

THE INTERMEDIATE TYPE OF CERTAIN MODULI SPACES OF CURVES

GAVRIL FARKAS AND ALESSANDRO VERRA

A well-established principle of Mumford asserts that all moduli spaces of curves of genus $g > 2$ (with or without marked points or level structure), are varieties of general type, except a finite number of cases occurring for relatively small genus, when these varieties tend to be unirational, or at least uniruled, see [HM], [EH1], [FL], [F3], [Log], [V] for illustrations of this fact. In all known cases, the transition from uniruledness to being of general type is quite sudden and until now no examples were known of naturally defined moduli spaces of curves of intermediate Kodaira dimension. The aim of this paper is to discuss the very surprising birational geometry of special moduli spaces of curves, which in particular have intermediate Kodaira dimension.

The moduli space \mathcal{S}_g of smooth spin curves parameterizes pairs $[C, \eta]$, where $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$ is a curve of genus g and $\eta \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ is a theta-characteristic. The map $\pi : \mathcal{S}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ is an étale covering of degree 2^{2g} and \mathcal{S}_g is a disjoint union of two connected components \mathcal{S}_g^+ and \mathcal{S}_g^- of relative degrees $2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)$ and $2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)$ corresponding to even and odd theta-characteristics respectively. We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g$ the Cornalba compactification of \mathcal{S}_g , that is, the coarse moduli space of the stack of stable spin curves of genus g , cf. [C]. The projection $\pi : \mathcal{S}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g$ extends to a finite covering $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ branched along the boundary divisor Δ_0 of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. It is known that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ is a variety of general type for $g > 8$ and uniruled for $g < 8$, cf. [F3]. We show that the only remaining case, that of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+$, gives rise to a variety of Calabi-Yau type:

Theorem 0.1. *The Kodaira dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+$ is equal to zero.*

We point out that the Kodaira dimension of the odd spin moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^-$ is known for all genera g , cf. [FV]. Thus $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^-$ is uniruled for $g \leq 11$ (even unirational for $g \leq 9$), and of general type for $g \geq 12$. In particular, we observe the surprising phenomenon that $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^-$ is unirational, whereas $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+$ is of Calabi-Yau type!

The proof of Theorem 0.1 relies on two main ideas: Following [F3], one finds an *explicit* effective representative for the canonical divisor $K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+}$ as a \mathbb{Q} -combination of the divisor $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+$ of vanishing theta-nulls, the pull-back $\pi^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2)$ of the Brill-Noether divisor $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_8$ of curves with a $\mathfrak{g}_{7,7}^2$, and boundary divisor classes corresponding to spin curves whose underlying stable model is of compact type. Each irreducible component of this particular representative of $K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+}$ is rigid (see Section 1). Then we use in an essential way the existence of a Mukai model of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_8$ as a GIT quotient of a bundle over the Grassmannian $\mathbf{G} := G(2, 6)$ cf. [M2], in order to prove the following result:

Research of the first author partially supported by Sonderforschungsbereich "Raum-Zeit-Materie".

Proposition 0.2. *The uniruled divisor $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+$ is swept by rational curves $R \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+$ such that $R \cdot \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} = -1$ and $R \cdot \pi^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2) = 0$. Furthermore R is disjoint from all boundary divisors $A_i, B_i \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$.*

The pencil R corresponds to spin curves lying on special doubly elliptic $K3$ surfaces S , chosen in such a way that the rank 3 quadric containing the underlying canonical curve $C \subset \mathbf{P}^7$ corresponding to a general point $[C, \eta] \in \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$, lifts to a rank 4 quadric in \mathbf{P}^8 containing the $K3$ surface $S \supset C$. The existence of such $K3$ extensions of C follows from a precise description of quadrics containing the Plücker embedding of the Grassmannian $\mathbf{G} \subset \mathbf{P}^{14}$ (see Sections 2 and 3). Proposition 0.2 implies that $K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+}$ expressed as a weighted sum of $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$, the pull-back $\pi^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2)$ and boundary divisors $A_i, B_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$, is rigid as well. Equivalently, $\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+) = 0$.

Our next result concerns the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ of stable n -pointed curves of genus g . For a given genus $g \geq 0$, we define the numerical invariant

$$\zeta(g) := \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \text{ is a variety of general type}\}.$$

We think of $\zeta(g)$ as measuring the *complexity* of the general curve of genus g . From the definition, it follows that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is of general type for $n \geq \zeta(g)$. Clearly $\zeta(0) = \zeta(1) = \infty$, whereas $\zeta(g) = 0$ for $g \geq 24$, cf. [HM], [EH2]. There exist explicit upper bounds for $\zeta(g)$ for $4 \leq g \leq 23$, see [Log], [F2] Theorem 1.10. In particular, it is known that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{10,n}$ is uniruled for $n \leq 9$ and of general type for $n \geq 11$, that is, $\zeta(10) \leq 11$. Similarly, it is known that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,n}$ is uniruled for $g \leq 10$ and of general type for $g \geq 12$. Until now, no example of a space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ ($g \geq 2$) having intermediate type was known. Perhaps, the most picturesque finding of our study is the following:

Theorem 0.3. *The moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}$ has Kodaira dimension 19.*

Note that $\dim(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}) = 41$. In particular, Theorem 0.3 determines the value $\zeta(11) = 12$, hence $\zeta(11) > \zeta(10)$. This explains, in precise terms, that counter-intuitively, algebraic curves of genus 10 are more complicated than curves of genus 11!

The equality $\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}) = 19$ is related to the existence of the Mukai fibration

$$q_{11} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11} \dashrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{11},$$

over the 19-dimensional moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{11}$ of polarized $K3$ surfaces of degree 20. The map q_{11} associates to a general element $[C, x_1, \dots, x_{11}] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}$ the unique $K3$ surface S containing C , see [M3]. According to Mukai, S is precisely the "dual" $K3$ surface to the non-abelian Brill-Noether locus corresponding to vector bundles of rank 2

$$S^\vee = SU_C(2, K_C, 6) := \{E \in SU_C(2, K_C) : h^0(C, E) \geq 7\}.$$

An analysis of the fibration q_{11} shows that, (i) the divisor $n\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11}$ is a fixed component of the pluri-canonical linear series $|nK_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}}|$ for all $n \geq 1$, and (ii) the difference $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}} - \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11}$ is essentially the pull-back of an ample class on $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{11}$.

The proof of Theorem 0.3 is similar in spirit to the proof of Theorem 0.1. An important role is played by the effective divisor

$$\mathcal{D}_g := \{[C, x_1, \dots, x_g] \in \mathcal{M}_{g,g} : h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(x_1 + \dots + x_g)) \geq 2\}.$$

The class of the closure of \mathcal{D}_g inside $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,g}$ is the following, cf. [Log] Theorem 5.4:

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}}_g \equiv -\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^g \psi_i - 0 \cdot \delta_{\text{irr}} - \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor} \sum_{T \subset \{1, \dots, g\}} \binom{|\#(T) - i| + 1}{2} \delta_{i:T} \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,g}).$$

Using [FP], as well as the expression of $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}}$ in terms of generators of $\text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$, one finds an explicit representative of $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}}$ as an effective combination of the pull-back to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}$ of the 6-gonal divisor $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,6}^1$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}$, the divisor $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11}$, and certain boundary classes $\delta_{i:S}$. We then construct explicit curves $R \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}$ passing through a general point of $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11}$, such that $-R \cdot \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11} > 0$ equals precisely the multiplicity of $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11}$ in the above mentioned expression of $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}}$. More generally, we show the following:

Theorem 0.4. *For $g \leq 11$, the effective divisor $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_g \in \text{Eff}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)$ is extremal and rigid.*

In genus 11, using the existence of the above mentioned Mukai fibration, this eventually leads to the equality $\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}) = \kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,6}^1) = 19$, where the last symbol stands for the Iitaka dimension of the linear system $|\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,6}^1|$ generated by the Brill-Noether divisors on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}$.

1. Spin curves and the divisor $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$

We begin by setting notation and terminology. If \mathbf{M} is a Deligne-Mumford stack, we denote by \mathcal{M} its associated coarse moduli space. Let X be a complex \mathbb{Q} -factorial variety. A \mathbb{Q} -Weil divisor D on X is said to be *movable* if $\text{codim}(\bigcap_m \text{Bs}|mD|, X) \geq 2$, where the intersection is taken over all m which are sufficiently large and divisible. We say that D is *rigid* if $|mD| = \{mD\}$, for all $m \geq 1$ such that mD is an integral Cartier divisor. The *Kodaira-Iitaka dimension* of a divisor D on X is denoted by $\kappa(X, D)$. As usual, we set $\kappa(X) := \kappa(X, K_X)$.

