

§6 Some further properties of $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}', \mathbb{N}^*$.

Set: $\mathbb{P}_0 = \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{P}_1 = \mathbb{N}', \mathbb{P}_2 = \mathbb{N}^*$ and

$\mathbb{P}_3 = \mathbb{C} * \mathbb{N}$ (where \mathbb{N} is a name for Namba forcing in $V[G]$).

We say that $\gamma = \langle \gamma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ is

\mathbb{P}_h -conforming ($h \leq 3$) iff

- γ is monotone and cofinal in ω_2^V
- $\gamma \in V[G]$ for a G which is \mathbb{P}_h -generic.

We prove: (assuming CH)

Theorem (An a sufficient collapse to ω)

If γ is \mathbb{P}_h conforming, it is not $\mathbb{P}_{j'}$ -conforming for any $j' \neq h$.

But this implies:

Corollary Let $\mathbb{B}_i = \text{BA}(\mathbb{P}_i)$ be the canonical complete Boolean algebra over \mathbb{P}_i . Then $\mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{B}_{j'}$ are not isomorphic for $i \neq j'$.



Def Working in any extension of V ,
define:

$\delta = \langle \delta_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ is magical iff
 δ is monotone, cofinal in $\omega_2 = \omega_2^V$
and whenever $A \in V$ is club in ω_2 ,
then $\forall n \ \exists i \geq n \ \delta_i \notin A$.

Lemma 1 Let $\delta = \langle \delta_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ be \aleph_1 -
generic. Then δ is not magical.
In fact, there is $A \in V$ club in ω_2
s.t. $\delta_i \notin A$ for $i < \omega$.

proof.

We show that for every $T \in \aleph_1$

there is $T' \leq T$, $A \in V$ s.t.

A is club and $\forall t \in T' \text{rng}(t) \cap A = \emptyset$.

We first thin T as follows.

Let $\alpha = \text{stem}(T)$. We construct

T_n s.t. $T = T_0 \supseteq T_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq T_n \supseteq$

and $T_m \upharpoonright m = T_n \upharpoonright m$ for $m \geq n$,

(where $T \upharpoonright m = \{t \in T \mid |t| \leq m\}$)

For $i \leq |n|$ set $T_i = T$. Now let

$n \geq 1$. For each $t \in T_i, |t| = i$,

select A_t club in ω_2 s.t.

$\{\alpha \mid t \smallfrown \alpha \in T_i\} \setminus A_t$ is unbounded in ω_2 .

Set $T_{i+1} = (\text{the set of } t' \in T_i \text{ s.t. } t'(i) \notin A_{t \cap i})$.

$$= \bigcup \{ T_{t \smallfrown \alpha} \mid t \smallfrown \alpha \in T_i, |t| = i, \alpha \notin A_t \}$$

Then $T' = \bigcup_i T_i \cap i = \bigcap_i T_i$ has the property that:

$$t \in T', |t| = i \rightarrow \{\alpha \mid t \smallfrown \alpha \in T\} \cap A_t = \emptyset$$

For each $n \geq |n|$ s.t.:

$$A_n = \{ \lambda \mid \bigwedge t \in \lambda^{<\omega} (t \in T' \rightarrow \lambda \in A_t) \}$$

Then A_n is club in ω_2 and

$$(t \in T', |t| = i, t \smallfrown \alpha \in T') \rightarrow \alpha \notin A_n$$

Set $A = \bigcap_n A_n$. Then

$$t \in T' \rightarrow \bigwedge i \ t(i) \notin A$$

But we have shown that the set of antichains T' is dense in \mathbb{N}^{ω} .
Hence if G is \mathbb{N}^{ω} -generic,

There is such T', A with $T' \in G$,

Hence $\bigwedge i \delta_i \notin A$. QED (Lemma 1).

Lemma 2 Let W be an extension of V with $H_{\omega_1}^W = H_{\omega_1}^V$. Let $\delta = \langle \delta_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$, $\delta' = \langle \delta'_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in W$ be monotonic & cofinal in ω_2 . Then

$$\delta' \in V[\delta],$$

proof.

