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## Introduction

### 0.1 Algebraic curves and their moduli

The subject of this thesis is the geometry of the moduli space. $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ of algebraic curves of genus $g$. This is the universal parameter space for curves (Riemann surfaces) of genus $g$. in the sense that its points correspond one to one to isomorphism classes of curves.

Algebraic curves. the objects classified by. $\mathcal{M}_{g}$. started to appear sustematically in mathematics around the middle of the 19 th century. although interest in algebraic curves can be traced back to Euler's study of abelian integrals in the 18th century. For most of the 19 th century, the curves people were looking at were plane curves. that is, subsets of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ satisfying an equation $F(x, y, \tilde{z})=0$. where $F$ is a homogeneous polynomial in three variables. Two plane curves were said to belong to the same class. if there was a birational transformation of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ carrying one curve into another. The advantage of such an approach (which surely appears quite cumbersome and ineffective nowadays) is that given a plane curve of degree $d$. by varying the coefficients of the polynomial equation one immediately obtains the family of all plane curves of degree $d$, which is itself an algebraic variety, the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{d(d+3) / 2}$.

It was Riemann in his famous papers on function theory from 1857 who started the process of lifting the curves from $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and began to view them as abstract objects. By realizing curves as branched covers of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. he even managed to show that for $g \geq 2$ curves of genus $g$ depend on $3 g-3$ minimal parameters, which he called moduli.

As Brill and Noether pointed out. Riemann himself did not think of a space whose points would correspond to classes of curves. However. in the late 19 th century: the concept of a moduli space of curves was floating around and the existence of a variety parametrizing genus $g$ curves was widely assumed. At that time people were already actively studying properties of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$. For instance. in 1882 . Klein using topological arguments due to Clebsch showed that the space of $n$-sheeted coverings of the Riemann sphere with $b=2 g+2 n-2$ branch points is irreducible. implicitly proving the irreducibility of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$. Although Severi and B. Segre among others continued the investigation of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ in the first decades of the 20th century. the first rigorous construction of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ (as an andylic variety) was due to Teichmüller in 1940 . Work by Baily in 1962 showed that $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ was an algebrair (quasi-projective) variety and the first purely algebraic construction of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ was carried out by Mumford in 1965 using "Geometric Invariant Theory" (cf. [Mu2]).

At this point we want to make more precise what we understand by $\mathcal{M}_{g}$. The moduli
space of curves. $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is an algebraic variety satisfying the following properties:

- For an algebraically closed field $k$. the peints in $\mathcal{M}_{g}(k)$ correspond $1: 1$ to isomorphism classes of curves of genus $g$ orer $k$. If $C$ is a complex smooth curve of genus g. we denote by $C\} \in \cdot \mathcal{M}_{g}$ its moduli point
- For any flat family $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ of smooth curves of gemes $g$. the moduli map $m: B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g}$ given $b y(b):=\left[C_{b}\right]$ for $b \in B$. is holomorphic. Moreorer. $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is in some sense minimal with respect to this universal property:

It turns out that there exists a mique varicty $\mathcal{G}_{g}$ satisfying these properties and one save that $\mathcal{U}_{g}$ coarsely represents the moduli functor of curves (we refer to $\left.M u 2\right]$ for precise definitions of these terms).

The space. $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is an irreducible quasi-projective variety of dimension $3 g-3$ for $g \geq 2$. We have that $\mathcal{M}_{0}$ is a point and $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ is the affine line $\mathbb{A}^{\prime}$. Since smooth curves can degenerate to singular ones. $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is not a compact variets: Onf can compactify $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ by enlarging the class of curves we parametrize and allowing certain singular curves. called stabie curves. These are connected. nodal curves. such that any smooth rational component meets the curve in at least 3 points. We get in this way the Deligne-Mumford moduli space $\bar{M}_{g}$ of stable curves (cf. [DM]). which is an irreducible projective variety with only mild singularities ( $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial).

The boundary $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}-\mathcal{M}_{g}$ corresponding to singular curves is a union of irreducible divisors $\lambda_{i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq[g / 2]$. The general point of $\Delta_{0}$ corresponds to an irreducible curve with one node. whereas for $1 \leq i \leq[g / 2]$ the general point of $\Delta_{\text {, corresponds to a curve }}$ $C_{1} \cup_{q} C_{2}$. where $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are smooth curves of genus $i$ and $g-i$ meeting transversally at $q$.

### 0.2 How rational is $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ ?

For low gems there are explicit descriptions of the variety. $\mathcal{U}_{g}$. Any smooth curve of genus 2 cau be realized through the equation

$$
y^{2}=\left(x-a_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-a_{6}\right) . \text { where } \alpha_{1} \ldots a_{6} \in \mathbb{C}
$$

If we consider the quotient of $S^{2} m^{6}\left(P^{1}\right)$ under the action of $P(B L 2)$, we realize $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ as a quotient of an open subser of $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ be the smmetric group $S_{6}$.

In the case of $\mathcal{M}_{3}$. a non-hyperelliptic curve of genus 3 can be ninquely cmbedded as a smooth plane quartic. We thus have a dominant rational map from the $\mathbb{P}^{14}$ of plane quartics to $\mathcal{M}_{3}$. Almost every curve of gemus 3 can be realized by varying the coefficients in the equation

$$
\sum_{i, j, k \geq 0, i-j-k=1} a_{1, k} \cdot r^{\prime} y^{j} z^{k}=0 .
$$

What in ensential here. is that the coefficients $\|_{1, j k} \in \mathbb{C}$ can vary freely, they do mot have to satisfy any equations. only a few polynomial inequalities. so that we have a way of getting
our hands on falmost) every curse of gents 3 . We say that the variety $\mathcal{M}_{3}$ is unirational.
More generally. a variety X is called mirational if there exists a rational dominant map from a projective space $P^{n}$ to $X$. Unirationality is a very desirable property as it gives a parametrization of the variety. One says that $X$ is uniruled if there exists a rational dominant map from a product variety $Y \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ to $X$ and which is not constant on fibres $\{y\} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. where $y \in Y$. Equivalent $\sqrt{ }$. $\bar{X}$ is uniruled if through a general point $x \in \mathbb{X}$ there passes a rational curve. Clearly unirationality implies uniruledness.

An important birational invariant of an algebraic variety is its Kodaira dimension. For a smooth, projective variety $X$. the hodaira dimension $\kappa(X)$ is defined as follows: let us consider $\omega_{X}=\mathcal{O}\left(h_{X}\right)$ the canonical sheaf on $X$ and for $m \geq 1$ such that $\left|m \kappa_{X}\right| \neq \emptyset$. we take the rational map $o_{m K_{x}}: X--\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{h^{0}\left(m K_{x}\right)-1}$. We define

$$
\kappa(X):=\max \left\{\operatorname{dim} o_{m h_{x}}(X): m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \text { such that } m K_{X}: \neq \emptyset\right\} .
$$

Clearly $\kappa(X) \in\{-x .0 \ldots . \operatorname{dim}(X)\}$. If $n(X)=\operatorname{dim}(X)$ we say that $X$ is of general twe. If $X$ is uniruled. then $m K_{X}=\emptyset$ for all $m \geq 1$. hence $\kappa(X)=-x$. For an arbitrary projective variety $X$, we define $\kappa(X):=\kappa(\bar{X})$. where $\bar{X}$ is a desingularization of $X$.

A famous conjecture in the classification theory of higher dimensional algebraic varieties predicts that $X$ is uniruled if and only if $r(X)=-\infty$. This is known to be true when $\operatorname{dim}(X) \leq 3$.

Severi was the first to study the rationality of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$. The existence of a rational parametrization of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ would mean that we can describe most curves of genus $g$ by equations depending on free parameters. In other words, we can write down more or less explicitly the general curve of genus $g$ and to paraphrase Mumford (see [Mu]) we would be able to boast: "We have seen every curve once".

On the other hand. the non-uniruledness of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ would have pretty spectacular consequences for the geometry of curves of genus $g$. For instance. it would imply that if $C$ is a general curve of genus $g$ and $S$ is a surfare containing $C$ such that $\operatorname{dim} C \geq 1$. then $S$ must be birational to $C \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. To rephrase it. the general curve of gemus $g$ does not appear in a non-trivial linear system on any non-ruled surface (cf. [HM]). We refer to the beginning of Chapter 1 for a more detailed history of the problem of unirationality of . $\mathrm{M}_{g}$.

### 0.3 The Brill-Noether Theorem

We view curves as (abstract) 1-dimensional smooth. complete. algebraic varieties. Understanding the various embeddings of curves in projective spaces will add a great deal to our knowledge of the geometry of algebraic curves.

Let $C$ be an algebraic curve. A nondegenerate map $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r}$ is given by a linear series of dimension $r$ on $C$. that is. a pair $l=\left(\mathcal{L} . V^{\prime}\right)$. where $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle on $C$ and $l^{\prime} \subseteq H^{0}(C, \mathcal{L})$ is a subspace of dimension $r+1$. Assuming that $l$ is base-point-free (i.e. for every $p \in C$ there is $s \in I$ such that $s(p) \neq 0)$. by choosing a base $\left(s_{0} \ldots \ldots s_{r}\right)$ in $V$.
we obtain a map $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r}$. given by $f(p):=\left[s_{0}(p) \ldots s_{r}(p)\right]$. To $l=(\mathcal{L}$. V) we also associate the $r$-dimensional sstem of divisor:

$$
\mathfrak{V}^{\prime}=\{\operatorname{div}(s): s \in \mathfrak{I}\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}\left(H^{U}(C: \mathcal{L})\right) .
$$

If $\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{L})=d$. following classical terminology. we say that $l=(\mathcal{L} . V)$ is a $g_{d}^{r}$. which means "a group of $d$ points moving with $r$ degrees of freedom". We can ask the following question: What kind of linear series does a curve of gemus $g$ have? The answer is the following famous result (cf. [BN]):

Theorem 0.1 (Brill-Noether, 1874) A grneral curve of genus $g$ has. a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ if and only if $p(g . r . d)=g-(r+1)(g-d+r) \geq 0$.

To mention a few things about the history of this theorem. we note that in the 1920 s after the result had been taken for granted for 40 vears. Severi realized that Brill and Noether hat only proved that any component of the varifty of $g_{d}^{r} x$ on $C$ has dimension $\geq p(g . r . d)$ but they failed to prove the existence of anch a component for the nonexistence when $\mu(g . r . d)<0)$. The Brill- Xoether Theoren was finally proved in 19*0) by Griffiths and Harris ( $f$. [GH]) using an old idea of Castelnuovo of specializing to a general curve of arithmetic gemus $g$ with $g$ nores.

It is worth to outline the parameter count that prompted Brill and Noether to claim their theorem and which brings into the picture the Brill-Noether number $\rho(g . r . d)$ (see also [GriffHa] for this count).

Let $C$ be a general curve of gemus $g \geq 3$. in particular. $C^{\prime}$ is non-hyperelliptic. Consider $C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ canonically embedded and let $D=\sum_{i=1}^{d} p_{i}$ be a divisor of degree $d$ on $C$. Asmme $D$ is part of a $g_{d}^{r}$. i.e. dim $D \geq r$. Then by Riemann-Roch it follows that $D$ spans a $(d-r-1)$-plane in $\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$. Since $D$ moves in an $r$-dimensional family: we get that $C$ has a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ if and only if $C$ has an $r$-dimensional family of $d$-secant $(d-r-1)$-planes.

Since the variety of $(d-r-1)$-planes meeting $C$ at least once has codimension $q-$ $d+r-1$ in $\mathbb{G}(d-r-1 . g-1)$. it is natural to expect that the variety of $(d-r-1)$-plames that are $d$-secant to $($ has codimension $d(y-d+r-1)$. Therefore we expect this variety to be of dimension $\geq r$ if and only if dim $\mathbb{G}(d-r-1 . g-1)-d(g-d+r-1) \geq r$. and this is equivalent with $\rho(g . r . d) \geq 0$.

### 0.4 Outline of the results

Chapter 1 deals with the geometry of the moduli space of curves of gemus 23. It in known that $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is of general type for $g \geq 24$ and that for low values of $g$ (conjecturally for all $g \leq 22$ ). the moduli space. $\mathcal{U}_{g}$ is uniruled. This leaves $\mathcal{U}_{23}$ as an interesting transition case between two extremes: uniruledness and being of gemeral type. The main result is the following:

Theorem The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves of gemus 23 is $\geq 2$.

The proof is based on the study of three explicit divisors on. $\mathcal{M}_{23}$ which turn out to be multicanonical. Evidence is presented which suggests that the Kodaira dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{23}$ is actually equal to 2 . Degeneration to singular curves and the theory of limit linear series (reviewed in Section 1.3) as well as deformation theory are the tools we use.

Chapter 2 deals with the geography (relative position) of the Brill-Noether loci

$$
\mathcal{M}_{g, d}^{r}:=\left\{[C] \in \cdot \mathcal{U}_{g}: C \text { carries a } \mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}\right\} .
$$

We compare different Brill- Noether loci and show that they are in general relative position (transversal) inside $\mathcal{M}_{g}$. unless there are some obvious containment relations between them. In Section 2.4 we prove under certain numerical conditions the existence of regular (generically smooth, of the expected dimension) components of the Hilbert scheme of curves $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r}$. where $r \geq 3$. The main result of Section 2.5 is the following theorem concerning the gonality of space curves:
Theorem Let $g \geq 5$ and $d \geq 8$ be integers with $g$ odd and $d$ even. such that $d^{2}>8 g$. $4 d \leq 3 g+12 . d^{2}-8 g+8$ is not a square and either $d \leq 18$ or $g<4 d-31$. Assume that

$$
\left(d^{\prime} \cdot g^{\prime}\right) \in\{(d \cdot g) \cdot(d+1 \cdot g+1) \cdot(d+1 \cdot g+2) \cdot(d+2 \cdot g+3)\} .
$$

Then there exists a regular component of the scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathrm{d}^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{\prime} .3 \text {. }}$. with general point a smooth curve $C^{\prime} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree $d^{\prime}$ and genus $g^{\prime}$ and such that the gonality of $C^{\prime}$ is $\min \left(\mathrm{d}^{\prime}-4,\left[\left(\mathrm{~g}^{\prime}+3\right) / 2\right]\right)$.
As a consequence of results from Chapter 2, we find a new proof for our result $\kappa\left(\mathcal{M}_{23}\right) \geq 2$.
In Chapter 3 certain aspects of the geometry of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{g . n}$ of $n$-pointed curves of genus $g$ are studied. For an integer $g \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ with $g \geq 4$ we set $d:=(2 g+7) / 3$ and we can consider the following divisors:

- On $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}$. the closure of the locus $H F$ (resp. $C L^{\circ}$ ) consisting of 1-pointed curves $(C, p) \in \mathcal{M}_{g .1}$ such that there exists a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}$ on $C$ with a hyperflex (resp. cusp) at the point $p$.
- On $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .2}$, the closure of the locus $F L$ consisting of those $\left[C, p_{1}, p_{2}\right] \in, \mathcal{M}_{g .2}$ such that there exists a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}$ with flexes at both $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$.