If $D = m_1 D_1 + \dots + m_s D_s$ is an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X , with irreducible components $D_i \subset X$ and $m_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$, a (trivial) way of showing that $\kappa(X, D) = 0$ is by exhibiting for each $1 \leq i \leq s$, a curve $\Gamma_i \subset X$ passing through a general point of D_i , such that $\Gamma_i \cdot D_i < 0$ and $\Gamma_i \cdot D_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

We recall basic facts about the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ of even spin curves of genus g , see [C], [F3] for details. An *even spin curve* of genus g consists of a triple (X, η, β) , where X is a genus g quasi-stable curve, $\eta \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(X)$ is a line bundle of degree $g-1$ such that $\eta_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1)$ for every rational component $E \subset X$ such that $\#(E \cap (\overline{X} - E)) = 2$ (such a component is called *exceptional*), and $h^0(X, \eta) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, and finally, $\beta : \eta^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \omega_X$ is a sheaf homomorphism which is generically non-zero along each non-exceptional component of X . Even spin curves of genus g form a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. At the level of coarse moduli schemes, the morphism $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ is the stabilization map $\pi([X, \eta, \beta]) := [\text{st}(X)]$, which associates to a quasi-stable curve its stable model.

We explain the boundary structure of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$: If $[X, \eta, \beta] \in \pi^{-1}([C \cup_y D])$, where $[C, y] \in \mathcal{M}_{i,1}$, $[D, y] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-i,1}$ and $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor g/2 \rfloor$, then necessarily $X = C \cup_{y_1} E \cup_{y_2} D$, where E is an exceptional component such that $C \cap E = \{y_1\}$ and $D \cap E = \{y_2\}$. Moreover $\eta = (\eta_C, \eta_D, \eta_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1)) \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(X)$, where $\eta_C^{\otimes 2} = K_C$, $\eta_D^{\otimes 2} = K_D$. The

condition $h^0(X, \eta) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, implies that the theta-characteristics η_C and η_D have the same parity. We denote by $A_i \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ the closure of the locus corresponding to pairs

$$([C, y, \eta_C], [D, y, \eta_D]) \in \mathcal{S}_{i,1}^+ \times \mathcal{S}_{g-i,1}^+$$

and by $B_i \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ the closure of the locus corresponding to pairs

$$([C, y, \eta_C], [D, y, \eta_D]) \in \mathcal{S}_{i,1}^- \times \mathcal{S}_{g-i,1}^-.$$

We set $\alpha_i := [A_i] \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$, $\beta_i := [B_i] \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$, and then one has that

$$(1) \quad \pi^*(\delta_i) = \alpha_i + \beta_i.$$

We recall the description of the ramification divisor of the covering $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. For a point $[X, \eta, \beta] \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ corresponding to a stable model $\text{st}(X) = C_{yq} := C/y \sim q$, with $[C, y, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1,2}$, there are two possibilities depending on whether X possesses an exceptional component or not. If $X = C_{yq}$ (i.e. X has no exceptional component) and $\eta_C := \nu^*(\eta)$ where $\nu : C \rightarrow X$ denotes the normalization map, then $\eta_C^{\otimes 2} = K_C(y+q)$. For each choice of $\eta_C \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ as above, there is precisely one choice of gluing the fibres $\eta_C(y)$ and $\eta_C(q)$ such that $h^0(X, \eta) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. We denote by A_0 the closure in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ of the locus of spin curves $[C_{yq}, \eta_C \in \sqrt{K_C(y+q)}]$ as above.

If $X = C \cup_{\{y,q\}} E$, where E is an exceptional component, then $\eta_C := \eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_C$ is a theta-characteristic on C . Since $H^0(X, \omega) \cong H^0(C, \omega_C)$, it follows that $[C, \eta_C] \in \mathcal{S}_{g-1}^+$. We denote by $B_0 \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ the closure of the locus of spin curves

$$[C \cup_{\{y,q\}} E, E \cong \mathbf{P}^1, \eta_C \in \sqrt{K_C}, \eta_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1)] \in \mathcal{S}_g^+.$$

If $\alpha_0 := [A_0]$, $\beta_0 := [B_0] \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$, we have the relation, see [C]:

$$(2) \quad \pi^*(\delta_0) = \alpha_0 + 2\beta_0.$$

In particular, B_0 is the ramification divisor of π . An important effective divisor on $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ is the locus of vanishing theta-nulls

$$\Theta_{\text{null}} := \{[C, \eta] \in \mathcal{S}_g^+ : H^0(C, \eta) \neq 0\}.$$

The class of its compactification inside $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ is given by the formula, cf. [F3]:

$$(3) \quad \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} \equiv \frac{1}{4}\lambda - \frac{1}{16}\alpha_0 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor} \beta_i \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+).$$

It is also useful to recall the formula for the canonical class of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$:

$$K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+} \equiv \pi^*(K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g}) + \beta_0 \equiv 13\lambda - 2\alpha_0 - 3\beta_0 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor} (\alpha_i + \beta_i) - (\alpha_1 + \beta_1).$$

An argument involving spin curves on certain singular canonical surfaces in \mathbf{P}^6 , implies that for $g \leq 9$, the divisor $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$ is uniruled and a rigid point in the cone of effective divisors $\text{Eff}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$:

Theorem 1.1. *For $g \leq 9$ the divisor $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ is uniruled and rigid. Precisely, through a general point of $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$ there passes a rational curve $\Gamma \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ such that $\Gamma \cdot \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} < 0$. In particular, if D is an effective divisor on $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ with $D \equiv n\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$ for some $n \geq 1$, then $D = n\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$.*

Proof. We assume $7 \leq g \leq 9$, the other cases being similar and simpler. A general point $[C, \eta_C] \in \Theta_{\text{null}}$ corresponds to a canonical curve $C \xrightarrow{|K_C|} \mathbf{P}^{g-1}$ lying on a rank 3 quadric $Q \subset \mathbf{P}^{g-1}$ such that $C \cap \text{Sing}(Q) = \emptyset$. The pencil η_C is recovered from the ruling of Q .

Let $V \in G(7, H^0(C, K_C))$ be a general subspace such that if $\pi_V : \mathbf{P}^{g-1} \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}(V^\vee)$ is the projection, then $\tilde{Q} := \pi_V(Q)$ is a quadric of rank 3. Let $C' := \pi_V(C) \subset \mathbf{P}(V^\vee)$ be the projection of the canonical curve C . By counting dimensions we find that

$$\dim\{I_{C'/\mathbf{P}(V^\vee)}(2) := \text{Ker}\{\text{Sym}^2(V) \rightarrow H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes 2})\}\} \geq 31 - 3g \geq 4,$$

that is, the embedded curve $C' \subset \mathbf{P}^6$ lies on at least 4 independent quadrics, namely the rank 3 quadric \tilde{Q} and $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \in |I_{C'/\mathbf{P}(V^\vee)}(2)|$. By choosing V sufficiently general we make sure that $S := \tilde{Q} \cap Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap Q_3$ is a canonical surface in $\mathbf{P}(V^\vee)$ with 8 nodes corresponding to the intersection $\bigcap_{i=1}^3 Q_i \cap \text{Sing}(\tilde{Q})$ (This transversality statement can also be checked with Macaulay by representing C as a section of the corresponding Mukai variety). From the exact sequence on S ,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(C) \longrightarrow 0,$$

coupled with the adjunction formula $\mathcal{O}_C(C) = K_C \otimes K_{S|C}^\vee = \mathcal{O}_C$, as well as the fact $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$, it follows that $\dim |C| = 1$, that is, $C \subset S$ moves in its linear system. In particular, $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$ is a uniruled divisor for $g \leq 9$.

We determine the numerical parameters of the family $\Gamma \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ induced by varying $C \subset S$. Since $C^2 = 0$, the pencil $|C|$ is base point free and gives rise to a fibration $f : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$, where $\tilde{S} := \text{Bl}_8(S)$ is the blow-up of the nodes of S . This in turn induces a moduli map $m : \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ and $\Gamma =: m(\mathbf{P}^1)$. We have the formulas

$$\Gamma \cdot \lambda = m^*(\lambda) = \chi(S, \mathcal{O}_S) + g - 1 = 8 + g - 1 = g + 7,$$

and

$$\Gamma \cdot \alpha_0 + 2\Gamma \cdot \beta_0 = m^*(\pi^*(\delta_0)) = m^*(\alpha_0) + 2m^*(\beta_0) = c_2(\tilde{S}) + 4(g - 1).$$

Noether's formula gives that $c_2(\tilde{S}) = 12\chi(\tilde{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}) - K_{\tilde{S}}^2 = 12\chi(S, \mathcal{O}_S) - K_S^2 = 80$, hence $m^*(\alpha_0) + 2m^*(\beta_0) = 4g + 76$. The singular fibres corresponding to spin curves lying in B_0 are those in the fibres over the blown-up nodes and all contribute with multiplicity 1, that is, $\Gamma \cdot \beta_0 = 8$ and then $\Gamma \cdot \alpha_0 = 4g + 60$. It follows that $\Gamma \cdot \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} = -2 < 0$ (independent of $g!$), which finishes the proof.