Let $f_i \in V$ s.t. $f_i \upharpoonright \omega_1 \xrightarrow{\text{out}_V} \delta'_i$

$$\text{Set } X_\alpha = \bigcup_i f_i \upharpoonright \alpha \quad \text{for } \alpha \leq \omega_1$$

Then $\langle X_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \omega_1 \rangle \in V[\delta]$ and

For $i < \omega$ let α_i be least s.t.

$$\delta'_i \in X_{\alpha_i}, \quad \text{Then } \alpha = \sup_i \alpha_i < \omega_1,$$

~~Hence~~ But there is $g \in V[\delta]$

s.t. $g \upharpoonright \omega_1 \xrightarrow{\text{out}_V} X_\alpha$. Hence

$$\{\delta'_i \mid i < \omega\} = g \upharpoonright \alpha \in V[\delta]$$

for an $\alpha < \omega_1$. QED (Lemma 2)

Lemma 3 There is no magical sequence in $V[\delta]$ where δ is \aleph_1 -generic, proof.

Let $\delta = \langle \delta_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ be a counterexample,

let $A \in V$ s.t. $\delta_i \notin A$ for $i < \omega$, let

$n < \omega$ s.t. $\delta_i \in A$ for $i \geq n$, then

$\langle \delta_i \mid i \geq n \rangle$ is a counterexample,

which is disjoint from δ . Hence we

may w.l.o.g. assume $\{\delta_i \mid i < \omega\} \cap \{\delta_i \mid i \geq \omega\} = \emptyset$,

By thinning the sequence δ we may

also assume that there is a monotone

sequence $\langle n_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ in ω s.t.

$\delta_{n_i} < \delta_i < \delta_{n_i+1}$ for $i < \omega$, let $B \in V$

and $B \subset \omega_2$ s.t. $H_{\omega_1} = L_{\omega_1}[B]$ and

$\omega_1 =$ the largest cardinal in $L_{\omega_2}[B]$.

Then there is $d < \omega_1$ s.t.

$\{\delta_i \mid i < \omega\} \subset X$, where $X =$ the

smallest $X \prec \langle L_{\omega_2}[B], B \rangle$

s.t. $d \cup \{\delta_n \mid n < \omega\} \subset X$. We

can assume w.l.o.g. that $d = \omega_1 \cap X$.

Let $\pi : \langle L_\beta[\bar{B}], \bar{B} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} X$,

let $\pi(\bar{\delta}_n, \bar{\delta}_i) = \delta_n, \delta_i$.

Then $\bar{\delta}_{n_i} < \bar{\delta}_i < \bar{\delta}_{n_i+1}$ for $i < \omega$.

Let $T \in G$ (where $\delta = \cup G$ and G is \aleph_1 -generic) s.t.

$$T \Vdash \left(\check{\pi} : \langle L_{\aleph_1}[\check{B}], \check{B} \rangle \prec \langle L_{\aleph_2}[\check{B}], \check{B} \rangle \right)$$

$$\text{and } \check{\pi}(\check{\delta}_n^\vee) = \delta_n^i \text{ for } n < \omega$$

$$\text{and } \check{\pi}(\check{\delta}_n^\wedge) = \delta_n^* \text{ for } n < \omega$$

where δ^i is the canonical name for δ and $\delta^* \in G = \delta$, and $\check{\pi}$ is defined from $\check{\delta}, \delta^i$ as above.

Then

(1) $\forall t \in T, |t| = n_i + 2$, then there is $\gamma < t \Vdash (n_i + 1)$ s.t.

$$T \Vdash \check{\gamma} = \check{\delta}_0^\wedge$$

proof.

