Overview

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Gradient systems
- 3. Motivating examples

4. Energy-dissipation formulations

- 4.1. Equivalent formulations via Legendre transform
- 4.2. The Sandier-Serfaty approach using EDP
- 4.3. Choice of GS determines effective equation
- 4.4. General evolutionary $\Gamma\text{-convergence}$ using EDP
- 4.5. From viscous to rate-independent friction
- 5. Evolutionary variational inequality (EVI)





One equation $\dot{u} = \mathcal{V}(u)$ may have different gradient structures:

- Gradient structure $\dot{u} = -\mathbb{K}(u)\mathcal{E}(u)$ is additional physical information.
- Different physical problems may have the same PDE but different GS.
 heat equation $\dot{\theta} = \Delta \theta \quad \neq \quad \dot{u} = \Delta u$ diffusion equation
- In a multiscale problem only certain GS may have a pE-limit



One equation $\dot{u} = \mathcal{V}(u)$ may have different gradient structures:

- Gradient structure $\dot{u} = -\mathbb{K}(u)\mathcal{E}(u)$ is additional physical information.
- Different physical problems may have the same PDE but different GS.
 heat equation $\dot{\theta} = \Delta \theta \quad \neq \quad \dot{u} = \Delta u$ diffusion equation
- In a multiscale problem only certain GS may have a pE-limit
- Even more dramatic: Different gradient structures may lead to different effective equations!

Tartar 1990: Nonlocal homogenization of hyperbolic equations: $\Omega =]0, \ell[, u^{\varepsilon}(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}]$ $\dot{u}^{\varepsilon}(t, x) = -a(x/\varepsilon)u^{\varepsilon}(t, x)$ $soln. u^{\varepsilon}(t, x) = u^{\varepsilon}(0, x) \exp(-ta(x/\varepsilon))$ Problem $u^{\varepsilon}(0, \cdot) \rightharpoonup u_0^0 \not\Rightarrow u^{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot) = u_0^0 \exp(-t a_{eff})$



- 0 (a

Leibniz-Gemeinschaft Z

Philosophy: GS of $\dot{u}^{\varepsilon}(t,x) = -a(x/\varepsilon)u^{\varepsilon}(t,x)$ is important!

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{X}, \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}, \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}) \text{ with } \boldsymbol{X} &= L^{2}(\Omega) \\ (\mathsf{A}) \ \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x/\varepsilon)}{2} u(x)^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}_{\mathsf{harm}} : u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \frac{a_{\mathsf{harm}}}{2} u^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ \mathsf{Guess} (\mathsf{A}) \text{ for limit } \underbrace{\dot{u} = -a_{\mathsf{harm}} u} \end{aligned} \quad \text{and } \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}(\dot{u}) &= \mathcal{R}(\dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \dot{u}(x)^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon} &= \mathcal{R} \end{aligned}$$

$$(\mathsf{cf. Braides 2013})$$



Philosophy: GS of $\dot{u}^{\varepsilon}(t,x) = -a(x/\varepsilon)u^{\varepsilon}(t,x)$ is important!

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{X}, \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}, \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}) \text{ with } \boldsymbol{X} &= L^{2}(\Omega) \\ (\mathsf{A}) \ \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x/\varepsilon)}{2} u(x)^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}_{\mathsf{harm}} : u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \frac{a_{\mathsf{harm}}}{2} u^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ \mathsf{Guess} (\mathsf{A}) \text{ for limit } \underbrace{\dot{u} = -a_{\mathsf{harm}} u} \end{aligned} \quad \text{and } \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}(\dot{u}) &= \mathcal{R}(\dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \dot{u}(x)^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon} &= \mathcal{R} \\ \mathsf{Guess} (\mathsf{A}) \text{ for limit } \underbrace{\dot{u} = -a_{\mathsf{harm}} u} \end{aligned}$$

(B)
$$\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u) = \overline{\mathcal{E}}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} u(x)^2 dx$$

 $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\mathcal{E}}$
Guess (B) for limit $\dot{u} = -a_{\text{arith}} u$

and
$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(\dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2a(x/\varepsilon)} \dot{u}(x)^2 dx$$

 $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(\dot{u}) \stackrel{\Gamma}{\longrightarrow} \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{0}(\dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2a_{\text{arith}}} \dot{u}^2 dx$

Is (A) or (B) correct? Or both? or None?





Leibniz-Gemeinschaft

Philosophy: GS of $\dot{u}^{\varepsilon}(t,x) = -a(x/\varepsilon)u^{\varepsilon}(t,x)$ is important!

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{X}, \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}, \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}) \text{ with } \boldsymbol{X} &= L^{2}(\Omega) \\ (\mathsf{A}) \ \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x/\varepsilon)}{2} u(x)^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x & \text{ and } \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}(\dot{u}) &= \mathcal{R}(\dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \dot{u}(x)^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}_{\mathsf{harm}} : u \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \frac{a_{\mathsf{harm}}}{2} u^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x & \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon} &= \mathcal{R} \\ & \mathsf{Guess (A) for limit } \dot{u} &= -a_{\mathsf{harm}} u \end{aligned}$$

(B)
$$\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u) = \overline{\mathcal{E}}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} u(x)^2 \, \mathrm{d}x$$

 $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\mathcal{E}}$

and
$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(\dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2a(x/\varepsilon)} \dot{u}(x)^2 dx$$

 $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(\dot{u}) \stackrel{\Gamma}{\rightharpoonup} \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{0}(\dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2a_{\mathsf{arith}}} \dot{u}^2 dx$

Guess (B) for limit $\dot{u} = -a_{arith}u$

Is (A) or (B) correct? Or both? or None? Neither $(L^2(\Omega), \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}, \mathcal{R})$ nor $(L^2(\Omega), \overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon})$ do *pE*-converge!



