

## Appendix

In §4 we showed that, if CH holds, then  $\mathbb{N}'$  is  $\text{Defe}^+$ -subproper. For the sake of completeness we now prove the companion theorem:

Thm Assume CH. Then  $\mathbb{N}$  is subcomplete.

(In [SPSC] we proved this on the additional assumption that  $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ , using the fact, proven in [LR], that  $\mathbb{N}$  is then equivalent to a certain  $\mathbb{L}$ -forcing. Our present proof is more direct.)

We use the following definition of  $\mathbb{N}$ :

Def  $\Omega = (\omega_2)^{<\omega} =$  the set of all monotone  $s : m \rightarrow \omega_2$ , where  $m \in \omega$ .  
 $\Omega$  is then a tree, its tree relation  $\leq$  being inclusion on  $\Omega$ .

For  $s \in \Omega$  we set  $|s| = \text{dom}(s)$ ,  $|s|$  is called the height of  $s$ . We write  $s \nparallel t$  to mean that  $s, t$  are incomparable in  $\Omega$ .

We then set:

Def  $\text{IN} = \text{The set of all subtrees } T \text{ of } \Omega$   
s.t.

- $s \leq t \in T \rightarrow s \in \overline{T}$
- If  $s \in T$ , then  $\{\overline{t \in T} \mid s \leq t\} = \omega_2$ .

$\text{IN}$  is the set of Namba conditions, partially ordered by inclusion. We list some facts about  $\text{IN}$ :

Fact 1 If CH holds, then  $\text{N}$  adds no new reals.

Fact 2  $\text{IN}$  adds a cofinal  $\omega$ -sequence to  $\omega_1$ . In fact, if  $G$  is  $\text{IN}$ -generic, then  $c^G = \bigcup G$  is such a sequence.  $G$  is then recoverable from  $c = c^G$  by:  
 $G = \{T \in \text{IN} \mid \lambda_m c \cap m \in T\}$ .

Def We call  $c: \omega \rightarrow \omega_2^\omega$   $\text{IN}$ -generic  
iff  $G = \{T \in \text{IN} \mid \lambda_m c \cap m \in T\}$  is  
 $\text{IN}$ -generic (hence  $c = c^G$ ).

Although we shall not use it, we also mention the fact:

Fact 3  $\text{IN}$  does not collapse  $\omega_1$  (in fact it preserves stationary subsets of  $\omega_1$ ).

It would be possible to prove the Theorem by a - somewhat simpler - imitation of the proof that  $\text{IN}'$  is Dcc-subproper, redefining "good sequence" to mean simply a sequence monotone and cofinal in  $\omega_2$ .

Instead, however, we shall derive the Theorem from the following lemma, which is of interest in its own right and is provable in  $\text{ZFC}$  without use of CH.

Lemma 1 Let  $G$  be  $\text{IN}$ -generic. Let  $b \in V[G]$  s.t.  $b : \omega \rightarrow \omega_2$  is monotone and cofinal in  $\omega_2$ . Then  $b$  is an  $\text{IN}$ -generic sequence and  $V[G] = V[b]$ .

For now we defer the proof of Lemma 1, and show first that it implies the Theorem.

Assume CH. Let  $\Theta > 2^{2^{\omega_2}}$ .

We prove:

Main Claim  $\langle \Theta, \mathbb{D} \rangle$  witnesses the incompleteness of  $\text{IN}$ .

Let  $N = L_{\bar{\tau}}$  be a  $ZFC^-$  model s.t.,  
 $H_\Theta \subset N$  and  $\Theta < \bar{\tau}$ . Let  $\pi: \bar{N} \prec N \upharpoonright T$ ,  
 $\bar{N}$  is countable and full, where  $\bar{\alpha} \in N$ .  
Let  $\pi(\bar{\theta}, \bar{N}, \bar{s}) = \Theta, \text{IN}, s$ . Let  $\bar{G}$   
be  $\bar{N}$ -generic over  $\bar{N}$ .

Claim There is  $T \in \text{IN}$  s.t whenever  
 $G \models T$  is  $\text{IN}$ -generic, there is  
 $\sigma \in V[G]$  s.t.

(a)  $\sigma : \bar{N} \prec N$

(b)  $\sigma(\bar{\theta}, \bar{N}, \bar{x}) = \theta, N, x$

(c)  $C_{\omega_2}^N(\text{rng } \sigma) = C_{\omega_2}^N(\text{rng } \bar{\alpha})$

(d)  $\sigma'' \bar{G} \subset \bar{G}$ .

We work in  $V[G^*]$ , where  $G^*$  is any  $N$ -generic set. Let  $c^* = c^{G^*}$ .