Let $f_n =$ the $\langle L_{\aleph_1}[\check{B}], \check{B} \rangle$ -least f s.t. $f \Vdash \text{onto } \check{\delta}_n^\vee$ ($n < \omega$)

Let $f_n^* =$ the $\langle L_{\aleph_2}[\check{B}], \check{B} \rangle$ -least f s.t. $f \Vdash \omega \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} t(n)$

for $t \in T$,

whenever $G \ni T$ is \aleph_1 -generic,

$$\text{then } \pi^G(f_n^*(v)) = f_n^*(v) \text{ for } v \in \langle \delta_0, \dots, \delta_n \rangle$$

for $2 \leq \alpha_n$

Thus, setting $\pi_t = f_t \circ \bar{f}_m^{-1}$ for $|t| = m$, $t \in T$, we have:

$$T_t \Vdash \pi_t \upharpoonright \bar{y}_m^{\vee} = \bar{y}_t^{\vee} \quad \text{for } m < \omega.$$

In particular: At $|t| = n_i + 2$,

$$t \in T \text{ and } \gamma = \pi_t(\bar{\delta}_i), \text{ thus } T_t \Vdash \bar{\gamma} = \bar{\delta}_i^{\circ}. \quad \text{QED (1)}$$

We now thin T as follows. For $i < \omega$ we define T_i s.t.,

$$T_{i+1} \leq T_i, \quad T_i \upharpoonright_{m_i+1} = T_j \upharpoonright_{m_i+1}$$

and $\text{stem}(T_i) = \text{stem}(T_j)$ for $i \leq j$.

We then set: $T' = \bigcap_i T_i = \bigcup_i T_i \upharpoonright_{m_i+1}$.

Thus $T' \in \mathcal{N}'$. Define T_i by:

$$T_i = T \text{ if } m_i + 1 < |\alpha| \text{ where } \alpha = \text{stem}(t)$$

At $m_i + 1 \geq |\alpha|$, proceed as follows:

We pick for each $t \in T_i$ s.t.

$$|t| = m_i + 1 \text{ a set}$$

$$A_i^t = A_i^t \subset \{ \alpha \mid t \upharpoonright \langle \alpha \rangle \in T_i \}$$

as follows:

$$\text{Set } \gamma_\alpha = \gamma_\alpha^t = \pi_t \upharpoonright \langle \alpha \rangle (\bar{\delta}_i).$$

7-

(Hence $t(m_i) < \gamma < d$).

Case 1 For all $\mu < \omega_1$ there is a s.t.
 $\gamma > \mu$.

Successively pick d_i s.t. $\gamma > \sup_{i < \omega_1} d_i$.

Set $A = \{d_i \mid i < \omega_2\}$.

Case 2 Case 1 fails.

Then there is γ s.t.

$$\text{Card}(\{\alpha \mid \gamma_\alpha = \gamma\}) = \omega_2,$$

Set $A = \{\alpha \mid \gamma_\alpha = \gamma\}$.

We then set:

$$T_{i+1} = \{t \mid t \in T_i \text{ and } t(m_{i+1}) \in A_{d_i}^t \text{ if } |t| \geq m_{i+2}\}$$

$$= \bigcup_{\alpha < d} \{T_{t \langle \alpha \rangle} \mid |t| = m_{i+1} \wedge t \in T_{i-1} \wedge \alpha \in A_{d_i}^t\}$$

If $t \in T'$ and $|t| = m_{i+1}$, we then have for $t \langle \alpha \rangle \in T'$:

Either $\gamma_\alpha^t < \min\{d \mid t \langle \alpha \rangle \in T'\}$

or $\gamma_\alpha^t > \sup\{d \mid t \langle \beta \rangle \in T' \wedge \beta < d\}$

If we let $B_t =$ the closure of $\{\alpha \mid t^{-1}\alpha \in T'\}$ in ω_2 , then

$$\gamma_\alpha^t \notin B_t. \text{ Set:}$$

$$B_{i'} = \{\lambda \mid \exists t \in \lambda^{n_i+1} (\exists t \in T' \rightarrow \lambda \in B_t)\}$$

Then $\gamma_\alpha^t \notin B_{i'}$, since otherwise

$$\gamma_\alpha^t \in B_t \text{ since } t \cdot (h) \in \gamma_\alpha^t \text{ for } h \leq m_i.$$

If we set $B = \bigcap_{i < \omega} B_{i'}$, it follows that $\gamma_\alpha^t \notin B$ whenever $t^{-1}\langle \alpha \rangle \in T'$ and $|t| = n_i + 1$.