Two other gradient structures inspired by different physics (namely by transport theory and growth or death of species) $X_{\mathrm{M}} := \mathrm{M}_{\geq 0}(\overline{\Omega})$ non-negative Radon measures (C) $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_{\Omega} a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})u(x) \,\mathrm{d}x$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(u, \dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\dot{u}(x)^2}{2u(x)} \,\mathrm{d}x$ $\mathrm{D}_{\dot{u}}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(u, \dot{u}) = \frac{\dot{u}}{u} = -a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) = -\mathrm{D}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u)$ PDE is OK

(D)
$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a(x/\varepsilon)} u(x) dx$$
 and $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(u, \dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\dot{u}(x)^2}{2a(x/\varepsilon)^2 u(x)} dx$
 $D_{\dot{u}} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(u, \dot{u}) = \frac{\dot{u}}{a(x/\varepsilon)^2 u} = -\frac{1}{a(x/\varepsilon)} = -D\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u)$ PDE is OK



Two other gradient structures inspired by different physics

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{M}} &:= \mathrm{M}_{\geq 0}(\overline{\Omega}) \text{ non-negative Radon measures} \\ (\mathsf{C}) \ \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u) &= \int_{\Omega} a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) u(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \text{ and } \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(u, \dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\dot{u}(x)^2}{2u(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\quad \mathrm{D}_{\dot{u}} \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(u, \dot{u}) = \frac{\dot{u}}{u} = -a(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) = -\mathrm{D} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u) \end{split}$$
 PDE is OK

(D)
$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a(x/\varepsilon)} u(x) dx$$
 and $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(u, \dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\dot{u}(x)^2}{2a(x/\varepsilon)^2 u(x)} dx$
 $D_{\dot{u}} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(u, \dot{u}) = \frac{\dot{u}}{a(x/\varepsilon)^2 u} = -\frac{1}{a(x/\varepsilon)} = -D\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u)$ PDE is OK

Theorem [Survey'16] (C)
$$(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{M}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{evol}} (w^*) (\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{M}}, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\min}, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathrm{H}})$$
 and
(D) $(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{M}}, \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}, \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{evol}} (w^*) (\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{M}}, \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\max}, \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\max})$

(C) $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\min}(u) = \int_{\Omega} a_{\min} u \, dx \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \dot{u} = -a_{\min} u \, du$ (D) $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\max}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{a_{\max}} u \, dx \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \dot{u} = -a_{\max} u$

Different effective equations depending on choice of GS!





Sketch of proof for case (C) [(D) is analogous, cf. Survey'16]:

• $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_{0}^{\ell} a(x/\varepsilon) du(x)$ is a linear energy functional in X_{M}

• $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(u, \dot{u}) = \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{H}}(u, \dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \dot{u}^2 / (2u) \, \mathrm{d}x$ is a state-dependent dissipation potential that induces Hellinger distance $d_{\mathrm{H}}(u_0, u_1) = 2 \|\sqrt{u_1} - \sqrt{u_0}\|_{\mathrm{L}^2}$

(EDB) $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}(T)) + \int_{0}^{T} \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathrm{H}}(u_{\varepsilon}, \dot{u}_{\varepsilon}) + \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{H}}^{*}(u_{\varepsilon}, -\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon})) \right) \mathrm{d}t = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}(0))$



Libniz Leibniz-Gemeinschaft

Sketch of proof for case (C) [(D) is analogous, cf. Survey'16]:

• $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_{0}^{\ell} a(x/\varepsilon) du(x)$ is a linear energy functional in X_{M}

• $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(u, \dot{u}) = \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{H}}(u, \dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \dot{u}^2 / (2u) \, \mathrm{d}x$ is a state-dependent dissipation potential that induces Hellinger distance $d_{\mathrm{H}}(u_0, u_1) = 2 \|\sqrt{u_1} - \sqrt{u_0}\|_{\mathrm{L}^2}$

(EDB) $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}(T)) + \int_{0}^{T} \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathrm{H}}(u_{\varepsilon}, \dot{u}_{\varepsilon}) + \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{H}}^{*}(u_{\varepsilon}, -\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon})) \right) \mathrm{d}t = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}(0))$

(1) Well-Preparedness gives $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}(0)) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\min}(u(0)) := \int_{\Omega} a_{\min}u_0(x) dx.$



Libniz Leibniz-Gemeinschaft

Sketch of proof for case (C) [(D) is analogous, cf. Survey'16]:

• $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_0^{\ell} a(x/\varepsilon) du(x)$ is a linear energy functional in X_{M}

• $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(u, \dot{u}) = \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{H}}(u, \dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \dot{u}^2 / (2u) \, \mathrm{d}x$ is a state-dependent dissipation potential that induces Hellinger distance $d_{\mathrm{H}}(u_0, u_1) = 2 \|\sqrt{u_1} - \sqrt{u_0}\|_{\mathrm{L}^2}$

(EDB) $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}(T)) + \int_{0}^{T} \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathrm{H}}(u_{\varepsilon}, \dot{u}_{\varepsilon}) + \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{H}}^{*}(u_{\varepsilon}, -\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon})) \right) \mathrm{d}t = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}(0))$

- (1) Well-Preparedness gives $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}(0)) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\min}(u(0)) := \int_{\Omega} a_{\min}u_0(x) dx.$
- (2) Using $a(x/\varepsilon) \ge a_{\min}$ gives $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u) \ge \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\min}(u)$ Using linearity of $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_0$ gives $u_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} u \Rightarrow \liminf \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) \ge \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\min}(u)$



Leibniz-Gemeinschaft y

Sketch of proof for case (C) [(D) is analogous, cf. Survey'16]:

• $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_0^{\ell} a(x/\varepsilon) du(x)$ is a linear energy functional in X_{M}