Set:  $\bar{N}' = \langle N, \theta, \bar{N}, \bar{x}, \bar{\alpha} \rangle$ . Set'

$\sigma^* : \bar{N}' \leadsto \bar{z}$ , where  $\bar{z}$  is the smallest  $\bar{z} \prec \bar{N}'$  s.t.  $c^* \subset \bar{z}$ . Let

$\tilde{N}' = \langle \bar{N}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{N}, \bar{x}, \bar{\alpha} \rangle$ .

Exactly as in §4 Lemma 4.6 we show:

(1) There is  $\tilde{\sigma} : \bar{N} \prec \tilde{N}$  in  $V$  s.t.

- $\tilde{\sigma}$  takes  $\bar{\omega}_2 = \omega_2 \bar{N}$  cofinally to  $\tilde{\omega}_2 = \omega_2 \tilde{N}$
- $C_{\tilde{\omega}_2}^{\tilde{N}}(\text{rng } \tilde{\sigma}) = C_{\tilde{\omega}_2}^{\tilde{N}}(\text{rng } \bar{\alpha})$ .
- $\tilde{\sigma}(\bar{\theta}, \bar{N}, \bar{x}) = \bar{\theta}, \bar{N}, \bar{x}$ .

Let  $\tilde{c} = \tilde{\sigma}'' \bar{C}$ , where  $\bar{C} = c^{\bar{G}}$ .

Let  $c = \sigma^*'' \tilde{c}$ . Then  $c$  is an  $N$ -generic sequence and  $V[c] =$

$V[G^*]$  by Cor 2. Set  $G = G^c =$

$\{T \mid \lambda n \, c(n) \in T\}$ . Then

$G$  is  $N$ -generic and  $V[G] = V[G^*]$

Set:  $\sigma = \sigma^* \circ \tilde{\sigma}$ . Then

$\sigma \in V[G^*] = V[G]$  and

(a)  $\sigma: \bar{N} \prec N$

(b)  $\sigma(\emptyset, \bar{N}, \bar{i}) = \emptyset, \bar{N}, \bar{i}$

(c)  $C_{\omega_2}^N(\text{rng } \sigma) = C_{\omega_2}^N(\text{rng } \pi)$

(d)  $\sigma''\bar{G} \subseteq G$ .

(a), (b) are trivial. To see (c) note that, letting  $\lambda = \sup \pi''\text{On}_{\bar{N}}$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda} = (\sigma^*)^{-1}(\lambda)$ , we have:  $\sigma^*(C_{\tilde{\omega}_2}^{\bar{N}}(\text{rng } \tilde{\pi})) = C_{\omega_2}^N(\text{rng } \pi)$ ,

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\omega_2}^N(\text{rng } (\sigma)) &= \sigma^*(C_{\tilde{\omega}_2}^{\bar{N}}(\text{rng } \tilde{\sigma})) = \\ &= \sigma^*(C_{\tilde{\omega}_2}^{\bar{N}}(\text{rng } \tilde{\pi})) = C_{\omega_2}^N(\text{rng } \pi). \end{aligned}$$

(d) follows from  $\sigma''\bar{C} = C$ .

But then there is  $T \in G$  which forces the existence of  $\sigma \in V[G]$  satisfying (a)-(d),

QED (Theorem)

Note This proof actually shows:

Let  $G$  be  $\bar{N}$ -generic. There is  $\sigma \in V[G]$  s.t.

- $\sigma : \bar{N} \prec N$
- $\sigma(\emptyset, \bar{N}, \bar{\iota}) = \emptyset, \bar{N}, \bar{\iota}$
- $C_{\omega_2}^N(\text{rng } \sigma) = C_{\omega_2}^N(\text{rng } \pi)$
- Let  $\bar{G}$  be  $\bar{N}$ -generic over  $\bar{N}$ . There is a unique  $G'$  s.t.  $G'$  is  $\bar{N}$ -generic and  $\sigma''\bar{G} \subseteq G'$ .

The proof used CH to show that  $\bar{N} \in H_{\omega_1} \in N$ .

If we dropped CH we could still get:

Let  $G, \bar{N}, \pi$  be as above. Let  $\bar{N} = L_{\bar{\iota}}^{\bar{A}}, \pi(\bar{\iota}) = 3$ .