We determine the classes $[\overline{H F}] \cdot[\overline{C l}]$ and $[\overline{F L}]$ (in the respective Picard groups).
For an integer $d \geq 3$ we set $g:=2 d-4$. We denote by $T R$ the locus of 1 -pointed curves $[C . p] \in \mathcal{M}_{g .1}$ such that there exists a degree $d$ map $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ having triple ramification at $p$ and at some unspecified point $x \in C-\{p\}$. It turns out that $\overline{T R}$ is a divisor on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}$ and in Section 3.6 we compute the class $[\overline{T R}]$ in $\operatorname{Pic}_{\bar{q}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}\right)$.

We close Chapter 3 by proving in Section 3.7 the following:
Theorem For $g=11.12$ and 15 the universal curve $\mathcal{C}_{g}$ has Kodaira dimension $-x$.
The first two chapters of this thesis are based on the papers
(i. Farkar: The Geometry of the Moduli Space of Curves of Cemus 23. to appear in Mathematische Annalen (also available as math. $\mathrm{AG} / 990$ ( 013 preprint).
G. Farkas: The Geographe of Brill-Voether Loci in the Moduli Space of Curvers. prelininary rersion.

## Chapter 1

## The geometry of the moduli space of curves of genus 23

### 1.1 Introduction

The problem of describing the birational geometry of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ of complex curves of genus $g$ has a long history. Severi already knew in 1915 that $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is unirational for $g \leq 10$ (cf. [Ser]: see also [AC1] for a modern proof). In the same paper Severi conjectured that $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is unirational for all genera $g$. Then for a long period this problem seemed intractable (Mumford writes in [Mu]. p.51:"Whether more $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ s. $g \geq 11$. are unirational or not is a very interesting problem. but one which looks very hard too. especially if $g$ is quite large"). The breakthrough came in the eighties when Eisenbud. Harris and Mumford proved that $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is of general type as soon as $g \geq 24$ and that the Kodaira dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{23}$ is $\geq 1$ (see [HMI]. [EH3]). We note that $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is rational for $g \leq 6$ (see [Dol] for problems concerning the rationality of various moduli spaces).

Severi's proof of the unirationality of $\mathcal{M}_{y}$ for small $g$ was based on representing a general curve of genus $g$ as a plane curve of degree $d$ with $\delta$ nodes: this is possible when $d \geq 2 g / 3+2$. When the number of nodes is small. i.e. $\delta \leq(d+1)(d+2) / 6$. the dominant map from the variety of plane curves of degree $d$ and genus $g$ to. $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ yields a rational parametrization of the moduli space. The two conditions involving $d$ and $\delta$ can be satisfied only when $g \leq 10$, so Severi's argument cannot be extended for other genera. However. using much more subtle ideas. Chang. Ran and Sernesi proved the unirationality of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ for $g=11.12 .13$ (see [CR1]. [Sel]). while for $g=15.16$ they proved that the Kodaira dimension is $-x$ (see [CR2.4]). The remaining cases $g=14$ and $17 \leq g \leq 23$ are still quite mysterious. Harris and Morrison conjectured in $\left[\mathrm{HMo}\right.$ ] that. $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is uniruled precisely when $g<23$.

All these facts indicate that $\mathcal{M}_{2: 3}$ is a very interesting transition case. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1 The Kodairn dimension of the moduli space of curves of genus 23 is $\geq 2$.

We will also present some evidence for the hypothesis that the Rodaira dimension of . M23 is actually equal to 2 .

### 1.2 Multicanonical linear systems and the Kodaira dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$

We study three multicanonical divisors on $\mathcal{M}_{23}$, which are (modulo some bondary components) of Brill-Nofether type, and we conclude by looking at their relative position that $\kappa\left(\mathcal{M}_{23}\right) \geq 2$.

We review some notations. We shall denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g}$ the moduli spaces of stable and 1-pointed stable curves of gemus $g$ over $\mathbb{C}$. If $C$ is a smooth algebtraic curve of genus g. we consider for any $r$ and $d$. the scheme whose points are the $g_{d}^{r}$ :s on $C$. that is.

$$
G_{d}^{r}(C)=\left\{(\mathcal{L}, V): \mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C), V \subseteq H^{0}(C, \mathcal{L}) \cdot \operatorname{dim}(I)=r+1\right\} .
$$

( $f$. $\left[A(G H]\right.$ ) and denote the associated Brill-Noether locis in . $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ by

$$
\mathcal{M}_{g . d}^{r}:=\left\{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{g}: G_{d}^{r}(C) \neq 0\right\}
$$

and by $\bar{M}_{g . d}^{r}$ its closure in $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{g}$.
The distribution of linear series on algebraic curves is governed (to some extent) bs the Brill-Noether number

$$
\rho(g . r . d):=g-(r+1)(g-d+r) .
$$

The Brill-Noether Theorem asserts that when $\rho(g . r . d) \geq 0$ every curve of genus $g$ possesses a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$. While when $\rho(g . r . d)<0$ the general curve of genus $g$ has no $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ s. hence in this case the Brill-Noether loci are proper subrarieties of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$. When $\rho(g, r . d)<0$. the naive expectation that $-\rho(g . r . d)$ is the codimension of. $\mathcal{M}_{g . d}^{r}$ inside. $\mathcal{M}_{g}$. is in general way off the mark, since there are plenty of examples of Brill-. .oether loci of unexpected dimension (cf. [EH2]). However. we have Steffen's result in one direction (sef [St]):

If $\rho(g . r . d)<0$ then each component of $\mathcal{M}_{g . d}^{r}$ has rodimension at most - $\rho(g . r . d)$ in. $\mathcal{M}_{g}$.
On the other hand. when the Brill- Noether number is not very negative, the Brill-Noet her loci tend to behawe nicely: Existence of components of $\mathcal{U}_{g . d}^{r}$ of the expected dimension has been proved for a rather wide range namely for those $g$. r. $d$ such that $\rho(g . r . d)<0$. and

$$
\rho(g . r . d) \geq \begin{cases}-g+r+3 & \text { if } r \text { is odd: } \\ -r g /(r+2)+r+3 & \text { if } r \text { is evell }\end{cases}
$$

We have a complete answer only when $p(g . r . d)=-1$. Eisenbud and Harris have proved in EH2] that in this case. $\mathcal{M}_{g . d}^{r}$ has a unique divisorial component, and using the previously mentioned theorem of Steffen's, we obtain the following result:

$$
\text { If } \rho(g . r, d)=-1 \text {, then } \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . d}^{r} \text { is an irreducible divisor of } \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g} \text {. }
$$

We will also need Edidin's result (see [Ed2] ) which says that for $g \geq 12$ and $p(g . r . d)=-2$. all components of $\mathcal{M}_{g . d}^{r}$ haw codimension 2 . We can get codimension 1 Brill-Noether conditions only for the genera $g$ for which $g+1$ is composite. In that case we can write

$$
g+1=(r+1)(s-1), s \geq 3
$$

and set $d:=r s-1$. Obviously $\rho(g . r, d)=-1$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . d}^{r}$ is an irreducible divisor. Furthermore its clasis has been computed (cf. [EH3] ):

$$
\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, d}^{r}\right]=c_{g, r, d}\left((g+3) \lambda-\frac{g+1}{6} \delta_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{g / 2\}} i(g-i) \delta_{i}\right) .
$$

where $c_{g . r d}$ is a positive rational number equal to $3 \mu /(2 g-4)$. with $\mu$ being the number of $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ 's on a general pointed curve $\left(C_{0} . q\right)$ of genus $g-2$ with ramification sequence $(0,1,2 \ldots .2)$ at $q$. For $g=23$ we have the following possibilities:

$$
(r . s . d)=(1.13 .12) .(11.3 .32) \cdot(2.9 .17) \cdot(7.4 .24) \cdot(3.7 .20) \cdot(5.5 .24) .
$$

It is immediate by Serre duality, that cases (1.13.12) and (11.3.32) yield the same divisor on $\mathcal{U}_{23}$. namely the 12 -gonal locus. $\mathcal{M}_{12}^{1}$ : similarly. cases (2.9.17) and (7.4.24) yield the divisor $\mathcal{M}_{17}^{2}$ of curves having a $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$. while cases (3.7.20) and (5.5.24) give rise to $\mathcal{M}_{20}^{3}$. the divisor of curves having a $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$. Note that when the genus we are referring to is clear from the context. we write $\mathcal{M}_{d}^{r}=\mathcal{M}_{g . d}^{r}$.

By comparing the classes of the Brill-Noether divisors to the class of the canonical divisor $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . \text { reg }}}=13 \lambda-2 \delta_{0}-3 \delta_{1}-\cdots-2 \delta_{g / 2 j}$. at least in the case when $g+1$ is composite we can infer that

$$
h_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . r e g}}=a\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . d}^{r}\right]+b \lambda+\left(\text { positive combination of } \delta_{0} \ldots . \delta_{g / 2]}\right) .
$$

where $a$ is a positive rational number, while $b>0$ as long as $g \geq 24$ but $b=0$ for $g=23$. As it is well-known that $\lambda$ is big on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$. it follows that $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is of general type for $g \geq 24$ and that it has non-negative Kodaira dimension when $g=23$. Specifically for $g=23$. we get that there are positive integer constants $m, m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m K^{-}=m_{1}\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}^{1}\right]+E . m \boldsymbol{H}^{\prime}=m_{2}\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{17}^{2}\right]+E . m \boldsymbol{H}=m_{3}\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{20}^{3}\right]+E . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is the same positive combination of $\delta_{1} \ldots \delta_{11}$.
Proposition 1.2.1 (Eisenbud-Harris, [EH3]) There exists a smooth curve of genus 23 that possesses a $\mathfrak{g}_{12}^{1}$. but no $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$. It follows that $\kappa\left(\mathcal{M}_{23}\right) \geq 1$.

Harris and Mumford proved (cf. [HM]) that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ has only canonical singularities for $g \geq 4$. hence $H^{0}\left(. \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, r+g} . n h^{\prime}\right)=H^{0}\left(. \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}, n K^{\prime}\right)$ for each $n \geq 0$ with.$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ a desingularization of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$.

We already know that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Imo}_{m k}\right) \geq 1$. where $o_{m \kappa}: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}--\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\nu}$ is the multicanonical map. $m$ being as in (1.1). We will prove that $n\left(\mathcal{U}_{23}\right) \geq 2$. Indeed. let us assume that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im} o_{m i}\right)=1$. Denote by $C:=\overline{\mathrm{mo}_{m k}}$ the Kodaira image of $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{23}$. We reach a contradiction by proving two things:

- a) The Brill-Noether divisors $. \mathcal{M}_{12} . \mathcal{M}_{j-}^{2}$ and. $\mathcal{M}_{20}^{3}$ are mutually distinct.
- 3) There exist smooth curves of genus 23 which belong to exactly two of the BrillNoether divisors from above.
This suffices in order to prove Theorem 1.1: since.$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}^{1} \cdot \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{17}^{2}$ and,$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{20}^{3}$ are part of different multicanonical divisors, they must be contained in different fibres of the multicanonical map $o_{m k}$. Hence there exists different points $x, y, z \in C$ such that

$$
\mathcal{M}_{12}^{1}=\overline{o^{-1}(x)} \cap \cdot \mathcal{U}_{23} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{1-}^{2}=\overline{o^{-1}(y)} \cap \cdot \mathcal{M}_{23} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{20}^{3}=\overline{o^{-1}(z)} \cap \cdot \mathcal{M}_{23}
$$

It follows that the set-theoretic intersection of any two of them will be contaned in the base locus of $\mathrm{mL}_{\mathrm{H}_{23}}$. In particular:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp}\left(\mathcal{U}_{12}^{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(\cdot \mathcal{M}_{1-}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{supp}\left(\cdot \mathcal{U}_{1-}^{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(\cdot \mathcal{U}_{20}^{3}\right)=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mathcal{M}_{20}^{3}\right) \Gamma \operatorname{supp}\left(\cdot \mathcal{U}_{12}^{1}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this contradicts 3). We complete the proof of a) and 3 ) is Section 1.5.

### 1.3 Deformation theory for $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{\prime \prime}$ 's and limit linear series

We recall a few things about the varifty parametrising $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{\prime \prime}$ s on the fibres of the universal curve (cf. [AC2]). and then we recap on the theory of limit linear series (cf. [EH1]. [Mod]). which is our main technique for the study of $\mathcal{M}_{23}$.

Given $g, r, d$ and a point $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{g}$. there is a connected neighbourhood $[$ of $[C]$. a finite ramified covering $h: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \zeta$. such that $\mathcal{M}$ is a fine moduli space of curves (i.e. there exists $\xi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow . \mathcal{M}$ a universal curve) and a proper variety over $\mathcal{M}$.

$$
\pi: \mathcal{G}_{d}^{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}
$$

which paramet rizes classes of couples $(C . l)$, with $[C] \in \mathcal{M}$ and $l \in G_{d}^{r}(C)$, where we have made the identification $C=\xi^{\prime}([C])$.
Let ( $C . l$ ) be a point of $\mathcal{G}_{d}^{r}$ corresponding to a curve $(C$ and a linear series $I=(\mathcal{L} . V)$. where $\mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C) \cdot I^{\prime} \subseteq H^{0}(C \cdot \mathcal{L})$. and $\operatorname{dim}(J)=r+1$. By choosing a basis in 1 . one has a morphism $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r}$. The nommal sheaf of $f$ is defined through the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow T_{\mathrm{f}} \longrightarrow f^{*}\left(T_{-r}\right) \longrightarrow \lambda_{f} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By dividing ont the torsion of $V_{f}$ one gete to the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \kappa_{f} \longrightarrow \lambda_{f} \longrightarrow \lambda_{f}^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the torsion sheaf $\mathcal{K}_{f}$ (the cuspidal sheaf) is based at those points $x \in C$ where $d f(x)=0$. and $X_{f}^{-1}$ is locally free of rank $r-1$. The tangent space $T_{(C, l)}\left(\mathcal{G}_{l}^{r}\right)$ fits into an exact sequence (cf. $A C 2]$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\mathrm{I}: \mathrm{I}) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(C, \vdash_{f}\right) \longrightarrow T_{i C: i}\left(\mathcal{G}_{d}^{r}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which wa have that dim $T_{\text {: }} \cdot n\left(\mathcal{G}_{d}^{r}\right)=3 q-3+p(g . r \cdot d)+h^{1}\left(C . V_{f}\right)$.

