar{N}', \bar{\mathfrak{g}}) = \gamma, \bar{z}, N_{\gamma}, \mathfrak{g}.$$

It follows exactly as in Case 1 that
 $\bar{z} = \bar{z} + 1 = \iota(\bar{N})$, where $\sigma(\bar{z}) = 1$.

It also follows as before that
 $\bar{N}' = \bar{N}_{\bar{\gamma}}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar{N}}$. Hence

$\bar{N}_{\delta} \in \bar{N}$ for all $\delta \leq \bar{\gamma}$, where

$\bar{z} \in \text{gen}_{\bar{N}} \bar{N}$ and $\bar{\gamma} = \max(\bar{z}, \text{gen}_{\bar{N}} \bar{N})$.

In order to establish that \bar{N} is an
 ι -premouse, it remains only to show

Claim $\bar{N}_{\bar{z}}$ does not satisfy ι -MIS
 proof.

Since $\bar{\gamma} < \bar{z} < \bar{\gamma} + \bar{N}$, we know

that $\sigma_{\bar{z}} \upharpoonright \bar{z} = \text{id}$ where

$\sigma_{\bar{z}} : \bar{N}_{\bar{z}} \rightarrow \bar{N}$ is the canonical map,

and that $\bar{\gamma} = \max C_{\bar{N}}^{\iota}$

But $\bar{z} \in \text{gen}_{\mathbb{F}}$, hence $\bar{z} = \text{crit}(\sigma_{\bar{z}}^{-1})$.
 Hence $\bar{z} = \bar{\eta} + \bar{N}_{\bar{z}}$. But $\bigcup_{\bar{z}} E^{\bar{N}_{\bar{z}}} = \bigcup_{\bar{z}} E^{\bar{N}} =$
 $= \bigcup_{\bar{z}} E^{\bar{N}_{\bar{\eta}}}$. Hence $\bar{N}_{\bar{z}}$ does not
 satisfy MIS. QED (Lemma 5.2)

For type 3 s -premouse the best we can do is:

Lemma 5.3 Let N be a type 3 s -premouse

Let $\sigma: \bar{N} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1^0} N$. Then N is a type 3

s -premouse.

proof. Let $\bar{\kappa} = \kappa(N) = \omega_{\bar{N}}^{p_1}$.

Then $\sigma^{-1} \bar{\kappa} = \omega_{\bar{N}}^{p_1} \in \kappa(\bar{N})$. But

for $\bar{z} < \bar{\kappa} = \sigma^{-1} \bar{\kappa}$, we have:

$F^N \upharpoonright \bar{z} \in N$, where $\bar{z} = \sigma(\bar{z})$. But

" $F^N \upharpoonright \bar{z} \in N$ " is Σ_1^0 in \bar{z}, κ_N as ex-
 pressed by:

$$\forall e^1 (e^1 \text{ is a function} \wedge \bigwedge x \in \text{dom}(e) x \in \bar{z})$$

$$\wedge \bigwedge x^0, y^0 (y^0 = F(x^0) \rightarrow y^0 \upharpoonright \bar{z} = e^1(x^0))$$

Π_1^0

Hence $F^{\bar{N}} \upharpoonright \bar{z} \in \bar{N}$. It follows
 easily that $\bar{\kappa} = \kappa(\bar{N})$ and that
 \bar{N} is a type 3 s -premouse,

QED (5.3)

In some contexts it is useful to augment the structure N , replacing N by $\langle N, \{g_N\} \rangle$ if N is of type 2, and by $\langle N, \emptyset \rangle$ if N is of type 1.

For the so augmented Σ_1 -premise, we then have:

- If $\sigma: \bar{N} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} N$ and N is of type 1 or 2, then \bar{N} is of the same type.
- If $\sigma: N \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} N'$ and N, N' are Σ_1 -premise, then they are of the same type.

We adopt this convention when defining the notions "standard parameter", "witness" (to $\forall \in P_N$ where P_N is the standard parameter) and "solidity".

The above lemmas then enable us to carry through the proof of solidity. (On the other hand, some versions of the condensation lemmas refer to the original non-augmented premise.)

In [CR] §1 ("Correction and Remarks") we sketched a similar development for general premice. In this case the initial segment condition is simply the principle IS stated earlier. For a premouse $N = \langle J_{\nu}^E, F \rangle$ we then set:
 $C_N =$ the set of λ' s.t. $\tau_r < \lambda' < \lambda_\nu$
and $\lambda' = \lambda_{N_{\lambda'}}$ (i.e. $N_{\lambda'}$ has the form $\langle J_{\nu'}^{E'}, F|\lambda' \rangle$).

N has type 1 if $C_N = \emptyset$. N has type 2 if $\max C_N$ exists, (C_N is easily seen to be closed in λ_N). If $\sup C_N = \lambda_N$, then C_N has type 3.

For N of type 2 we set: $g_N = F|\lambda'$, where $\lambda' = \max C_N$.

We then get:

(1) If $\pi: N \xrightarrow[G]{*} N'$, $\text{crit}(G) < \lambda_N$,

and N is a premouse, then N' is a premouse of the same type. Moreover $\pi(g_N) = g_{N'}$ if N has type 2.

(2) If $\sigma: \bar{N} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} N$ and N is of type 1, then \bar{N} is of type 1.

(3) If $\sigma: \bar{N} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} N$, N is of type 2 and $q_N \in \text{rng}(\sigma)$, then \bar{N} is of type 2 and $\sigma(q_{\bar{N}}) = q_N$.

(4) If $\sigma: \bar{N} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1^{(1)}} N$ and N is of type 3, then \bar{N} is of type 3.

(Note We also have: $\lambda_N = \omega_N^1$ iff \bar{N} is of type 3.)

For the purpose of defining and proving solidity, we then augment the premise in the same way as above.

As these facts suggest, π -nice and general premise are special cases of a more general theory. There are in fact many interesting premise classes lying between these two extremes. We develop this theory in the next section.