To illustrate one of the cases $g < 7$, we discuss the situation on $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_4^+$. We denote by $S = \mathbb{F}_2$ the blow-up of the vertex of a cone $Q \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ over a conic in \mathbf{P}^3 and write $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot F + \mathbb{Z} \cdot C_0$, where $F^2 = 0$, $C_0^2 = -2$ and $C_0 \cdot F = 1$. We choose a Lefschetz pencil of genus 4 curves in the linear system $|3(C_0 + 2F)|$. By blowing-up the $18 = 9(C_0 + 2F)^2$ base points, we obtain a fibration $f : \tilde{S} := \text{Bl}_{18}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ which induces a family of spin curves $m : \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{S}}_4^+$ given by $m(t) := [f^{-1}(t), \mathcal{O}_{f^{-1}(t)}(F)]$. We have the formulas

$$m^*(\lambda) = \chi(\tilde{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}) + g - 1 = 4, \quad \text{and}$$

$$m^*(\pi^*(\delta_0)) = m^*(\alpha_0) + 2m^*(\beta_0) = c_2(\tilde{S}) + 4(g-1) = 34.$$

The singular fibres lying in B_0 correspond to curves in the Lefschetz pencil on Q passing through the vertex of the cone, that is, when $f^{-1}(t_0)$ splits as $C_0 + D$, where $D \subset \tilde{S}$ is the residual curve. Since $C_0 \cdot D = 2$ and $\mathcal{O}_{C_0}(F) = \mathcal{O}_{C_0}(1)$, it follows that $m(t_0) \in B_0$. One finds that $m^*(\beta_0) = 1$, hence $m^*(\alpha_0) = 32$ and $m^*(\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}) = -1$. Since $\Gamma := m(\mathbf{P}^1)$ fills-up the divisor $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$, we obtain that $[\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}] \in \text{Eff}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_4^+)$ is rigid. \square

2. SPIN CURVES OF GENUS 8

The moduli space \mathcal{M}_8 carries one Brill-Noether divisor, the locus of plane septics

$$\mathcal{M}_{8,7}^2 := \{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_8 : G_7^2(C) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The locus $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2$ is irreducible and for a known constant $c_{8,7}^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, one has, cf. [EH2],

$$\frac{1}{c_{8,7}^2} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2 \equiv 22\lambda - 3\delta_0 - 14\delta_1 - 24\delta_2 - 30\delta_3 - 32\delta_4 \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_8).$$

In particular, $s(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2) = 6 + 12/(g+1)$ and this is the minimal slope of an effective divisor on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_8$. The following fact is probably well-known:

Proposition 2.1. *Through a general point of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2$ there passes a rational curve $R \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_8$ such that $R \cdot \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2 < 0$. In particular, the class $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2] \in \text{Eff}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_8)$ is rigid.*

Proof. One takes a Lefschetz pencil of nodal plane septic curves with 7 assigned nodes in general position (and 21 unassigned base points). After blowing up the 21 unassigned base points as well as the 7 nodes, we obtain a fibration $f : S := \text{Bl}_{28}(\mathbf{P}^2) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$, and the corresponding moduli map $m : \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_8$ is a covering curve for the irreducible divisor $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2$. The numerical invariants of this pencil are

$$m^*(\lambda) = \chi(S, \mathcal{O}_S) + g - 1 = 8 \text{ and } m^*(\delta_0) = c_2(S) + 4(g-1) = 59,$$

while $m^*(\delta_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. We find $m^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2) = c_{8,7}^2(8 \cdot 22 - 3 \cdot 59) = -c_{8,7}^2 < 0$. \square

Using (3) we find the following explicit representative for the canonical class $K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+}$:

$$(4) \quad K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+} \equiv \frac{1}{2c_{8,7}^2} \pi^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2) + 8\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} + \sum_{i=1}^4 (a_i \alpha_i + b_i \beta_i),$$

where $a_i, b_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. The multiples of each irreducible component appearing in (4) are rigid divisors on $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+$, but in principle, their sum could still be a movable class. Assuming for a moment Proposition 0.2, we explain how this implies Theorem 0.1:

Proof of Theorem 0.1. The covering curve $R \subset \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$ constructed in Proposition 0.2, satisfies $R \cdot \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} < 0$ as well as $R \cdot \pi^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2) = 0$ and $R \cdot \alpha_i = R \cdot \beta_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. It follows from (4) that for each $n \geq 1$, one has an equality of linear series on $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+$

$$|nK_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+}| = 8n\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} + |n(K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+} - 8\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}})|.$$

Furthermore, from (4) one finds constants $a'_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$, such that if

$$D \equiv 22\lambda - 3\delta_0 - \sum_{i=1}^4 a'_i \delta_i \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_8),$$

then the difference $\frac{1}{2}\pi^*(D) - (K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+} - 8\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}})$ is still effective on $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+$. We can thus write

$$0 \leq \kappa(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+) = \kappa(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+, K_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+} - 8\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}) \leq \kappa(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+, \frac{1}{2}\pi^*(D)) = \kappa(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+, \pi^*(D)).$$

We claim that $\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+, \pi^*(D)) = 0$. Indeed, in the course of the proof of Proposition 2.1 we have constructed a covering family $B \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_8$ for the divisor $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2$ such that $B \cdot \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2 < 0$ and $B \cdot \delta_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. We lift B to a family $R \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+$ of spin curves by taking

$$\tilde{B} := B \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_8} \overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+ = \{[C_t, \eta_{C_t}] \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+ : [C_t] \in B, \eta_{C_t} \in \overline{\text{Pic}}^7(C_t), t \in \mathbf{P}^1\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+.$$

One notes that \tilde{B} is disjoint from the boundary divisors $A_i, B_i \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$, hence $\tilde{B} \cdot \pi^*(D) = 2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)(B \cdot \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2)_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_8} < 0$. Thus we write that

$$\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+, \pi^*(D)) = \kappa(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+, \pi^*(D - (22\lambda - 3\delta_0))) = \kappa(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+, \sum_{i=1}^4 a'_i(\alpha_i + \beta_i)) = 0.$$

□

3. A FAMILY OF SPIN CURVES $R \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+$ WITH $R \cdot \pi^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2) = 0$ AND $R \cdot \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} = -1$

The aim of this section is to prove Proposition 0.2, which is the key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 0.1. We begin by reviewing facts about the geometry of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_8$, in particular the construction of general curves of genus 8 as complete intersections in a rational homogeneous variety, cf. [M2].

We fix $V \cong \mathbb{C}^6$ and denote by $\mathbf{G} := G(2, V) \subset \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2 V)$ the Grassmannian of lines. Noting that smooth codimension 7 linear sections of \mathbf{G} are canonical curves of genus 8, one is led to consider the *Mukai model* of the moduli space of curves of genus 8

$$\mathfrak{M}_8 := G(8, \wedge^2 V) // SL(V).$$

There is a birational map $f : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_8 \dashrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_8$, whose inverse is given by $f^{-1}(H) := \mathbf{G} \cap H$, for a general $H \in G(8, \wedge^2 V)$. The map f is constructed as follows: Starting with a curve $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_8 - \mathcal{M}_{8,7}^2$, one notes that C has a finite number of pencils \mathfrak{g}_5^1 . We choose $A \in W_5^1(C)$ and set $L := K_C \otimes A^\vee \in W_9^3(C)$. There exists a unique rank 2 vector bundle $E \in SU_C(2, K_C)$ (independent of $A!$), sitting in an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0,$$

such that $h^0(E) = h^0(A) + h^0(L) = 6$. Since E is globally generated, we define the map

$$\phi_E : C \rightarrow G(2, H^0(C, E)^\vee), \quad \phi_E(p) := E(p)^\vee (\hookrightarrow H^0(C, E)^\vee),$$

and let $\wp : G(2, H^0(C, E)^\vee) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2 H^0(C, E)^\vee)$ be the Plücker embedding. The determinant map $u : \wedge^2 H^0(E) \rightarrow H^0(K_C)$ is surjective, that is, $H^0(K_C)^\vee \in G(8, \wedge^2 H^0(E)^\vee)$, see [M2] Theorem C. We set

$$f([C]) := [C \xrightarrow{\wp \circ \phi_E} \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2 H^0(E)^\vee), \mathbf{P}(H^0(K_C)^\vee)] \text{ mod } SL(V) \in \mathfrak{M}_8.$$

It follows from [M2] that the exceptional divisors of f are the Brill-Noether locus $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2$ and the boundary divisors $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_4$. The map f^{-1} does not contract any divisors.

Inside the moduli space \mathcal{F}_8 of polarized $K3$ surfaces $[S, h]$ of degree $h^2 = 14$, we consider the following *Noether-Lefschetz* divisor

$\mathfrak{NL} := \{[S, \mathcal{O}_S(C_1 + C_2)] \in \mathcal{F}_8 : \text{Pic}(S) \supset \mathbb{Z} \cdot C_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot C_2, C_1^2 = C_2^2 = 0, C_1 \cdot C_2 = 7\}$, of doubly-elliptic $K3$ surfaces. For a general element $[S, \mathcal{O}_S(C)] \in \mathfrak{NL}$, the embedded surface $S \xrightarrow{|\mathcal{O}_S(C)|} \mathbf{P}^8$ lies on a rank 4 quadric whose rulings induce the elliptic pencils $|C_1|$ and $|C_2|$ on S . We denote by $\mathfrak{NL}' \subset \mathfrak{NL}$ the open subset corresponding to polarized surfaces $[S, \mathcal{O}_S(C_1 + C_2)]$ such that $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot C_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot C_2$. Then we consider the \mathbf{P}^3 -bundle $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{NL}'$ classifying pairs $([S, \mathcal{O}_S(C_1 + C_2)], C \subset S)$, where

$$C \in |H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C_1)) \otimes H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C_2))| \subset |H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C_1 + C_2))|.$$

An element of \mathcal{U} corresponds to a hyperplane section $C \subset S \subset \mathbf{P}^8$ of a doubly-elliptic $K3$ surface, such that the intersection of C with the rank 4 quadric induced by the elliptic pencils, has rank 3. There exists a rational map

$$q : \mathcal{U} \dashrightarrow \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}, \quad q([S, \mathcal{O}_S(C_1 + C_2)], C) := [C, \mathcal{O}_C(C_1) = \mathcal{O}_C(C_2)].$$

Clearly \mathcal{U} is irreducible and $\dim(\mathcal{U}) = 21 (= 3 + \dim(\mathfrak{NL}'))$. We shall show that the morphism q is dominant, by explicitly describing its generic fibre. This produces a parametrization of the divisor $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$, in particular it provides an explicit covering curve.