Proposition 1.3.1 Let $C$ be a curve and $l \in G_{d}^{r}(C)$ a base point free linear series. Then the variety $\mathcal{G}_{d}^{r}$ is smooth and of dimension $3 g-3+\rho(g . r . d)$ at the point (C.l) if and only if $H^{1}\left(C \ldots \omega_{f}\right)=0$.

Remark: The condition $H^{1}\left(C, \nu_{f}\right)=0$ is automatically satisfied for $r=1$ as $\nu_{f}$ is a sheaf with finite support. Thus $\mathcal{G}_{d}^{1}$ is smooth of dimension $2 g+2 d-5$. It follows that $\mathcal{G}_{d}^{1}$ is birationally equivalent to the $d$-gonal locus . $\mathcal{M}_{d}^{1}$ when $d<(g+2) / 2$.

In Chapter 2 we will be interseted in the differential $(d \pi)_{(C l)}: T_{(C l \mid}\left(\mathcal{G}_{d}^{r}\right) \rightarrow T_{C j}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g}\right)$. Let $(C . l) \in \mathcal{G}_{d}^{r}$ be a point such that $H^{1}\left(C, \nu_{f}\right)=0$ and assume for simplicity that $l=(\mathcal{L}, V)$ is a complete. base point free linear series, that is. $I=H^{0}(C, \mathcal{L})$. By standard Kodaira-Spencer theory (see $\left[\mathrm{AC}^{2}\right]$ or $[\mathrm{Mod}]$ ) one has that

$$
\operatorname{Im}(d \pi)_{(C, l)}=\operatorname{Im}\left\{\delta: H^{0}\left(C . V_{f}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(C \cdot T_{C}\right)\right\}
$$

where $\delta$ is the coboundary of the cohomology sequence associated to (1.3). We thus get that $\operatorname{rk}(d \pi)_{(C, l)}=3 g-3-h^{1}\left(C . f^{*}\left(T_{F} r\right)\right)$. By pulling back to $C$ the Euler sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Y} r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{¥ r}(1)^{r+1} \longrightarrow T_{P r} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

we obtain that $H^{\prime}\left(C \cdot f^{*} T_{P^{r} r}\right) \simeq\left(\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mu_{0}(C, \mathcal{L})\right)\right)^{\vee}$. where

$$
\mu_{0}(C, \mathcal{L}): H^{0}(C, \mathcal{L}) 又 H^{0}\left(C, K_{C}, \mathcal{L}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C, K_{C}\right)
$$

is the Petri map. We obtain thus that the differential $(d \pi)_{\{C l\}}$ has the expected rank $\min (3 g-3.3 g-3+\rho(g . r . d))$. if and only if the Petri map is of maximal rank (which means surjective when $\rho(g . r, d)<0)$.

It is convenient to have a description of the annihilator $\left(\operatorname{Im}(d \pi)_{(C, l)}\right)^{-} \subseteq H^{0}\left(C \cdot 2 K_{C}\right)$, where we have made the identification $T_{[C]}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g}\right)^{v}=H^{0}\left(C, 2 K_{C}\right)$ via Serre duality. We introduce the Gaussian map (cf. [CGGH])

$$
\mu_{1}(C \cdot \mathcal{L}): \operatorname{Ker} \mu_{0}(C \cdot \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C \cdot 2 K_{C}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

as follows: let us consider the evaluation sequence corresponding to ( $C, \mathcal{L}$ )

$$
0 \longrightarrow M_{\mathcal{L}} \longrightarrow H^{0}(C, \mathcal{L})=\mathcal{O}_{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow 0
$$

We restrict the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $H^{0}(C . \mathcal{L}) \leq \mathcal{O}_{C} \rightarrow \Omega_{C} \mathcal{L}, \quad s, f \mapsto d f$ s. to the kernel $M_{\mathcal{L}}$ and get an $\mathcal{O}_{C}$-linear map $M_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \Omega_{C}$ 人 $\mathcal{L}$. If we tensor this map with $\Omega_{C}$. $\mathcal{L}^{\vee}$. then take global sections and finally use that $H^{0}\left(C \cdot M_{\mathcal{L}} S \Omega_{C} \mathcal{L}^{\vee}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Ker} \mu_{0}(C \cdot \mathcal{L})$. we get the map $\mu_{1}(C \cdot \mathcal{L}):$ her $\mu_{0}(C . \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C .2 \hbar_{C}\right)$. which we can loosely refer to as the derivative' of the Petri map.

The map $\mu_{1}(C, \mathcal{L})$ can be explicitly described: for an element $s_{0} \leq \eta_{0}+\cdots+s_{r} \leqslant \eta_{r} \in$ $\operatorname{Ker} \mu_{0}(C, \mathcal{L})$. with $s_{i} \in H^{0}(C, \mathcal{L})$ and $\eta_{i} \in H^{0}\left(C, \Omega_{C} \mathcal{L}^{v}\right)$. if we consider the meromorphic functions $f_{i}=s_{i} / s_{0}$ on $C$. we have that

$$
\left.\mu_{1}(C \cdot \mathcal{L})\left(s_{0}\right\} \eta_{0}+\cdots+s_{r} \leq \eta_{r}\right)=s_{0}\left(\eta_{1} d f_{1}+\cdots+\eta_{r} d f_{r}\right)
$$

An easy calculation shows that

$$
\left(\operatorname{Im}(d \pi)_{(C i)}\right)^{-}=\operatorname{Im} \mu_{1}(C \cdot \mathcal{L}) \subseteq H^{0}\left(C \cdot 2 K_{C}\right)
$$

Limit linear series try to answer questions of the following kind: what happens to a family of $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ s when a smooth curve specializes to a reducible curve? Limit linear series solve such problems for a class of reducible curves. those of compact type. A curve $C$ is of compact type if its dual graph is a tree. A curve $C$ is tree-like if. after deleting edges leading from a node to itself, the dual graph becomes a tree.

Let $C$ be a smooth curve of genus $g$ and $l=(\mathcal{L}, V) \in G_{d}^{r}(C), \mathcal{L} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{d}(C) . V \subseteq$ $H^{0}(C, \mathcal{L})$. and $\operatorname{dim}\left(V^{\prime}\right)=r+1$. Fix $p \in C$ a point. By ordering the finite set $\left\{\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\sigma)\right\}_{\sigma \in V}$ one gets the vanishing sequence of $l$ at $p$ :

$$
a^{l}(p): 0 \leq a_{0}^{\prime}(p)<\ldots<a_{r}^{l}(p) \leq d .
$$

The ramification sequence of $l$ at $p$

$$
\alpha^{\prime}(p): 0 \leq \alpha_{0}^{\prime}(p) \leq \ldots \leq a_{r}^{\prime}(p) \leq d-r
$$

is defined as $\alpha_{i}^{l}(p)=a_{i}^{l}(p)-i$ and the weight of $l$ at $p$ is

$$
w^{l}(p)=\sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_{i}^{l}(p)
$$

A Schubert index of type ( $r . d$ ) is a sequence of integers $\beta: 0 \leq \beta_{0} \leq \ldots \beta_{r} \leq d-r$. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are Schubert indices of type ( $r . d$ ) we write $\alpha \leq \beta \Longleftrightarrow \alpha_{i} \leq \beta_{i}, i=0 \ldots . r$. The point $p$ is said to be a ramification point of $l$ if $u^{l}(p)>0$. The linear series $l$ is said to have a cusp at $p$ if $\alpha^{l}(p) \geq(0,1, \ldots, 1)$. For $C$ a tree-like curve, $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n} \in C$ smooth points and $\alpha^{1} \ldots \ldots \alpha^{n}$ Schubert indices of type ( $r, d$ ), we define

$$
G_{d}^{r}\left(C:\left(p_{1}, \alpha^{1}\right) \ldots\left(p_{n}, \alpha^{n}\right)\right):=\left\{l \in G_{d}^{r}(C): \alpha^{l}\left(p_{1}\right) \geq \alpha^{1} \ldots, \alpha^{l}\left(p_{n}\right) \geq \alpha^{n}\right\}
$$

This scheme can be realized naturally as a determinantal variety and its expected dimension is

$$
\rho\left(g . r . d . \alpha^{1} \ldots . a^{n}\right):=\rho(g . r . d)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{r} \alpha_{j}^{i} .
$$

If $C$ is a curve of compact type. a crude limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ on $C$ is a collection of ordinary linear series $l=\left\{l_{Y} \in G_{d}^{r}(Y): Y \subseteq C\right.$ is a component $\}$, satisfying the following compatibility condition: if $Y$ and $Z$ are components of $C$ with $\{p\}=Y \cap Z$. then

$$
a_{i}^{l_{Y}}(p)+a_{r-i}^{l_{Z}}(p) \geq d . \text { for } i=0 \ldots r .
$$

If equality holds everywhere we say that $l$ is a refined limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$. The 'honest' linear series $l_{Y} \in G_{d}^{r}(Y)$ is called the $Y$-aspect of the limit linear series $l$.

We will often use the additivity of the Brill-Noether number: if $C$ is a curve of compact type. for each component $Y \subseteq C$. let $q_{1} \ldots \ldots q_{s}$ be the points where $Y$ meets the other components of $C$. Then for any limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ on $C$ we have the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(g \cdot r, d) \geq \sum_{Y \subseteq C} \rho\left(l_{Y} \cdot \alpha^{l_{Y}}\left(q_{1}\right) \ldots \cdot \alpha^{l_{Y}}\left(q_{s}\right)\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if and only if $l$ is a refined limit linear series.
It has been proved in [EH1] that limit linear series arise indeed as limits of ordinary linear series on smooth curves. Suppose we are given a family $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ of genus $g$ curves. where $B=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ with $R$ a complete discrete valuation ring. Assume furthermore that $\mathcal{C}$ is a smooth surface and that if $0, \eta$ denote the special and generic point of $B$ respectively, the central fibre $C_{0}$ is reduced and of compact type, while the generic geometric fibre $C_{\eta}$ is smooth and irreducible. If $l_{\eta}=\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}, V_{\eta}\right)$ is a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ on $C_{\eta}$. there is a canonical way to associate a crude limit series $l_{0}$ on $C_{0}$ which is the limit of $l_{\eta}$ in a natural way: for each component $Y$ of $C_{0}$, there exists a unique line bundle $\mathcal{L}^{Y}$ on $\mathcal{C}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{C}_{\eta}}^{Y}=\mathcal{L}_{\eta} \text { and } \operatorname{deg}_{Z}\left(\mathcal{L}_{Z}^{Y}\right)=0
$$

for any component $Z$ of $C_{0}$ with $Z \neq Y$. (This implies of course that $\operatorname{deg}_{Y}\left(\mathcal{L}_{Y}^{Y}\right)=d$ ). Define $V^{Y}=V_{\eta} \cap H^{0}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L}^{Y}\right) \subseteq H^{0}\left(C_{\eta}, \mathcal{L}_{\eta}\right)$. Clearly, $V^{Y}$ is a free $R$-module of rank $r+1$. Moreover, the composite homomorphism

$$
V^{Y}(0) \rightarrow\left(\pi_{*} \mathcal{L}^{Y}\right)(0) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C_{0}, \mathcal{L}_{i C_{0}}^{Y}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{L}_{Y}^{Y}\right)
$$

is injective, hence $l_{Y}=\left(\mathcal{L}_{\left.\right|_{Y}}^{Y}, V^{Y}(0)\right)$ is an ordinary $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ on $Y$. One proves that $l=\left\{l_{Y}\right.$ : $Y$ component of $\left.C_{0}\right\}$ is a limit linear series.

If $C$ is a reducible curve of compact type, $l$ a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ on $C$, we say that $l$ is smoothable if there exists $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$ a family of curves with central fibre $C=C_{0}$ as above, and $\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta}, V_{\eta}\right)$ a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ on the generic fibre $C_{\eta}$ whose limit on $C$ (in the sense previously described) is $l$.
Remark: If a stable curve of compact type $C$, has no limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{\tau}$ s. then $[C] \notin \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, d}^{r}$. If there exists a smoothable limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ on $C$. then $[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . d}^{r}$.

Now we explain a criterion due to Eisenbud and Harris (cf. [EH1]). which gives a sufficient condition for a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ to be smoothable. Let $l$ be a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ on a curve $C$ of compact type. Fix $Y \subseteq C$ a component. and $\left\{q_{1} \ldots, q_{s}\right\}=Y \cap \overline{(C-Y)}$. Let

$$
\pi: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow B \cdot \tilde{q}_{i}: B \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}
$$

be the versal deformation space of $\left(Y . q_{1} \ldots q_{s}\right)$. The base $B$ can be viewed as a small $(3 g(Y)-3+s)$-dimensional polydisk. L'sing general theory one constructs a proper scheme over $B$.

$$
\sigma: \mathcal{G}_{d}^{r}\left(\mathcal{Y} / B:\left(\tilde{q}_{i} \cdot \alpha^{l_{Y}}\left(q_{i}\right)\right)_{i=1}^{s}\right) \rightarrow B
$$

whose fibre over each $b \in B$ is $\sigma^{-1}(b)=G_{d}^{r}\left(Y_{b} \cdot\left(\bar{q}_{i}(b) \cdot \alpha^{l y}\left(q_{i}\right)\right)_{i=1}^{s}\right)$. One says that $l$ is dimensionally proper with respect to $Y$. if the $Y$-aspect $l_{Y}$ is contained in some component $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{d}^{r}\left(\mathcal{Y} / B:\left(\tilde{q}_{i}, a^{l_{y}}\left(q_{i}\right)\right)_{i=1}^{s}\right)$ of the expected dimension. i.e.

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}=\operatorname{dim} B+\rho\left(l_{Y} \cdot a^{l_{y}}\left(q_{1}\right) \ldots a^{l_{Y}}\left(q_{s}\right)\right)
$$

One says that $l$ is dimensionally proper, if it is dimensionally proper with respect to any component $I^{-} \subseteq C$. The Regeneration Theorem' (cf. [EH1]) states that every dimensionally proper limit linear series is smoothable.

The next result is a strong Brill-Coether Theorem". i.e. it not only asserts a BrillNoether type statement but also singles out the locus where the statement fails.

Proposition 1.3 .2 (Eisenbud-Harris) Let $C$ be a tree-like curve and for any component $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \subseteq C$. denote by $q_{1} \ldots . q_{s} \in Y^{\prime}$ the points where Y meets the other component.s of C. Assume that for each $Y$ the following conditions are satisfied:
a. If $g(Y)=1$ then $s=1$.
b. If $g(Y)=2$ then $s=1$ and $q$ is not a Weierstras.s point.
c. If $g(Y) \geq 3$ then $\left(Y^{\circ} \cdot q_{1} \ldots . q_{s}\right)$ is a general $s$-pointed curve.

