

**SOMMERSEMESTER 2016 - HÖHERE ANALYSIS II  
LINEARE PARTIELLE DIFFERENTIALGLEICHUNGEN**

**Homework #12 Solutions**

**Problem 1.** Suppose that  $K : H \rightarrow H$  is a compact linear operator on a Hilbert space  $H$  and  $K^*$  is the adjoint. Prove the following statements.

a.)  $N(I - K^*)^\perp = R(I - K)$ . Hint: Make use of Problem 1 from the previous week.

*Proof.* Recall that  $R(I - K)$  is closed, see HW #11, problem 1. Hence, it will suffice to show  $N(I - K^*) = R(I - K)^\perp$ .

Choose  $z \in H$ . Then  $x = z - Kz \in R(I - K)$ . Suppose now that  $y \in R(I - K)^\perp$ , that is  $(y, x) = 0$ . This implies

$$0 = (y, z - Kz) = (y, z) - (y, Kz) = (y, z) - (K^*y, z) = (y - K^*y, z) \quad \text{for all } z \in H.$$

Hence we have shown that  $y \in N(I - K^*)$  and thus  $R(I - K)^\perp \subset N(I - K^*)$ .

To show the opposite inclusion, suppose that  $x \in N(I - K^*)^\perp$ , that is

$$(x, (I - K)z) = ((I - K^*)x, z) = 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in H.$$

Hence,  $x \in R(I - K)^\perp$  and thus  $N(I - K^*) \subset R(I - K)^\perp$ . □

b.)  $R(I - K) = H$  if and only if  $N(I - K) = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* "⇐" We argue by contradiction. Suppose  $N(I - K) = \{0\}$  and that  $H_1 := R(I - K) \subsetneq H$ . According to last week's homework problem,  $H_1$  is a closed subspace. Define now inductively a sequence of closed subspaces  $H_{k+1} = (I - K)H_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Note that  $H_{k+1} = (I - K)^k(I - K)H \subset H_k$  and  $H_{k+1} \subsetneq H_k$  since  $N(I - K) = \{0\}$ .

Choose now unit vectors  $x_k \in H_k$  such that  $x_k \in H_{k+1}^\perp$ . Then, for all  $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$Kx_k - Kx_l = -(x_k - Kx_k) + (x_l - Kx_l) + x_k - x_l$$

and we observe  $x_k - Kx_k \in H_{k+1}$ ,  $x_l - Kx_l \in H_{l+1}$ ,  $x_k \in H_k$ , and  $x_l \in H_{l+1}^\perp$ . For  $k > l$  we have because of the inclusion  $H_{k+1} \subset H_k \subset H_{l+1}$  that

$$\|Kx_k - Kx_l\| = \|-(x_k - Kx_k) + (x_l - Kx_l) + x_k\| + \|x_l\| \geq 1$$

This is a contradiction to the compactness of  $K$  since it prevents the existence of a converging subsequence.

"⇒" Suppose that  $R(I - K) = H$ . Then, by part a.) we know that  $N(I - K^*) = \{0\}$  and by the first part of this proof gives  $R(I - K^*) = H$ . Use part a.) with  $K$  replaced by  $K^*$  to conclude that  $N(I - K) = \{0\}$ . □

**Problem 2.** For  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  use the Fourier transform in space to derive a solution formula for the initial value problem to the Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{aligned} iu_t - \Delta_x u &= 0 & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0, \cdot) &= f & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ .

*Solution.* After Fourier transform in  $x$  one obtains the initial value problem

$$i\hat{u}_t(t, \xi) = -|\xi|^2 \hat{u}(t, \xi) \quad t \in [0, \infty), \quad \hat{u}(0, \xi) = \hat{f}(\xi), \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The solution to this problem is given by

$$\hat{u}_t = e^{-it|\xi|^2} \hat{f}(\xi),$$

and using the inverse Fourier transform, one obtains the formula

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix \cdot \xi} e^{-it|\xi|^2} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

where we note that  $u(t, \cdot) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , since  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The inverse Fourier transform can be computed using the formula

$$u(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[e^{-it|\xi|^2}](t, x) * f(x).$$

The amazing fact is that formula discussed last week (07/05/2016)

$$\mathcal{F}[e^{-a|x|^2}] = \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{d/2} e^{-|\xi|^2/(4a)} \quad a > 0$$

is even true all  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $\Re a \geq 0$  and  $a \neq 0$ . Hence, one obtains choosing  $a = 1/(4ti)$  that

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}[e^{-it|\xi|^2}](t, x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi it)^{d/2}} e^{-|x|^2/(4ti)},$$

whence

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi it)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-|x-y|^2/(4ti)} f(y) dy.$$

**Problem 3.** Find a fundamental solution to the Schrödinger operator, that is a distribution  $\Phi(t, x) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$  that satisfies

$$i\partial_t \Phi = \Delta_x \Phi \text{ for } t \neq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(0, x) = \delta_0 \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Hint: Use problem 2 and Lemma 7.2, which also explains how to understand the condition  $\Phi(0, x) = \delta_0$ .

*Solution.* Set

$$\Phi(t, x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(4\pi it)^{d/2}} e^{-|x|^2/(4ti)} & \text{for } t > 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

We will show that  $\Phi(t_k, \cdot) \rightarrow \delta_0$  as  $t_k \rightarrow 0^+$ . Choose a sequence  $t_k \geq 0$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $t_k \rightarrow 0$ . From problem 2 we know that

$$\mathcal{F}[\Phi(t_k, \cdot)](\xi) = e^{it_k|\xi|^2}$$

and given  $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we have

$$\Phi(t_k, \cdot)(\hat{u}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{it_k|\xi|^2} u(\xi) d\xi \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u(\xi) d\xi = \hat{u}(0) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$