We fix a general point $[C, \eta] \in \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+$, with η a vanishing theta-null. Then

$$C \subset Q \subset \mathbf{P}^7 := \mathbf{P}(H^0(C, K_C)^\vee),$$

where $Q \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^7, \mathcal{I}_{C/\mathbf{P}^7}(2))$ is the rank 3 quadric such that the ruling of Q cuts out on C precisely η . As explained, there exists a linear embedding $\mathbf{P}^7 \subset \mathbf{P}^{14} := \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2 H^0(E)^\vee)$ such that $\mathbf{P}^7 \cap \mathbf{G} = C$. The restriction map yields an isomorphism between spaces of quadrics, cf. [M2],

$$\text{res}_C : H^0(\mathbf{G}, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P}^{14}}(2)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(\mathbf{P}^7, \mathcal{I}_{C/\mathbf{P}^7}(2)).$$

In particular there is a unique quadric $\mathbf{G} \subset \tilde{Q} \subset \mathbf{P}^{14}$ such that $\tilde{Q} \cap \mathbf{P}^7 = Q$.

There are three possibilities for the rank of any quadric $\tilde{Q} \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^{14}, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P}^{14}}(2))$:

(a) $\text{rk}(\tilde{Q}) = 15$, (b) $\text{rk}(\tilde{Q}) = 6$ and then \tilde{Q} is a *Plücker quadric*, or (c) $\text{rk}(\tilde{Q}) = 10$, in which case \tilde{Q} is a sum of two Plücker quadrics, see [M2].

Proposition 3.1. *For a general $[C, \eta] \in \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$, the quadric \tilde{Q} is smooth, that is, $\text{rk}(\tilde{Q}) = 15$.*

Proof. We may assume that $\dim G_5^1(C) = 0$ (in particular C has no \mathfrak{g}_4^1 's), and $G_7^2(C) = \emptyset$. The space $\mathbf{P}(\text{Ker}(u)) \subset \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2 H^0(E))$ is identified with the space of hyperplanes $H \in (\mathbf{P}^{14})^\vee$ containing the canonical space \mathbf{P}^7 .

Claim: If $\text{rk}(\tilde{Q}) < 15$, there exists a pencil of 8-dimensional planes $\mathbf{P}^7 \subset \Xi \subset \mathbf{P}^{14}$, such that $S := \mathbf{G} \cap \Xi$ is a $K3$ surface containing C as a hyperplane section, and

$$\text{rk}\{Q_\Xi := \tilde{Q} \cap \Xi \in H^0(\Xi, \mathcal{I}_{S/\Xi}(2))\} = 3.$$

The conclusion of the claim contradicts the assumption that $[C, \eta] \in \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$ is general. Indeed, we pick such an 8-plane Ξ and corresponding $K3$ surface S . Since

$\text{Sing}(Q) \cap C = \emptyset$, where $Q_{\Xi} \cap \mathbf{P}^7 = Q$, it follows that $S \cap \text{Sing}(Q_{\Xi})$ is finite. The ruling of Q_{Ξ} cuts out an elliptic pencil $|E|$ on S . Furthermore, S has nodes at the points $S \cap \text{Sing}(Q_{\Xi})$. For numerical reasons, $\#\text{Sing}(S) = 7$, and then on the surface \tilde{S} obtained from S by resolving the 7 nodes, one has the linear equivalence

$$C \equiv 2E + \Gamma_1 + \cdots + \Gamma_7,$$

where $\Gamma_i^2 = -2$, $\Gamma_i \cdot E = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, 7$ and $\Gamma_i \cdot \Gamma_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. In particular $\text{rk}(\text{Pic}(\tilde{S})) \geq 8$. A standard parameter count, see e.g. [Do], shows that

$$\dim\{(S, C) : C \in |\mathcal{O}_S(2E + \Gamma_1 + \cdots + \Gamma_7)|\} \leq 19 - 7 + \dim|\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(C)| = 20.$$

Since $\dim(\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}) = 20$ and a general curve $[C] \in \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$ lies on infinitely many such K3 surfaces S , one obtains a contradiction.

We are left with proving the claim made in the course of the proof. The key point is to describe the intersection $\mathbf{P}(\text{Ker}(u)) \cap \tilde{Q}^{\vee}$, where we recall that the linear span $\langle \tilde{Q}^{\vee} \rangle$ classifies hyperplanes $H \in (\mathbf{P}^{14})^{\vee}$ such that $\text{rk}(\tilde{Q} \cap H) \leq \text{rk}(\tilde{Q}) - 1$. Note also that $\dim \langle \tilde{Q} \rangle = \text{rk}(\tilde{Q}) - 2$.

If $\text{rk}(\tilde{Q}) = 6$, then \tilde{Q}^{\vee} is contained in the dual Grassmannian $\mathbf{G}^{\vee} := G(2, H^0(E))$, cf. [M2] Proposition 1.8. Points in the intersection $\mathbf{P}(\text{Ker}(u)) \cap \mathbf{G}^{\vee}$ correspond to decomposable tensors $s_1 \wedge s_2$, with $s_1, s_2 \in H^0(C, E)$, such that $u(s_1 \wedge s_2) = 0$. The image of the morphism $\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(s_1, s_2)} E$ is thus a subbundle \mathfrak{g}_5^1 of E and there is a bijection

$$\mathbf{P}(\text{Ker}(u)) \cap \mathbf{G}(2, H^0(E)) \cong W_5^1(C).$$

It follows, there are at most finitely many tangent hyperplanes to \tilde{Q} containing the space $\mathbf{P}^7 = \langle C \rangle$, and consequently, $\dim(\mathbf{P}(\text{Ker}(u)) \cap \langle \tilde{Q}^{\vee} \rangle) \leq 1$. Then there exists a codimension 2 linear space $W^{12} \subset \mathbf{P}^{14}$ such that $\text{rk}(\tilde{Q} \cap W) = 3$, which proves the claim (and much more), in the case $\text{rk}(\tilde{Q}) = 6$.

When $\text{rk}(\tilde{Q}) = 10$, using the explicit description of the dual quadric \tilde{Q}^{\vee} provided in [M2] Proposition 1.8, one finds that $\dim(\mathbf{P}(\text{Ker}(u)) \cap \langle \tilde{Q}^{\vee} \rangle) \leq 4$. Thus there exists a codimension 5 linear section $W^9 \subset \mathbf{P}^{14}$ such that $\text{rk}(\tilde{Q} \cap W) = 3$, which implies the claim when $\text{rk}(\tilde{Q}) = 10$ as well. □

We consider an 8-dimensional linear extension $\mathbf{P}^7 \subset \Lambda^8 \subset \mathbf{P}^{14}$ of the canonical space $\mathbf{P}^7 = \langle C \rangle$, such that $S_{\Lambda} := \Lambda \cap \mathbf{G}$ is a smooth K3 surface. The restriction map

$$\text{res}_{C/S_{\Lambda}} : H^0(\Lambda, \mathcal{I}_{S_{\Lambda}/\Lambda}(2)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbf{P}^7, \mathcal{I}_{C/\mathbf{P}^7}(2))$$

is an isomorphism, cf. [SD]. Thus there exists a *unique* quadric $S_{\Lambda} \subset Q_{\Lambda} \subset \Lambda$ with $Q_{\Lambda} \cap \mathbf{P}^7 = Q$. Since $\text{rk}(Q) = 3$, it follows that $3 \leq \text{rk}(Q_{\Lambda}) \leq 5$ and it is easy to see that for a general Λ , the corresponding quadric $Q_{\Lambda} \subset \Lambda$ is of rank 5. We show however, that one can find K3-extensions of the canonical curve C , which lie on quadrics of rank 4:

Proposition 3.2. *For a general $[C, \eta] \in \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$, there exists a pencil of 8-dimensional extensions*

$$\mathbf{P}(H^0(C, K_C)^{\vee}) \subset \Lambda \subset \mathbf{P}^{14}$$

such that $\text{rk}(Q_{\Lambda}) = 4$. It follows that there exists a smooth K3 surface $S_{\Lambda} \subset \Lambda$ containing C as a transversal hyperplane section, such that $\text{rk}(Q_{\Lambda}) = 4$.