Then for $p_{1} \ldots p_{t} \in C$ general points. $\rho\left(l . a^{\prime}\left(p_{1}\right) \ldots . \alpha^{\prime}\left(p_{t}\right)\right) \geq 0$ for any limit linear series on $C$.

Simple examples involving pointed elliptic curves show that the condition $\rho(g . r . d) \geq$ $\sum_{i=1}^{t} u^{l}\left(p_{i}\right)$ does not guarantee the existence of a linear series $l \in G_{d}^{r}(C)$ with prescribed ramification at general points $p_{1} . p_{2} \ldots . p_{t} \in C$. The appropriate condition in the pointed case can be given in terms of Schubert cycles. Let $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{0} \ldots . \alpha_{r}\right)$ be a Schubert index of type ( $r . d$ ) and

$$
\mathbb{C}^{d+1}=W_{0} \supset W_{1} \supset \ldots \supset W_{d+1}=0
$$

a decreasing flag of linear subspaces. We consider the Schubert cycle in the Grassmanian.

$$
\sigma_{\alpha}=\left\{\Lambda \in G(r+1 . d+1): \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap W_{\alpha_{1}+i}\right) \geq r+1-i . i=0 \ldots . r\right\} .
$$

For a general $t$-pointed curve ( $C \cdot p_{1} \ldots . p_{t}$ ) of genus $g$. and $\alpha^{1} \ldots \ldots \alpha^{t}$ Schubert indices of type ( $r$. $d$ ). the necessary and sufficient condition that $C$ has a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ with ramification $\alpha^{i}$ at $p_{i}$ is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\alpha_{1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot \sigma_{\alpha^{\prime}} \cdot \sigma_{(0,1, \ldots, 1)}^{g} \neq 0 \text { in } H^{*}(G(r+1 . d+1) . \mathbb{Z}) . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $t=1$ this condition can be made more explicit (cf. [EH3]): a general pointed curve ( $C \cdot p$ ) of genus $g$ carries a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ with ramification sequence $\left(\alpha_{0} \ldots . a_{r}\right)$ at $p$. if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{r}\left(\alpha_{i}+g-d+r\right)+\leq g . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{-}=\max \{x, 0\}$. One can make the following simple but useful observation:

Proposition 1.3.3 Let (C.p.q) be a general 2-pointed curve of genus $g$ and ( $\alpha_{0} \ldots . \alpha_{r}$ ) a Schubert index of type $(r . d)$. Then $C$ has a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ having ramification sequence $\left(\alpha_{0} \ldots . \alpha_{r}\right)$ at $p$ and a cusp at $q$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{r}\left(\alpha_{i}+g+1-d+r\right)_{+} \leq g+1
$$

Proof: The condition for the existence of the $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ with ramification $\alpha$ at $p$ and a cusp at $q$ is that $\sigma_{\alpha} \cdot \sigma_{(0,1, \ldots, 1)}^{g+1} \neq 0$ (cf. (1.7)). According to the Littlewood-Richardson rule (see $[\mathrm{F}]$ ). this is equivalent with $\sum_{i=0}^{r}\left(\alpha_{i}+g+1-d+r\right)_{+} \leq g+1$.

### 1.4 A few consequences of limit linear series

We investigate the Brill-Noether theory of a 2-pointed elliptic curve (see also [EH4]), and we prove that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, d}^{r} \cap \Delta_{1}$ is irreducible for $\rho(g . r, d)=-1$.

Proposition 1.4.1 Let (E,p,q) be a two-pointed elliptic curve. Consider the sequences

$$
a: a_{0}<a_{1}<\ldots a_{r} \leq d, \quad b: b_{0}<b_{1}<\ldots b_{r} \leq d
$$

1. For any linear series $l=(\mathcal{L}, V) \in G_{d}^{r}(E)$ one has that $\rho\left(l, \alpha^{l}(p), \alpha^{l}(q)\right) \geq-r$. Furthermore, if $\rho\left(l, \alpha^{l}(p), \alpha^{l}(q)\right) \leq-1$, then $p-q \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\mathrm{E})$ is a torsion class.
2. Assume that the sequences $a$ and $b$ satisfy the inequalities: $d-1 \leq a_{i}+b_{r-i} \leq d, i=$ $0, \ldots, r$. Then there exists at most one linear series $l \in G_{d}^{r}(E)$ such that $a^{l}(p)=a$ and $a^{l}(q)=b$. Moreover, there exists exactly one such linear series $l=\left(\mathcal{O}_{E}(D), V\right)$ with $D \in E^{(d)}$, if and only if for each $i=0, \ldots, r$ the following is satisfied: if $a_{i}+b_{r-i}=d$, then $D \sim a_{i} p+b_{r-i} q$, and if $\left(a_{i}+1\right) p+b_{r-i} q \sim D$, then $a_{i+1}=a_{i}+1$.

Proof: In order to prove 1. it is enough to notice that for dimensional reasons there must be sections $\sigma_{i} \in V$ such that $\operatorname{div}\left(\sigma_{i}\right) \geq a_{i}^{l}(p) p+a_{r-i}^{l}(q) q$. therefore, $a_{i}^{l}(p)+a_{r-i}^{l}(q) \leq d$. By adding up all these inequalities. we get that $\rho\left(l, \alpha^{l}(p), \alpha^{l}(q)\right) \geq-r$. Furthermore, $\rho\left(l, \alpha^{l}(p), \alpha^{l}(q)\right) \leq-1$ precisely when for at least two values $i<j$ we have equalities $a_{i}+b_{r-i}=d, a_{j}+b_{r-j}=d$, which means that there are sections $\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j} \in V$ such that $\operatorname{div}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=a_{i} p+b_{r-i} q . \operatorname{div}\left(\sigma_{j}\right)=a_{j} p+b_{r-j} q$. By subtracting. we see that $p-q \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(E)$ is torsion. The second part of the Proposition is in fact Prop. 5.2 from [EH4].

Proposition 1.4.2 Let $g . r, d$ be such that $\rho(g, r, d)=-1$. Then the intersection $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, d}^{r} \cap$ $\Delta_{1}$ is irreducible.

Proof: Let $Y$ be an irreducible component of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . d}^{r} \cap \Delta_{1}$. Either $Y \cap \operatorname{Int} \Delta_{1} \neq \emptyset$, hence $Y=$ $\overline{Y \cap \operatorname{Int} \Delta_{1}}$, or $Y \subseteq \Delta_{1}-\operatorname{Int} \Delta_{1}$. The second alternative never occurs. Indeed, if $Y \subseteq$ $\Delta_{1}-$ Int $\Delta_{1}$. then since codim $\left(Y, \overline{\mathcal{M}_{g}}\right)=2 . Y$ must be one of the irreducible components of $\Delta_{1}-\operatorname{Int} \Delta_{1}$. The components of $\Delta_{1}-\operatorname{Int} \Delta_{1}$ correspond to curves with two nodes. We list these components (see [Ed1]):

- For $1 \leq j \leq g-2 . \Delta_{1_{j}}$ is the closure of the locus in $\bar{M}_{g}$ whose general point corresponds to a chain composed of an elliptic curve. a curve of genus $g-j-1$. and a curve of genus $j$.
- The component $\Delta_{01}$. whose general point corresponds to the union of a smooth elliptic curve and an irreducible nodal curve of genus $g-2$.
- The component $\Delta_{0 . g-1}$ whose general point corresponds to the union of a smooth curve of genus $g-1$ and an irreducible rational curve.

As the general point of $\Delta_{1 . j} . \Delta_{0.1}$ or $\Delta_{0 . g-1}$ is a tree-like curve which satisfies the conditions of Prop.1.3.2 it follows that such a curve satisfies the strong Brill-Noether Theorem. hence $\Delta_{1 . j} \nsubseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . d}^{r} \cdot \Delta_{0.1} \nsubseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . d}^{r}$ and $\Delta_{0 . g-1} \nsubseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . d}^{r}$. a contradiction. So. we are left with the first possibility: $Y=\overline{Y \cap \operatorname{Int} \Delta_{1}}$. We are going to determine the general point $[C] \in Y \cap \operatorname{Int} \Delta_{1}$. Let $\mathrm{X}=C \cup E . g(C)=g-1 . E$ elliptic. $E \cap C=\{p\}$ such that $X$ carries a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$. say 1 . By the additivity of the Brill-Noether number. we have:

$$
-1=\rho(g \cdot r \cdot d) \geq \rho(l . C \cdot p)+\rho(l . E \cdot p) .
$$

Since $p(1 . E . p) \geq 0$. it follows that $p(l . C . p) \leq-1$. so $u^{l_{C}}(p) \geq r$. Let us denote by

$$
3: \mathcal{C}_{g-1} \times \mathcal{C}_{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Int} \Delta_{1}
$$

the natural map given by $3([C \cdot p] \cdot[E \cdot q])=\{X:=C \cup E / p \sim q]$. We claim that if we choose $X$ generically, then $\alpha_{0}^{l_{C}}(p)=0$. If not. $p$ is a base point of $l_{C}$ and after removing the base point we get that $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1 . d-1}^{r}$. Note that $\rho(g-1 . r . d-1)=-2$, so $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}_{g-1 . d-1}^{r}=$ $3 g-8$ (cf. [Ed2]). If we denote by $\pi: \mathcal{C}_{g-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g-1}$ the morphism which forgets the point․ we get that

$$
\operatorname{dim} 3\left(\pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g-1, d-1}^{r}\right) \times \mathcal{C}_{1}\right)=3 g-6<\operatorname{dim} Y
$$

a contradiction. Hence, for the generic $[X] \in Y$ we must have $\alpha_{0}^{l_{C}}(p)=0$. so $a_{r}^{l_{E}}(p)=d$. Since an elliptic curve cannot have a meromorphic function with a single pole. it follows that $a_{r-1}^{l_{E}}(p) \leq d-2$ and this implies $a^{l_{c}}(p) \geq(0.1 \ldots .1)$. i.e. $l_{C}$ has a cusp at $p$. Thus. if we introduce the notation

$$
\mathcal{C}_{g-1, d}^{r}(0,1 \ldots .1)=\left\{[C, p] \in \mathcal{C}_{g_{-1}}: G_{d}^{r}(C,(p,(0.1 \ldots .1))) \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

 $\mathcal{C}_{g-1, d}^{r}(0.1 \ldots .1)$ is irreducible of dimension $3 g-6$ (that is. codimension 1 in $\mathcal{C}_{g-1}$ ). so we must have $\left.Y^{\prime}=\overline{3\left(\mathcal{C}_{g-1 . d}^{r}\right.}(0.1 \ldots \ldots 1) \times \mathcal{C}_{1}\right)$. which not only proves that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . d}^{r} \cap \nu_{\text {: }}$ is irreducible. but also determines the intersection.

### 1.5 The Kodaira dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{23}$

In this section we prove that $r\left(\mathcal{M}_{23}\right) \geq 2$ and we investigate closely the multicanonical linear systems on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}$. We now describe the three multicanonical Brill-. Noether divisors from Section 2.

### 1.5.1 The divisor $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}^{1}$

There is a stratification of $\mathcal{M}_{23}$ given by gonality:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{2}^{1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{3}^{1} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{12}^{1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{23}
$$

For $2 \leq d \leq g / 2+1$ one knows that $\mathcal{M}_{k}^{1}=\mathcal{M}_{g, k}^{1}$ is an irreducible variety of dimension $2 g+2 d-\overline{5}$. The general point of $\mathcal{M}_{g . d}^{1}$ corresponds to a curve having a unique $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{1}$.

### 1.5.2 The divisor $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{17}^{2}$

The Severi variety $V_{d . g}$ of irreducible plane curves of degree $d$ and geometric genus $g$. where $0 \leq g \leq\binom{ d-1}{2}$, is an irreducible subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{d /(d+3) / 2}$ of dimension $3 d+g-1$ (cf. $[\mathrm{H}] .[\mathrm{Mod}]$ ). Inside $V_{d . g}$ we consider the open dense subset $V_{d . g}$ of irreducible plane curves of degree $d$ having exactly $\delta=\binom{d-1}{2}-g$ nodes and no other singularities. There is a global normalization map

$$
m: U_{d . g} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{y}, m([Y]):=[\tilde{Y}] . \tilde{Y} \text { is the normalization of } Y .
$$

When $d-2 \leq g \leq\binom{ d-1}{2}, d \geq 5 . L_{d . g}$ has the expected number of moduli, i.e.

$$
\operatorname{dim} m\left(U_{d . g}\right)=\min (3 g-3,3 g-3+\rho(g, 2, d))
$$

In our case we can summarize this as follows:
Proposition 1.5.1 There is exactly one component of $\mathcal{G}_{17}^{2}$ mapping dominantly to $\mathcal{M}_{17}^{2}$. The general element $(C, l) \in \mathcal{G}_{17}^{2}$ corresponds to a curve $C$ of genus 23 , together with a $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$ which provides a plane model for $C$ of degree 17 with 97 nodes.

### 1.5.3 The divisor $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{20}^{3}$

Here we combine the result of Eisenbud and Harris (see [EH2]) about the uniqueness of divisorial components of $\mathcal{G}_{d}^{r}$ when $\rho(g . r . d)=-1$, with Sernesi's (see [Se2]) which asserts the existence of components of the Hilbert scheme $H_{d . g}$ parametrizing curves in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree $d$ and genus $g$ with the expected number of moduli. for $d-3 \leq g \leq 3 d-18, d \geq 9$.

Proposition 1.5.2 There is exactly one component of $\mathcal{G}_{20}^{3}$ mapping dominantly to $\mathcal{M}_{20}^{3}$. The general point of this component corresponds to a pair (C.l) where $C$ is a curve of genus 23 and $l$ is a very ample $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$.

We are going to prove that the Brill-Noether divisors $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}^{1} \cdot \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{17}^{2}$ and $\cdot \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{20}^{3}$ are mutually distinct.

Theorem 1.2 There exists a smooth curve of genus 23 having a $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$. but no $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$ s. Equivalently. one has $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mathcal{M}_{1-}^{2}\right) \nsubseteq \operatorname{supp}\left(\mathcal{M}_{20}^{3}\right)$.

Proof: It suffices to construct a reducible curve X of compact type of genus 23. which has a smoothable limit $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$. but no limit $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$. If $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{23}$ is a nearby smoothing of $X$ which preserves the $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$. then $[C] \in . \mathcal{M}_{17}^{2}-. \mathcal{M}_{20}^{3}$. Let us consider the following curve:

where $\left(C_{1}, p_{1}\right)$ and $\left(C_{2}, p_{2}\right)$ are general pointed curves of genus 11. $E$ is an elliptic curve. and $p_{1}-p_{2}$ is a primitive 9 -torsion point in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(E)$
Step 1) There is no limit $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$ on $X$. Assume that $l$ is a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$ on $X$. By the additivity of the Brill-Noether number.

$$
-1 \geq \rho\left(l_{C_{1}} \cdot p_{1}\right)+\rho\left(l_{c_{2}} \cdot p_{2}\right)+\rho\left(l_{E} \cdot p_{1} \cdot p_{2}\right)
$$

Since $\left(C_{i} . p_{i}\right)$ are general points in $\mathcal{C}_{11}$. it follows from Prop.1.3.2 that $\rho\left(l_{C_{i}} \cdot p_{i}\right) \geq 0$, hence $\rho\left(l_{E} \cdot p_{1} \cdot p_{2}\right) \leq-1$. On the other hand $\rho\left(l_{E} \cdot p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \geq-3$ from Prop.1.4.1.