This means that if $G \ni T'$ is \mathbb{N}' -generic, given the generic sequence $\langle \delta_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$,

then $\delta_i \notin B$ for $i < \omega$, hence

$$\delta_i = \gamma(\delta_0, \dots, \delta_{m_i}, \delta_{m_i+1}).$$

Thus $\langle \delta_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ is not magical,

Contradiction! $\varphi \in b$ (Lemma 3)

Lemma 4. Let δ be \mathbb{N}^* -generic. Then δ is a maximal magical sequence in the following sense: Let $\delta = \langle \delta_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ where $\delta \in V[\delta]$ is magical. Then

$$\forall n \wedge m \geq n \quad \delta_m \in \{\delta_j \mid j < \omega\},$$

proof.

Suppose not. Let δ be a counterexample.

Then there is a monotone function $\langle n_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ s.t. $\forall j \quad \delta_j \in (\delta_{n_i}, \delta_{n_{i+1}})$ for $i < \omega$. Pick $\delta_{m_i} \in (\delta_{n_i}, \delta_{n_{i+1}})$. Then $\langle \delta_{m_i} \mid i < \omega \rangle$ is magical. Hence we may assume w.l.o.g. that

$$\delta_i \in (\delta_{n_i}, \delta_{n_{i+1}}) \text{ for } i < \omega, \text{ where}$$

$\langle n_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ is monotone. We again

let $B \subset \omega_2$ s.t. $L_{\omega_1}[B] = \{ \omega_1 \}$ and ω_1 is the largest cardinal in $L_{\omega_2}[B]$ (where $B \in \mathcal{V}$). Define $d, X,$

$$\pi: \langle L_\beta[\bar{B}], \bar{B} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} X \text{ exactly}$$

as in Lemma 3. Let $\pi(\bar{\delta}_n, \bar{\delta}_i) = \delta_n, \delta_i$.

Then $\bar{\delta}_{n_i} < \bar{\delta}_i < \bar{\delta}_{n_{i+1}}$ as before.

Let G be the \mathbb{N}^* -generic set given by the sequence $\delta, \dots, \bar{\delta}$

There is $T \in G$ s.t.

$$T \Vdash (\pi^1 : \langle L_{\omega_1}[\bar{B}], \bar{B} \rangle \cong \langle L_{\omega_2}[\bar{B}], \bar{B} \rangle)$$

$$\wedge \pi^1(\delta_n^{\vee}) = \delta_n^1 \text{ for } n < \omega$$

$$\wedge \pi^1(\delta_n^{\vee}) = \delta_n^1 \text{ for } n < \omega$$

where $\delta^1 \in G = \delta$ and $\delta^{\vee} \in G = \delta$ and π^1 is defined from δ, δ^{\vee} as above.

Then just as before we get:

(1) $\forall t \in T, |t| = n_i + 2$, there is $\alpha < t(n_i + 1)$ s.t. $T_t \Vdash \eta^{\vee} = \delta_{\alpha}^1$.

For $t \in T, |t| = n_i + 1, t \langle \alpha \rangle \in T$, let $\eta_{\alpha}^{\vee} = \eta_{\alpha}^t =: \eta_{\alpha}^t$. That $\eta_{\alpha}^t \Vdash \eta^{\vee} = \delta_{\alpha}^1$.

Imitating the proof in Lemma 3 we then define successive thinnings T_i of T + set $T' = \bigcap_i T_i$.

If $n_i + 1 < |t|, t = \text{stem}(T)$, we set $T_0 = T$. Now let $n_i + 1 \geq |t|$

+ let T_0 be given. For $t \in T_0, |t| = n_i + 1$, we define:

$$A = A_t = \{ \alpha \mid t \langle \alpha \rangle \in T_0 \}$$

as follows: Since $\eta_{\alpha}^t \Vdash \eta^{\vee} = \delta_{\alpha}^1$ for $t \langle \alpha \rangle \in T_0$, there must be

.11-

γ s.t. $\{\alpha \mid t^{-1}\alpha \in T_i, \gamma_\alpha = \gamma\}$ is stationary,

Set $A = \{\alpha \mid t^{-1}\alpha \in T_i, \gamma_\alpha = \gamma\}$.