• $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(u, \dot{u}) = \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{H}}(u, \dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \dot{u}^2 / (2u) \, \mathrm{d}x$ is a state-dependent dissipation potential that induces Hellinger distance $d_{\mathrm{H}}(u_0, u_1) = 2 \|\sqrt{u_1} - \sqrt{u_0}\|_{\mathrm{L}^2}$

(EDB) $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}(T)) + \int_{0}^{T} \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathrm{H}}(u_{\varepsilon}, \dot{u}_{\varepsilon}) + \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{H}}^{*}(u_{\varepsilon}, -\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon})) \right) \mathrm{d}t = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}(0))$

- (1) Well-Preparedness gives $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}(0)) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\min}(u(0)) := \int_{\Omega} a_{\min}u_0(x) dx.$
- (2) Using $a(x/\varepsilon) \ge a_{\min}$ gives $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u) \ge \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\min}(u)$ Using linearity of $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_0$ gives $u_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} u \Rightarrow \liminf \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) \ge \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\min}(u)$
- (3) With $\mathfrak{R}^*_{\mathrm{H}}(u,\xi) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{u}{2}\xi^2 \,\mathrm{d}x$ and $\xi = \mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) = a_{\varepsilon}$, the dissipation is $\int_0^T \left(\widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon},\dot{u}_{\varepsilon}) + \widetilde{\mathfrak{R}}^*_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon},-\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}))\right) \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^T \int_0^\ell \left(\frac{\dot{u}_{\varepsilon}^2}{2u_{\varepsilon}} + \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{2}a_{\varepsilon}^2\right) \mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t$ Estimate $a_{\varepsilon}^2 \ge a_{\min}^2$, use $u_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} u$ and convexity of $(u,v) \mapsto \frac{v^2}{2u}$ to obtain $\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_0^T \int_0^\ell \left(\frac{\dot{u}_{\varepsilon}^2}{2u_{\varepsilon}} + \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{2}a_{\varepsilon}^2\right) \mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t \ge \int_0^T \int_0^\ell \left(\frac{\dot{u}^2}{2u} + \frac{u}{2}a_{\min}^2\right) \mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t = \int_0^T \left(\mathfrak{R}_{\mathrm{H}}(u,\dot{u}) + \mathfrak{R}^*_{\mathrm{H}}(u,-\mathrm{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{min}}(u))\right)$



Leibniz-Gemeinschaft y

Sketch of proof for case (C) [(D) is analogous, cf. Survey'16]:

• $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_0^{\ell} a(x/\varepsilon) du(x)$ is a linear energy functional in X_{M}

• $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(u, \dot{u}) = \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{H}}(u, \dot{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \dot{u}^2 / (2u) \, \mathrm{d}x$ is a state-dependent dissipation potential that induces Hellinger distance $d_{\mathrm{H}}(u_0, u_1) = 2 \|\sqrt{u_1} - \sqrt{u_0}\|_{\mathrm{L}^2}$

(EDB) $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}(T)) + \int_{0}^{T} \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathrm{H}}(u_{\varepsilon}, \dot{u}_{\varepsilon}) + \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{H}}^{*}(u_{\varepsilon}, -\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon})) \right) \mathrm{d}t = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}(0))$

- (1) Well-Preparedness gives $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}(0)) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\min}(u(0)) := \int_{\Omega} a_{\min}u_0(x) dx.$
- (2) Using $a(x/\varepsilon) \ge a_{\min}$ gives $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u) \ge \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\min}(u)$ Using linearity of $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_0$ gives $u_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} u \Rightarrow \liminf \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) \ge \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\min}(u)$
- (3) With $\mathcal{R}^*_{\mathrm{H}}(u,\xi) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{u}{2} \xi^2 \,\mathrm{d}x$ and $\xi = \mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) = a_{\varepsilon}$, the dissipation is $\int_0^T \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon},\dot{u}_{\varepsilon}) + \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^*_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon},-\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}))\right) \mathrm{d}t = \int_0^T \int_0^\ell \left(\frac{\dot{u}_{\varepsilon}^2}{2u_{\varepsilon}} + \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{2}a_{\varepsilon}^2\right) \mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t$

Estimate $a_{\varepsilon}^2 \ge a_{\min}^2$, use $u_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} u$ and convexity of $(u, v) \mapsto \frac{v^2}{2u}$ to obtain $\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_0^T \int_0^\ell \left(\frac{\dot{u}_{\varepsilon}^2}{2u_{\varepsilon}} + \frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{2}a_{\varepsilon}^2\right) \mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t \ge \int_0^T \int_0^\ell \left(\frac{\dot{u}^2}{2u} + \frac{u}{2}a_{\min}^2\right) \mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t = \int_0^T \left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{H}}(u, \dot{u}) + \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{H}}^*(u, -\mathrm{D}\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\min}(u))\right)$

(1)–(3) show that u is a solution of (EDE) for $(X_M, \mathcal{E}_{\min}, \mathcal{R}_H)$.



Overview

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Gradient systems
- 3. Motivating examples

4. Energy-dissipation formulations

- 4.1. Equivalent formulations via Legendre transform
- 4.2. The Sandier-Serfaty approach using EDP
- 4.3. Choice of GS determines effective equation
- 4.4. General evolutionary $\Gamma\text{-convergence}$ using EDP
- 4.5. From viscous to rate-independent friction
- 5. Evolutionary variational inequality (EVI)





(EDE)
$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}(t)) + \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}, \dot{u}^{\varepsilon}) + \mathcal{R}^{*}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}, -\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon})) \,\mathrm{d}t \leq \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}(0))$$

It suffices to find $(\boldsymbol{X}, \mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{R}_0)$ and $\mathcal M$ such that

 $\bullet \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{\Gamma}{\rightharpoonup} \mathcal{E}_{0} \qquad \bullet \text{ Chain rule holds for } (\boldsymbol{X}, \mathcal{E}_{0}, \mathcal{R}_{0})$

