### BRILL-NOETHER GEOMETRY ON MODULI SPACES OF SPIN CURVES

### GAVRIL FARKAS

The aim of this paper is to initiate a study of geometric divisors of Brill-Noether type on the moduli space  $\overline{S}_g$  of spin curves of genus g. The moduli space  $\overline{S}_g$  is a compactification the parameter space  $S_g$  of pairs  $[C, \eta]$ , consisting of a smooth genus g curve C and a theta-characteristic  $\eta \in \operatorname{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ , see [C]. The study of the birational properties of  $\overline{S}_g$  as well as other moduli spaces of curves with level structure has received an impetus in recent years, see [BV] [FL], [F2], [Lud], to mention only a few results. Using syzygy divisors, it has been proved in [FL] that the Prym moduli space  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g := \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_2)$ classifying curves of genus g together with a point of order 2 in the Jacobian variety, is a variety of general type for  $g \geq 13$  and  $g \neq 15$ . The moduli space  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$  of even spin curves of genus g is known to be of general type for g > 8, uniruled for g < 8, see [F2], whereas the Kodaira dimension of  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_8^+$  is equal to zero, [FV]. This was the first example of a naturally defined moduli space of curves of genus  $g \geq 2$ , having intermediate Kodaira dimension. An application of the main construction of this paper, gives a new way of computing the class of the divisor  $\overline{\Theta}_{null}$  of vanishing theta-nulls on  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ , reproving thus the main result of [F2].

Virtually all attempts to show that a certain moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$  is of general type, rely on the calculation of certain effective divisors  $D \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  enjoying extremality properties in their effective cones  $\text{Eff}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ , so that the canonical class  $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}}$  lies in the cone spanned by [D], boundary classes  $\delta_{i:S}$ , tautological classes  $\lambda, \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n$ , and possible other effective geometric classes. Examples of such a program being carried out, can be found in [EH2], [HM]-for the case of *Brill-Noether divisors* on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  consisting of curves with a  $\mathfrak{g}_d^r$  when  $\rho(g, r, d) = -1$ , [Log]-where pointed Brill-Noether divisors on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  are studied, and [F1]-for the case of *Koszul divisors* on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ , which provide counterexamples to the Slope Conjecture on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . A natural question is what the analogous geometric divisors on the spin moduli space of curves  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g$  should be?

In this paper we propose a construction for *spin Brill-Noether divisors* on both spaces  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^-$ , defined in terms of the relative position of theta-characteristics with respect to difference varieties on Jacobians. Precisely, we fix integers  $r, s \ge 1$  such that  $d := rs + r \equiv 0 \mod 2$ , and then set g := rs + s. One can write d = 2i. By standard Brill-Noether theory, a general curve  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$  carries a finite number of (necessarily complete and base point free) linear series  $\mathfrak{g}_d^r$ . One considers the following loci of spin curves (both odd and even)

 $\mathcal{U}_{g,d}^r := \{ [C,\eta] \in \mathcal{S}_g^{\mp} : \exists L \in W_d^r(C) \text{ such that } \eta \otimes L^{\vee} \in C_{g-i-1} - C_i \}.$ 

Thus  $\mathcal{U}_{g,d}^r$  consists of spin curves such that the embedded curve  $C \xrightarrow{|\eta \otimes L|} \mathbf{P}^{d-1}$  admits an *i*-secant (i-2)-plane. We shall prove that for  $s \geq 2$ , the locus  $\mathcal{U}_{g,d}^r$  is always a divisor on

Research partially supported by Sonderforschungsbereich "Raum-Zeit-Materie".

 $S_g^{\mp}$ , and we find a formula for the class of its compactification in  $\overline{S}_g^{\mp}$ . For simplicity, we display this formula in the introduction only in the case r = 1, when  $g \equiv 2 \mod 4$ :

**Theorem 0.1.** We fix an integer  $a \ge 1$  and set g := 4a + 2. The locus

$$\mathcal{U}^{1}_{4a+2,2a+2} := \{ [C,\eta] \in \mathcal{S}^{\mp}_{4a+2} : \exists L \in W^{1}_{2a+2}(C) \text{ such that } \eta \otimes L^{\vee} \in C_{3a} - C_{a+1} \}$$

is an effective divisor and the class of its compactification in  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_q^{\mp}$  is given by

$$\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{4a+2,2a+2}^{1} \equiv \binom{4a}{a} \binom{4a+2}{2a} \frac{a+2}{8(2a+1)(4a+1)} \Big( (192a^3 + 736a^2 + 692a + 184)\lambda - (32a^3 + 104a^2 + 82a + 19)\alpha_0 - (64a^3 + 176a^2 + 148a + 36)\beta_0 - \cdots \Big) \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^{\mp}).$$

To specialize further, in Theorem 0.1 we set a = 1, and find the class of (the closure of) the locus of spin curves  $[C, \eta] \in S_6^{\mp}$ , such that there exists a pencil  $L \in W_4^1(C)$  for which the linear series  $C \xrightarrow{|\eta \otimes L|} \mathbf{P}^3$  is not very ample:

$$\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{6,4}^1 \equiv 451\lambda - \frac{237}{4}\alpha_0 - 106\beta_0 - \dots \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_6^{\mp}).$$

The case s = 1, when necessarily  $L = K_C \in W_{2g-2}^{g-1}(C)$ , produces a divisor only on  $\overline{S}_g^+$ , and we recover in this way the main calculation from [F2], used to prove that  $\overline{S}_g^+$ is a variety of general type for g > 8. We recall that  $\Theta_{\text{null}} := \{[C, \eta] \in S_g^+ : H^0(C, \eta) \neq 0\}$ denotes the divisor of *vanishing theta-nulls*.

**Theorem 0.2.** Let  $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+ \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  be the ramified covering which forgets the spin structure. For  $g \geq 3$ , one has the following equality  $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{g,2g-2}^{g-1} = 2 \cdot \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}}$  of codimension 1-cycles on the open subvariety  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_g \cup \Delta_0)$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ . Moreover, there is an equality of classes

$$\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{g,2g-2}^{g-1} \equiv 2 \cdot \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\lambda - \frac{1}{8}\alpha_0 - 0 \cdot \beta_0 - \dots \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+).$$

We remark once more, the low slope of the divisor  $\overline{\Theta}_{null}$ . No similar divisor with such remarkable class is known to exist on  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ . In Section 4, we present a third way of calculating the class  $[\overline{\Theta}_{null}]$ , by rephrasing the condition that a curve *C* have a vanishing theta-null  $\eta$ , if and only if, for a pencil *A* on *C* of minimal degree, the multiplication map of sections

$$H^0(C,A) \otimes H^0(C,A \otimes \eta) \to H^0(C,A^{\otimes 2} \otimes \eta)$$

is not an isomorphism. For  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$  sufficiently general, we note that

$$\dim H^0(C,A) \otimes H^0(C,A \otimes \eta) = \dim H^0(C,A^{\otimes 2} \otimes \eta).$$

In this way,  $\overline{\Theta}_{null}$  appears as the push-forward of a degeneracy locus of a morphism between vector bundles of the same rank defined over a Hurwitz stack of coverings. To compute the push-forward of tautological classes from a Hurwitz stack, we use the techniques developed in [F1] and [Kh].

In the last section of the paper, we study the divisor  $\overline{\Theta}_{g,1}$  on the universal curve  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$ , which consists of points in the support of odd theta-characteristics. This divisor, somewhat similar to the divisor  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_g$  of Weierstrass points on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$ , cf. [Cu], should be of some importance in the study of the birational geometry of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$ :

**Theorem 0.3.** The class of the compactification in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,1}$  of the effective divisor

$$\Theta_{g,1} := \{ [C,q] \in \mathcal{M}_{g,1} : q \in \operatorname{supp}(\eta) \text{ for some } [C,\eta] \in \mathcal{S}_g^- \}$$

is given by the following formula:

$$\overline{\Theta}_{g,1} \equiv 2^{g-3} \Big( (2^g - 1) \big( \lambda + 2\psi \big) - 2^{g-3} \delta_{irr} - (2^g - 2) \delta_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} (2^i + 1) (2^{g-i} - 1) \delta_i \Big) \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}).$$

When g = 2, the divisor  $\Theta_2$  specializes to the divisor of Weierstrass points:

 $\Theta_{2,1} = \mathcal{W}_2 := \{ [C,q] \in \mathcal{M}_{2,1} : q \in C \text{ is a Weierstrass point} \}.$ 

If we use Mumford's formula  $\lambda = \delta_0/10 + \delta_1/5 \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2)$ , Theorem 0.3 reads

$$\overline{\Theta}_{2,1} \equiv \frac{3}{2}\lambda + 3\psi - \frac{1}{4}\delta_{\operatorname{irr}} - \frac{3}{2}\delta_1 = -\lambda + 3\psi - \delta_1 \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1}),$$

that is, we recover the formula for the class of the Weierstrass divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1}$ , cf. [EH2]. When g = 3, the condition  $[C, q] \in \Theta_{3,1}$ , states that the point  $q \in C$  lies on one of the 28 bitangent lines of the canonically embedded curve  $C \xrightarrow{|K_C|} \mathbf{P}^2$ .