Set $T_{i+1} = \{t \in T_i \mid t(m_i+1) \in A_{t \upharpoonright m_i+1} \text{ if } |t| > m_i+1\}$,

It follows as before that if

$B = B_t = \text{the closure of } \{\alpha \mid t^{-1}\alpha \in T'\}$

for $|t| = m_i+1$, then $\gamma \notin B_t$ for $t^{-1}\alpha \in T'$.

Setting

$$B_i = \{\lambda \mid \exists t \in \lambda^{m_i+1} (t \in T' \rightarrow \lambda \in B_t)\}$$

we again have $\gamma \notin B_i$. But

then $\gamma \notin B$ where $B = \bigcap_i B_i$.

Hence if $T' \in G + G$ is \mathbb{N}^k -generic,

we conclude that $\delta_i \notin B$ for $i < \omega$,

Contradiction! QED (Lemma 4)

Now define $\delta = \langle \delta_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ to be quasi-magical iff whenever $F \in \mathcal{V}$ and $F: \omega_2 \rightarrow \omega_2$, then $\forall n \ \exists m \geq n \ \delta_{m+n} \geq F(\delta_m)$.

We recall the following known theorem about \aleph_1 :

Lemma 5 Let G be \aleph_1 -generic. Then:

(a) If $\delta = \langle \delta_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{V}[G]$ is monotone + cofinal in ω_2 , then δ is an \aleph_1 -generic sequence.

(b) No \aleph_1 -generic sequence is quasi-magical.

Finally we note:

Lemma 6 Let G be $\mathbb{C} \times \aleph_1$ -generic. Let $\delta = \langle \delta_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in \mathcal{V}[G]$ be magical. Then there is a magical $\delta' = \langle \delta'_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ s.t.

$$\{\delta_i \mid i < \omega\} \cap \{\delta'_i \mid i < \omega\} = \emptyset,$$

proof.

Let $\mathcal{V}[G] = \mathcal{V}[C][\delta]$ where C is \mathbb{C} -generic and δ is \aleph_1 -gen. over $\mathcal{V}[C]$. Then there is a monotone sequence

$\langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ s.t. $(\sigma_{m_i}, \sigma_{m_{i+1}}) \cap C \neq \emptyset$,

This follows from the \mathbb{C} -genericity

of C . Pick $\sigma'_i \in (\sigma_{m_i}, \sigma_{m_{i+1}})$. Then

$\sigma' = \langle \sigma'_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ has the desired property,

QED (Lemma 6).

Now set: $\mathbb{P}_0 = \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{P}_1 \geq \mathbb{N}'$, $\mathbb{P}_2 \geq \mathbb{N}^*$,
 $\mathbb{P}_3 = \mathbb{C} * \mathbb{N}$.

Def $\sigma = \langle \sigma_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ is \mathbb{P}_m -conforming
 iff σ is monotone and cofinal in ω_2
 and $\sigma \in V[G]$ for \mathbb{P}_m -generic G

Theorem If σ is \mathbb{P}_n -conforming
 ($n \leq 3$), then it is not \mathbb{P}_m -con-
 forming for any $m \neq n$.

proof.

(1) Let σ be \mathbb{P}_0 -conforming. Then
 σ is not \mathbb{P}_n -conforming for $n > 0$.
 proof.

If $\sigma \in V[G]$ + G is \mathbb{P}_n -generic,

then there is a quasi-magical

$\delta \in V[G]$, \dots Hence $\delta' \in V[\delta]$ by

Lemma 2, contradiction

Lemma 5.

(It follows, of course, that no \mathbb{P}_m -conforming sequence is \mathbb{P}_0 -conforming if $m > 0$.)

Using Lemma 1, we then get

(2) Let δ be \mathbb{P}_1 -conforming. Then δ is not \mathbb{P}_n -conforming for $n > 1$.

Using Lemma 4 and Lemma 6 we then get

(3) Let δ be \mathbb{P}_2 -conforming. Then δ is not \mathbb{P}_3 -conforming.

QED