(EDE)
$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}(t)) + \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}, \dot{u}^{\varepsilon}) + \mathcal{R}^{*}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}, -\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon})) \,\mathrm{d}t \leq \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}(0))$$

It suffices to find $(\boldsymbol{X}, \mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{R}_0)$ and $\mathcal M$ such that

E
$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{\Gamma}{\rightharpoonup} \mathcal{E}_{0}$$
Chain rule holds for $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{E}_{0}, \mathcal{R}_{0})$
I $\int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{M}(u, \dot{u}) dt \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}, \dot{u}^{\varepsilon}) + \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}^{*}(u^{\varepsilon}, -\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon})) \right) dt$
(a) $\mathcal{M}(u, v) \geq -\langle \mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{0}(u), v \rangle$ and
(b) $\mathcal{M}(u, v) = -\langle \mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{0}(u), v \rangle \Longrightarrow$
 $\mathcal{R}_{0}(u, v) + \mathcal{R}_{0}^{*}(u, -\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{0}(u)) = -\langle \mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{0}(u), v \rangle$

Remark:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}(u,v) \geq \mathcal{R}_0(u,v) + \mathcal{R}_0^*(u,-\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_0(u)) \text{ is suffic. for (a,b) but not necessary!} \\ \text{Even, passage from quadratic } \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon(v) = r_\varepsilon \|v\|_H^2 \\ \text{to 1-homogeneous } \mathcal{R}_0(v) = r_0 \|v\|_X^1 \text{ is possible!} \end{split}$$



From diffusion to transmission (a case of dimension reduction)

(Liero'12 PhD thesis, Liero-M-Peletier-Renger'2015 WIAS preprint 2148)

Consider diffusion in]-l, l[with much lower mobility in thin layer $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[$:

$$\dot{u} = \operatorname{div}(A_{\varepsilon}(x)\nabla u) + \operatorname{Neum.BC} \quad \text{with } A_{\varepsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} a & \text{for } \varepsilon < |x| < l, \\ \varepsilon b & \text{for } |x| \le \varepsilon \end{cases}$$



$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \lambda_{\mathrm{B}}(u(x)) \,\mathrm{d}x \quad \text{ with } \lambda_{\mathrm{B}}(z) = z \log z - z + 1 \geq 0 \\ &\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}^{*}(u,\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} A_{\varepsilon}(x) u(x) \xi'(x)^{2} \,\mathrm{d}x \qquad \text{ quadratic Wasserstein diffusion} \end{split}$$

From diffusion to transmission (a case of dimension reduction)

(Liero'12 PhD thesis, Liero-M-Peletier-Renger'2015 WIAS preprint 2148)

Consider diffusion in]-l, l[with much lower mobility in thin layer $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[$:



Limit gradient system $(L^1_{\geq}(\Omega), \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}^*_0)$ with $\mathcal{E}(u) = \int_{-l}^{l} \lambda_B(u(x)) dx$ and $\mathcal{R}^*_0(u, \xi) = \frac{a}{2} \int_{]-l,0[} u |\xi'|^2 dx + \frac{a}{2} \int_{]0,l[} u |\xi'|^2 dx + \frac{b}{\sqrt{u(0^-)u(0^+)}} \left(\cosh\left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi(0^+) - \xi(0^-))\right) - 1\right)$

Chemical potential $\xi(x) = D\mathcal{E}(u)(x) = \log u(x)$

Transmission cond. arises from $\dot{u} = D_{\xi} \mathcal{R}_0^*(u, -D\mathcal{E}(u))$ via integr.by parts:

$$x = 0^+: \quad au(0^+)\xi'(0^+) = -b\sqrt{u(0^-)u(0^+)}\frac{1}{2}\sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi(0^+) - \xi(0^-))\right)$$



Limit gradient system $(L^1_{\geq}(\Omega), \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}^*_0)$ with $\mathcal{E}(u) = \int_{-l}^{l} \lambda_B(u(x)) dx$ and $\mathcal{R}^*_0(u, \xi) = \frac{a}{2} \int_{]-l,0[} u |\xi'|^2 dx + \frac{a}{2} \int_{]0,l[} u |\xi'|^2 dx + \frac{b}{\sqrt{u(0^-)u(0^+)}} \left(\cosh\left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi(0^+) - \xi(0^-))\right) - 1\right)$

Chemical potential $\xi(x) = D\mathcal{E}(u)(x) = \log u(x)$ **Transmission cond.** arises from $\dot{u} = D_{\xi}\mathcal{R}_{0}^{*}(u, -D\mathcal{E}(u))$ via integr.by parts: $x = 0^{+}: \quad au(0^{+})\xi'(0^{+}) = -b\sqrt{u(0^{-})u(0^{+})}\frac{1}{2}\sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi(0^{+})-\xi(0^{-}))\right)$ $au'(0^{+}) = -b(u(0^{+})-u(0^{-}))$ $x = 0^{-}: \quad au'(0^{-}) = +b(u(0^{+})-u(0^{-}))$

Linear transmission conditions arise in nontrivial nonlinear way.
 Obtain Marcelin-de Donder kinetics (as used in physics) for membrane.



Since $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{E}$ the evol. Γ -convergence follows easily using the next result.