**Corollary 0.4.** The class of the compactification in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{3,1}$  of the bitangent locus

$$\Theta_{3,1} := \{ [C,q] \in \mathcal{M}_{3,1} : q \text{ lies on a bitangent of } C \}$$

is equal to  $\overline{\Theta}_{3,1} \equiv 7\lambda + 14\psi - \delta_{irr} - 9\delta_1 - 5\delta_2 \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{3,1}).$ 

If  $p: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,1} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  is the map forgetting the marked point, we note the equality

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}}_3 \equiv p^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{3,2}^1) + 2 \cdot \overline{\mathcal{W}}_3 + 2\psi \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{3,1}),$$

where  $\overline{W}_3 \equiv -\lambda + 6\psi - 3\delta_1 - \delta_2$  is the divisor of Weierstrass points on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{3,1}$ . Since the class  $\psi \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{3,1})$  is big and nef, it follows that  $\overline{\Theta}_{3,1}$  (unlike the divisor  $\overline{\Theta}_{2,1} \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1})$ ), lies in the interior of the cone of effective divisors  $\operatorname{Eff}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{3,1})$ , or it other words, it is big. In particular, it cannot be contracted by a rational map  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{3,1} \dashrightarrow X$  to any projective variety *X*. This phenomenon extends to all higher genera:

**Corollary 0.5.** For every  $g \geq 3$ , the divisor  $\overline{\Theta}_{g,1} \in \text{Eff}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1})$  is big.

It is not known whether the Weierstrass divisor  $\overline{W}_g$  lies on the boundary of the effective cone  $\text{Eff}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1})$  for *g* sufficiently large.

# 1. Generalities about $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_{g}$

As usual, we follow that the convention that if **M** is a Deligne-Mumford stack, then  $\mathcal{M}$  denotes its associated coarse moduli space. We first recall basic facts about Cornalba's stack of stable spin curves  $\pi : \overline{\mathbf{S}}_g \to \overline{\mathbf{M}}_g$ , see [C], [F2], [Lud] for details and other basic properties. If X is a nodal curve, a smooth rational component  $R \subset X$  is said to be *exceptional* if  $\#(R \cap \overline{X - R}) = 2$ . The curve X is said to be *quasi-stable* if  $\#(R \cap \overline{X - R}) \ge 2$  for any smooth rational component  $R \subset X$ , and moreover, any two exceptional components of X are disjoint. A quasi-stable curve is obtained from a stable curve by possibly inserting a rational curve at each of its nodes. We denote by  $[\operatorname{st}(X)] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  the stable model of the quasi-stable curve X. **Definition 1.1.** A *spin curve* of genus g consists of a triple  $(X, \eta, \beta)$ , where X is a genus g quasi-stable curve,  $\eta \in \operatorname{Pic}^{g-1}(X)$  is a line bundle of degree g - 1 such that  $\eta_R = \mathcal{O}_R(1)$  for every exceptional component  $R \subset X$ , and  $\beta : \eta^{\otimes 2} \to \omega_X$  is a sheaf homomorphism which is generically non-zero along each non-exceptional component of X.

Stable spin curves of genus g form a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack  $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g$  which splits into two connected components  $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^+$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^-$ , according to the parity of  $h^0(X, \eta)$ . Let  $f : \mathcal{C} \to \overline{\mathbf{S}}_g$  be the universal family of spin curves of genus g. In particular, for every point  $[X, \eta, \beta] \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g$ , there is an isomorphism between  $f^{-1}([X, \eta, \beta])$  and the quasistable curve X. There exists a (universal) spin line bundle  $\mathcal{P} \in \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{C})$  of relative degree g - 1, as well as a morphism of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ -modules  $B : \mathcal{P}^{\otimes 2} \to \omega_f$  having the property that  $\mathcal{P}_{|f^{-1}([X,\eta,\beta])} = \eta$  and  $B_{|f^{-1}([X,\eta,\beta])} = \beta : \eta^{\otimes 2} \to \omega_X$ , for all spin curves  $[X, \eta, \beta] \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g$ . Throughout we use the canonical isomorphism  $\operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and we make little distinction between line bundles on the stack and the corresponding moduli space.

## 1.1. The boundary divisors of $S_q$ .

We discuss the structure of the boundary divisors of  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g$  and concentrate on the case of  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$ , the differences compared to the situation on  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^-$  being minor. We describe the pull-backs of the boundary divisors  $\Delta_i \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  under the map  $\pi$ . First we fix an integer  $1 \leq i \leq [g/2]$  and let  $[X, \eta, \beta] \in \pi^{-1}([C \cup_y D])$ , where  $[C, y] \in \mathcal{M}_{i,1}$  and  $[D, y] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-i,1}$ . For degree reasons, then  $X = C \cup_{y_1} R \cup_{y_2} D$ , where R is an exceptional component such that  $C \cap R = \{y_1\}$  and  $D \cap R = \{y_2\}$ . Furthermore  $\eta = (\eta_C, \eta_D, \eta_R = \mathcal{O}_R(1)) \in \operatorname{Pic}^{g-1}(X)$ , where  $\eta_C^{\otimes 2} = K_C$  and  $\eta_D^{\otimes 2} = K_D$ . The theta-characteristics  $\eta_C$  and  $\eta_D$  have the same parity in the case of  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$  (and opposite parities for  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^-$ ). One denotes by  $A_i \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$  the closure of the locus corresponding to pairs of pointed spin curves

$$([C, y, \eta_C], [D, y, \eta_D]) \in \mathcal{S}_{i,1}^+ \times \mathcal{S}_{g-i,1}^+$$

and by  $B_i \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$  the closure of the locus corresponding to pairs

$$([C, y, \eta_C], [D, y, \eta_D]) \in \mathcal{S}_{i,1}^- \times \mathcal{S}_{g-i,1}^-.$$

If  $\alpha := [A_i], \beta_i := [B_i] \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_q^+)$ , we have the relation  $\pi^*(\delta_i) = \alpha_i + \beta_i$ .

Next, we describe  $\pi^*(\delta_0)$  and pick a stable spin curve  $[X, \eta, \beta]$  such that  $\operatorname{st}(X) = C_{yq} := C/y \sim q$ , with  $[C, y, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1,2}$ . There are two possibilities depending on whether X possesses an exceptional component or not. If  $X = C_{yq}$  and  $\eta_C := \nu^*(\eta)$  where  $\nu : C \to X$  denotes the normalization map, then  $\eta_C^{\otimes 2} = K_C(y+q)$ . For each choice of  $\eta_C \in \operatorname{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$  as above, there is precisely one choice of gluing the fibres  $\eta_C(y)$  and  $\eta_C(q)$  such that  $h^0(X, \eta) \equiv 0 \mod 2$ . We denote by  $A_0$  the closure in  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+$  of the locus of points  $[C_{yq}, \eta_C \in \operatorname{Pic}^{g-1}(C), \eta_C^{\otimes 2} = K_C(y+q)]$  as above.

If  $X = C \cup_{\{y,q\}} R$ , where R is an exceptional component, then  $\eta_C := \eta \otimes \mathcal{O}_C$  is a theta-characteristic on C. Since  $H^0(X, \omega) \cong H^0(C, \omega_C)$ , it follows that  $[C, \eta_C] \in \mathcal{S}_{g-1}^+$ . We denote by  $B_0 \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}_q^+$  the closure of the locus of points

$$[C \cup_{\{y,q\}} R, \eta_C \in \sqrt{K_C}, \eta_R = \mathcal{O}_R(1)] \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+.$$

A local analysis carried out in [C], shows that  $B_0$  is the branch locus of  $\pi$  and the ramification is simple. If  $\alpha_0 = [A_0] \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$  and  $\beta_0 = [B_0] \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+)$ , we have the relation (1)  $\pi^*(\delta_0) = \alpha_0 + 2\beta_0$ .