Proof. We pass from projective to vector spaces and view the rank 15 quadric

$$\tilde{Q} : \wedge^2 H^0(C, E)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} \wedge^2 H^0(C, E)$$

as an isomorphism, which by restriction to $H^0(C, K_C)^\vee \subset \wedge^2 H^0(C, E)^\vee$, induces the rank 3 quadric $Q : H^0(C, K_C)^\vee \rightarrow H^0(C, K_C)$. The map $u \circ \tilde{Q} : \wedge^2 H^0(E)^\vee \rightarrow H^0(K_C)$ being surjective, its kernel $\text{Ker}(u \circ \tilde{Q})$ is a 7-dimensional vector space containing the 5-dimensional subspace $\text{Ker}(Q)$. We choose an arbitrary element

$$[\bar{v} := v + \text{Ker}(Q)] \in \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{\text{Ker}(u \circ \tilde{Q})}{\text{Ker}(Q)}\right),$$

inducing a subspace $H^0(C, K_C)^\vee \subset \Lambda := H^0(C, K_C)^\vee + \mathbb{C}v \subset \wedge^2 H^0(C, E)^\vee$, with the property that $\text{Ker}(Q_\Lambda) = \text{Ker}(Q)$, where $Q_\Lambda : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^\vee$ is induced from \tilde{Q} by restriction and projection. It follows that $\text{rk}(Q_\Lambda) = 4$. Moreover, we have shown that $\dim q^{-1}([C, \eta]) \leq 1$, in particular q is dominant. \square

Now we can begin the proof of Proposition 0.2. Let $C \subset Q \subset \mathbf{P}^7$ be a general canonical curve endowed with a vanishing theta-null, where $Q \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^7, \mathcal{I}_{C/\mathbf{P}^7}(2))$ is the corresponding rank 3 quadric. We choose a general 8-plane $\mathbf{P}^7 \subset \Lambda \subset \mathbf{P}^{14}$ such that $S := \Lambda \cap \mathbf{G}$ is a smooth K3 surface, and the lift of Q to Λ

$$Q_\Lambda \in H^0(\Lambda, \mathcal{I}_{S/\Lambda}(2))$$

has rank 4. Moreover, we can assume that $S \cap \text{Sing}(Q_\Lambda) = \emptyset$. The linear projection $f_\Lambda : \Lambda \dashrightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ with center $\text{Sing}(Q_\Lambda)$, induces a regular map $f : S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^3$ with image the smooth quadric $Q_0 \subset \mathbf{P}^3$. Then S is endowed with two elliptic pencils $|C_1|$ and $|C_2|$ corresponding to the projections of $Q_0 \cong \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ onto the two factors. Since $C \in |\mathcal{O}_S(1)|$, one has a linear equivalence $C \equiv C_1 + C_2$, on S . As already pointed out, $\deg(f) = C_1 \cdot C_2 = C^2/2 = 7$. The condition $\text{rk}(Q_\Lambda \cap \mathbf{P}^7) = \text{rk}(Q_\Lambda) - 1$, implies that the hyperplane $\mathbf{P}^7 \in (\Lambda)^\vee$ is the pull-back of a hyperplane from \mathbf{P}^3 , that is, $\mathbf{P}^7 = f_\Lambda^{-1}(\Pi_0)$, where $\Pi_0 \in (\mathbf{P}^3)^\vee$.

We choose a general line $l_0 \subset \Pi_0$ and denote by $\{q_1, q_2\} := l_0 \cap Q_0$. We consider the pencil $\{\Pi_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{P}^1} \subset (\mathbf{P}^3)^\vee$ of planes through l_0 as well as the induced pencil of curves of genus 8

$$\{C_t := f^{-1}(\Pi_t) \subset S\}_{t \in \mathbf{P}^1},$$

each endowed with a vanishing theta-null induced by the pencil $f_t : C_t \rightarrow Q_0 \cap \Pi_t$.

This pencil contains precisely two *reducible* curves, corresponding to the planes Π_1, Π_2 in \mathbf{P}^3 spanned by the rulings of Q_0 passing through q_1 and q_2 respectively. Precisely, if $l_i, m_i \subset Q_0$ are the rulings passing through q_i such that $l_1 \cdot l_2 = m_1 \cdot m_2 = 0$, then it follows that for $\Pi_1 = \langle l_1, m_2 \rangle, \Pi_2 = \langle l_2, m_1 \rangle$, the fibres $f^{-1}(\Pi_1)$ and $f^{-1}(\Pi_2)$ split into two elliptic curves $f^{-1}(l_i)$ and $f^{-1}(m_j)$ meeting transversally in 7 points. The half-canonical \mathfrak{g}_7^1 specializes to a degree 7 admissible covering

$$f^{-1}(l_i) \cup f^{-1}(m_j) \xrightarrow{f} l_i \cup m_j, \quad i \neq j,$$

such that the 7 points in $f^{-1}(l_i) \cap f^{-1}(m_j)$ map to $l_i \cap m_j$. To determine the point in $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}_8^+$ corresponding to the admissible covering $(f^{-1}(l_i) \cup f^{-1}(m_j), f|_{f^{-1}(l_i) \cup f^{-1}(m_j)})$, one must insert 7 exceptional components at all the points of intersection of the two

components. We denote by $R \subset \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+$ the pencil of spin curves obtained via this construction.

Lemma 3.3. *Each member $C_t \subset S$ in the above constructed pencil is nodal. Moreover, each curve C_t different from $f^{-1}(l_1) \cup f^{-1}(m_2)$ and $f^{-1}(l_2) \cup f^{-1}(m_1)$ is irreducible. It follows that $R \cdot \alpha_i = R \cdot \beta_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$.*

Proof. This follows since $f : S \rightarrow Q_0$ is a regular morphism and the base line $l_0 \subset H_0$ of the pencil $\{\Pi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{P}^1}$ is chosen to be general. \square

Lemma 3.4. $R \cdot \pi^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{7,8}^2) = 0$.

Proof. We show instead that $\pi_*(R) \cdot \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2 = 0$. From Lemma 3.3, the curve R is disjoint from the divisors A_i, B_i for $i = 1, \dots, 4$, hence $\pi_*(R)$ has the numerical characteristics of a Lefschetz pencil of curves of genus 8 on a fixed $K3$ surface.

In particular, $\pi_*(R) \cdot \delta / \pi_*(R) \cdot \lambda = 6 + 12/(g+1) = s(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2)$ and $\pi_*(R) \cdot \delta_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. This implies the statement. \square

Lemma 3.5. $T \cdot \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} = -1$.

Proof. We have already determined that $R \cdot \lambda = \pi_*(R) \cdot \lambda = \chi(\tilde{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}) + g - 1 = 9$, where $\tilde{S} := \text{Bl}_{2g-2}(S)$ is the blow-up of S at the points $f^{-1}(q_1) \cup f^{-1}(q_2)$. Moreover,

$$(5) \quad R \cdot \alpha_0 + 2R \cdot \beta_0 = \pi_*(R) \cdot \delta_0 = c_2(\tilde{X}) + 4(g-1) = 38 + 28 = 66.$$

To determine $R \cdot \beta_0$ we study the local structure of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+$ in a neighbourhood of one of the two points, say $t^* \in R$ corresponding to a reducible curve, say $f^{-1}(l_1) \cup f^{-1}(m_2)$, the situation for $f^{-1}(l_2) \cup f^{-1}(m_1)$ being of course identical. We set $\{p\} := l_1 \cap m_2 \in Q_0$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_7\} := f^{-1}(p) \in S$. We insert exceptional components E_1, \dots, E_7 at the nodes x_1, \dots, x_7 of $f^{-1}(l_1) \cup f^{-1}(m_2)$ and denote by X the resulting quasi-stable curve. If

$$\mu : f^{-1}(l_1) \cup f^{-1}(m_2) \cup E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_7 \rightarrow f^{-1}(l_1) \cup f^{-1}(m_2)$$

is the stabilization morphism, we set $\{y_i, z_i\} := \mu^{-1}(x_i)$, where $y_i \in E_i \cap f^{-1}(l_1)$ and $z_i \in E_i \cap f^{-1}(m_2)$ for $i = 1, \dots, 7$. If $t^* = [X, \eta, \beta]$, then $\eta_{f^{-1}(l_1)} = \mathcal{O}_{f^{-1}(l_1)}$, $\eta_{f^{-1}(m_2)} = \mathcal{O}_{f^{-1}(m_2)}$, and of course $\eta_{E_i} = \mathcal{O}_{E_i}(1)$. Moreover, one computes that $\text{Aut}(X, \eta, \beta) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\text{Aut}(f^{-1}(l_1) \cup f^{-1}(m_2)) = \{\text{Id}\}$, cf. [C] Lemma 2.2.