There are  $\bar{N}', \sigma \in V[G]$  s.t.  $\bar{N}' = L_{\bar{\iota}}^{\bar{A}}$  and

- $\sigma : \bar{N}' \prec N$
- $\sigma(\bar{\iota}) = \bar{\iota}$
- $\emptyset, \bar{N}, \bar{\iota} \in \text{rng}(\sigma)$
- $C_{\omega_2}^N(\text{rng } \sigma) = C_{\omega_2}^N(\text{rng } \pi)$
- Let  $\sigma(\bar{N}') = \bar{N}$ . Let  $\bar{G}$  be  $\bar{N}'$ -generic over  $\bar{N}'$ . There is a unique  $G'$  s.t.  $G'$  is  $\bar{N}$ -generic and  $\sigma''\bar{G} \subseteq G'$ .

This does not imply incompleteness, but is enough to give Fact 3 above.

We now turn to the proof of Lemma 1.  
We first define:

Def  $X \subset \Omega$  is a front iff

- $X$  is an antichain in  $\Omega$
- $\{r_1 \mid \forall u \in X \ r_1 \leq u\}$  contains no infinite branch.

Note that any antichain whose elements have bounded height is a front. Moreover, if  $X$  is a front and for each  $u \in X$ ,  $Y_u$  is a front of points which properly extend  $u$ , then  $Y = \bigcup_{u \in X} Y_u$  is a front.

Def By an array we mean

$$S = \langle s_u \mid u \in \Omega \rangle \text{ s.t.}$$

(a)  $s_u \in \Omega$

(b) If  $u < v$  in  $\Omega$ , then  $s_u < s_v$  in  $\Omega$

(c) If  $u \parallel v$  in  $\Omega$ , then  $s_u \neq s_v$  in  $\Omega$

(d)  $\{s_u \mid |u|=n\}$  is a front.

Note that (b), (c), (d) can be equivalently replaced by:

(b')  $s_u < s_{u \setminus i}$  in  $\Sigma$  for  $i < \omega_2$

(c')  $i \neq i' \rightarrow s_{u \setminus i} \mid s_{u \setminus i'}$  for  $i, i' < \omega_2$

(d')  $\{s_{u \setminus i} \mid i < \omega_2\}$  is a front,

Def If  $S$  is an array, Then

$$S^* = \{t \mid \forall u \in \Sigma \ t \leq s_u\}.$$

For  $T \in \mathbb{N}$  we set:

$$S_T^* = \{t \mid \forall u \in T \ t \leq s_u\}.$$

We leave it to the reader to show:

(1)  $S_T^* \in \mathbb{N}$  for  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

Note that the property of being a front is absolute in generic extensions, by the absoluteness of well foundedness.

(2) Let  $b$  be an infinite branch in  $S_T^*$ .

Then  $\forall u \ (|u|=n \wedge s_u \in b)$  for all  $n$ .

Proof:

Set:

$$S' = \{t \mid \forall u \ (|u|=n \wedge (t \leq s_u \vee s_u \leq t))\}$$

Then  $S_T^* \subset S'$ . But then  $\forall u \ s_u \in b$ ,

since  $\{s_u \mid |u|=n\}$  is a maximal

antichain in  $S'$  and we would otherwise have:  $b \subset \{t \mid \forall u (u \sqsubset t \wedge t \leq s_u)\}$ , where  $\{s_u \mid |u|=n\}$  is a front.

Contr! QED (2)

Obviously (2) also holds in generic extension.

By (2) we get:

(3) Let  $T \subset S^*$ ,  $T \in N$ . Set  $T' = \{u \in \Omega \mid s_u \in T\}$ . Then

$T' \in N$  and  $T = S_{T'}^*$ .

Proof.

$S_{T'}^* \subset T$  is trivial.

Claim  $T \subset S_{T'}^*$ .

Let  $t \in T$ . Let  $b \ni t$  be an infinite branch in  $T$ . Let  $|t|=n$ . By (2) there is  $u \in \Omega$  s.t.  $s_u \in b$ . But  $|u| \leq |t|$  by ind. on  $|u|$ . Hence  $t \leq s_u \in T$ . QED (Claim)

It remains only to show

Claim  $T' \in N$ .

Let  $u \in T'$ . For each  $t \in T$

There is  $t' \geq t$  s.t.  $t'$  has  $\omega_2$  many immediate successors in  $T$  ( otherwise we could show by induction on  $m$  that  $\text{card}\{t' \in T \mid t \leq t' \wedge |t'| = m\} < \omega_2$ , hence  $\text{card}\{t' \in T \mid t \leq t'\} < \omega_2$ .