## 2. DIFFERENCE VARIETIES AND THETA-CHARACTERISTICS

We describe a way of calculating the class of a series of effective divisors on both moduli spaces  $\overline{S}_g^-$  and  $\overline{S}_g^+$ , defined in terms of the relative position of a thetacharacteristic with respect to the divisorial difference varieties in the Jacobian of a curve. These loci, which should be thought of as divisors of Brill-Noether type on  $\overline{S}_g$ , inherit a determinantal description over the entire moduli stack of spin curves, via the interpretation of difference varieties in  $\operatorname{Pic}^{g-2i-1}(C)$  as Raynaud theta-divisors for exterior powers of Lazarsfeld bundles provided in [FMP]. The determinantal description is then extended over a partial compactification  $\widetilde{S}_g$  of  $S_g$ , using the explicit description of stable spin curves. The formulas we obtain for the class of these divisors are identical over both  $\overline{S}_g^-$  and  $\overline{S}_g^+$ , therefore we sometimes use the symbol  $\overline{S}_g^+$  (or even  $\overline{S}_g$ ), to denote one of the two spin moduli spaces.

We start with a curve  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$  and denote as usual by  $Q_C := M_{K_C}^{\vee}$  the associated *Lazarsfeld bundle* [L] defined via the exact sequence on C

$$0 \to M_{K_C} \to H^0(C, K_C) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} K_C \to 0.$$

Note that  $Q_C$  is a semistable vector bundle on C (even stable, when the curve C is non-hyperelliptic), and  $\mu(Q_C) = 2$ . For integers  $0 \le i \le g - 1$ , one defines the *divisorial difference variety*  $C_{q-i-1} - C_i \subset \operatorname{Pic}^{g-2i-1}(C)$  as being the image of the difference map

$$\phi: C_{g-i-1} \times C_i \to \operatorname{Pic}^{g-2i-1}(C), \ \phi(D, E) := \mathcal{O}_C(D-E).$$

The main result from [FMP] provides a scheme-theoretic identification of divisors on the Jacobian variety

(2) 
$$C_{g-i-1} - C_i = \Theta_{\wedge^i Q_C} \subset \operatorname{Pic}^{g-2i-1}(C),$$

where the right-hand-side denotes the Raynaud locus [R]

$$\Theta_{\wedge^{i}Q_{C}} := \{\eta \in \operatorname{Pic}^{g-2i-1}(C) : H^{0}(C, \wedge^{i}Q_{C} \otimes \eta) \neq 0\}.$$

The non-vanishing  $H^0(C, \wedge^i Q_C \otimes \xi) \neq 0$  for all line bundles  $\xi = \mathcal{O}_C(D - E)$ , where  $D \in C_{g-i-1}$  and  $E \in C_i$ , follows from [L]. The thrust of [FMP] is that the reverse inclusion  $\Theta_{\wedge^i Q_C} \subset C_{g-i-1} - C_i$  also holds. Moreover, identification (2) shows that, somewhat similarly to Riemann's Singularity Theorem, the product  $C_{g-i-1} \times C_i$  can be thought of as a canonical desingularization of the generalized theta-divisor  $\Theta_{\wedge^i Q_C}$ .

We fix integers r, s > 0 and set d := rs + r, g := rs + s, therefore the Brill-Noether number  $\rho(g, r, d) = 0$ . We assume moreover that  $d \equiv 0 \mod 2$ , that is, either r is even or s is odd, and write d = 2i. We define the following locus in the spin moduli space  $S_a^{\mp}$ :

$$\mathcal{U}_{g,d}^r := \{ [C,\eta] \in \mathcal{S}_g^{\mp} : \exists L \in W_d^r(C) \text{ such that } \eta \otimes L^{\vee} \in C_{g-i-1} - C_i \}.$$

Using (2), the condition  $[C, \eta] \in U_{a,d}^r$  can be rewritten in a determinantal way as,

$$H^0(C, \wedge^i M_{K_C} \otimes \eta \otimes L) \neq 0.$$

Tensoring by  $\eta \otimes L$  the exact sequence coming from the definition of  $M_{K_C}$ , namely

$$0 \longrightarrow \wedge^{i} M_{K_{C}} \longrightarrow \wedge^{i} H^{0}(C, K_{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C} \longrightarrow \wedge^{i-1} M_{K_{C}} \otimes K_{C} \longrightarrow 0,$$

then taking global sections and finally using that  $M_{K_C}$  (hence all of its exterior powers) are semi-stable vector bundles, we find that  $[C, \eta] \in \mathcal{U}_{q,d}^r$  if and only if the map

$$(3) \quad \phi(C,\eta,L):\wedge^{i}H^{0}(C,K_{C})\otimes H^{0}(C,\eta\otimes L)\to H^{0}(C,\wedge^{i-1}M_{K_{C}}\otimes K_{C}\otimes \eta\otimes L)$$

is not an isomorphism for a certain  $L \in W_d^r(C)$ . Since  $\mu(\wedge^{i-1}M_{K_C} \otimes K_C \otimes \eta \otimes L) \ge 2g-1$ and  $\wedge^{i-1}M_{K_C}$  is a semi-stable vector bundle on C, it follows that

$$h^{0}(C, \wedge^{i-1}M_{K_{C}} \otimes K_{C} \otimes \eta \otimes L) = \chi(C, \wedge^{i-1}M_{K_{C}} \otimes K_{C} \otimes \eta \otimes L) = \binom{g}{i}d.$$

We assume that  $h^1(C, \eta \otimes L) = 0$ . This condition is satisfied outside a locus of  $S_g^{\mp}$  of codimension at least 2; if  $H^1(C, \eta \otimes L) \neq 0$ , then  $H^1(C, K_C \otimes L^{\otimes (-2)}) \neq 0$ , in particular the Petri map

$$\mu_0(C,L): H^0(C,L) \otimes H^0(C,K_C \otimes L^{\vee}) \to H^0(C,K_C)$$

is not injective. Then  $h^0(C, L \otimes \eta) = d$  and we note that  $\phi(C, \eta, L)$  is a map between vector spaces of the same rank. This obviously suggests a determinantal presentation of  $\mathcal{U}_{g,d}^r$  as the (push-forward of) a degeneracy locus between vector bundles of the same rank. In what follows we extend this presentation over a partial compactification of  $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^{\mp}$ . We refer to [FL] Section 2 for a similar calculation over the Prym moduli stack  $\overline{\mathbf{R}}_g$ .

We denote by  $\mathbf{M}_g^0 \subset \mathbf{M}_g$  the open substack classifying curves  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$  such that  $W_{d-1}^r(C) = \emptyset$ ,  $W_d^{r+1}(C) = \emptyset$  and moreover  $H^1(C, L \otimes \eta) = 0$ , for every  $L \in W_d^r(C)$  and each odd-theta characteristic  $\eta \in \operatorname{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ . From general Brill-Noether theory one knows that  $\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{M}_g - \mathcal{M}_g^0, \mathcal{M}_g) \geq 2$ . Then we define  $\widetilde{\Delta}_0 \subset \Delta_0$  to be the open substack consisting of 1-nodal stable curves  $[C_{yq} := C/y \sim q]$ , where  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1}$  is a curve satisfying the Brill-Noether theorem and  $y, q \in C$ . We then set  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0 := \mathbf{M}_g^0 \cup \widetilde{\Delta}_0$ , hence  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0 \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_g$  and then  $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0 := \pi^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0) = (\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0)^+ \cup (\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0)^-$ . Following [EH1], [F1], we consider the proper Deligne-Mumford stack

$$\sigma_0:\mathfrak{G}^r_d\to\overline{\mathbf{M}}^0_g$$

classifying pairs [C, L] with  $[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g^0$  and  $L \in W_d^r(C)$ . For any curve  $[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g^0$  and  $L \in W_d^r(C)$ , we have that  $h^0(C, L) = r + 1$ , that is,  $\mathfrak{G}_d^r$  parameterizes only complete linear series. For a point  $[C_{yq} := C/y \sim q] \in \widetilde{\Delta}_0$ , we have the identification

$$\sigma_0^{-1}[C_{yq}] = \{ L \in W_d^r(C) : h^0(C, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-y-q)) = r \},\$$

that is, we view linear series on singular curves as linear series on the normalization such that the divisor of the nodes imposes only one condition. We denote by  $f_d^r : \mathfrak{C}_{g,d}^r := \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{g,1}^0 \times_{\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0} \mathfrak{G}_d^r \to \mathfrak{G}_d^r$  the pull-back of the universal curve  $p : \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{g,1}^0 \to \overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0$  to  $\mathfrak{G}_d^r$ . Once we have chosen a Poincaré bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathfrak{C}_{g,d}^r$ , we can form the three codimension 1 tautological classes in  $A^1(\mathfrak{G}_d^r)$ : (4)

$$\mathfrak{a} := (f_d^r)_* \big( c_1(\mathcal{L})^2 \big), \ \mathfrak{b} := (f_d^r)_* \big( c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot c_1(\omega_{f_d^r}) \big), \ \mathfrak{c} := (f_d^r)_* \big( c_1(\omega_{f_d^r})^2 \big) = (\sigma_0)^* \big( (\kappa_1)_{\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0} \big).$$