Proposition. Define the time-space functional

$$\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_{0}^{T} (\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}(u, \dot{u}) + \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}^{*}(u, -\log u)) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{0-l}^{Tl} \left(\frac{(\int_{x}^{1} \dot{u} \, \mathrm{d}y)^{2}}{2A_{\varepsilon}(x)u} + \frac{A_{\varepsilon}(x)(u')^{2}}{2u} \right) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t,$$
then $\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{J}_{0}$ in $\mathrm{L}^{1}([0, T] \times \Omega)$ with $\mathcal{J}_{0}(u) = \int_{0}^{T} (\mathcal{R}_{0}(u, \dot{u}) + \mathcal{R}_{0}^{*}(u, -\log u)) \, \mathrm{d}x.$

The Sandier-Serfaty approach does not work: For general u (not solutions u_ε → u) we have separate Γ-limits
u → ∫₀^T ℜ_ε(u, u) dt ⊥ ∂_{veloc} ≤ ∫₀^T ℜ₀ dt
u → ∫₀^T ℜ_ε^{*}(u, - log u) dt ⊥ ∂_{slope} ≤ ∫₀^T ℜ₀^{*}(·, - log ·) dt
There is a non-trivial interplay between the two terms, recovery sequences for ∂_{veloc} and ∂_{slope} are different: ∂₀ ≥ ∂_{veloc}+∂_{slope}





$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Idea of the proof of proposition:} \quad \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_{-l}^{l} \left(\frac{\left(\int_{-1}^{x} \dot{u} \, \mathrm{d}y\right)^{2}}{2A_{\varepsilon}(x)u} + \frac{A_{\varepsilon}(x)(u')^{2}}{2u} \right) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ \text{Blow up of membrane to size 1:} \quad x = X_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x}) = \begin{cases} \hat{x} & \text{for } \hat{x} \in [-l, -\varepsilon], \\ \frac{\varepsilon(2\hat{x}-1)}{1+2\hat{\varepsilon}} & \text{for } \hat{x} \in [-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon], \\ \hat{x}-1 & \text{for } \hat{x} \in [1+\varepsilon, l+1]. \end{cases}$$

Setting $\hat{u}(\hat{x}) = u(X_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x}))$ and $\hat{a}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x}) := \frac{A_{\varepsilon}(X_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x}))}{X'_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x})} \in \{a, b\}$ yields transformed fnctl

$$\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{u}) = \int_{-l}^{l+1} \left(\frac{\left(\int_{-1}^{\hat{x}} \dot{\hat{u}} \, X_{\varepsilon}'(\hat{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\hat{y} \right)^2}{2\widehat{a}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x})\hat{u}} + \frac{\widehat{a}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x})(\hat{u}')^2}{2\hat{u}} \right) \mathrm{d}\hat{x} \stackrel{\Gamma}{\rightharpoonup} \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_0 := \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{[-1,0]} + \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathsf{memb}} + \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,l+1]}$$





$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Idea of the proof of proposition:} \quad \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_{-l}^{l} \left(\frac{\left(\int_{-1}^{x} \dot{u} \, \mathrm{d}y\right)^{2}}{2A_{\varepsilon}(x)u} + \frac{A_{\varepsilon}(x)(u')^{2}}{2u} \right) \, \mathrm{d}x \\ \text{Blow up of membrane to size 1:} \quad x = X_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x}) = \begin{cases} \hat{x} & \text{for } \hat{x} \in [-l, -\varepsilon], \\ \frac{\varepsilon(2\hat{x}-1)}{1+2\hat{\varepsilon}} & \text{for } \hat{x} \in [-\varepsilon, 1+\varepsilon], \\ \hat{x}-1 & \text{for } \hat{x} \in [1+\varepsilon, l+1]. \end{cases}$$

Setting $\hat{u}(\hat{x}) = u(X_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x}))$ and $\hat{a}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x}) := \frac{A_{\varepsilon}(X_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x}))}{X'_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x})} \in \{a, b\}$ yields transformed fnctl

$$\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{u}) = \int_{-l}^{l+1} \left(\frac{\left(\int_{-1}^{\hat{x}} \dot{\hat{u}} \, X_{\varepsilon}'(\hat{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\hat{y} \right)^2}{2\widehat{a}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x})\hat{u}} + \frac{\widehat{a}_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x})(\hat{u}')^2}{2\hat{u}} \right) \mathrm{d}\hat{x} \stackrel{\Gamma}{\rightharpoonup} \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_0 := \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{[-1,0]} + \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathsf{memb}} + \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{[1,l+1]}$$

where
$$\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{\text{memb}}(\hat{u}) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{2b\widehat{u}} + \frac{b(\hat{u}')^2}{2\widehat{u}} \right) d\hat{x}$$
 with $\alpha = \int_{-l}^0 \dot{\hat{u}}(\hat{y}) d\hat{y} = \text{const.}$

Now we use
$$\min \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\beta^{2} + (\hat{u}')^{2}}{2\hat{u}} d\hat{x} \mid \hat{u}(0) = u(0^{-}) \\ \hat{u}(1) = u(0^{+}) \right\} = \dots$$

$$= \sqrt{u(0^{-})u(0^{+})} \left(\mathfrak{S}\left(\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{u(0^{-})u(0^{+})}}\right) + \mathfrak{S}^{*}\left(\log\frac{u(0^{+})}{u(0^{-})}\right) \right) \text{ with } \mathfrak{S}^{*}(\xi) = 4\cosh(\frac{1}{2}\xi) - 4$$

A. Mielke, Evolutionary Γ -convergence, Berlin, 29.8–2.9.2016



Overview

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Gradient systems
- 3. Motivating examples

4. Energy-dissipation formulations

- 4.1. Equivalent formulations via Legendre transform
- 4.2. The Sandier-Serfaty approach using EDP
- 4.3. Choice of GS determines effective equation
- 4.4. General evolutionary $\Gamma\text{-convergence}$ using EDP
- 4.5. From viscous to rate-independent friction
- 5. Evolutionary variational inequality (EVI)





Aim: Derive dry friction as evol. Γ -limit of viscous friction

 $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}, \Psi_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{\text{evol}} (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E}_{0}, \Psi_{0})$ where $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(v) = \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha}}{2}v^{2}$ (quadratic) and $\Psi_{0}(v) = \rho |v|$ (one-homogeneous) Here $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)$ is a **wiggly energy landscape** James '96, Puglisi&Truskinovsky '02,'05