If \mathbb{C}_τ^{3g-3} denote the versal deformation space of $[X, \eta, \beta] \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$, then there are local parameters $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{3g-3})$, such that for $i = 1, \dots, 7$, the locus $(\tau_i = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}_\tau^{3g-3}$ parameterizes spin curves for which the exceptional component E_i persists. In particular, the pull-back $\mathbb{C}_\tau^{3g-3} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+} B_0$ of the boundary divisor $B_0 \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ is given by the equation $(\tau_1 \cdots \tau_7 = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}_\tau^{3g-3}$. The group $\text{Aut}(X, \eta, \beta)$ acts on \mathbb{C}_τ^{3g-3} by

$$(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_7, \tau_8, \dots, \tau_{3g-3}) \mapsto (-\tau_1, \dots, -\tau_7, \tau_8, \dots, \tau_{3g-3}),$$

and since an étale neighbourhood of $t^* \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}_\tau^{3g-3} / \text{Aut}(X, \eta, \beta)$, we find that B_0 is not Cartier around t^* (though $2B_0$ is Cartier). It follows that the intersection multiplicity of $R \times_{\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+} \mathbb{C}_\tau^{3g-3}$ with the locus $(\tau_1 \cdots \tau_7) = 0$ equals 7, that is, the intersection multiplicity of $R \cap \beta_0$ at the point t^* equals $7/2$, hence

$$R \cdot \beta_0 = (R \cdot \beta_0)_{f^{-1}(l_1) \cup f^{-1}(m_2)} + (R \cdot \beta_0)_{f^{-1}(l_2) \cap f^{-1}(m_1)} = \frac{7}{2} + \frac{7}{2} = 7.$$

Then using (5) we find that $R \cdot \beta_0 = 66 - 14 = 52$, and finally

$$R \cdot \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} = \frac{1}{4}R \cdot \lambda - \frac{1}{16}R \cdot \alpha_0 = \frac{9}{4} - \frac{52}{16} = -1.$$

□

Remark 3.6. The final argument in the previous proof, namely that the reducible curve $f^{-1}(l_1) \cup f^{-1}(m_2)$ contributes with multiplicity $7/2$ to $R \cdot \beta_0$, can also be derived by interpreting $\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$ as a space of admissible coverings of degree 7 over the versal deformation space \mathbb{C}_τ^{3g-3} and then making a local analysis similar to the one in [D] pg. 47-50.

4. THE KODAIRA DIMENSION OF $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}$

We begin by recalling the notation for boundary divisor classes on the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. For an integer $0 \leq i \leq [g/2]$ and a set of labels $T \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, we denote by $\Delta_{i:T}$ the closure in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ of the locus of n -pointed curves $[C_1 \cup C_2, x_1, \dots, x_n]$, where C_1 and C_2 are smooth curves of genera i and $g-i$ respectively, and the marked points lying on C_1 are precisely those labeled by T . As usual, we define $\delta_{i:T} := [\Delta_{i:T}] \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$. For $0 \leq i \leq [g/2]$ and $0 \leq c \leq g$, we set

$$\delta_{i:c} := \sum_{\#(T)=c} \delta_{i:T}.$$

By convention, $\delta_{0:c} := \emptyset$, for $c < 2$. If $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ is the morphism forgetting the marked points, we set $\lambda := \phi^*(\lambda) \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ and $\delta_{\text{irr}} := \phi^*(\delta_{\text{irr}}) \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$, where $\delta_{\text{irr}} := [\Delta_{\text{irr}}] \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)$ denotes the class of the locus of irreducible nodal curves. Furthermore, $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ are the cotangent classes corresponding to the marked points. The canonical class of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ has been computed, cf. [Log] Theorem 2.6:

$$(6) \quad K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \equiv 13\lambda - 2\delta_{\text{irr}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i - 2 \sum_{i \geq 0, T} \delta_{i:T} - \sum_T \delta_{1:T}.$$

We show that, at least for small g , the divisor $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_g$ of curves with g marked points moving in a pencil, is an extremal point in the effective cone of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,g}$:

Proposition 4.1. *For $3 \leq g \leq 11$, the irreducible divisor $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_g$ is filled up by rational curves $R \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,g}$ such that $R \cdot \overline{\mathcal{D}}_g < 0$. It follows that $[\overline{\mathcal{D}}_g] \in \text{Eff}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,g})$ is a rigid divisor. Moreover, when $g \neq 10$, one can assume that $R \cdot \delta_{i:T} = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $T \subset \{1, \dots, g\}$.*

Proof. We first treat the case $g \neq 10$, and start with a general point $[C, x_1, \dots, x_g] \in \mathcal{D}_g$. We assume that the points $x_1, \dots, x_g \in C$ are distinct and $h^0(C, K_C(-x_1 - \dots - x_g)) = 1$. Let us consider the $(g-2)$ -dimensional linear space

$$\Lambda := \langle x_1, \dots, x_g \rangle \subset \mathbf{P}(H^0(C, K_C)^\vee) = \mathbf{P}^{g-1}.$$

Since $\phi(\mathcal{D}_g) = \mathcal{M}_g$, we may assume that $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$ is a general curve. In particular, C lies on a K3 surface $S \xrightarrow{|\mathcal{O}_S(C)|} \mathbf{P}^g$, which admits the canonical curve C as a hyperplane section, cf. [M1]. We intersect S with the pencil of hyperplanes $\{H_\lambda \in (\mathbf{P}^g)^\vee\}_{\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^1}$ such that $\Lambda \subset H_\lambda$. Since (i) the locus of hyperplanes $H \in (\mathbf{P}^g)^\vee$ such that the intersection $S \cap H$ is not nodal has codimension 2 in $(\mathbf{P}^g)^\vee$, and (ii) the pencil $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^1}$ can be viewed as a general pencil of hyperplanes containing $\mathbf{P}(H^0(C, K_C)^\vee)$ as a member, we

may assume that all the curves $H_\lambda \cap S$ are nodal and that the nodes stay away from the fixed points x_1, \dots, x_g . In this way we obtain a family in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,g}$

$$R := \{[C_\lambda := H_\lambda \cap S, x_1, \dots, x_g] : \Lambda \subset H_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbf{P}^1\},$$

inducing a fibration $f : \tilde{S} := \text{Bl}_{2g-2}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$, obtained by blowing-up the base points of the pencil, together with g sections given by the exceptional divisors $E_{x_i} \subset \tilde{S}$ corresponding to the base points x_1, \dots, x_g . The numerical parameters of R are computed using, for instance, [FP] Section 2. Precisely, one writes that

$$(7) \quad R \cdot \lambda = (\phi_*(R) \cdot \lambda)_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g} = g + 1, \quad R \cdot \delta_{\text{irr}} = (\phi_*(R) \cdot \delta_{\text{irr}})_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g} = 6g + 18, \quad R \cdot \delta_{i:T} = 0,$$

for $i \geq 0$ and $T \subset \{1, \dots, g\}$. Finally, from the adjunction formula, $R \cdot \psi_i = -(E_{x_i}^2)_{\tilde{S}} = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq g$. Thus, $R \cdot \overline{\mathcal{D}}_g = -1$. Since R is a covering curve for the divisor $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_g$, it follows that $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_g$ is a rigid divisor on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,g}$.

We turn to the case $g = 10$, when the previous argument breaks down because the general curve $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{10}$ no longer lies on a $K3$ surface. More generally, we fix $g < 11, g \neq 9$ and pick a general point $[C, x_1, \dots, x_g] \in \mathcal{D}_g$. We denote by $X := C_{ij}$ the nodal curve obtained from C by identifying x_i and x_j , where $1 \leq i < j \leq g$. Since $[X] \in \Delta_0 \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+1}$ is a general 1-nodal curve of genus $g + 1$, using e.g. [FKPS], there exists a smooth $K3$ surface S containing X . We denote by $\nu : C \rightarrow X \subset S$ the normalization map and set $\nu(x_i) = \nu(x_j) = p$. The linear system $|\mathcal{O}_S(X)|$ embeds S in \mathbf{P}^{g+1} and $\nu^*(\mathcal{O}_S(X)) = K_C(x_i + x_j)$. Let $\epsilon : S' := \text{Bl}_p(S) \rightarrow S$ be the blow-up of S at p and $E \subset S'$ the exceptional divisor. Note that C viewed as an embedded curve in S' belongs to the linear system $|\epsilon^*\mathcal{O}_S(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S'}(-2E)|$ and $C \cdot E = x_i + x_j$. Let $Z \subset S'$ the reduced 0-dimensional scheme consisting of marked points of C with support $\{x_i, x_j\}^c$.

Since $h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(x_1 + \dots + x_g)) = 2$, we find that Z together with the tangent plane $\mathbb{T}_p(X) = \mathbb{T}_p(S)$ span a $(g - 1)$ -dimensional linear space $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{P}^{g+1}$. We obtain a 1-dimensional family in $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_g$ by taking the normalization of the intersection curves on S with hyperplanes $H \in (\mathbf{P}^{g+1})^\vee$ passing through Λ . Equivalently, we note that

$$h^0(S', \mathcal{I}_{Z/S'}(C)) = h^0(S', \mathcal{O}_{S'}) + h^0(C, K_C(-x_1 - \dots - x_g)) = 2,$$

that is, $|\mathcal{I}_{Z/S'}(C)|$ is a pencil of curves on S' . We denote by $\tilde{\epsilon} : \tilde{S} := \text{Bl}_{2g-4}(S') \rightarrow S'$ the blow-up of S' at the $(\epsilon^*(H) - 2E)^2 = 2g - 4$ base points of $|\mathcal{I}_{Z/S'}(C)|$, by $f : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ the induced fibration with $(g - 2)$ sections corresponding to the points of Z , as well as with a 2-section given by the divisor $E := \tilde{\epsilon}^{-1}(E)$. Since $\deg(f_E) = 2$, there are precisely two fibres of f , say C_1 and C_2 , which are tangent to E . We make a base change of order 2 via the morphism $f_E : E \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$, and consider the fibration