The dependence on  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}$  on the choice of  $\mathcal{L}$  is discussed in both [F2] and [FL]. We introduce the stack of  $\mathfrak{g}_d^r$ 's on spin curves

$$\sigma:\mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0):=\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0\times_{\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0}\mathfrak{G}_d^r\to\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0$$

and then the corresponding universal spin curve over the  $\mathfrak{g}_d^r$  parameter space

$$f': \mathcal{C}_d^r := \mathcal{C} \times_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0} \mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0) \to \mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0)$$

We note that f' is a family of quasi-stable curves carrying at the same time a spin structure as well as a  $\mathfrak{g}_d^r$ . Just like in [FL], the boundary divisors of  $\mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0)$  are denoted by the same symbols, that is, one sets  $A'_0 := \sigma^*(A'_0)$  and  $B'_0 := \sigma^*(B'_0)$  and then

$$\alpha_0 := [A'_0], \ \beta_0 := [B'_0] \in A^1(\mathfrak{G}^r_d(\overline{\mathbf{S}}^0_g/\overline{\mathbf{M}}^0_g)).$$

We observe that two tautological line bundles live on  $C_d^r$ , namely the pull-back of the universal spin bundle  $\mathcal{P}_d^r \in \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{C}_d^r)$  and a Poincaré bundle  $\mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_d^r)$  singling out the  $\mathfrak{g}_d^{r'}$ s, that is,  $\mathcal{L}_{|f'^{-1}[X,\eta,\beta,L]} = L \in W_d^r(C)$ , for each point  $[X,\eta,\beta,L] \in \mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0)$ . Naturally, one also has the classes  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c} \in A^1(\mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0))$  defined by the formulas (4). The following result is easy to prove and we skip details:

**Proposition 2.1.** We denote by  $f' : C_d^r \to \mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{S}_g^0/\overline{M}_g^0)$  the universal quasi-stable spin curve and by  $\mathcal{P}_d^r \in \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{C}_d^r)$  the universal spin bundle of relative degree g - 1. One has the following formulas in  $A^1(\mathfrak{G}^r_d(\overline{\mathfrak{S}}^0_a/\overline{\mathfrak{M}}^0_a))$ :

(i)  $f'_{*}(c_{1}(\omega_{f'}) \cdot c_{1}(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{r})) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{c}.$ (ii)  $f'_{*}(c_{1}(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{r})^{2}) = \frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{c} - \frac{1}{2}\beta_{0}.$ (iii)  $f'_{*}(c_{1}(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{r})) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{h}$ 

(iii) 
$$f'_*(c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{P}^r_d)) = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{k}$$

We determine the class of a compactification of  $\mathcal{U}_{g,d}^r$  by pushing-forward a codimension 1 degeneracy locus via the map  $\sigma : \mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0) \to \overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0$ . To that end, we define a sequence of tautological vector bundles on  $\mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_a^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_a^0)$ : First, for  $l \ge 0$  we set

$$\mathcal{A}_{0,l} := f'_*(\mathcal{L} \otimes \omega_{f'}^{\otimes l} \otimes \mathcal{P}_d^r).$$

It is easy to verify that  $R^1 f'_* (\mathcal{L} \otimes \omega_{f'}^{\otimes l} \otimes \mathcal{P}_d^r) = 0$ , hence  $\mathcal{A}_{0,l}$  is locally free over  $\mathfrak{G}_d^r (\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0 / \overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0)$ of rank equal to  $h^0(X, L \otimes \omega_X^{\otimes l} \otimes \eta) = l(2g - 2) + d$ . Next we introduce the global Lazarsfeld vector bundle  $\mathcal{M}$  over  $\mathcal{C}_d^r$  by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow (f')^* (f'_* \omega_{f'}) \longrightarrow \omega_{f'} \longrightarrow 0,$$

and then for all integers  $a, j \ge 1$  we define the sheaf over  $\mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_a^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_a^0)$ 

$$\mathcal{A}_{a,j} := f'_*(\wedge^a \mathcal{M} \otimes \omega_{f'}^{\otimes j} \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{P}_d^r).$$

In a way similar to [FL] Proposition 2.5 one shows that  $R^1 f'_* (\wedge^a \mathcal{M} \otimes \omega_{\underline{f'}}^{\otimes (i-a)} \otimes \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{P}^r_d) =$ 0, therefore by Grauert's theorem  $\mathcal{A}_{a,i-a}$  is a vector bundle over  $\mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0)$  of rank

$$\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{A}_{a,i-a}) = \chi \left( X, \wedge^a M_{\omega_X} \otimes \omega_X^{\otimes (i-a)} \otimes L \otimes \eta \right) = 2(i-a)g \binom{g-1}{a}.$$

Furthermore, for all  $1 \le a \le i - 1$ , the vector bundles  $\mathcal{A}_{a,i-a}$  sit in exact sequences

(5) 
$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{a,i-a} \longrightarrow \wedge^a f'_*(\omega_{f'}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{0,i-a} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{a-1,i-a+1} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the right exactness boils down to showing that  $H^1(X, \wedge^a M_{\omega_X} \otimes \omega_X^{\otimes (i-a)} \otimes \eta \otimes L) = 0$  for all  $[X, \eta, \beta, L] \in \mathfrak{G}^r_d(\overline{\mathbf{S}}^0_a/\overline{\mathbf{M}}^0_a).$ 

We denote as usual  $\mathbb{E} := f'_*(\omega_{f'})$  the Hodge bundle over  $\mathfrak{G}^r_d(\overline{\mathbf{S}}^0_g/\overline{\mathbf{M}}^0_g)$  and then note that there exists a vector bundle map

(6) 
$$\phi: \wedge^{i} \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{0,0} \to \mathcal{A}_{i-1,1}$$

between vector bundles of the same rank over  $\mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0)$ . For  $[C, \eta, L] \in \sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_g^0)$  the fibre of this morphism is precisely the map  $\phi(C, \eta, L)$  defined by (3).

**Theorem 2.2.** The vector bundle morphism  $\phi : \wedge^i \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{0,0} \to \mathcal{A}_{i-1,1}$  is generically nondegenerate over  $\mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{\mathfrak{G}}_g^0/\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_g^0)$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{U}_{g,d}^r$  is an effective divisor over  $\mathcal{S}_g^+$  for all  $s \ge 1$ , and over  $\mathcal{S}_q^-$  as well for  $s \ge 2$ .

*Proof.* We specialize *C* to a hyperelliptic curve, and denote by  $A \in W_2^1(C)$  the hyperelliptic involution. The Lazarsfeld bundle splits into a sum of line bundles  $Q_C \cong A^{\oplus(g-1)}$ , therefore the condition  $H^0(C, \wedge^i M_{K_C} \otimes \eta \otimes L) = 0$  translates into  $H^0(C, \eta \otimes A^{\otimes i} \otimes L^{\vee}) = 0$ . Suppose that  $h^0(C, \eta \otimes A^{\otimes i} \otimes L^{\vee}) \ge 1$  for any  $L = A^{\otimes r} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(x_1 + \cdots + x_{d-2r}) \in W_d^r(C)$ , where the  $x_1, \ldots, x_{d-2r} \in C$  are arbitrarily chosen points. This implies that  $h^0(C, \eta \otimes A^{\otimes(i-r)}) \ge d - 2r + 1$ . Any theta-characteristic on *C* is of the form

$$\eta = A^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p_1 + \dots + p_{g-2m-1}),$$

where  $1 \le m \le (g-1)/2$  and  $p_1, \ldots, p_{g-2m-1} \in C$  are Weierstrass points. Choosing a theta-characteristic on C for which  $m \le i - r - 1$  (which can be done in all cases except on  $S_q^-$  when i = r), we obtain that  $h^0(C, \eta \otimes A^{\otimes (i-r)}) \le d - 2r$ , a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

*Proof of Theorem 0.1.* To compute the class of the degeneracy locus of  $\phi$  we use repeatedly the exact sequence (5). We write the following identities in  $A^1(\mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_q^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_q^0))$ :

$$c_1 \left( \mathcal{A}_{i-1,1} - \wedge^i \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{0,0} \right) = \sum_{l=0}^i (-1)^{l-1} c_1 (\wedge^{i-l} \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{0,l}) =$$
$$= \sum_{l=0}^i (-1)^{l+1} \left( (2l(g-1)+d) \binom{g-1}{i-l-1} c_1(\mathbb{E}) + \binom{g}{i-l} c_1(\mathcal{A}_{0,l}) \right)$$