Contradiction! ) Let  $t' \geq s_n$  s.t.  $t'$  has  $\omega_2$  many immediate successors. Let  $\langle s_i \mid i < \omega_2 \rangle$  be an enumeration of the successors and pick  $u_i : s_n + s_{u_i} \geq s_i \quad (i < \omega_2)$ . Then  $u_i < u_j$  in  $T'$  and  $\{u_i \mid i < \omega_2\}$  is a set of size  $\omega_2$ . QED (3)

Def Let  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\text{IN}|T = \{T' \mid T' \leq T \text{ in } \mathbb{N}\},$$

We have shown that:

(4) Let  $S$  be an array. There

is  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow \text{IN}|S^*$  defined by,

$$\sigma(T) = S_T^*. \text{ Moreover}$$

$$\sigma^{-1}(T) = \{u \mid s_u \in T\} \text{ for } T \leq S^* \text{ in } \mathbb{N}.$$

It is then apparent that!

(5)  $T \leq T'$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  iff  $\sigma(T) \leq \sigma(T')$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,

We also denote  $\sigma$  by  $\sigma_S$ .

Using this machinery we prove Lemma 1.

Let  $\text{H}(\vec{b} \text{ is a monotone cofinal sequence in } \check{\omega}_2)$

Claim  $\text{H}(\vec{b} \text{ is } \check{\mathbb{N}}\text{-generic over } \check{V})$ .

It suffices to show that the set of  $T' \in \text{H}, T' \text{ H } \vec{b} \text{ is } \check{\mathbb{N}}\text{-generic over } \check{V}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{N}$ , so fix  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ . We show that there is such a  $T' \leq T$ .

Define an array  $S_0 = \langle s_u^0 | u \in \Omega \rangle$  and an auxiliary sequence  $\langle T_u^0 | u \in \Omega \rangle$  s.t.

$s_u^0 \in T_u^0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $u \leq v \rightarrow T_v^0 \subset T_u^0$ :

$s_\emptyset^0 = \emptyset, T_\emptyset^0 = T,$

given  $s_u^0, T_u^0$ , let  $t \geq s_u^0$  have  $\omega_2$  many immediate successors in  $T_u^0$ . Let  $\langle t_i^0 | i < \omega_2 \rangle$  be a 1-1 enumeration of these successors.

Set  $s_{\omega \langle i \rangle} = t_i$  for  $i < \omega_2$ . Letting

$T_{(t)}$  =  $\{s \mid s \leq t \vee t \leq s \text{ in } T\}$ , we

then pick  $T_{u \langle i \rangle} \leq \overline{(T_u)_{(t_i)}}$  s.t.

$T_{u \langle i \rangle}$  fixes the value of  $b^*(\check{u})$ .

i.e.  $\forall \check{s} \in T_{u \langle i \rangle} \Vdash b^*(\check{u}) = \check{s}$ .

Set  $\overline{T}_0^\circ = S_0^*$ . Then  $T^\circ \leq T$  and

(6)  $T_{(s_u^0)}^\circ$  fixes the value of  $b^*(u)$ ,  
for  $u \in \Omega$ .

Def Let  $u \in \Omega$ ,  $m > |u|$ .

$X_m^u$  = the set of  $v$  s.t. for some  $v > u$  with  
 $|v| = m$ , we have:  $\overline{T}_{(s_v)}^\circ \Vdash b^*(m-1) = v$

(7)  $\overline{T}_{(s_u)}^\circ \Vdash b^*(m-1) \in X_m^u$  for  $m \geq |u|$

Proof:

Let  $T_{(s_u)}^\circ \in G$  where  $G$  is  $\text{IN}$ -generic.

Let  $c = c^G$ . Then  $\tilde{c} = \{\text{crn}(n < \omega)\}$  is

an infinite branch in  $T_{(s_u)}^\circ$ , where

$Y = \{s_v \mid u < v, |v| = n\}$  is a front.

Hence there is  $s_v \in c$  s.t.  $v \in Y$

Let  $\overline{T}_0^\circ \Vdash b^*(m-1) = v$ . Then

$b^*(m-1) = v \in X_m^u$ , where  $b = b^G$ . QED (7)

$$(8) \forall n > |u| \quad \overline{X}_n^u = \omega_2$$

prf.

If not, then  $\bar{X} < \omega_2$  where  $X \subset \bigcup_{m \in \omega} X_m^u$ .

Let  $G$  be  $\mathbb{N}$ -generic,  $b = b^G$ . Then  
 $\text{rng}(b) \subset X$  is bounded in  $\omega_2$ . Contr!