$$q' : Y' := \tilde{S} \times_{\mathbf{P}^1} E \rightarrow E.$$

Thus $p : Y' \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ is the double cover branched along $C_1 + C_2$. Clearly q' admits two sections $E_1, E_2 \subset Y'$ such that $p^*(E) = E_1 + E_2$ and $E_1 \cdot E_2 = 2$. By direct calculation, it follows that $E_1^2 = E_2^2 = -3$. To separate the sections E_1 and E_2 , we blow-up the two points of intersection $E_1 \cap E_2$ and we denote by $q : Y := \text{Bl}_2(Y') \rightarrow E$ the resulting fibration, which possesses everywhere distinct sections $\sigma_i : E \rightarrow Y'$ for $1 \leq i \leq g$, given by the proper transforms of E_1 and E_2 as well as the proper transforms of the exceptional divisors corresponding to the points in Z . The numerical characters of the

family $\Gamma_{ij} := \{[q^{-1}(t), \sigma_1(t), \dots, \sigma_g(t)] : t \in E\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,g}$ are computed as follows:

$$\Gamma_{ij} \cdot \lambda = 2(g+1), \quad \Gamma_{ij} \cdot \delta_{\text{irr}} = 2(6g+17), \quad \Gamma_{ij} \cdot \psi_l = 2 \text{ for } l \in \{i, j\}^c,$$

$$\Gamma_{ij} \cdot \psi_i = \Gamma_{ij} \cdot \psi_j = -(E_i^2)_{Y'} + 2 = 5, \quad \Gamma_{ij} \cdot \delta_{0:ij} = 2, \quad \Gamma_{ij} \cdot \delta_{l:T} = 0 \text{ for } l \geq 0, T \subset \{i, j\}^c.$$

We take the \mathfrak{S}_g -orbit of the 1-cycle Γ_{ij} with respect to permuting the marked points,

$$\Gamma := \frac{1}{g(g-1)} \sum_{i < j} \Gamma_{ij} \in NE_1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,g}),$$

and note that $\Gamma \cdot \overline{\mathcal{D}}_g = -1$. Each component Γ_{ij} fills-up $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_g$, which finishes the proof. \square

We now specialize to the case of genus 11: On $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}$ there exist two divisors of Brill-Noether type, namely the closure of the locus of 6-gonal curves

$$\mathcal{M}_{11,6}^1 := \{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{11} : G_6^1(C) \neq \emptyset\}$$

and the closure of the locus $\mathcal{M}_{11,9}^2 := \{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{11} : G_9^2(C) \neq \emptyset\}$. The divisors $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,6}^1$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,9}^2$ are irreducible, distinct, and their classes are proportional, cf. [EH2]. Precisely, there are explicit constants $c_{11,6}^1, c_{11,9}^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, such that

$$\mathbf{bn}_{11} := \frac{1}{c_{11,6}^1} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,6}^1 \equiv \frac{1}{c_{11,9}^2} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,9}^2 \equiv 7\lambda - \delta_0 - 5\delta_1 - 9\delta_2 - 12\delta_3 - 14\delta_4 - 15\delta_5 \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}).$$

By interpolating, we find the following explicit canonical divisor:

$$(8) \quad K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}} \equiv \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11} + 2 \cdot \phi^*(\mathbf{bn}_{11}) + \sum_{i=0}^5 \sum_{c=0}^{11} d_{i:c} \delta_{i:c},$$

where

$$d_{0:c} = \frac{c^2 + c - 4}{2} \text{ for } c \geq 2, \quad d_{1:c} = 7 + \binom{|c-1|+1}{2}, \quad d_{2:c} = 16 + \binom{|c-2|+1}{2},$$

$$d_{3:c} = 22 + \binom{|c-3|+1}{2}, \quad d_{4:c} = 26 + \binom{|c-4|+1}{2}, \quad d_{5:c} = 28 + \binom{|c-5|+1}{2}.$$

One already knows that multiples of $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11}$ are non-moving divisors on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}$. We show that $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11}$ does not move in any multiple of the canonical linear system on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}$.

Proposition 4.2. *For each integer $n \geq 1$ one has an isomorphism*

$$H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}}(nK_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}})) \cong H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}}(nK_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}} - n\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11})).$$

In particular, $\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}) = \kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}, K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}} - \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11})$.

Proof. Using the notation and results from Proposition 4.1, we recall that we have constructed a curve $R \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}$ moving in a family which fills-up the divisor $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11}$, such that $R \cdot \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11} = -1$ and $R \cdot \delta_{i:S} = 0$, for all $i \geq 0$ and $T \subset \{1, \dots, g\}$. All points in R correspond to nodal curves lying on a fixed K3 surface S , which by the generality assumptions, can be chosen such that $\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z}$. Applying [Laz], all underlying genus 11 curves corresponding to points in R satisfy the Brill-Noether theorem, in particular $R \cdot \phi^*(\mathbf{bn}_{11}) = 0$, that is, $R \cdot K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}} = R \cdot \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11} = -1$. It follows that for any effective

divisor E on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}$ such that $E \equiv nK_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}}$, one has that $R \cdot E = -n$, thus the class $E - n\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11}$ is still effective and then $|nK_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}}| = n\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11} + |nK_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}} - n\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11}|$. \square

We are in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 0.3:

Theorem 4.3. *We have that $\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}, 2 \cdot \phi^*(\mathbf{bn}_{11}) + \sum_{i,c} d_{i:c} \cdot \delta_{i:c}) = 19$. It follows that the Kodaira dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}$ equals 19.*

Proof. To simplify the proof, we define a few divisors classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}$:

$$A := 2 \cdot \phi^*(\mathbf{bn}_{11}) + \sum_{i \geq 0, c} d_{i:c} \delta_{i:c} \equiv K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}} - \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{11} \quad \text{and} \quad A' := A - \sum_{c=2}^{11} d_{0:c} \delta_{0:c},$$

as well as, $B := \mathbf{bn}_{11} + 4\delta_3 + 7\delta_4 + 8\delta_5 \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11})$.

We claim that for all integers $n \geq 1$ one has isomorphisms,

$$H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}}(nA)) \cong H^0(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}}(nA')).$$

Indeed, we fix a set of labels $T \subset \{1, \dots, 11\}$ such that $\#(T) \geq 2$ and consider a pencil

$$\{[C_t, x_i(t), p(t) : i \in T^c]\}_{t \in \mathbf{P}^1} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,12-\#(T)},$$

of $(12 - \#(T))$ -pointed curves of genus 11 on a general $K3$ surface S , with marked points being labeled by elements in T^c as well by another label $p(t)$. The pencil is induced by a fibration obtained from a Lefschetz pencil of genus 11 curves on S , with regular sections given by $(12 - \#(T))$ of the exceptional divisors obtained by blowing-up S at the $(2g - 2)$ base points of the pencil. To each element in this pencil, we attach at the marked point labeled by $p(t)$, a fixed copy of \mathbf{P}^1 together with fixed marked points $x_i \in \mathbf{P}^1 - \{\infty\}$, for $i \in T$. The gluing identifies the point $p(t) \in C_t$ with $\infty \in \mathbf{P}^1$. If $R_T \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}$ denotes the resulting family, we compute:

$$R_T \cdot \lambda = g+1, \quad R_T \cdot \delta_{\text{irr}} = 6(g+3), \quad R_T \cdot \delta_{0:T} = -1, \quad R_T \cdot \psi_i = 1 \text{ for } i \in T^c, \quad R_T \cdot \psi_i = 0 \text{ for } i \in T.$$

Moreover, R_T is disjoint from all remaining boundary divisors of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}$. One finds that $R_T \cdot \phi^*(\mathbf{bn}_{11}) = 0$. Thus for any effective divisor $E \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}$ such that $E \equiv nA$, we find that $R_T \cdot E = -nd_{0,c}$.

Since for all T , the pencil R_T fills-up the divisor $\Delta_{0:T}$, we can deform the curves $R_T \subset \Delta_{0:T}$, to find that $E - \sum_{c=2}^{11} nd_{0:c} \cdot \delta_{0:c}$ is still an effective class, that is,

$$|nA| = \sum_{c=2}^{11} nd_{0:c} \cdot \Delta_{0:c} + |nA'|,$$

which proves the claim. Next, by direct calculation we observe that the class $A' - 2\phi^*(B)$ is effective. Zariski's Main Theorem gives that $\phi_*\phi^*\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}}(B) = \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}}(B)$, thus

$$\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}, A') \geq \kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}, \phi^*(B)) = \kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}, B) = 19.$$

The last equality comes from [FP] Proposition 6.2: The class B contains the pull-back of an ample class under the Mukai map [M3]

$$q_{11} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11} \dashrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{11}, \quad [C, x_1, \dots, x_{11}] \mapsto [S \supset C, \mathcal{O}_S(C)],$$

to a compactification of the moduli space of polarized $K3$ surfaces of degree 20.