Using Proposition 2.1 one can show via the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula applied to  $f': C_d^r \to \mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0)$  that one has that

$$c_1(\mathcal{A}_{0,l}) = \lambda + \left(\frac{l^2}{2} - \frac{1}{8}\right)\mathfrak{c} + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{a} + l\mathfrak{b} - \frac{1}{4}\beta_0 \in A^1(\mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0)).$$

To determine  $\sigma_*(c_1(\mathcal{A}_{i-1,1} - \wedge^i \mathbb{E})) \in A^1(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g)$  we use [F1], [Kh]: If

$$N:=\deg(\sigma)=\#(W^r_d(C))$$

denotes the number of  $\mathfrak{g}_d^{r'}$ s on a general curve  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$ , then there exists a precisely described choice of a Poincaré bundle on  $\mathfrak{C}_{g,d}^r$  such that the push-forwards of the tautological classes on  $\mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0)$  are given as follows (cf. [F1], [Kh] and especially [FL] Section 2, for the similar argument in the Prym case):

$$\sigma_*(\mathfrak{a}) = \frac{dN}{(g-1)(g-2)} \Big( (gd - 2g^2 + 8d - 8g + 4)\lambda + \frac{1}{6}(2g^2 - gd + 3g - 4d - 2)(\alpha_0 + 2\beta_0) \Big)$$

and

$$\sigma_*(\mathfrak{b}) = \frac{dN}{2g-2} \Big( 12\lambda - \alpha_0 - 2\beta_0 \Big) \in A^1(\mathfrak{G}^r_d(\overline{\mathbf{S}}^0_g/\overline{\mathbf{M}}^0_g)).$$

One notes that  $c_1(\mathcal{A}_{i-1,1} - \wedge^i \mathbb{E} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{0,0}) \in A^1(\mathfrak{G}_d^r(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0))$  does not depend of the Poincaré bundle. Using the previous formulas, after some arithmetic, one computes the class of the partial compactification of  $\mathcal{U}_{q,d}^r$  and finishes the proof.

When s = 2a + 1, hence g = (2a + 1)(r + 1) and d = 2r(a + 1), our calculation shows that

$$\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{g,d}^r \equiv c_{a,r} (\bar{\lambda} \ \lambda - \bar{\alpha_0} \ \alpha_0 - \bar{\beta}_0 \ \beta_0 - \cdots) \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^{\mp}),$$

where 
$$c_{a,r} \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$$
 is explicitly known and  
 $\bar{\lambda} = 12r^3 - 12r^2 - 48a^2 + 96a^3 + 48r^4a + 2208r^3a^3 + 1968r^3a^2 + 3936r^2a^3 + 2208ra^3 + 552r^3a + 3984r^2a^2 + 1080r^2a + 2160ra^2 + 528ra + 192r^4a^4 + 384r^4a^3 + 768r^3a^4 + 960r^2a^4 + 240r^4a^2 + 384ra^4,$ 

$$\bar{\alpha}_{0} = 220ra^{2} + 536r^{2}a^{3} + 32r^{4}a^{4} + 36ra + 24a^{3} + 328r^{3}a^{3} + 296ra^{3} + 8r^{4}a + 64r^{4}a^{3} + 3r^{3} + 468r^{2}a^{2} + 128r^{3}a^{4} + 74r^{3}a + 40r^{4}a^{2} + 160r^{2}a^{4} + 64ra^{4} + 268r^{3}a^{2} + 110r^{2}a - 3r^{2} - 12a^{2}$$

and

$$\bar{\beta}_0 = 96ra + 64r^4a^4 + 16r^4a + 416ra^2 + 928r^2a^3 + 448ra^3 + 208r^2a + 608r^3a^3 + 256r^3a^4 + 112r^3a + 80r^4a^2 + 320r^2a^4 + 128ra^4 + 464r^3a^2 + 128r^4a^3 + 816r^2a^2.$$

These formulas, though unwieldy, carry a great deal of information about  $\overline{S}_g$ . In the simplest case, s = 1 (that is, a = 0) and r = g - 1, then necessarily  $L = K_C \in W_{2g-2}^{g-1}(C)$  and the condition  $\eta - K_C \in -C_{g-1}$  is equivalent to  $H^0(C, \eta) \neq 0$ . In this way we recover the theta-null divisor  $\overline{\Theta}_{null}$  on  $\overline{S}_g^+$ , or more precisely also taking into account multiplicities [F2],

$$\mathcal{U}_{g,2g-2}^{g-1} = 2 \cdot \Theta_{\text{null}}$$

At the same time, on  $S_a^+$  one does not get a divisor at all. In particular, we find that

$$\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{g,2g-2}^{g-1} \equiv 2 \cdot \overline{\Theta}_{\text{null}} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\lambda - \frac{1}{8}\alpha_0 - 0 \cdot \beta_0 - \dots \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+).$$

Another interesting case is when r = 2, hence  $g = 3s, L \in W^2_{2s+2}(C)$  and the condition  $\eta \otimes L^{\vee} \in C_{2s-2} - C_{s+1}$  is equivalent to requiring that the embedded curve  $C \xrightarrow{|\eta \otimes L|} \mathbf{P}^{2s+1}$  has an (s + 1)-secant (s - 1)-plane:

**Theorem 2.3.** For g = 3s, d = 2s + 2, the class of the closure in  $\overline{S}_{q}^{\mp}$  of the effective divisor

$$\mathcal{U}_{g,d}^{2} := \{ [C,\eta] \in \mathcal{S}_{3s}^{\mp} : \exists L \in W_{2s+2}^{2}(C) \text{ such that } \eta \otimes L^{\vee} \in C_{2s-2} - C_{s+1} \}$$

is given by the formula in  $\operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_q^{\mp})$ :

$$\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{g,d}^2 \equiv \binom{g}{s+2} \binom{g}{s,s,s} \frac{1}{24g(g-1)^2(g-2)(s+1)^2} \Big( 4(216s^4 + 513s^3 - 348s^2 - 387s + 18)\lambda - (144s^4 + 225s^3 - 268s^2 - 99s + 10)\alpha_0 - (288s^4 + 288s^3 + 320s^2 + 32)\beta_0 - \cdots \Big).$$

For instance, for g = 9, we obtain the class of the closure of the locus spin curves  $[C, \eta] \in S_9^{\mp}$ , for which there exists a net  $L \in W_8^2(C)$  such that  $\eta \otimes L^{\vee} \in C_4 - C_4$ :

$$\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{9,8}^2 \equiv 235 \cdot 35 \left(\frac{36}{5}\lambda - \alpha_0 - \frac{428}{235}\beta_0 - \cdots\right) \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_9^{\mp})$$

3. The class of  $\overline{\Theta}_{null}$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_q^+$ : An alternative proof using the Hurwitz stack

We present an alternative way of computing the class of the divisor  $[\overline{\Theta}_{null}]$  (in even genus), as the push-forward of a determinantal cycle on a Hurwitz scheme of degree *k* coverings of genus *g* curves. We set

$$g = 2k - 2, r = 1, d = k$$

hence  $\rho(g, 1, k) = 0$ , and use the notation from the previous section. In particular, we have the proper morphism  $\sigma_0 : \mathfrak{G}_k^1 \to \overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0$  from the Hurwitz stack of  $\mathfrak{g}_k^1$ 's, and the universal spin curve over the Hurwitz stack

$$f': \mathcal{C}_1^k := \mathcal{C} \times_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0} \mathfrak{G}_k^1(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0) \to \mathfrak{G}_k^1(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0).$$

Once more, we introduce a number of vector bundles over  $\mathfrak{G}_k^1(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0)$ : First, we set  $\mathcal{H} := f'_*(\mathcal{L})$ . By Grauert's theorem,  $\mathcal{H}$  is a vector bundle of rank 2 over  $\mathfrak{G}_k^1(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0)$ , having fibre  $\mathcal{H}[X, \eta, \beta, L] = H^0(X, L)$ , where  $L \in W_k^1(X)$ . Then for  $j \ge 1$  we define

$$\mathcal{B}_j := f'_*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes j} \otimes \mathcal{P}^1_k).$$

Since  $R^1 f'_*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes j} \otimes \mathcal{P}^1_k) = 0$ , we find that  $\mathcal{B}_j$  is a vector bundle over  $\mathfrak{G}^1_k(\overline{\mathbf{S}}^0_g/\overline{\mathbf{M}}^0_g)$  of rank equal to  $h^0(X, L^{\otimes j} \otimes \eta) = kj$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** If  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}$  are the codimension 1 tautological classes on  $\mathfrak{G}_k^1(\overline{\mathfrak{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_g^0)$  defined by (4), then for all  $j \geq 1$  one has the following formula in  $A^1(\mathfrak{G}_k^1(\overline{\mathfrak{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_g^0))$ :

$$c_1(\mathcal{B}_j) = \lambda - \frac{1}{8}\mathfrak{c} + \frac{j^2}{2}\mathfrak{a} - \frac{j}{2}\mathfrak{b} - \frac{1}{4}\beta_0.$$

