

Exercise Sheet 4

Discussion on 21.11.2016

Exercise 1 (General second-order problem)

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain, $0 < \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_2 < \infty$ and $0 < c_1 \leq c_2 < \infty$ fixed. Furthermore, let $\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$, $b \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$, and $c \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ such that $\varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon(x) \leq \varepsilon_2$, $c_1 \leq c(x) \leq c_2$ for any $x \in \Omega$, and $\operatorname{div} b = 0$ in the sense that $\int_\Omega b \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = 0$ for all $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Derive a weak formulation of the problem that seeks $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div} \varepsilon \nabla u + b \cdot \nabla u + cu &= f && \text{on } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{along } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Prove that this formulation has a unique solution.

Exercise 2 (Energy minimization)

Let V be a Hilbert space with scalar product $(\bullet, \bullet)_V$, $a : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a symmetric bilinear form with $0 \leq a(v, v)$ for any $v \in V$. Given $f \in V$, define $G(v) := \frac{1}{2} a(v, v) - (f, v)_V$ for any $v \in V$. Prove that the following two statements are equivalent for $u \in V$:

1. $G(u) = \min_{v \in V} G(v)$,
2. $a(u, v) = (f, v)_V$ for any $v \in V$.

Exercise 3 (Q_k -FEM)

Let $T = [0, 1]^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = 1$ and define $Q_{ij} := (t_i, t_j) \in T$. Furthermore, let $\mathcal{K} = \{\chi_{ij} \mid i, j = 0, \dots, k\}$ with $\chi_{ij}(v) := v(Q_{ij})$ for $v \in C^\infty(T)$. Prove that $(T, Q_k(T), \mathcal{K})$ is a finite element in the sense of Ciarlet (where $Q_k(T)$ is the space of polynomials of partial degree $\leq k$).

Exercise 4 (There is no P_2 -NCFEM)

Let $T = \operatorname{conv}\{P_1, P_2, P_3\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a triangle, $0 < \lambda < 1$ and the points Q_1, \dots, Q_6 on the edges of T defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &:= \lambda P_1 + (1 - \lambda) P_2, & Q_2 &:= (1 - \lambda) P_1 + \lambda P_2, \\ Q_3 &:= \lambda P_2 + (1 - \lambda) P_3, & Q_4 &:= (1 - \lambda) P_2 + \lambda P_3, \\ Q_5 &:= \lambda P_3 + (1 - \lambda) P_1, & Q_6 &:= (1 - \lambda) P_3 + \lambda P_1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let $\mathcal{K} = \{\chi_0, \dots, \chi_6\}$ with $\chi_j(v) := v(Q_j)$ for $v \in C^\infty(T)$ and $j = 1, \dots, 6$. Prove that $(T, P_2(T), \mathcal{K})$ is *not* a finite element in the sense of Ciarlet.

Hint: Use affine transformation on an equilateral triangle to argue that the points Q_1, \dots, Q_6 lie on a common ellipse.