Denote by $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ the vanishing sequence of $l_{E}$ at $p_{1}$, and by $\left(b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$ that of $l_{E}$ at $p_{2}$. The condition (1.8) for a general pointed curve $\left[\left(C_{i}, p_{i}\right)\right] \in \mathcal{C}_{11}$ to possess a $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$ with prescribed ramification at the point $p_{i}$ and the compatibility conditions between $l_{C_{i}}$ and $l_{E}$ at $p_{i}$ give that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(14-a_{3}\right)_{+}+\left(13-a_{2}\right)_{+}+\left(12-a_{1}\right)_{+}+\left(11-a_{0}\right)_{+} \leq 11 . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(14-b_{3}\right)_{+}+\left(13-b_{2}\right)_{+}+\left(12-b_{1}\right)_{+}+\left(11-b_{0}\right)_{+} \leq 11 . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

1st case: $\rho\left(l_{E}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=-3$. Then $a_{i}+b_{3-i}=20$, for $i=0 \ldots .3$ and it immediately follows that $20\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right) \sim 0$ in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(E)$ a contradiction.
2nd case: $\rho\left(l_{E} \cdot p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=-2$. We have two distinct possibilities here: i) $a_{0}+b_{3}=$ 20. $a_{1}+b_{2}=20 . a_{2}+b_{1}=20 . a_{3}+b_{0}=19$. Then it follows that $a^{I_{F}}\left(p_{1}\right)=(0.9 .18 .19)$ and $a^{I_{E}}\left(p_{2}\right)=(0,2,11,20)$. while according to (1.9), $a_{3} \leq 15$. (because $\rho\left(l_{C_{1}}, p_{1}\right) \leq 1$ ). a contradiction. ii) $a_{0}+b_{3}=20, a_{1}+b_{2}=20 . a_{2}+b_{1}=19 . a_{3}+b_{0}=20$. Again, it follows that $a_{3}=a_{0}+18 \geq 1.5$. a contradiction.
3rd case: $\rho\left(l_{E}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=-1$. Then $\rho\left(l_{C_{i}}, p_{i}\right)=0$ and $l$ is a refined limit $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$. From (1.9) and (1.10) we must have: $a^{l_{E}}\left(p_{i}\right) \leq(11,12,13,14) . i=1,2$. There are four possibilities: i) $a_{0}+b_{3}=a_{1}+b_{2}=20 . a_{2}+b_{1}=a_{3}+b_{0}=19$. Then $a_{1}=a_{0}+9 \leq 12$. so $b_{3}=20-a_{0} \geq 17$. a contradiction. ii) $a_{0}+b_{3}=a_{2}+b_{1}=20, a_{2}+b_{1}=a_{3}+b_{0}=19$. Then $b_{3}=20-a_{0} \leq 14$. so $a_{2}=a_{0}+9 \geq 15$. a contradiction. iii) $a_{0}+b_{3}=a_{3}+b_{0}=20 . a_{1}+b_{2}=a_{2}+b_{1}=19$. Then $b_{3}=19-a_{0} \leq 14$. so $a_{3} \geq a_{0}+9 \geq 15$. a contradiction. iv) $a_{0}+b_{3}=a_{3}+b_{0}=$
19. $a_{1}+b_{2}=a_{2}+b_{1}=20$. Then $b_{3}=19-a_{0} \leq 14$. so $a_{2} \geq a_{1}+9 \geq 15$. a contradiction again. We conclude that $X$ has no limit $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$.

Step 2) There exists a smoothable limit $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$ on $X$. hence $[X] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{17}^{2}$. We construct a limit linear series $/$ of type $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$ on $X$. aspect by aspect: on $C_{i}$ take $l_{C_{i}} \in G_{17}^{2}\left(C_{i}\right)$ such that $a^{l} C_{1}\left(p_{i}\right)=(4.9 .13)$. Note that in this case $\sum_{j=0}^{r}\left(\alpha_{j}+g-d+r\right)_{+}=g$, so (1.8) ensures the existence of such a $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$. On $E$ we take $l_{E}=\left|V_{E}\right|$. where $\left|V_{E}\right| \subseteq 4 p_{1}+13 p_{2}=\left|4 p_{2}+13 p_{1}\right|$ is a $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$ with vanishing sequence (4.8.13) at $p_{i}$. Prop.1.4.1 ensures the existence of such a linear series. In this way $l$ is a refined limit $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$ on $X$ with $\rho\left(l_{C_{i}}, p_{i}\right)=0, \rho\left(l_{E}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=-1$. We prove that $l$ is dimensionally proper. Let $\pi_{i}: \mathcal{C}_{i} \rightarrow \Delta_{i} . \tilde{p}_{i}: \Delta_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{i}$. be the versal deformation of $\left[\left(C_{i}, p_{i}\right)\right] \in \mathcal{C}_{11}$, and $\sigma_{i}: \mathcal{G}_{17}^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{i} / \Delta_{i} .\left(\tilde{p}_{i},(4.8,11)\right)\right) \rightarrow \Delta_{i}$ the projection.

Since being general is an open condition. we have that $\sigma_{i}$ is surjective and $\operatorname{dim} \sigma_{i}^{-1}(t)=$ $\rho\left(l_{C_{i}} \cdot p_{i}\right)=0$, for each $t \in \Delta_{i}$. therefore

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}_{17}^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{i} / \Delta_{i} .\left(\tilde{p}_{i},(4.8,11)\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim} \Delta_{i}+\rho\left(l_{C_{i}}, p_{i}\right)=31 .
$$

Next. let $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Delta, \tilde{p}_{1}, \tilde{p}_{2}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the versal deformation of $\left(E, p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$. We prove that

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}_{17}^{2}\left(\mathcal{C} / \Delta,\left(\tilde{p}_{i},(4,7,11)\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim} \Delta+\rho\left(l_{E}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=1
$$

This follows from Prop.1.4.1, since a 2-pointed elliptic curve ( $\left.E_{t}, \tilde{p}_{1}(t), \tilde{p}_{2}(t)\right)$ has at most one $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$ with ramification $(4,7,11)$ at both $\tilde{p}_{1}(t)$ and $\tilde{p}_{2}(t)$, and exactly one when $9\left(\tilde{p}_{1}(t)\right.$ $\left.\tilde{p}_{2}(t)\right) \sim 0$. Hence $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{G}_{17}^{2}\left(\mathcal{C} / \Delta .\left(\tilde{p}_{2} \cdot(4,7,11)\right)\right)=\left\{t \in \Delta: 9\left(\tilde{p}_{1}(t)-\tilde{p}_{2}(t)\right) \sim 0 \operatorname{in~}^{\operatorname{Pic}}{ }^{0}\left(E_{t}\right)\right\}$, which is a divisor on $\Delta$, so the claim follows and $l$ is a dimensionally proper $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$.

A slight variation of the previous argument gives us:
Proposition 1.5.3 We have $\operatorname{supp}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{17}^{2} \cap \Delta_{1}\right) \neq \operatorname{supp}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{20}^{3} \cap \Delta_{1}\right)$.
Proof: We construct a curve $[Y] \in \Delta_{1} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}$ which has a smoothable limit $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$ but no limit $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$. Let us consider the following curve:

where $\left(C_{2} \cdot p_{2}\right)$ is a general point of $\mathcal{C}_{11},\left(C_{1} . p_{1}, x\right)$ is a general 2-pointed curve of genus 10. ( $\left.E_{1}, x\right)$ is general in $\mathcal{C}_{1}$. $E$ is an elliptic curve and $p_{1}-p_{2} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(E)$ is a primitive 9-torsion. In order to prove that $Y^{*}$ has no limit $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$. one just has to take into account that according to Prop.1.3.3. the condition for a general 1-pointed curve ( $C . z$ ) of genus $g$. to have a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ with ramification $\alpha$ at $z$ is the same with the condition for a general 2-pointed
curve (D. $x . y$ ) of genus $g-1$ to have a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{T}$ with ramification $a$ at $x$ and a cusp at $y$. Therefore we can repeat what we did in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Next. we construct $l$. a smoothable limit $\mathfrak{g}_{1 ;}^{2}$ on $Y:$ take $l_{C_{2}} \in G_{17}^{2}\left(C_{2} \cdot\left(p_{2} \cdot(4.8 .11)\right)\right) . l_{E}=I_{E} \subseteq 4 p_{1}+13 p_{2}$. with $a^{i_{E}}\left(p_{2}\right)=(4.7 .11)$. on $E_{1}$ take $l_{E_{1}}=14 x+3 x$. and finally on $C_{1}$ take $l_{C_{1}}$ such that $a^{l_{1}}\left(p_{1}\right)=(4.8 .11) \cdot a^{l_{1}}(x)=(0.0 .1)$. Prop.1.3.3 ensures the existence of $l_{C_{1}}$. Clearly. $l$ is a refined limit $\mathfrak{g}_{1 ;}^{2}$ and the proof that it is smoothable is all but identical to the one in the last part of Theorem 1.2.

The other cases are settled by the following:
Theorem 1.3 There exists a smooth curve of genus 23 having a $\mathfrak{g}_{12}^{1}$ but having no $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$ nor $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$. Equivalently. $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mathcal{M}_{12}^{1}\right) \nsubseteq \operatorname{supp}\left(\mathcal{M}_{17}^{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{supp}\left(\mathcal{M}_{12}^{1}\right) \nsubseteq \operatorname{supp}\left(\mathcal{M}_{20}^{3}\right)$.
Proof: We take the curve considered in [EH3]:


$$
Y^{\prime}:=C_{1} \cup C_{2} \cup E .
$$

where ( $C_{1} . p_{i}$ ) are general points of $\mathcal{C}_{11} . E$ is elliptic and $p_{1}-p_{2} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(E)$ is a primitive 12 -torsion. Clearly $Y$ has a (smoothable) limit $\mathfrak{g}_{12}^{1}$ : on $C_{i}$ take the pencil $\mid 12 p_{i}{ }^{\dagger}$. while on $E$ take the pencil spanned by $12 p_{1}$ and $12 p_{2}$. It is proved in [EH3] that $Y$ has no limit $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$ 's and similarly one can prove that $Y$ has no limit $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~s}$ either. We omit the details. $\square$

Now we are going to prove that equation (1.2)

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(\mathcal{M}_{12}^{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(\mathcal{M}_{17}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mathcal{M}_{17}^{2}\right) \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(\mathcal{M}_{20}^{3}\right)=\operatorname{supp}\left(\mathcal{M}_{20}^{3}\right) \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(\mathcal{M}_{12}^{1}\right)
$$

is impossible. and as explained before this will imply that $\kappa\left(\mathcal{M}_{23}\right) \geq 2$. The main step in this direction is the following:

Proposition 1.5.4 There exists a stable curve of compact type of genus 23 which has a smoothable limit $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$. a smoothable limit $\mathfrak{g}_{15}^{2}$ (therefore also a $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$ ). but has generic gonality. that is. it does not have any limit $\mathfrak{a}_{12}^{1}$.

Intermezzo: Before proceeding with the proof let us discuss a possible way to construct curves of genus 23 with such special Brill-Noether properties. Since we are looking for curves $C$ of genus 23 with a $\mathfrak{g}_{15}^{2}$. a possibility is to start with a (smooth) plane curve $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree $d<15$ and obtain $C$ from $\Gamma$ by several geometrical operations. We take $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2}$ smooth of degree $d$ and pick general points $p_{i}, q_{i} \in \Gamma$. for $1 \leq i \leq \delta$.

Let us denote by $\bar{C}$ the curve obtained from $\Gamma$ by identifying $p_{i}$ and $q_{i}$ and by $\nu: \Gamma \rightarrow \bar{C}$ the normalization map. hence $\nu\left(p_{i}\right)=\nu\left(q_{i}\right)=s$, for $1 \leq i \leq \delta$. There exists a generalized $\mathfrak{g}_{d+\delta}^{2}$ on the integral curve $\bar{C}$ which corresponds to a torsion-free rank one sheaf on $\bar{C}$.

Note that since $\bar{C}$ is irreducible the varicty of torsion-free rank one sheares on $\bar{C}$ is a compactification of $\operatorname{Pic}(\bar{C})$. The generalized $\mathfrak{g}_{d-\delta}^{2}$ is obtained from the (unique) $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}$ on $\Gamma$ by adding the nodes $s_{i}$ as base points. hence we have that $\nu^{*}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{d+\delta}^{2}\right)=\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\delta}\left(p_{i}+q_{i}\right)\right)$.

Using results from [ Ta$]$, it is not difficult to show that the $\mathfrak{g}_{d+\delta}^{2}$ on $\bar{C}$ is smoothable. that is. $[\bar{C}] \in \bar{M}_{p_{a}(\bar{C}), d-\delta}^{2}$. By solving the equations $d+\delta=15$ and $\binom{d-1}{2}+\delta=23$ we get $d=7$ and $\delta=8$, so we could start with a smooth plane septic $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2}$ and identify 8 pairs of general points $p_{i}, q_{i} \in \Gamma, i=1 \ldots .8$. The resulting curve $\bar{C}$. of genus 23 , will have a smoothable $\mathfrak{g}_{15}^{2}$. Letting the points $p_{i}, q_{i}$ come together in pairs, we obtain a curve of genus 23 with 8 cusps. From the point of view of the Stable Reduction Theorem (see [Mod]) this is the same as attaching 8 elliptic tails to $\Gamma$ at the cusps.
Proof We shall consider the following stable curve $X$ of genus 23 :

where the $E_{i}$ 's are elliptic curves, $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is a general smooth plane septic and the points of attachment $\left\{p_{i}\right\}=\Gamma \cup E_{i}$ are general points of $\Gamma$.
Step 1) There is no limit $\mathfrak{g}_{12}^{1}$ on $X$. Assume that $l$ is a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{12}^{l}$ on $X$. Since the elliptic curves $E_{i}$ cannot have meromorphic functions with a single pole, we have that $a^{l_{E_{i}}}\left(p_{i}\right) \leq(10,12)$, hence $\alpha^{l_{\Gamma}}\left(p_{i}\right) \geq(0,1)$, that is, $l_{\Gamma}$ has a cusp at $p_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 8$. We now prove that $\Gamma$ has no $\mathfrak{g}_{12}^{1} s$ with cusps at the points $p_{i}$.