*Proof.* We apply Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch to the morphism  $f' : \mathcal{C}_k^1 \to \mathfrak{G}_k^1(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_q^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_q^0)$ :

$$c_1(\mathcal{B}_j) = c_1 \left( f'_1(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes j} \otimes \mathcal{P}^1_k) \right) =$$
  
=  $f'_* \left[ \left( 1 + c_1(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes j} \otimes \mathcal{P}^1_k) + \frac{c_1^2(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes j} \otimes \mathcal{P}^1_k)}{2} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{c_1(\omega_{f'})}{2} + \frac{c_1^2(\omega_{f'}) + [\operatorname{Sing}(f')]}{12} \right) \right]_2,$ 

where  $\operatorname{Sing}(f') \subset \mathcal{X}_k^1$  denotes the codimension 2 singular locus of the morphism f', therefore  $f'_*[\operatorname{Sing}(f')] = \alpha_0 + 2\beta_0$ . We then use Mumford's formula [HM] pulled back from  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0$  to  $\mathfrak{G}_k^1(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0)$ , to write that

$$x_1 = f'_*(c_1^2(\omega_{f'})) = 12\lambda - (\alpha_0 + 2\beta_0)$$

and then note that  $f'_*(c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{P}^1_k)) = 0$  (the restriction of  $\mathcal{L}$  to the exceptional divisor of  $f' : \mathcal{C}^1_k \to \mathfrak{G}^1_k(\overline{\mathbf{S}}^0_g/\overline{\mathbf{M}}^0_g)$  is trivial). Similarly, we note that  $f'_*(c_1(\omega_{f'}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{P}^1_k)) = \mathfrak{c}/2$ . Finally, we write that  $f'_*(c_1^2(\mathcal{P}^1_k)) = \mathfrak{c}/4 - \beta_0/2$ .

For  $j \ge 1$  there are natural vector bundle morphisms over  $\mathfrak{G}_k^1(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_q^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_q^0)$ 

$$\chi_j: \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{B}_j \to \mathcal{B}_{j+1}.$$

Over a point  $[C, \eta_C, L] \in S_g^+ \times_{\mathcal{M}_g} \mathfrak{G}_k^1$  corresponding to an even theta-characteristic  $\eta_C$ and a pencil  $L \in W_k^1(C)$ , the morphism  $\chi_j$  is given by multiplications of global sections

$$\chi_j[C,\eta,L]: H^0(C,L) \otimes H^0(C,L^{\otimes j} \otimes \eta_C) \to H^0(C,L^{\otimes (j+1)} \otimes \eta_C).$$

In particular,  $\chi_1 : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_2$  is a morphism between vector bundles of the same rank. From the base point free pencil trick, the degeneration locus  $Z_1(\chi_1)$  is (set-theoretically) equal to the inverse image  $\sigma^{-1}(\overline{\Theta}_{null} \cap (\overline{\mathcal{S}}_q^0)^+)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** We fix g = 2k - 2. The vector bundle morphism  $\chi_1 : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_2$  defined over  $\mathfrak{G}_k^1(\overline{S}_g^0/\overline{M}_g^0)$  is generically non-degenerate and we have the following formula for the class of its degeneracy locus:

$$[Z_1(\chi_1)] = c_1(\mathcal{B}_2 - \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda - \frac{1}{8}\alpha_0 + \mathfrak{a} - kc_1(\mathcal{H}) \in A^1(\mathfrak{G}_k^1(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g^0)).$$

The class of the push-forward  $\sigma_*[Z_1(\chi_1)]$  to  $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_q^+$  is given by the formula:

$$\sigma_* \left( c_1(\mathcal{B}_2 - \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{B}_1) \right) \equiv \frac{(2k-2)!}{k!(k-1)!} \left( \frac{1}{2}\lambda - \frac{1}{8}\alpha_0 - 0 \cdot \beta_0 \right) \equiv \frac{2(2k-2)!}{k!(k-1)!} \,\overline{\Theta}_{\text{null } |\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+} \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^+).$$

*Proof.* The first part follows directly from Theorem 3.1. To determine the push-forward of codimension 1 tautological classes to  $(\overline{S}_g^0)^+$ , we use again [F1], [Kh]: One writes the following relations in  $A^1((\overline{S}_g^0)^+) = A^1((\overline{S}_g^0)^+)$ :

$$\sigma_*(\mathfrak{a}) = \deg(\mathfrak{G}_k^1/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0) \left( -\frac{3k(k+1)}{2k-3} \lambda + \frac{k^2}{2(2k-3)}(\alpha_0 + 2\beta_0) \right),$$
$$\sigma_*(\mathfrak{b}) = \deg(\mathfrak{G}_k^1/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0) \left( \frac{6k}{2k-3} \lambda - \frac{k}{2(2k-3)}(\alpha_0 + 2\beta_0) \right),$$

and

$$\sigma_*(c_1(\mathcal{H})) = \deg(\mathfrak{G}_k^1/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0) \left(-3\frac{k+1}{2k-3}\,\lambda + \frac{k}{2(2k-3)}(\alpha_0 + 2\beta_0)\right),$$

where

$$N := \deg(\mathfrak{G}_k^1 / \overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0) = \frac{(2k-2)!}{k!(k-1)!}$$

denotes the *Catalan number* of linear series  $\mathfrak{g}_k^1$  on a general curve of genus 2k - 2. This yields yet another proof of the main result from [F2], in the sense that we compute the class of the divisor  $\overline{\Theta}_{null}$  of vanishing theta-nulls:

$$\sigma_*(Z_1(\chi_1)) = \deg(\mathfrak{G}_k^1/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0) \left(\frac{1}{2}\lambda - \frac{1}{8}\alpha_0\right) \equiv 2\deg(\mathfrak{G}_k^1/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0) \ [\overline{\Theta}_{\operatorname{null}}_{|(\overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^0)^+}].$$

**Remark 3.3.** The multiplicity 2 appearing in the expression of  $\sigma_*(Z_1(\chi_1))$  is justified by the fact that dim  $\text{Ker}(\chi_1(t)) = h^0(C, \eta)$  for every  $[C, \eta, L] \in \sigma^{-1}((\mathcal{S}_g^0)^+)$ . This of course is always an even number. Thus we have the equality cycles

$$Z_1(\chi_1) = Z_2(\chi_1) = \{ t \in \mathfrak{G}_k^1(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_g^0/\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g^0) : \operatorname{co-rank}(\phi_1(t)) \ge 2 \},\$$

that is  $\chi_1$  degenerates in codimension 1 with corank 2, and  $Z_1(\chi_1)$  is an everywhere non-reduced scheme.

### 4. THE DIVISOR OF POINTS OF ODD THETA-CHARACTERISTICS

In this section we compute the class of the divisor  $\overline{\Theta}_{g,1}$ . The study of geometric divisors on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$  begins with [Cu], where the locus of Weierstrass points is determined:

$$\overline{\mathcal{W}_g} \equiv -\lambda + \binom{g+1}{2}\psi - \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \binom{g-i+1}{2}\delta_{i:1} \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}).$$

More generally, if  $\bar{\alpha}: 0 \leq \alpha_0 \leq \ldots \leq \alpha_r \leq d-r$  is a *Schubert index* of type (r, d) such that  $\rho(g, r, d) - \sum_{i=0}^r \alpha_i = -1$ , one defines the *pointed Brill-Noether divisor*  $\mathcal{M}_{g,d}^r(\bar{\alpha})$  as being the locus of pointed curves  $[C,q] \in \mathcal{M}_{g,1}$  possessing a linear series  $l \in G_d^r(C)$  with ramification sequence  $\alpha^l(q) \geq \bar{\alpha}$ . It follows from [EH3] that the cone spanned by the pointed Brill-Noether divisors on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$  is 2-dimensional, with generators  $[\overline{\mathcal{W}}_g]$  and the pull-back of the Brill-Noether class from  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . Our aim is to analyze the divisor  $\overline{\Theta}_{g,1}$ , whose definition is arguably simpler than that of the divisors  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,d}^r(\bar{\alpha})$ , and which seems to have been overlooked until now. A consequence of the calculation is that (as expected)  $[\overline{\Theta}_{g,1}]$  lies outside the Brill-Noether cone of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$ .