QED (8)

But then:

(9) Let  $t \in T^\circ$ . There is a front  $Y$  in  $T^\circ$   
 s.t.  $\bar{Y} = \omega_2$ , and for some  $n > |t|$   
 we have:

- If  $s \in Y$ , then  $s > t$  and there is  $b_s$   
 s.t.  $T_{(s)}^\circ \Vdash \dot{b} \upharpoonright \check{n} = \dot{b}_s$
- If  $s, s' \in Y$  are distinct, then  $b_s \neq b_{s'}$ .

proof

Assume w.l.o.g.  $t = s_n$ . Let  $m$  be as  
 in (8). Then there is a subset  $Y$  of  
 $\{s_v \mid v > u, |v| = n\}$  with the desired  
 properties. QED (9)

We then construct a new array  
 $S_1 = \langle s'_u \mid u \in \Omega \rangle$  and an associated  
 sequence  $\langle m_u \mid u \in \Omega \rangle$  by:

Call  $\langle m, Y \rangle$  a good pair for  $t \in T^0$  if  
(9) holds.

$$s'_\phi = \emptyset$$

Let  $s'_u$  be given. Pick a good pair  
 $\langle m, Y \rangle$  for  $s'_u$  and let  
 $\langle s'_{u^\sim(i)} | i < \omega_1 \rangle$  be a 1-1 enumeration  
of  $Y$ . Set  $m_u = m$ .

Set:  $T^1 = S_1^*$ . Then  $T^1 \subset T^0$ .

$$\text{Let } \sigma^1 = \sigma_{S_1}.$$

Now define an array  $S_2$  by:

$$s''_\phi = \emptyset$$

$s''_{u^\sim(i)} = b$  where  $T^0$   
 $(s''_{u^\sim(i)}) \Vdash b \upharpoonright m_u = b$ .

$S_2$  is easily seen to be an array.

( $\{s''_{u^\sim(i)} | i < \omega_2\}$  is a front, since  
it is an antichain of bounded height).

Set  $T^2 = S_2^*$ ,  $\sigma^2 = \sigma_{S_2}$ .

Set:  $\sigma = \sigma^2 \circ (\sigma^1)^{-1}$ . Then

(10)  $\sigma: \text{INIT}^1 \longleftrightarrow \text{INIT}^2$  and

$T \leq T' \longleftrightarrow \sigma(T) \leq \sigma(T')$  in  $\mathbb{N}$   
for  $T, T' \in \text{INIT}^1$ .

However:

(11) Let  $T \leq T'$  in  $\text{IN}$ . Then

$$T \Vdash \lambda n \dot{b}^n \in \sigma(\check{T}).$$

Proof.

Let  $G$  be  $\text{IN}$ -generic w/t  $T \in G$ .

Let  $c = c^G$ . Let  $s_{\dot{u}^{\langle i \rangle}}^1 \in c$ . Then

$T_{(s_u^1)} \in G$  and hence  $\dot{b}^m_u = s_{\dot{u}^{\langle i \rangle}}^2$ ,

where  $b = b^G$ . Hence  $\dot{b}^m_u \in \sigma(T)$

since  $\dot{u}^{\langle i \rangle} \in (\sigma_{S_1})^{-1}(T)$ . But by

(2), for every  $m < \omega$  there is

$\dot{u}^{\langle i \rangle}$  s.t.  $|u| = m$  and  $s_{\dot{u}^{\langle i \rangle}}^1 \in c$

(hence  $m_u \geq m$ ). QED (11)

Hence:

(12)  $T' \Vdash (\dot{b} \text{ is an } \text{IN} \text{-generic sequence over } \check{T})$

Proof.

Let  $G \ni T'$  be  $\text{IN}$ -generic. Let

$b = b^G$ . Set  $G' = G^b = \{T \in N \mid \lambda n \dot{b}^n \in T\}$ .

Let  $\Delta$  be dense in  $\text{IN}$ .

Claim  $G' \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$ ,

Set  $\Delta' = \{T \leq T^1 \mid \sigma(T) \in \Delta\}$ . Then  
 $\Delta'$  is dense below  $T^1$ . Hence  $\Delta' \cap G \neq \emptyset$ ,  
let  $T \in \Delta' \cap G$ . Then  $\sigma(T) \in \Delta$  and  
 $\lambda \in b \cap G$  by (11). Hence -  
 $\sigma(T) \in \Delta \cap G$ ; QED (12)

Since  $T^1 \leq T$ , this completes the proof of the Claim.

It remains only to show that  
 $V[G] = V[b]$ , where  $b = b^G$ .

We have seen that  $G' =$  the set  
of  $T' \text{ s.t. } \sigma(T) \leq T'$  for a  $T \in G$ .

Doing the argument in reverse, we  
get  $G =$  the set of  $T' \text{ s.t. } \sigma^{-1}(T) \leq T'$   
for a  $T \in G'$ . Hence  $G \in V[G']$ .

Hence  $V[G] = V[G']$ . QED