On the other hand, since $\phi^*(\delta_i) = \sum_S \delta_{i:S}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 5$, there is a divisor class on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}$ of type $B' := 2 \cdot \text{bn}_{11} + \sum_{i=1}^5 a_i \delta_i \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11})$, with $a_i \geq 0$, such that $\phi^*(B') - A'$ is an effective divisor. It follows that

$$\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}, A') \leq \kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11,11}, \phi^*(B')) = \kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}, B').$$

If $R_{11} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}$ is the family corresponding to a Lefschetz pencil of curves of genus 11 on a fixed $K3$ surface, then $R_{11} \cdot B' = 0$. The pencil R_{11} moves in a 11-dimensional family inside $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}$ which is contracted to a point by any linear series $|nB'|$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}$ with $n \geq 1$ (in fact a general curve R_{11} is *disjoint* from the base locus of $|nB'|$). One finds that $\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}, B') \leq 19$, which completes the proof. \square

5. THE UNIRULEDNESS OF $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$

We formulate a general principle, somewhat similar to the one used in the proof of Theorem 0.1, which we use in proving the uniruledness of some moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let X be a projective \mathbb{Q} -factorial variety and suppose $D_1, D_2 \subset X$ are irreducible effective \mathbb{Q} -divisors such that there exist covering curves $\Gamma_i \subset D_i$, with $\Gamma_i \cdot D_i < 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ (in particular both $D_i \in \text{Eff}(X)$ are non-movable divisors). Assume furthermore that $(\Gamma_1 \cdot D_2)(\Gamma_2 \cdot D_1) - (\Gamma_2 \cdot D_2)(\Gamma_1 \cdot D_1) \geq 0$ and $(\Gamma_1 \cdot K_X)(\Gamma_2 \cdot D_1) - (\Gamma_2 \cdot K_X)(\Gamma_1 \cdot D_1) < 0$. Then X is uniruled.*

Proof. According to [BDPP] it suffices to prove that K_X is not pseudo-effective. By contradiction, we choose $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ maximal such that $K_X - \alpha D_1 - \beta D_2 \in \overline{\text{Eff}}(X)$. Then we can write down the inequalities

$$\Gamma_1 \cdot K_X \geq \alpha(\Gamma_1 \cdot D_1) + \beta(\Gamma_1 \cdot D_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_2 \cdot K_X \geq \alpha(\Gamma_2 \cdot D_1) + \beta(\Gamma_2 \cdot D_2).$$

Eliminating α , the resulting inequality contradicts the assumption $\beta \geq 0$. \square

Theorem 5.2. *The moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{7,n}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,n}$ are uniruled for $n \leq 12$. In particular $\zeta(7), \zeta(8) \in \{13, 14\}$.*

Proof. We start with the genus 8 case and apply Proposition 5.1 when

$$D_1 = \frac{1}{c_{8,7}^2} \phi^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,7}^2) \equiv 22\lambda - 3\delta_{\text{irr}} - \dots, \quad D_2 = \Delta_{\text{irr}} \in \text{Eff}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,n}),$$

where we refer to Section 2 for the definition of the constant $c_{8,7}^2$. To construct a covering curve $\Gamma_1 \subset D_1$, we lift to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,n}$ a Lefschetz pencil of 7-nodal plane septic. We note that the fibration $f : \text{Bl}_{28}(\mathbf{P}^2) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ constructed in the course of proving Proposition 2.1, carries n sections given by the exceptional divisors corresponding to n unassigned base points. If $\Gamma_1 \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,n}$ denotes the resulting family of n -pointed curves, then

$$\Gamma_1 \cdot \lambda = \phi_*(\Gamma_1) \cdot \lambda = 8, \quad \Gamma_1 \cdot \delta_{\text{irr}} = \phi_*(\Gamma_1) \cdot \delta_{\text{irr}} = 59, \quad \Gamma_1 \cdot \psi_i = 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and $\Gamma_1 \cdot \delta_{i:T} = 0$. It follows that $\Gamma_1 \cdot D_1 = -1/3$, $\Gamma_1 \cdot K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,n}} = n - 14$ and $\Gamma_1 \cdot D_2 = 59$.

We construct a covering curve $\Gamma_2 \subset D_2$ and start with a general pointed curve $[C, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{7,n+1}$. We identify x_{n+1} with a moving point $y \in C$, that is, take

$$\Gamma_2 := \left\{ \left[\frac{C}{y \sim x_{n+1}}, x_1, \dots, x_n \right] : y \in C \right\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,n}.$$

It is easy to compute that $\Gamma_2 \cdot \lambda = 0$, $\Gamma_2 \cdot \delta_{\text{irr}} = -2g(C) = -14$, $\Gamma_2 \cdot \delta_{1:\emptyset} = 1$, $\Gamma_2 \cdot \psi_i = 1$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\Gamma_2 \cdot \delta_{i:T} = 0$ for $(i, T) \neq (1, \emptyset)$. Therefore $\Gamma_2 \cdot D_1 = 28/3$ and $\Gamma_2 \cdot K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,n}} = 25 + n$. The conditions of Proposition 5.1 are satisfied precisely for $n \leq 12$.

In the case $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{7,n}$ we take $D_1 = \phi^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{7,4}^1)$ to be the pull-back of the 4-gonal locus and $D_2 = \Delta_{\text{irr}}$. The covering curve $\Gamma_2 \subset D_2$ is constructed as above starting with a fixed general pointed curve $[C, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{6,n+1}$ and identifying x_{n+1} with a moving point $y \in C$. The curve $\Gamma_1 \subset D_1$ is the lift to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{7,n}$ of a Lefschetz pencil of plane septics having one triple point and 5 nodes. \square

Remark 5.3. The results of Theorem 5.2 are close to optimal. It is known cf. [Log] that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,14}$ is of general type and $\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{7,14}) \geq 0$. The Kodaira dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{7,13}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,13}$ is still unknown. Note that it was already known that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{7,n}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{8,n}$ is unirational for $n \leq 11$, cf. [CF], [Log].

REFERENCES

- [BDPP] S. Boucksom, J.P. Demailly, M. Păun and T. Peternell, *The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension*, arXiv:math/0405285, Journal of Algebraic Geometry, to appear.
- [CF] G. Casnati and C. Fontanari, *On the rationality of the moduli space of pointed curves*, Journal of the London Math. Society **75** (2007), 582-596.
- [C] M. Cornalba, *Moduli of curves and theta-characteristics*, in: Lectures on Riemann surfaces (Trieste, 1987), 560-589.
- [D] S. Diaz, *Exceptional Weierstrass points and the divisor on moduli space that they define*, Memoirs of the American Math. Society **327**, 1985.
- [Do] I. Dolgachev, *Mirror symmetry for lattice polarized K3 surfaces*, J. Math. Sciences **81** (1996), 2599-2630.
- [EH1] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *Limit linear series: Basic theory*, Inventiones Math. **85** (1986), 337-371.
- [EH2] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves of genus 23* Inventiones Math. **90** (1987), 359-387.
- [EH3] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *Irreducibility of some families of linear series with Brill-Noether number -1* , Annales Scientifique École Normale Supérieure **22** (1989), 33-53.
- [F1] G. Farkas, *Szyzygies of curves and the effective cone of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$* , Duke Math. Journal **135** (2006), 53-98.
- [F2] G. Farkas, *Koszul divisors on moduli spaces of curves*, American Journal of Math. **131** (2009), 819-869.
- [F3] G. Farkas, *The birational type of the moduli space of even spin curves*, arXiv:0805.2424, Advances in Mathematics (2010), to appear.
- [FV] G. Farkas and A. Verra, *The geometry of the moduli space of odd spin curves*, preprint.
- [FL] G. Farkas and K. Ludwig, *The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of Prym varieties*, arXiv:0804.461, Journal of the European Mathematical Society (2009), to appear.
- [FP] G. Farkas and M. Popa, *Effective divisors on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, curves on K3 surfaces and the Slope Conjecture*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry **14** (2005), 151-174.
- [FKPS] F. Flamini, A. Knutsen, G. Pacienza and E. Sernesi, *Nodal curves with general moduli on K3 surfaces*, Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), 3955-3971.
- [HM] J. Harris and D. Mumford, *On the Kodaira dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$* , Inventiones Math. **67** (1982), 23-88.
- [Laz] R. Lazarsfeld, *Brill-Noether-Petri without degenerations*, Journal of Differential Geometry **23** (1986), 299-307.
- [Log] A. Logan, *The Kodaira dimension of moduli spaces of curves with marked points*, American Journal of Math. **125** (2003), 105-138.
- [M1] S. Mukai, *Curves, K3 surfaces and Fano 3-folds of genus ≤ 10* , in: Algebraic geometry and commutative algebra (1988), 357-377, Kinokuniya, Tokyo.
- [M2] S. Mukai, *Curves and Grassmannians*, in: Algebraic Geometry and Related Topics (1992), eds. J.-H. Yang, Y. Namikawa, K. Ueno.
- [M3] S. Mukai, *Curves and K3 surfaces of genus eleven*, in: Moduli of vector bundles, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **179**, Dekker (1996), 189-197.

- [SD] B. Saint-Donat, *Projective models of K3 surfaces*, American Journal of Math., **96** (1974), 602-639.
[V] A. Verra, *The unirationality of the moduli space of curves of genus ≤ 14* , Compositio Mathematica **141** (2005), 1425-1444.

HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNTER DEN LINDEN 6
10099 BERLIN, GERMANY
E-mail address: farkas@math.hu-berlin.de

UNIVERSITÁ ROMA TRE, DIPARTIMENTI DI MATEMATICA, LARGO SAN LEONARDO MURIALDO
1-0046 ROMA, ITALY
E-mail address: verra@mat.uniroma3.it