We begin by recalling basic facts about divisors on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$ . For  $i = 1, \ldots, g - 1$ , the divisor  $\Delta_i$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$  is the closure of the locus of pointed curves  $[C \cup D, q]$ , where C and D are smooth curves of genus i and g - i respectively, and  $q \in C$ . Similarly,  $\Delta_{irr}$  denotes the closure in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$  of the locus of irreducible 1-pointed stable curves. We set  $\delta_i := [\Delta_i], \delta_{irr} := [\Delta_{irr}] \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1})$ , and recall that  $\psi \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1})$  is the universal cotangent class. Clearly,  $p^*(\delta_{irr}) = \delta_{irr}$  and  $p^*(\delta_i) = \delta_i + \delta_{g-i} \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1})$  for  $1 \le i \le [g/2]$ . For  $g \ge 3$ , the group  $\operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1})$  is freely generated by the classes  $\lambda, \psi, \delta_{irr}, \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_{g-1}$ , cf. [AC1]. When g = 2, the same classes generate  $\operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1})$  subject to the *Mumford relation* 

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{10}\delta_{\rm irr} + \frac{1}{5}\delta_1,$$

expressing that  $\lambda$  is a boundary class. We expand the class  $[\overline{\Theta}_{g,1}]$  in this basis of Pic $(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1})$ ,

$$\overline{\Theta}_{g,1} \equiv a\lambda + b\psi - b_{\rm irr}\delta_{\rm irr} - \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} b_i\delta_i \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}),$$

and determine the coefficients in a classical way, by understanding the restriction of  $\overline{\Theta}_{q,1}$  to sufficiently many geometric subvarieties of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,1}$ . To ease calculations, we set

$$N_g^- := 2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)$$
 and  $N_g^+ := 2^{g-1}(2^g + 1),$ 

to be the number of odd (respectively even) theta-characteristic on a curve of genus g.

We define some test-curves in the boundary of  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ . For an integer  $2 \le i \le g-1$ , we choose general (pointed) curves  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_i$  and  $[D, x, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-i,2}$ . In particular, we may assume that  $x, q \in D$  do not appear in the support of any odd theta-characteristic  $\eta_D^-$  on D, and that  $h^0(D, \eta_D^+) = 0$ , for any even theta-characteristic  $\eta_D^+$ . By joining C and D at a variable point  $x \in C$ , we obtain a family of 1-pointed stable curves

$$F_{g-i} := \{ [C \cup_x D, q] : x \in C \} \subset \Delta_{g-i} \subset \mathcal{M}_{g,1},$$

where the marked point  $q \in D$  is fixed. It is clear that  $F_{g-i} \cdot \delta_{g-i} = 2 - 2i$ ,  $F_{g-i} \cdot \lambda = F_{g-i} \cdot \psi = 0$ . Moreover,  $F_{g-i}$  is disjoint from all the other boundary divisors of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** For each  $2 \le i \le g-1$ , one has that  $b_{g-i} = N_i^- \cdot N_{g-i}^+/2$ .

*Proof.* We observe that the curve  $F_{g-i} \times_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}} \overline{\mathcal{S}}_g^-$  splits into  $N_i^+ \cdot N_{g-i}^- + N_i^- \cdot N_{g-i}^+$  irreducible components, each isomorphic to C, corresponding to a choice of a pair of theta-characteristics of opposite parities on C and D respectively. Let  $t \in F_{g-i} \cdot \overline{\Theta}_{g,1}$  be an arbitrary point in the intersection, with underlying stable curve  $C \cup_x D$ , and spin curves  $([C, \eta_C], [D, \eta_D]) \in \mathcal{S}_i \times \mathcal{S}_{g-i}$  on the two components.

Suppose first that  $\eta_C = \eta_C^+$  and  $\eta_D = \eta_D^-$ , that is, *t* corresponds to an even thetacharacteristic on *C* and an odd theta-characteristic on *D*. Then there exist non-zero sections  $\sigma_C \in H^0(C, \eta_C^+ \otimes \mathcal{O}_C((g-i)x))$  and  $\sigma_D \in H^0(D, \eta_D^- \otimes \mathcal{O}_D(ix))$  such that

(7) 
$$\operatorname{ord}_x(\sigma_C) + \operatorname{ord}_x(\sigma_D) \ge g - 1, \text{ and } \sigma_D(q) = 0$$

In other words,  $\sigma_C$  and  $\sigma_D$  are the aspects of a limit  $\mathfrak{g}_{g-1}^0$  on  $C \cup_x D$  which vanishes at  $q \in D$ . Clearly,  $\operatorname{ord}_x(\sigma_C) \leq g-i-1$ , hence  $\operatorname{div}(\sigma_D) \geq ix + q$ , that is,  $q \in \operatorname{supp}(\eta_D^-)$ . This contradicts the generality assumption on  $q \in D$ , so this situation does not occur.

Thus, we are left to consider the case  $\eta_C = \eta_C^-$  and  $\eta_D = \eta_D^+$ . We denote again by  $\sigma_C \in H^0(C, \eta_C^- \otimes \mathcal{O}_C((g-i)x))$  and  $\sigma_D \in H^0(D, \eta_D^+ \otimes \mathcal{O}_D(ix))$  the sections satisfying the compatibility relations (7). The condition  $h^0(D, \eta_D^+ \otimes \mathcal{O}_D(x-q)) \ge 1$  defines a correspondence on  $D \times D$ , cf. [DK], in particular, we can choose the points  $x, q \in D$  general enough such that  $H^0(D, \eta_D^+ \otimes \mathcal{O}_D(x-q)) = 0$ . Then  $\operatorname{ord}_x(\sigma_D) \le i-2$ , thus  $\operatorname{ord}_x(\sigma_C) \ge g-i+1$ . It follows that we must have equality  $\operatorname{ord}_x(\sigma_C) = g-i+1$ , and then,  $x \in \operatorname{supp}(\eta_C^-)$ . An argument along the lines of [EH3] Lemma 3.4, shows that each of these intersection points has to be counted with multiplicity 1, thus  $F_{g-i} \cdot \overline{\Theta}_{g,1} = \#\operatorname{supp}(\eta_C^-) \cdot N_i^- \cdot N_{g-i}^+$ . We conclude by noting that  $(2i-2)b_{g-i} = F_{g-i} \cdot \overline{\Theta}_{g,1}$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** The relation  $b = N_q^-/2$  holds.

*Proof.* Having fixed a general curve  $[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ , by considering the fibre  $p^*([C])$  inside the universal curve, one writes the identity  $(2g-2)b = p^*([C]) \cdot \overline{\Theta}_{g,1} = (g-1)N_g^-$ .  $\Box$ 

We compute the class of the restriction of the divisor  $\Theta_{g,1}$  over  $\mathcal{M}_{g,1}$ :

**Proposition 4.3.** One has the equivalence  $\Theta_{g,1} \equiv N_g^-(\psi/2 + \lambda/4) \in \operatorname{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_{g,1})$ .

*Proof.* We consider the universal pointed spin curve  $\operatorname{pr} : \mathbf{S}_{g,1}^- := \mathbf{S}_g^- \times_{\mathbf{M}_g} \mathbf{M}_{g,1} \to \mathbf{M}_{g,1}$ . As usual,  $\mathcal{P} \in \operatorname{Pic}(\mathbf{S}_{g,1}^-)$  denotes the universal spin bundle, which over the stack  $\mathbf{S}_{g,1}^-$ , is a root of the dualizing sheaf  $\omega_{\operatorname{pr}}$ , that is,  $2c_1(\mathcal{P}) = \operatorname{pr}^*(\psi)$ . We introduce the divisor

$$\mathcal{Z} := \{ [C, \eta, q] \in \mathcal{S}_{q,1}^- : q \in \operatorname{supp}(\eta) \} \subset \mathcal{S}_{q,1}^-$$

and clearly  $\Theta_{g,1} := \operatorname{pr}_*(\mathcal{Z})$ . We write  $[\mathcal{Z}] = c_1(\mathcal{P}) - c_1(\operatorname{pr}^*(\operatorname{pr}_*(\mathcal{P})))$ , and take into account that  $c_1(\operatorname{pr}_!(\mathcal{P})) = 2c_1(\operatorname{pr}_*(\mathcal{P})) = -\lambda/2$ . The rest follows by applying the projection formula.

In order to determine the remaining coefficients  $b_0, b_1$ , we study the pull-back of  $\overline{\Theta}_{g,1}$  under the map  $\nu : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$ , given by  $\nu([E, x, q]) := [C \cup_x E, q] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$ , where  $[C, x] \in \mathcal{M}_{q-1,1}$  is a fixed general pointed curve.