First, we notice that $\operatorname{dim} G_{12}^{1}(\Gamma)=\rho(15,1,12)=7$. Indeed, if we assume that $\operatorname{dim} G_{12}^{1}(\Gamma) \geq 8$, by applying Keem's Theorem (cf. [ACGH], p.200) we would get that $\Gamma$ possesses a $\mathfrak{g}_{4}^{1}$, which is impossible since gon $(\Gamma)=6$. (In general, if $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is a smooth plane curve, $\operatorname{deg}(Y)=d$, then gon $(Y)=d-1$, and the $\mathfrak{g}_{d-1}^{1}$ computing the gonality is cut out by the lines passing through a point $p \in Y$. see $[\mathrm{ACGH}]$.) Next, we define the variety

$$
\Sigma=\left\{\left(l, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{8}\right) \in G_{12}^{1}(\Gamma) \times \Gamma^{8}: \alpha^{l}\left(q_{i}\right) \geq(0,1) \cdot i=1 \ldots .8\right\}
$$

and denote by $\pi_{1}: \Sigma \rightarrow G_{12}^{1}(\Gamma)$ and $\pi_{2}: \Sigma \rightarrow \Gamma^{8}$ the two projections. For any $l \in G_{12}^{1}(\Gamma)$, the fibre $\pi_{1}^{-1}(l)$ is finite. hence $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma=\operatorname{dim} G_{12}^{1}(\Gamma)=7$. which shows that $\pi_{2}$ cannot be surjective and this proves our claim.
Step 2) There exists a smoothable limit $\mathfrak{g}_{15}^{2}$ on $X$. hence $[X] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15}^{2}$. We construct $l$. a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{15}^{2}$ on $X$ as follows: on $\Gamma$ there is a (unique) $\mathfrak{g}_{7}^{2}$. and we consider $l_{\Gamma}=\mathfrak{g}_{7}^{2}\left(p_{1}+\cdots+p_{8}\right)$. i.e. the $\Gamma$ - aspect $l_{\Gamma}$ is obtained from the $g_{7}^{2}$ by adding the base points $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{8}$. Clearly $a^{l_{\mathrm{I}}}\left(p_{i}\right)=(1,2,3)$ for each $i$. On $E_{i}$ we take $l_{E_{2}}=\mathfrak{g}_{3}^{2}\left(12 p_{i}\right)$ for $i=1 \ldots .8$. where $\mathfrak{g}_{3}^{2}$ is a complete linear series of the form $2 p_{i}+x_{i} \mid$ with $x_{i} \in E_{i}-\left\{p_{i}\right\}$. Furthermore, $a^{l_{E_{i}}}\left(p_{i}\right)=$ $(12.13 .14)$. so $l=\left\{l_{\Gamma}, l_{E_{i}}\right\}$ is a refined limit $\mathfrak{g}_{15}^{2}$ on $X$. One sees that $\rho\left(l_{E_{i}} \cdot \alpha^{l E_{i}}\left(p_{i}\right)\right)=$ 1 for all $i$. $\rho\left(l_{\Gamma}, \alpha^{l_{\Gamma}}\left(p_{1}\right) \ldots, \alpha^{l_{\mathrm{I}}}\left(p_{8}\right)\right)=-15$. and $\rho(l)=-7$. We now prove that $l$ is dimensionally proper.

Let $\pi_{i}: \mathcal{C}_{i} \rightarrow \Delta_{i} . \bar{p}_{i}: \Delta_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{i}$ be the versal deformation space of $\left(E_{i}, p_{i}\right)$. for $i=1 \ldots .8$. There is an obrious isomorphism over $\Delta_{i}$

$$
\mathcal{G}_{15}^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{i} / \Delta_{i},\left(\dot{p}_{i},(12.12 .12)\right)\right) \simeq \mathcal{G}_{3}^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{i} / \Delta_{i}\left(\tilde{p}_{i} .0\right)\right) .
$$

If $\sigma_{i}: \mathcal{G}_{3}^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{i} / \Delta_{i} .\left(\bar{p}_{i}, 0\right)\right) \rightarrow \Delta_{i}$ is the natural projection. then for each $t \in \Delta_{i}$. the fibre $\sigma_{i}^{-1}(t)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{i}^{-1}(t)$. the isomorphism being given by

$$
\pi_{i}^{-1}(t) \ni q \mapsto 2 \tilde{p}_{i}(t)+q \in G_{3}^{2}\left(\pi_{i}^{-1}(t)\right)
$$

Thus. $\mathcal{G}_{3}^{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{i} / \Delta_{i},\left(\vec{p}_{i}, 0\right)\right)$ is a smooth irreducible surface. which shows that $/$ is dimensionally proper w.r.t. $E_{i}$. Next. let us consider $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta . \tilde{p}_{1} \ldots . \tilde{p}_{8}: \perp \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. the versal deformation of ( $\Gamma, p_{1} \ldots, p_{8}$ ). We have to prove that

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}_{15}^{2}\left(X / \Delta \cdot\left(\tilde{p}_{i},(1.1 .1)\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim} \Delta+\rho\left(l_{\mathrm{I}} \cdot a^{l_{\mathrm{T}}}\left(p_{i}\right)\right)=35
$$

There is an isomorphism over $\Delta$.

$$
\mathcal{G}_{15}^{2}\left(X / \Delta \cdot\left(\tilde{p}_{i},(1.1 .1)\right)\right) \simeq \mathcal{G}_{7}^{2}\left(X / \Delta \cdot\left(\tilde{p}_{i}, 0\right)\right)
$$

If $\pi_{0}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is the versal deformation space of $\Gamma$, then we denote by $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{i}}^{2} \rightarrow, \mathcal{U}$ the scheme parametrizing $\mathfrak{g}_{7}^{2} \cdot s$ on curves of genus 15 nearby' $\Gamma$ (Sce Section 1.3 for this notation). Clearly $\mathcal{G}_{7}^{2}\left(\mathcal{X} / \Delta \cdot\left(\tilde{p}_{i}, 0\right)\right) \simeq \mathcal{G}_{7}^{2} \times_{\mathcal{M}} \Delta$. so it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{G}_{7}^{2}$ has the expected dimension at the point $\left(\Gamma, g_{7}^{2}\right)$. For this we use Prop.1.3.1. We have that $\Lambda_{\Gamma / \mathrm{p}^{2}}=$ $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}(7) . K_{\Gamma}=\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}(4)$. hence

$$
H^{1}\left(\Gamma \cdot \Lambda_{\Gamma / \mu^{2}}\right) \simeq H^{0}\left(\Gamma \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}(-3)\right)^{\vee}=0
$$

so $l$ is dimensionally proper w.r.t. $\Gamma$ as well. We conclude that $l$ is smoothable.
Step 3) There exists a smoothable limit $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$ on $X$. that is $[X] \in \bar{M}_{20}^{3}$. First we notice that there is an isomorphism $\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{6}^{1}(\Gamma)$, given by

$$
\Gamma \ni p \mapsto\left|\mathfrak{g}_{7}^{2}-p\right| \in G_{6}^{1}(\Gamma) .
$$

Consequently: there is a 2-dimensional family of $\mathfrak{g}_{12}^{3}$ s on $\Gamma$. of the form $\mathfrak{g}_{12}^{3}=\mathfrak{g}_{6}^{1}+\mathfrak{h}_{6}^{1}=$ $2 \mathfrak{g}_{7}^{2}-p-q$. where $p . q \in \Gamma$. Pick $l_{0}=l_{0}^{\prime}+l_{0}^{\prime \prime}$. with $l_{0}^{\prime} . l_{0}^{\prime \prime} \in G_{6}^{1}(\Gamma)$. a general $\mathfrak{g}_{2}^{3}$ of this type. We construct $l$. a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$ on $X$. as follows: the $\Gamma$-aspect is given by $l_{1}=l_{0}\left(\mu_{1}+\cdots p_{8}\right)$. and because of the generality of the chosen $l_{0}$ we have that $\rho\left(l_{\Gamma} \cdot a^{l_{\Gamma}}\left(p_{1}\right) \ldots . \alpha^{l_{1}}\left(p_{8}\right)\right)=-9$. The $E_{i}$-aspect is given by $l_{E_{i}}=\mathfrak{g}_{4}^{3}\left(16 p_{i}\right)$. where $\mathfrak{g}_{4}^{3}=3 p_{i}+x_{i}$. with $x_{i} \in E_{i}-\left\{p_{i}\right\}$. for $i=1 \ldots .8$. It is clear that $\rho\left(l_{E_{i}} \cdot \alpha^{i_{E_{i}}}\left(p_{i}\right)\right)=1$ and that $l^{\prime}=\left\{l_{\mathrm{I}} \cdot l_{E_{i}}\right\}$ is a refined limit $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$ on $X$.

In order to prove that $l^{\prime}$ is dimensionally proper. we first notice that $l^{\prime}$ is dimensionally proper w.r.t. the elliptic tails $E_{\text {, }}$. We now prove that $l^{\prime}$ is dimensionally proper w.r.t. Г. As in the previous step, we consider $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta . \tilde{p}_{1} \ldots . \tilde{p}_{8}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. the rersal deformation
of $\left(\Gamma . p_{1} \ldots . p_{8}\right)$ and $\pi_{0}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. the versal deformation space of $\Gamma$. There is an isomorphism over $\Delta$

$$
\mathcal{G}_{20}^{3}\left(\mathcal{X} / \Delta,\left(\tilde{p}_{1}, \alpha^{l_{\mathrm{r}}}\left(p_{1}\right) \ldots\left(\tilde{p}_{8}, \alpha^{l_{\mathrm{r}}}\left(p_{8}\right)\right)\right) \simeq \mathcal{G}_{12}^{3}(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{M}) \times_{\mathcal{M}} \Delta .\right.
$$

It suffices to prove that $\mathcal{G}_{1.2}^{3}=\mathcal{G}_{12}^{3}(\mathcal{C} / \mathcal{M})$ has a component of the expected dimension passing through ( $\Gamma, l_{0}$ ). In this way. the genus 23 problem is turned into a deformation theoretic problem in genus 15 . Denote as usual by $\sigma: \mathcal{G}_{12}^{3} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ the natural projection. According to Prop.1.3.1 it will be enough to exhibit an element $(C, l) \in \mathcal{G}_{20}^{3}$. sitting in the same component as ( $\Gamma . l_{0}$ ) , such that the linear system $l$ is base point free and simple. and if $o_{1}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is the map induced by $l$, then $H^{1}\left(C, \aleph_{\varphi_{1}}\right)=0$. Certainly we cannot take $C$ to be a smooth plane septic because in this case $H^{1}\left(C, N_{\phi_{1}}\right) \neq 0$, as one can easily see. Instead. we consider the 6 -gonal locus in a neighbourhood of the point $[\Gamma] \in \mathcal{M}_{15}$, or equivalently, the 6 -gonal locus in $\mathcal{M}$, the versal deformation space of $\Gamma$. One has the projection $\mathcal{G}_{6}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and the scheme $\mathcal{G}_{6}^{1}$ is smooth (and irreducible) of dimension $37(=2 g+2 d-5 ; g=15 . d=6)$. We denote by

$$
\mu: \mathcal{G}_{6}^{1} \times{ }_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{G}_{6}^{1} \rightarrow . \mathcal{M} . \quad \mu\left(\left[C . l . l^{\prime}\right]\right)=[C] .
$$

There is a stratification of $\mathcal{M}$ given by the number of pencils: for $i \geq 0$ we define,

$$
\mathcal{M}(i)^{0}:=\left\{[C] \in \mathcal{M}: C \text { possesses } i \text { mutually independent, base-point-free } \mathfrak{g}_{6}^{1} s\right\}
$$

and $\mathcal{M}(i):=\overline{\mathcal{M}(i)^{0}}$. The strata $\mathcal{M}(i)^{0}$ are constructible subsets of $\mathcal{M}$. the first stratum $\mathcal{M}(1)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathcal{G}_{6}^{1}\right)$ is just the 6 -gonal locus: the stratum $\mathcal{M}(2)$ is irreducible and $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}(2)=g+4 d-7=32$ (cf. $[\mathrm{AC} 1])$. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\text {sept }}:=\overline{m\left(U_{7,15}\right) \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}}}$ the closure of the locus of smooth plane septics in $\mathcal{M}$, and by $\mathcal{M}_{o c t}:=\overline{m\left(U_{8,15}\right) \cap \mathcal{M}}$ the closure of the locus of curves which are normalizations of plane octics with 6 nodes. Since the Severi varieties $U_{7,15}$ and $U_{8,15}$ are irreducible, so are the loci $\mathcal{M}_{\text {sept }}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\text {oct }}$. Furthermore $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}_{\text {sept }}=27$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}_{\text {oct }}=30$. We prove that $\mathcal{M}_{\text {sept }} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\text {oct }}$. Indeed, let us pick $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2}$ a smooth plane septic, and $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2}$ a general line. $L \cdot Y=p_{1}+\cdots+p_{7}$. Denote $Z:=C \cup L, \operatorname{deg}(Z)=8 . p_{a}(Z)=21$. We consider the node $p_{\bar{T}}$ unassigned. while $p_{1}, \ldots p_{6}$ are assigned. By using [Ta] Theorem 2.13. there exists a flat family of plane curves $\pi: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow B$ and a point $0 \in B$. such that $Z_{0}=\pi^{-1}(0)=Z$. while for $0 \neq b \in B$. the fibre $Z_{b}$ is an irreducible octic with nodes $p_{1}(b) \ldots p_{6}(b)$, and such that $p_{i}(b) \rightarrow p_{i}$. when $b \rightarrow 0$, for $i=1, \ldots .6$. If $\mathcal{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow B$ is the family resulting by normalizing the surface $\mathcal{Z}$, and $\eta: \mathcal{Z}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow B$ is the stable family associated to the semistable family $\mathcal{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow B$. then we get that $\eta^{-1}(0)=Y$. while $\eta^{-1}(b)$ is the normalization of $Z_{b}$ for $b \neq 0$. This proves our contention.