Prandtl Gedankenmodell 1928



Librizeibniz-Gemeinschaft

Aim: Derive dry friction as evol. Γ -limit of viscous friction

 $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}, \Psi_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{\text{evol}} (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E}_{0}, \Psi_{0})$ where $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(v) = \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha}}{2}v^{2}$ (quadratic) and $\Psi_{0}(v) = \rho |v|$ (one-homogeneous) Here $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)$ is a **wiggly energy landscape** James '96, Puglisi&Truskinovsky '02,'05



Prandtl Gedankenmodell 1928



$$\varepsilon^{\alpha} \dot{u} = -D_u \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t, u) = -(u - \ell(t)) + \rho \sin(u/\varepsilon)$$





Leibniz-Gemeinschaft y

Aim: Derive dry friction as evol. Γ -limit of viscous friction

 $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}, \Psi_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{\text{evol}} (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E}_{0}, \Psi_{0})$ where $\Psi_{\varepsilon}(v) = \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha}}{2}v^{2}$ (quadratic) and $\Psi_{0}(v) = \rho |v|$ (one-homogeneous) Here $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)$ is a **wiggly energy landscape** James '96, Puglisi&Truskinovsky '02,'05



Prandtl Gedankenmodell 1928





Simulation:



A. Mielke, Evolutionary Γ -convergence, Berlin, 29.8–2.9.2016



For $\varepsilon \to 0$ (vanishing oscillations and vanishing viscosity): Convergence to a rate-independent hysteresis operator





$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t,u) = \frac{1}{2}u^2 - \ell(t)u + \varepsilon\rho\cos(u/\varepsilon), \quad \Psi_{\varepsilon}(v) = \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha}}{2}v^2, \quad \Psi_{\varepsilon}^*(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^{\alpha}}\xi^2$$

Theorem (M'11 Cont. Mech. Thermodyn. / Puglisi-Truskinovsky'05)

 $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}, \Psi_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{evol} (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E}_0, \Psi_0)$

where $\mathcal{E}_0(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^2 - \ell(t)u$ and $\Psi_0(v) = \rho |v|$

Use (EDE)
$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(T, u_{\varepsilon}(T)) + \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) = \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}(0))$$
 with
 $\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_{0}^{T} \Psi_{\varepsilon}(\dot{u}) + \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{*}(-\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t, u)) \,\mathrm{d}t \ge \int_{0}^{T} (1 - \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) |\dot{u}| |\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t, u)| + \frac{1/2}{\varepsilon^{\alpha/2}} \mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t, u)^{2} \,\mathrm{d}t$





$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t,u) = \frac{1}{2}u^2 - \ell(t)u + \varepsilon\rho\cos(u/\varepsilon), \quad \Psi_{\varepsilon}(v) = \frac{\varepsilon^{\alpha}}{2}v^2, \quad \Psi_{\varepsilon}^*(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^{\alpha}}\xi^2$$

Theorem (M'11 Cont. Mech. Thermodyn. / Puglisi-Truskinovsky'05)

 $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}, \Psi_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{evol} (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{E}_{0}, \Psi_{0}) \qquad \qquad \text{where } \mathcal{E}_{0}(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^{2} - \ell(t)u \\ \text{and } \Psi_{0}(v) = \rho|v|$

Use (EDE)
$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(T, u_{\varepsilon}(T)) + \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) = \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}(0))$$
 with
 $\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon}(u) = \int_{0}^{T} \Psi_{\varepsilon}(\dot{u}) + \Psi_{\varepsilon}^{*}(-\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t, u)) \,\mathrm{d}t \ge \int_{0}^{T} (1 - \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) |\dot{u}| |\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t, u)| + \frac{1/2}{\varepsilon^{\alpha/2}} \mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t, u)^{2} \,\mathrm{d}t$

Proposition:
$$u^{\varepsilon} \rightsquigarrow u^{0} \implies \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathbb{J}_{\varepsilon}(u^{\varepsilon}) \ge \int_{0}^{T} \mathfrak{M}(u^{0}, \dot{u}^{0}, t) dt$$
 with

 $\mathcal{M}(u,v,t) = |v| K(\ell(t) - u) + \chi_{[-\rho,\rho]}(\ell(t) - u) \text{ and } K(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\xi + \rho \cos y| \, \mathrm{d}y$

$$\begin{split} K(\xi) &= |\xi| \text{ for } |\xi| \ge \rho \text{ and } K(\xi) \gneqq |\xi| \text{ for } |\xi| < \rho \implies \\ \mathcal{M}(u,v,t) \ge |v| |\ell(t)-u| \ge -v \operatorname{D} \mathcal{E}_0(t,u) \implies \dots \implies \Psi_0(v) = \rho |v| \end{split}$$



Overview

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Gradient systems
- 3. Motivating examples
- 4. Energy-dissipation formulations
- 5. Evolutionary variational inequality (EVI)
- 6. Rate-independent systems (RIS)



Overview

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Gradient systems
- 3. Motivating examples
- 4. Energy-dissipation formulations
- 5. Evolutionary variational inequality (EVI)
 - 5.1. Abstract theory of (EVI) $_{\lambda}$
 - 5.2. Application of (EVI) $_{\lambda}$ to homogenization
- 6. Rate-independent systems (RIS)





Ambrosio-Gigli-Savaré'05, Daneri-Savaré'08'10 Gradient system $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$ with quadratic $\mathcal{R}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbb{G}(u)v, v \rangle$

- Geodesic distance $d_{\mathcal{R}} : \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X} \to [0, \infty]$ defined via $d_{\mathcal{R}}(u_0, u_1)^2 = \inf\{\int_0^1 2\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{u}, \dot{\widetilde{u}}) \, \mathrm{d}s \mid u_0 \overset{\widetilde{u}}{\leadsto} u_1\}$
- $\begin{array}{ll} \bullet & \widetilde{u}: [s_0, s_1] \to \boldsymbol{X} \text{ is called a } \textbf{geodesic curve in } (\boldsymbol{X}, d_{\mathcal{R}}) \\ & \quad \text{if } d_{\mathcal{R}}(\widetilde{u}(r), \widetilde{u}(t)) = |t r| d_{\mathcal{R}}(\widetilde{u}(s_0), \widetilde{u}(s_1)) \text{ for all } r, t \in [s_0, s_1] \end{array}$