On the surface  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2}$ , if we denote a general element by [E, x, q], one has the following relations between divisors classes, see [AC2]:

$$\psi_x = \psi_q, \ \lambda = \psi_x - \delta_{0:xq}, \ \delta_{irr} = 12(\psi_x - \delta_{0:xq}).$$

We describe the pull-back map  $\nu^*$ : Pic( $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$ )  $\rightarrow$  Pic( $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2}$ ) at the level of divisors:

$$\nu^{*}(\lambda) = \lambda, \ \nu^{*}(\psi) = \psi_{q}, \ \nu^{*}(\delta_{\mathrm{irr}}) = \delta_{\mathrm{irr}}, \ \nu^{*}(\delta_{1}) = -\psi_{x}, \ \nu^{*}(\delta_{g-1}) = \delta_{0:xq}.$$

By direct calculation, we write  $\nu^*(\overline{\Theta}_{g,1}) \equiv (a+b-12b_0+b_1)\psi_x - (a+b_{g-1}-12b_0)\delta_{0:xq}$ . We compute  $b_0$  and  $b_1$  by describing  $\nu^*(\overline{\Theta}_{g,1})$  viewed as an explicit divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2}$ :

**Proposition 4.4.** One has the relation  $\nu^*(\overline{\Theta}_{g,1}) \equiv N_{g-1}^- \cdot \overline{\mathfrak{T}}_2 \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1})$ , where  $\mathfrak{T}_2 := \{[E, x, q] \in \mathcal{M}_{1,2} : 2x \equiv 2q\}.$ 

*Proof.* We fix an arbitrary point  $t := [C \cup_x E, q] \in \nu^*(\overline{\Theta}_{g,1})$ . Suppose first that E is a smooth elliptic curve, that is,  $j(E) \neq \infty$  and  $x \neq q$ . Then there exist theta-characteristics of opposite parities  $\eta_C, \eta_E$  on C and E respectively, together with non-zero sections

$$\sigma_C \in H^0(C, \eta_C \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(x))$$
 and  $\sigma_E \in H^0(E, \eta_E \otimes \mathcal{O}_E((g-1)x)),$ 

such that  $\sigma_E(q) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{ord}_x(\sigma_C) + \operatorname{ord}_x(\sigma_E) \ge g - 1$ .

First we assume that  $\eta_C = \eta_C^+$  and  $\eta_E = \eta_E^-$ , thus,  $\eta_E = \mathcal{O}_E$ . Since  $H^0(C, \eta_C^+) = 0$ , one obtains that  $\operatorname{ord}_x(\sigma_C) = 0$ , that is  $\operatorname{ord}_x(\sigma_E) = g-1$ , which is impossible, because  $\sigma_E$ must vanish at q as well. Thus, one is lead to study the remaining case, when  $\eta_C = \eta_C^$ and  $\eta_E = \eta_E^+$ . Since  $x \notin \operatorname{supp}(\eta_C^-)$ , we obtain  $\operatorname{ord}_x(\sigma_C) \leq 1$ , and then by compatibility, the last inequality becomes equality, while  $\operatorname{ord}_x(\sigma_E) = g-2$ , hence  $\eta_E^+ = \mathcal{O}_E(x-q)$ , or equivalently,  $[E, x, q] \in \mathfrak{T}_2$ . The multiplicity  $N_{g-1}^-$  in the expression of  $\nu^*(\overline{\Theta}_{g,1})$  comes from the choices for the theta-characteristics  $\eta_C^-$ , responsible for the *C*-aspect of a limit  $\mathfrak{g}_{g-1}^0$  on  $C \cup_x E$ . It is an easy moduli count to show that the cases when  $j(E) = \infty$ , or  $[E, x, q] \in \delta_{0:xq}$  (corresponding to the situation when x and q coalesce on E), do not occur generically on a component of  $\nu^*(\overline{\Theta}_{g,1})$ .

**Proposition 4.5.**  $\overline{\mathfrak{T}}_2$  is an irreducible divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2}$  of class  $\overline{\mathfrak{T}}_2 \equiv 3\psi_x \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2})$ .

*Proof.* We write  $\overline{\mathfrak{T}}_2 \equiv \alpha \psi_x - \beta \delta_{0:xq} \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2})$ , and we need to understand the intersection of  $\overline{\mathfrak{T}}_2$  with two test curves in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2}$ . First, we fix a general point  $[E,q] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}$  and consider the family  $E_1 := \{[E,x,q] : x \in E\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2}$ . Clearly,  $E_1 \cdot \delta_{0:xq} = E_1 \cdot \psi_x = 1$ . On the other hand  $E_1 \cdot \overline{\mathfrak{T}}_2$  is a 0-cycle simply supported at the points  $x \in E - \{q\}$  such that  $x - q \in \operatorname{Pic}^0(E)[2]$ , that is,  $E_1 \cdot \overline{\mathfrak{T}}_2 = 3$ . This yields the relation  $\alpha - \beta = 3$ .

As a second test curve, we denote by  $[L, u, x, q] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}$  the rational 3-pointed rational curve, and define the pencil  $R := \{[L \cup_u E_{\lambda}, x, q] : \lambda \in \mathbf{P}^1\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2}$ , where  $\{E_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \mathbf{P}^1}$  is a pencil of plane cubic curves. Then  $R \cap \overline{\mathfrak{T}}_2 = \emptyset$ . Since  $R \cdot \lambda = 1$  and  $R \cdot \delta_{irr} = 12$ , we obtain the additional relation  $\beta = 0$ , which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Putting together Propositions 4.1, 4.3 and 4.5, we obtain the system of equations

$$a + b_{g-1} - 12b_{irr} = 0, \ a - 12b_{irr} + b + b_1 = 3N_{g-1}^-, \ a = \frac{1}{4}N_g^-, \ b = \frac{1}{2}N_g^-, \ b_1 = \frac{3}{2}N_{g-1}^-.$$

Thus  $b_{irr} = 2^{2g-6}$  and  $b_{g-1} = 2^{g-3}(2^{g-1}+1)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 0.3.

## References

- [AC1] E. Arbarello and M. Cornalba, *The Picard groups of the moduli space of curves*, Topology **26** (1987), 153-171.
- [AC2] E. Arbarello and M. Cornalba, Calculating cohomology groups of moduli spaces of curves via algebraic geometry, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 88 (1998), 97-127.
- [BV] I. Bauer and A. Verra, *The rationality of the moduli space of genus four curves endowed with an order three subgroup of their Jacobian*, arXiv:0808.1318, Michigan Math. Journal (2010), to appear.
- [C] M. Cornalba, Moduli of curves and theta-characteristics, in: Lectures on Riemann surfaces (Trieste, 1987), 560-589.
- [Cu] F. Cukierman, Families of Weierstrass points, Duke Mathematical Journal 58 (1989), 317-346.
- [DK] I. Dolgachev and V. Kanev, *Polar covariants of plane cubics and quartics*, Advances in Mathematics **98** (1993), 216-301.
- [EH1] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, Limit linear series: Basic theory, Inventiones Math. 85 (1986), 337-371.
- [EH2] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves of genus 23 Inventiones Math. 90 (1987), 359–387.
- [EH3] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, Irreducibility of some families of linear series with Brill-Noether number -1, Annales Scientifique École Normale Supérieure 22 (1989), 33-53.
- [F1] G. Farkas, Koszul divisors on moduli spaces of curves, American Journal of Math. 131 (2009), 819-869.
- [F2] G. Farkas, The birational type of the moduli space of even spin curves, Advances in Mathematics 223 (2010), 433-443.
- [FV] G. Farkas and A. Verra, The intermediate type of certain moduli spaces of curves, arXiv:0910.3905.
- [FL] G. Farkas and K. Ludwig, The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of Prym varieties, Journal of the European Mathematical Society 12 (2010), 755-795.
- [FMP] G. Farkas, M. Mustață and M. Popa, *Divisors on*  $\mathcal{M}_{g,g+1}$  and the Minimal Resolution Conjecture for points on canonical curves, Annales Scientifique École Normale Supérieure **36** (2003), 553-581.
- [HM] J. Harris and D. Mumford, On the Kodaira dimension of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ , Inventiones Math. 67 (1982), 23-88.
- [Kh] D. Khosla, Tautological classes on moduli spaces of curves with linear series and a push-forward formula when  $\rho = 0$ , arXiv:0704.1340.
- [L] R. Lazarsfeld, A sampling of vector bundle techniques in the study of linear systems, in Lectures on Riemann Surfaces (Trieste 1987), World Scientific Press 1989, 500-559.
- [Log] A. Logan, The Kodaira dimension of moduli spaces of curves with marked points, American Journal of Math. 125 (2003), 105-138.
- [Lud] K. Ludwig, On the geometry of the moduli space of spin curves, Journal of Algebraic Geometry 19 (2010), 133-171.
- [R] M. Raynaud, Sections des fibrés vectoriels sur une courbe, Bull. Soc. Math. France 110 (1982), 103-125.

Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Institut Für Mathematik, Unter den Linden 6 10099 Berlin, Germany

*E-mail address*: farkas@math.hu-berlin.de