Since $\mathcal{M}_{\text {oct }}$ is irreducible there is a component $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{6}^{1} \times{ }_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{G}_{6}^{1}$. such that $\mu(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq \mathcal{M}_{o c t}$. The general point of $\mathcal{A}$ corresponds to a curve $C$ and two base-point-free pencils $l^{\prime} . l^{\prime \prime} \in$ $G_{6}^{1}(C)$ such that if $f^{\prime}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $f^{\prime \prime}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ are the corresponding morphisms. then

$$
o=\left(f^{\prime} \cdot f^{\prime \prime}\right): C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}
$$

is birational. Since $[\Gamma] \in \mu(\mathcal{A})$ we can assume that $\left[\Gamma . l_{0}^{\prime} \cdot l_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right] \in \mathcal{A}$. As a matter of fact. we can start the construction of a limit $g_{20}^{3}$ on the genus 23 curve $X=\Gamma \cup E_{1} \cup \ldots \jmath E_{\alpha}$. bs taking any pair $\left(l_{0}^{\prime}, l_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) \in G_{6}^{\prime}(\Gamma) \times G_{6}^{\prime}(\Gamma)$. such that dim $l_{0}^{\prime \prime}-l_{0}^{\prime \prime}=3$. the argument does not change.

We denote by $\eta: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{12}^{3}$ the map given by $\eta\left(C . l^{\prime} . l^{\prime \prime}\right):=\left(C \cdot l^{\prime}-l^{\prime \prime}\right)$. The fact that $\eta$ maps to $\mathcal{G}_{12}^{3}$ follows from the base-point-free-pencil-trick.

We are going to show that given a general point $C] \in \mathcal{M}_{e c t}$. and $\left.\left.\left(C . l . \|^{\prime}\right) \in \mu^{-1} C\right]\right)$. the condition $H^{1}\left(C, \nu_{O_{1}}\right)=0$ is satisfied. hence $\mathcal{G}_{12}^{3}$ is smooth of the expected dimension at the point $\left(C \cdot l+l^{\prime}\right)$. This will prove the existence of a component of $\mathcal{G}_{12}^{3}$ passing through $\left(\Gamma . l_{0}\right)$ and laving the expected dimension. We take $\bar{C} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2}$. a general point of $L_{x, 15}$. with nodes $p_{1} \ldots . p_{6} \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$ in general position. Theorem 3.2 from $[A C 1]$ ensures that there exists a plane octic having 6 prescribed nodes in general position. Let $\nu: C \rightarrow \bar{C}$ be the normalization map. $\nu^{-1}\left(p_{i}\right)=q_{i}^{\prime}+q_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ for $i=1 \ldots$. 6. Choose two nodes. say $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$. and demote by $\mathfrak{g}_{6}^{\prime}=H-q_{1}^{\prime}-q_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{6}^{1}=H-q_{2}^{\prime}-q_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. the linear series obtained by projecting $\bar{C}$ from $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ respectively. Here $H \in \nu^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{F}^{2}}(1)$ is an arbitrary line section of $C$. The morphism induced by ( $\mathfrak{g}_{6}^{1}, \mathfrak{h}_{6}^{1}$ ) is denoted $\mathfrak{l}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{o}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $O_{1}=s$ oo: $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$. with $s: \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$ the Segre embedding. There is an exact serinemer over $C$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \lambda_{0} \longrightarrow \lambda_{O_{1}} \longrightarrow o^{*} \lambda_{-1 \times=1 / \xi^{3}} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can argue as in [AC'2] p. 473 . that for a general $\left(C \cdot \mathfrak{g}_{6}^{1} \cdot \mathfrak{h}_{6}^{1}\right)$ with $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{\text {oct }}$, we have $h^{1}\left(C, V_{0}\right)=0$. Indeed, let us denote by $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ the open set of $\mathcal{A}$ corresponding to points (X.l. $l^{\prime}$ ) such that $\: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. the morphism associated to the pair of pericils $\left(I . I^{\prime}\right)$ is birational, and by $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{0}$ the variety of those points (X.I.I') $\in \mathcal{A}_{0}$ such that $H^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{N}_{1}\right) \neq 0$. Define

$$
\mathcal{V}:=\left\{x=\left(\mathbb{X} . l . l^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right):\left(X . l . l^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{U} . \mathcal{F} \text { is a frame for } l . \mathcal{F}^{\prime} \text { is a frame for } l^{\prime}\right\} .
$$

We may assume that for a generic $x \in \mathcal{U}$. the corresponding pencils $l$ and $l^{\prime}$ are base-point-free. Suppose that $\mathcal{U}$ has a component of dimension a. For any $r \in \mathcal{V}$.

$$
T_{x}(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq H^{0}\left(X . N_{1}\right) \text { and dim } T_{x}(\mathcal{V}) \geq a+2 \operatorname{dim} P G L(2)=a+6
$$

 for a genteral point $x \in \mathcal{V}$ one has that.

$$
T_{x}(\mathcal{V}) \cap H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{K}_{\imath}\right)=0
$$

from which it follows that $a \leq g-6$. If not. one would have that $h^{0}\left(X . N_{1}\right) \geq g+1$. and therefore by Clifford's Theorem. $h^{1}\left(X . N_{V}\right)=h^{1}\left(X . N_{2}^{\prime}\right)=0$. which contradicts the definition of $\mathcal{U}$. Since clearly dim $\mathcal{M}_{\text {oct }}>g-6$. we can assume that $h^{1}\left(C \ldots \mathcal{V}_{0}\right)=0$, for the general $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{\text {oct }}$. Therefore by taking cohomology in (1.11). we get that

$$
H^{1}\left(C, V_{O_{1}}\right)=H^{1}\left(C \cdot \mathcal{O}_{C} \cdot(2)\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{c}(1)=o_{1}^{*} \mathcal{O}_{z 3}(1)$. By Serre duality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1}\left(C \cdot \mathcal{O}_{C}(2)\right)=0 \Longleftrightarrow K_{C}-2 \mathfrak{g}_{6}^{1}-2 \mathfrak{h}_{k j}^{1}=\emptyset \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $K_{C}^{\prime}=5 H-\sum_{i=1}^{6}\left(q_{i}^{\prime}+q_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. equation (1.12) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
H+q_{1}^{\prime}+q_{1}^{\prime \prime}+q_{2}^{\prime}+q_{2}^{\prime \prime}-\sum_{i=3}^{6}\left(q_{1}^{\prime}+q_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\emptyset \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $L=\overline{p_{1} \overline{p_{2}}} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2}$. we can write $\nu^{*}(L)=q_{1}^{\prime}+q_{1}^{\prime \prime}+q_{2}^{\prime}+q_{2}^{\prime \prime}+x+y+z+t$ and (1.13) is rewritten as

$$
2 H-x-y-z-t-\sum_{i=3}^{6}\left(q_{i}^{\prime}+q_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\emptyset .
$$

So. one has to show that there are no conics passing through the nodes $p_{3} . p_{4}, p_{5}$ and $p_{6}$ and also through the points in $L \cdot \bar{C}-2 p_{1}-2 p_{2}$. Bercause $[\bar{C}] \in L_{8,15}$ is general we may assume that $x . y . z$ and $t$ are distinct. smooth points of $\bar{C}$. Indeed. if the divisor $r+y+z+t$ on $\bar{C}$ does not consist of distinct points. or one of its points is a node. we obtain that $\bar{C}$ has intersection number 8 with the line $L$ at 5 points or less. But according to $[\mathrm{DH}]$, the locus in the Severi variety

$$
\left\{[X] \in U_{d, g}: X \text { has total intersection number } m+3 \text { with a line at } m \text { points }\right\}
$$

is a divisor on $U_{d . g}$, so we may assume that $[\bar{C}]$ lies outside this divisor. Now. if $x, y, z$ and $t$ are distinct and smooth points of $\bar{C}$, a conic satisfying (1.13) would necessarily be a degenerate one. and one gets a contradiction with the assumption that the nodes $p_{1} \ldots, p_{6}$ of $\bar{C}$ are in general position.
Remark: We have a nice geometric characterization of some of the strata $\mathcal{M}_{i}$. First. by using Zariski's Main Theorem for the birational projection $\mathcal{G}_{6}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(1)$. one sees that $[C] \in \mathcal{M}(1)_{\text {sing }}$ if and only if either $[C] \in \mathcal{M}(2)^{0}$. or $C$ possesses a $\mathfrak{g}_{6}^{1}$ such that dim $!2 \mathfrak{g}_{6}^{1} \geq 3$. In the latter case. the $\mathfrak{g}_{6}^{1}$ is a specialization of 2 different $\mathfrak{g}_{6}^{1} \cdot \mathrm{~s}$ in some family of curves hence $\mathcal{H}(2)=\mathcal{M}(1)_{\text {sing }}$ (cf [Co2]). As a matter of fact. Coppens has proved that for $4 \leq k \leq[(g+1) / 2]$ and $8 \leq g \leq(k-1)^{2}$. there exists a $k$-gonal curve of genus $g$ carrying exactly 2 linear series $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$. so the general point of $\mathcal{M}(2)$ corresponds to a curve $C$ of genus 15 . having exactly 2 base-point-free $\mathfrak{g}_{6}^{1} s$. Furthermore, using Coppens classification of curves having many pencils computing the gonality (see [Col]). we have that $\mathcal{M}(6)=\mathcal{M}_{\text {oct }}$. and $\mathcal{M}(i)=\mathcal{M}_{\text {sept }}$. for each $i \geq \bar{i}$.

Now we are in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1:
Proof of Theorem 1.1 According to (1.2), it will suffice to prove that there exists a smooth curve $\left.{ }^{\prime} Y\right] \in . \mathcal{M}_{23}$ which carries a $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$. a $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$ but has no $\mathfrak{g}_{12}^{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$. In the proof of Prop.1.5.4 we constructed a stable curse of compact type $[\mathrm{X}] \in \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{23}$ such that $[\mathcal{X}] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{17}^{2} \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{20}^{3}$. but $[\mathrm{X}] \notin \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{12}^{1}$. If we prove that $[\mathcal{X}] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{17}^{2}\left\ulcorner\cdot \mathcal{M}_{20}^{3}\right.$. that is. there are smoothings of X which preserve both the $\mathfrak{g}_{1}^{2}$ and the $\mathfrak{g}_{20}^{3}$. we are done. One can write,$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{17}^{2} \cap \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{20}^{3}=Y_{1} \cup \ldots \cup Y_{s}$.
where $Y_{i}$ are irreducible codimension 2 subtarieties of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}$. Assume that $[X] \in Y_{1}$. If $Y_{1} \cap \mathcal{M}_{23} \neq \emptyset$. then $[\mathrm{X}] \in Y_{1}=\overline{Y_{1} \cap \cdot \mathcal{M}_{23}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}_{17}^{2} \cap \cdot \mathcal{M}_{20}^{3}}$. and the conclusion follows. So we may assume that $Y_{1} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}-\mathcal{M}_{23}$. Because $[\mathrm{X}] \in \Delta_{1}-\bigcup_{j \neq 1} \Delta_{j}$. we must have $Y \subseteq \Delta_{1}$. It follows that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{17}^{2} \cap \Delta_{1}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{20}^{3} \cap \Delta_{1}$ have $Y_{1}$ as a common component. According to Prop. 1.4.2. both intersections $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{17}^{2} \cap \Delta_{1}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{20}^{3} \cap \Delta_{1}$ are irreducible. hence $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{17}^{2} \cap \Delta_{1}=\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{20}^{3} \cap \Delta_{1}=I_{i}$. which contradicts Prop. 1.5.3. Theorem 1.1 now follows.

### 1.6 The slope conjecture and $\mathcal{M}_{23}$

In this final section we explain how the slope conjecture in the context of $\mathcal{M}_{23}$ implies that $\kappa\left(\mathcal{M}_{23}\right)=2$, and then we present evidence for this.

The slope of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ is defined as $s_{g}:=\inf \left\{a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}: a \lambda-\delta \neq \emptyset\right\}$. where $\delta=\delta_{0}+\delta_{1}+$ $\cdots+\delta_{[g / 2}, \lambda \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}\right) \equiv \mathbb{R}$. Since $\lambda$ is big. it follows that $s_{g}<x$. If $\mathbb{E}$ is the cone of effective divisors in $\operatorname{Div}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}\right) Q \mathbb{R}$. we define the slope function $s: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$
s_{D}:=\inf \left\{a / b: a . b>0 \text { such that } \exists c_{i} \geq 0 \text { with }[D]=a \lambda-b \bar{\delta}-\sum_{i=0}^{\mid g / 2\}} c_{i} \delta_{i}\right\} .
$$

for an effective divisor $D$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$. Clearly $s_{g} \leq s_{D}$ for any $D \in \mathbb{E}$. When $g+1$ is composite. we obtain the estimate $s_{g} \leq 6+12 /(g+1)$ by using the Brill-Noether divisors $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, d}$, with $\rho(g, r, d)=-1$.

Conjecture 1 ([HMO]) We have that $s_{g} \geq 6+12 /(g+1)$ for each $g \geq 3$, with equality when $g+1$ is composite.

Harris and Morrison also stated (in a somewhat vague form) that for composite $g+1$, the Brill-Noether divisors not only minimize the slope among all effective divisors, but they also single out those irreducible $D \in \mathbb{E}$ with $s_{D}=s_{g}$.

The slope conjecture has been proved for $3 \leq g \leq 11 . g \neq 10$ (cf. [HMo]. [CR3.4]. [Tan]). A strong form of the conjecture holds for $g=3$ and $g=\overline{5}$ : on $\bar{M}_{3}$ the only irreducible divisor of slope $s_{3}=9$ is the hyperelliptic divisor. while on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{5}$ the only irreducible divisor of slope $s_{5}=8$ is the trigonal divisor (cf. [HMo]). Conjecture 1 would imply that $k\left(. \mathcal{M}_{g}\right)=-x$ for all $g \leq 22$. For $g=23$. we rewrite (1.1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \boldsymbol{K}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}}=\frac{n}{c_{23, r, d}}\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, d}^{r}\right]+8 n \delta_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{11} \frac{(i(23-i)-4)}{2} n \delta_{i} \quad(n \geq 1) . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see Section 1.2 for the coefficients $c_{g, \tau, d}$ ). As Harris and Morrison suggest. we can ask the question whether the class of any $D \in \mathbb{E}$ with $s_{D}=s_{g}$ is (modulo a sum of boundary components $\Delta_{i}$ ) proportional to $\left[\mathcal{M}_{23 . d}^{r}\right]$. and whether the sections defining (multiples of) $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23 . d}^{r}$ form a maximal algebraically independent subset of the canonical ring $R\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}\right)$.

If so. it would mean that the boundary divisor $8 n \delta_{2}+(1 / 2) \sum_{t=2}^{11} n\left(i(23-i)-4 \delta_{i}\right.$ is a fixed part of $n K_{\bar{M}_{23}}$. Moreorer. nsing our independence result for the there BrillNoether divisors. it would follow that $h^{0}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}, n h_{\mathcal{M}_{23}}\right.$ ) grows quadratically in $n$. for $n$ sufficiently high and sufficiently divisible. hence $n\left(\mathcal{M}_{23}\right)=2$. We would also have that $\Sigma \cap . \mathcal{M}_{23}=\mathcal{M}_{12} \cap \mathcal{M}_{12}^{2} \cap \mathcal{M}_{20}^{3}$. with $\Sigma$ the common base locus of all the linear ststems $n H_{U_{23}}$.