Ambrosio-Gigli-Savaré'05, Daneri-Savaré'08'10 Gradient system $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$ with **quadratic** $\mathcal{R}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbb{G}(u)v, v \rangle$

■ Geodesic distance $d_{\mathcal{R}} : \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{X} \to [0, \infty]$ defined via $d_{\mathcal{R}}(u_0, u_1)^2 = \inf\{\int_0^1 2\mathcal{R}(\widetilde{u}, \dot{\widetilde{u}}) \, \mathrm{d}s \mid u_0 \stackrel{\widetilde{u}}{\leadsto} u_1\}$

 $\widetilde{u}: [s_0, s_1] \to \mathbf{X} \text{ is called a geodesic curve in } (\mathbf{X}, d_{\mathcal{R}})$ if $d_{\mathcal{R}}(\widetilde{u}(r), \widetilde{u}(t)) = |t - r| d_{\mathcal{R}}(\widetilde{u}(s_0), \widetilde{u}(s_1)) \text{ for all } r, t \in [s_0, s_1]$

• $\mathcal{E}: \mathbf{X} \to \mathbb{R}_{\infty}$ is called **geodesically** λ -convex on $(\mathbf{X}, d_{\mathcal{R}})$ if $s \mapsto \mathcal{E}(\widetilde{u}(s)) - \lambda \frac{d_{\mathcal{R}}(\widetilde{u}(s_0), \widetilde{u}(s))^2}{2}$ is convex on $[s_0, s_1]$ for all geod. \widetilde{u}

Trivial but useful and important case: Hilbert spaces!! $\mathbb{G}(u) = \mathbb{G}_{\varepsilon} = \text{const.} \implies d_{\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}}(u_0, u_1) = \|u_1 - u_0\|_{\mathbb{G}_{\varepsilon}} \text{ with } \|w\|_{\mathbb{G}_{\varepsilon}}^2 = \langle \mathbb{G}_{\varepsilon} w, w \rangle$ Then, \mathcal{E} geod. λ -convex on $(\mathbf{X}, d_{\mathbb{G}_{\varepsilon}}) \iff D^2 \mathcal{E} \ge \lambda \mathbb{G}_{\varepsilon}$





Formulations used so far:

(i)
$$0 \in \mathbb{G}(u)\dot{u} + \mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}(u)$$
 (ii) $\dot{u} = -\nabla_{\mathbb{G}}\mathcal{E}(u) = -\mathbb{K}(u)\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}(u)$ (iii)
(EDE) $\mathcal{E}(u(T)) + \int_0^T \mathcal{R}(u, \dot{u}) + \mathcal{R}^*(u, -\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}(u)) \mathrm{d}t \le \mathcal{E}(u(0))$

Truely derivative-free reformulation for λ -convex gradient system

Theorem [AGS'05] (Benilan'72: Hilbert-space case
$$d = d_{\mathbb{G}_{const}}$$
)
If $(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{G})$ is geodesically λ -convex, then
(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) \Leftrightarrow (EDE) \Leftrightarrow (EVI) $_{\lambda} \Leftrightarrow$ (EVI') $_{\lambda}$
where
(EVI) $_{\lambda} \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^+}{dt} d_{\mathbb{G}}(u(t), w)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} d_{\mathbb{G}}(u(t), w)^2 + \mathcal{E}(u(t)) \leq \mathcal{E}(w)$
for $t > 0, w \in X$
(EVI') $_{\lambda} \quad \frac{e^{\lambda \tau}}{2} d_{\mathbb{G}}(u(t+\tau), w)^2 - \frac{1}{2} d_{\mathbb{G}}(u(t), w)^2$
 $\leq \frac{e^{\lambda \tau} - 1}{\lambda} \left(\mathcal{E}(w) - \mathcal{E}(u(t+\tau))\right)$ for $t, \tau > 0, w \in X$





Formulations used so far:

(i)
$$0 \in \mathbb{G}(u)\dot{u} + \mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}(u)$$
 (ii) $\dot{u} = -\nabla_{\mathbb{G}}\mathcal{E}(u) = -\mathbb{K}(u)\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}(u)$ (iii)
(EDE) $\mathcal{E}(u(T)) + \int_0^T \mathcal{R}(u, \dot{u}) + \mathcal{R}^*(u, -\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}(u)) \mathrm{d}t \le \mathcal{E}(u(0))$

Truely derivative-free reformulation for λ -convex gradient system

Theorem [AGS'05] (Benilan'72: Hilbert-space case
$$d = d_{\mathbb{G}_{const}}$$
)
If $(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{G})$ is geodesically λ -convex, then
(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) \Leftrightarrow (EDE) \Leftrightarrow (EVI) $_{\lambda} \Leftrightarrow$ (EVI') $_{\lambda}$
where
(EVI) $_{\lambda} \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{+}}{dt} d_{\mathbb{G}}(u(t), w)^{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} d_{\mathbb{G}}(u(t), w)^{2} + \mathcal{E}(u(t)) \leq \mathcal{E}(w)$
for $t > 0, w \in X$
(EVI') $_{\lambda} \quad \frac{e^{\lambda \tau}}{2} d_{\mathbb{G}}(u(t+\tau), w)^{2} - \frac{1}{2} d_{\mathbb{G}}(u(t), w)^{2}$
 $\leq \frac{e^{\lambda \tau} - 1}{\lambda} \left(\mathcal{E}(w) - \mathcal{E}(u(t+\tau)) \right)$ for $t, \tau > 0, w \in X$

Exercise:

(a) Prove (EDE) \Leftrightarrow (EVI) $_{\lambda}$ (b) Prove (EVI) $_{\lambda}$ \Leftrightarrow (EVI' $_{\lambda}$





Formulations used so far:

(i)
$$0 \in \mathbb{G}(u)\dot{u} + \mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}(u)$$
 (ii) $\dot{u} = -\nabla_{\mathbb{G}}\mathcal{E}(u) = -\mathbb{K}(u)\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}(u)$ (iii)
(EDE) $\mathcal{E}(u(T)) + \int_0^T \mathcal{R}(u, \dot{u}) + \mathcal{R}^*(u, -\mathrm{D}\mathcal{E}(u)) \mathrm{d}t \le \mathcal{E}(u(0))$

Truely derivative-free reformulation for λ -convex gradient system

Theorem [AGS'05] (Benilan'72: Hilbert-space case
$$d = d_{\mathbb{G}_{const}}$$
)
If $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{G})$ is geodesically λ -convex, then
(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) \Leftrightarrow (EDE) \Leftrightarrow (EVI) $_{\lambda} \Leftrightarrow$ (EVI') $_{\lambda}$
where
 $(\mathsf{EVI})_{\lambda} \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^+}{dt} d_{\mathbb{G}}(u(t), w)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} d_{\mathbb{G}}(u(t), w)^2 + \mathcal{E}(u(t)) \leq \mathcal{E}(w)$
for $t > 0, w \in \mathbf{X}$
 $(\mathsf{EVI'})_{\lambda} \quad \frac{e^{\lambda \tau}}{2} d_{\mathbb{G}}(u(t+\tau), w)^2 - \frac{1}{2} d_{\mathbb{G}}(u(t), w)^2$
 $\leq \frac{e^{\lambda \tau} - 1}{\lambda} \left(\mathcal{E}(w) - \mathcal{E}(u(t+\tau)) \right)$ for $t, \tau > 0, w \in \mathbf{X}$

 \oplus no derivatives of $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}$ appear \leadsto ideal for Γ -convergence \oplus no time derivative \dot{u} is involved

A. Mielke, Evolutionary $\Gamma\text{-convergence},$ Berlin, 29.8–2.9.2016





$(\mathsf{EVI'})_{\lambda} \quad \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\tau}}{2} d_{\varepsilon} (u(t+\tau), w)^2 - \frac{1}{2} d_{\varepsilon} (u(t), w)^2 \leq \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\tau} - 1}{\lambda} \left(\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(w) - \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u(t+\tau)) \right)$

Theorem (Savaré'11 (personal communication))

If $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}, d_{\varepsilon})$ is geodesically λ -convex, $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}$ **X**-coercive (both unif. in ε), $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}$, and $d_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\text{cont}} d$ in **X**, then $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}, d_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{\text{evol}} (\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{E}, d)$. (Convergence of the whole sequence u^{ε} to u, since solutions are unique.)





$(\mathsf{EVI'})_{\lambda} \quad \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\tau}}{2} d_{\varepsilon} (u(t+\tau), w)^2 - \frac{1}{2} d_{\varepsilon} (u(t), w)^2 \leq \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\tau} - 1}{\lambda} \left(\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(w) - \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u(t+\tau)) \right)$

Theorem (Savaré'11 (personal communication))

If $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}, d_{\varepsilon})$ is geodesically λ -convex, $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}$ **X**-coercive (both unif. in ε), $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}$, and $d_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\text{cont}} d$ in **X**, then $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}, d_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{\text{evol}} (\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{E}, d)$. (Convergence of the whole sequence u^{ε} to u, since solutions are unique.)

The relatively strong assumption $d_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{\text{cont}}{\rightharpoonup} d$ in X means $u_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u \& w_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup w$ in $X \implies d_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, w_{\varepsilon}) \rightarrow d(u, w)$

This can be weakened to Gromov-Hausdorff convergence $(\mathbf{X}, d_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (\mathbf{X}, d)$.





$(\mathsf{EVI'})_{\lambda} \quad \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\tau}}{2} d_{\varepsilon} (u(t+\tau), w)^2 - \frac{1}{2} d_{\varepsilon} (u(t), w)^2 \leq \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\lambda\tau} - 1}{\lambda} \left(\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(w) - \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(u(t+\tau)) \right)$

Theorem (Savaré'11 (personal communication))

If $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}, d_{\varepsilon})$ is geodesically λ -convex, $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}$ **X**-coercive (both unif. in ε), $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}$, and $d_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{cont} d$ in **X**, then $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}, d_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{evol} (\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{E}, d)$. (Convergence of the whole sequence u^{ε} to u, since solutions are unique.)

Sketch of proof: u_{ε} solves (EVI')_{λ} for $(X, \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}, d_{\varepsilon})$

- ε -uniform bounds from (EVI')_{λ} \implies $u_{\varepsilon_k}(t) \rightharpoonup u(t)$ for all $t \in [0,T]$
- Pass to the limit in (EVI')_λ using recovery sequence w_ε → w with ε_ε(w_ε) → ε(w)
 ⇒ d_ε(u_ε(t+τ), w_ε) → d(u(t+τ), w) and d_ε(u_ε(t), w_ε) → d(u(t), w)
 ⇒ ε(u(t+τ)) ≤ liminf_{ε→0} ε_ε(u_ε(t+τ)) by Γ-liminf estimate
- Hence, $u: [0,T] \rightarrow X$ satisfies (EVI') $_{\lambda}$ for (X, \mathcal{E}, d)



QED

Overview

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Gradient systems
- 3. Motivating examples
- 4. Energy-dissipation formulations
- 5. Evolutionary variational inequality (EVI)
 - 5.1. Abstract theory of (EVI) $_{\lambda}$
 - 5.2. Application of $(EVI)_{\lambda}$ to homogenization
- 6. Rate-independent systems (RIS)