Evidence for these facts is of varions sort:: first, ome knows (ef. Tan]. [CR3]) that ${ }^{n} \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}_{23}}$ has a large fixed part in the boundary: for each $n \geq 1$. wery divisor in $n K_{\bar{M}_{23}}$ must contain $\Delta_{i}$ with multiplicity $16 n$ when $i=1$. $19 n$ when $i=2$, and $(21-i) n$ for $i=3 \ldots .9$ or 11 . The results for $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ and optimal since these multiplicities concide with those in (1.14). Note that $\left[\lambda_{1}\right]=2 \dot{h}_{1}$.

Next. one can show that certain geometric loci in $\mathcal{M}_{23}$ which are contaned in all three Brill-Noether divisors, are contained in $\Sigma$ as well. The method is based on the trivial observation that for a family $f: X \rightarrow B$ of stable curves of genus 23 with smooth general member. if $B . K_{\mathcal{H}_{23}}<0$ (or equivatently: slopet $(X / B)=\delta_{B} / \lambda_{B}>13 / 2$ ). then $o(B) \subseteq \subseteq$. where $O: B \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23} o(b)=\left[\mathcal{X}_{b}\right]$, is the associated moduli map. We have that: - One can fill up the $d$-gonal locus $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{d}^{1}$ with families $f: S \rightarrow B$ of stable curves of genus $g$ such that slope $(\mathrm{X} / B)$ is $8+4 / g$ in the hyperelliptic case. $>\bar{i}+6 / g$ in the trigonal and $>6+12 /(g+1)$ in the tetragonal case (ef. [Sta]). For $g=23$ it follows that. $\mathcal{M}_{4}^{1} \subseteq \Sigma$. Note that this result is not optimal if we believe the slope conjecture since we know that $\mathcal{M}_{8}^{1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{12}^{1} \cap \mathcal{M}_{1-}^{2} \cap, \mathcal{M}_{20}^{3}$. (The inclusion $\mathcal{M}_{8}^{1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{20}^{3}$ is a particular case of a result from [CM].)

- We take a pencil of nodal plane curves of degree $d$ with $f$ assigned nodes in general position such that $\binom{d-1}{2}-f=23$. and with $b$ base points. where $4 f+b=d^{2}$. After blowing-up the base points. we have a pencil $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with fibre $\left[\mathcal{Y}_{i}\right] \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{d}^{2}$. For this pencil $\lambda_{=1}=\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)+23-1=23$ and $\delta_{31}=c_{2}(\xi)+88=91+b+f$. The condition $\delta_{p 1} / \lambda_{p 1}>13 / 2$ is satisfied precisely when $d \leq 10$. hemee taking into account that such pencils fill up. $\mathcal{M}_{d}^{2}$. we obtain that $\mathcal{M}_{10}^{2} \subseteq \Sigma$. Note that $\mathcal{M}_{10}^{2} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. and as mentioned above the 8 -gonal locus is contained in the intersection of the Brill- Xoether divisors.
- In a similar fashion we can prove that M $_{23 .}$ (2). the locus of curves of genus 23 which are double coverings of curves of gemus ; is contained in $\triangle$ for $: \leq 5$.

The fact that the slopes of other divisors on $\bar{M}_{23}$ (or on $\bar{M}_{q}$ for arbitrary $g$ ) consisting of curves with special geometric characterization. are larger than $6-12 /(g+1)$. lends further support to the slope hypothesis. In another paper we will compute the clase of other divisors on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{23}$ : the elosine in $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{23}$ of the locus

$$
\left\{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{23}: C \text { possesses a } g_{13}^{l} \text { with two different triple points }\right\} \text {. }
$$

and the closure of the locus

$$
\left\{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{23}:\left(\text { has a } \mathfrak{g}_{1 \times}^{2} \text { with a } \bar{y} \text {-fold point. i.e. } \exists D\right) \in C^{+3 j} \text { such that } \mathfrak{g}_{18}^{2}(-D)=\mathfrak{g}_{13}^{1}\right\} \text {. }
$$

In each case we will show that the slope estimate holds.

## Chapter 2

## The geography of Brill-Noether loci in the moduli space of curves

### 2.1 Introduction

We start by explaining the meaning of the word 'geography' from the title of this chapter. Many papers have been published where people studied the geography of certain mathematical objects (e.g. surfaces), meaning that they looked at them from the point of view of a naturalist. Our understanding of the term 'geography' is rather different: we study the position of the Brill-Noether loci $\mathcal{M}_{g . d}^{r}=\left\{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{g}: C\right.$ carries a $\left.\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}\right\}$ on the 'map' of the moduli space of curves. We compare different Brill-Noether loci. look whether they meet transversally (or are in general relative position) inside $\mathcal{M}_{g}$, or describe their position with respect to other distinguished loci in $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ (e.g. loci of curves sitting on certain surfaces). In most cases we prove that two such loci in $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ are as transversal (or intersect as properly) as possible, unless there are some obvious containment relations. The general philosophy is that there are no ways (except the obvious ones) to construct linear series on curves with specific properties.

This chapter consists of relatively independent sections. After Section 2.1 in which we set up the necessary techniques. we ask in Section 2.3 whether the only constraints on the possible $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ s on a general $k$-gonal curve $C$ of genus $g$ are related to the $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ on $C$ (as it is the case for hyperelliptic and trigonal curves). We prove that a general $k$-gonal curves $C$ of genus $g$. where $k$ is rather high with respect to $g$, has no other linear series with negative Brill-Noether number except $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ and $\left|K_{C}-\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}\right|$. In Section 2.4 we show that by imposing two distinct conditions on a curve $C$ of genus $g$ (the existence of a pencil $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ and of an embedding $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$ of degree $d$, where $r \geq 3$ and $\rho(g, r, d)=-1$ ), we bring down accordingly the number of moduli such curves depend on. Section 2.5 deals with the problem of computing the gonality of space curves: we show that for a wide range of $d$ and $g$ such that $\rho(g .3 . d)<0$ one can find smooth curves $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree $d$ and genus $g$ which fill up a component of the Hilbert scheme Hilb ${ }_{d, g, 3}$ and for which $\operatorname{gon}(C)=\min ([(g+3) / 2] \cdot d-4)$ : if $d-4<[(g+3) / 2]$. every pencil computing the gonality is given by the planes through a 4 -secant line to $C$. Finally, in Section 2.6 we ask what
kind of surfaces can contain a Brill-Noether general curve.

### 2.2 Deformations of maps and smoothing of algebraic space curves

We review some facts about deformations of maps and smoothing of reducible nodal curves in $\mathbb{P}^{r}$. These techniques together with the theory of limit linear series already discussed in the previons chapter will be our main tools throughout this chapter. We start by describing the deformation theory of maps between (possibly singular) complex algebraic varieties. Our main reference is [Ran] (certain aspects of the theory are well treated in [Morl] as well).

Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be a morphism between complex projective varieties. We denote by $\operatorname{Def}(X . f . Y)$ the space of first-order deformations of the map $f$. while the space of first-order deformations of $\mathrm{X}^{-}$(resp. Y) is denoted by $\operatorname{Def}(\mathrm{X})$ (resp. Def( $\left.\mathrm{F}^{\circ}\right)$ ). The standard identification $\operatorname{Def}(X)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\Omega_{X} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ is obtained by associating to any firstorder deformation $\bar{X}$ of $\hat{X}$ the class of the extension

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X} \quad \mathcal{O}_{X} \longrightarrow \Omega_{X} \longrightarrow 0
$$

The deformation space $\operatorname{Def}(X, f, Y)$ fits in the following exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(f^{*} \Omega_{Y} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Def}(X . f . Y) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Def}(X)=\operatorname{Def}(Y) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{f}^{1}\left(\Omega_{Y} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second arrow is given by the natural forgetful maps, the space $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(f^{*} \Omega_{Y} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=$ $H^{0}\left(X . f^{*} T_{Y}\right)$ parametrizes first-order deformations of $f: X \rightarrow Y$ when both $X$ and $Y$ are fixed. while for $A . B$. respectively $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-modules. Ext ${ }_{f}^{i}(B, A)$ denotes the derived functor of $\operatorname{Hom}_{f}(B . A)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(f^{*} B . A\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}\left(B . f_{*} A\right)$. Under reasonable assumptions (trivially satisfied when $f$ is a finite map between nodal curves) one has that $\operatorname{Ext}_{f}^{1}\left(\Omega_{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(f^{*} \Omega_{Y} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$. Using (2.1) it follows that when $X$ is smooth and irreducible and $Y^{\prime}$ is rigid (e.g. a product of projective spaces) $\operatorname{Def}(X, f, Y)=H^{0}\left(X, N_{f}\right)$. with $\lambda_{f}$ the normal sheaf of the map $f$ (see Chapter 1 for the definition).

Next. we recount some basic facts about moduli spaces of maps from curves to projective varieties. For $Y$ a mooth. projective variety and $\} \in H_{2}(Y: \mathbb{Z})$, one can consider the Kontsevich moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}(\xi, 3)$ of stable maps $f: C \rightarrow Y$ from reduced. connected. nodal curves of genus $g$ to $\xi$. such that $f_{*}([C])=3$ (see [FP] for the construction of these moduli spaces). If $f: C \rightarrow Y$ is a point of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}(Y: 3)$ with $C$ smooth, $\operatorname{deg}(f)=1$ and $f$ has no cusps (i.e. it is an immersion). then by Riemann-Roch $\backslash\left(C .,_{f}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(Y)(1-g)+3 g-3-3 \cdot h_{Y}$. Because $T_{f}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}(Y: 3)\right)=H^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{V}_{f}\right)$. the number

$$
\operatorname{dim}(Y)(1-g)+3 g-3-3 \cdot K_{Y}
$$

is called the expected dimension of the hontsevich moduli space. If there exists a point $[f] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}(Y .3)$. with $C$ smooth. deg $(f)=1$ and $H^{1}\left(C, Y_{f}\right)=0$. then every class in $H^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{M}_{f}\right)$ is unobstructed. $f$ is an immersion (cf. [AC1] Lemma 1.4) and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}(Y, 3)$ is
smooth of the expected dimension at the point $[f]$. An irreducible component of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}(Y \cdot 3)$ which has the expected dimension and is generically smooth. is said to be regular.

We now describe a few smoothing techniques of algebraic curves in $\mathbb{P}^{r}$. $r \geq 3$ (cf. $[\mathrm{HH}],[\mathrm{Se} \cdot 2])$. Let $X$ be a nodal curve in $\mathbb{P}^{r}$. with $p_{a}(X)=g \cdot \operatorname{deg}(X)=d$. We say that $X$ is smoothable in $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ if there exists a flat family of curves $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ over a smooth and irreducible base, with the general fibre $X_{t}$ smooth while the special fibre $X_{0}$ is $X$. In other words. if Hilb d.g.r denotes the Hilbert scheme of curses in $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ of degree $d$ and (arithmetic) genus $g$, then $X$ is smoothable in $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ if and only if the point $[X]$ belongs to a component of Hilb $_{\text {d.g.r }}$ whose general member corresponds to a smooth curve.
For $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$ a nodal curve with normal sheaf $\lambda_{X}=\lambda_{X / P r,}$ one has the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow T_{X} \longrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}} ; \mathcal{O}_{X} \longrightarrow \lambda_{X} \longrightarrow T_{X}^{1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $T_{X}^{1}$ is the Lichtenbaum-Schlessinger cotangent sheaf based on $\operatorname{Sing}(X)$ and which describes deformations of the nodes of $X$. The basic smoothing criterion is the following result of Hartshorne and Hirschowitz:

Proposition 2.2.1 Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$ be a nodal curve. Assume $H^{1}\left(X . \mathcal{N}_{X}\right)=0$ and that for each $p \in \operatorname{Sing}(\mathrm{X})$, the map $H^{0}\left(X, N_{X}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(T_{X}^{1}\right)$ is surjective (that is, non-zero). Then $X$ is smoothable in $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ and the Hilbert scheme is smooth of the expected dimension $\chi\left(X . N_{X}\right)=(r+1) d-(r-3)(g-1)$ at the point $[\mathrm{X}]$.

We will be interested in smoothing curves $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$ which are unions of two curves $C$ and $E$ meeting quasi-transversally at a finite set $\Delta$. For such a curve one has the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C} \equiv \mathcal{O}_{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as the exact sequences

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E}(-\Delta) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{E} \longrightarrow \omega_{X} \longrightarrow \Omega_{C}(\Delta) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{X}$ is the dualizing sheaf of $X$. We will also need the following results:
Proposition 2.2.2 Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$ be a smooth curve with $H^{1}\left(C . N_{C}\right)=0$.

1. (Sernesi) Let $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$ be a hyperplane transversal to $C$ and $Q \subseteq H$ a smooth. irreducible. rational curve of degree $r-1$ meeting $C$ quasi-transversally in $\leq r+2$ points. Then $\mathrm{X}=C \cup Q$ is smoothable and $H^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \wedge_{X}\right)=0$.
$\therefore$ (Ballio-Ellia) Let $p_{1} \ldots$. $p_{r+2} \in('$ ber $r-2$ points. in general linear position and $E \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$ a smooth rational ruret of degref $r$ which met.. $C$ quast-transcetally at $p_{1} \ldots . p_{r+2}$. Then $\mathrm{X}=C^{\prime} \cup E$ is smoothable and $H^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \lambda_{r}\right)=0$.
2. (Balliro-Ellia) Ansume $=3$ and let $L \subseteq P^{3}$ be aline meting $C$ quasi-tramseresally at $k \leq 3$ point.s. If $k=3$ assume furthemmore that not all tangent lines to $C$ at the points in $L \cap C$ lie in the same plane. Then $X=C \cup L$ is smoothable and $H^{1}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{X}}\right)=0$.

### 2.3 Linear series on $k$-gonal curves

For a smootl curve $C$ of gemus $g$ one defines the gonality sequence $\left(d_{1}, d_{2} \ldots \ldots d_{r} \ldots\right.$ ) b- $d_{r}:=\min \left\{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}: d \leq g-1 . \exists\right.$ a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ on $\left.C\right\}$. This sequence is strictly increasing and clearly $d_{r} \leq r d_{1}$. The first tern $d_{1}$ is just the gonality of $C$. while obrionsly $d_{r}=g+r$ for $r \geq!$, so we will restrict ourselves to the first $g-1$ terne of the sequence. The BrillXoether Theorem tells us that $\left.d_{r} \leq{ }^{\prime}(g-r-2) /(r+1)\right\}$ and we have equality when $C$ is a general come of gemes $g$. The terms of the gonality secquence can be easily computed for various (lasses of curves (hyperelliptic. trigonal. smooth plane curves). In order to find the $d_{r} \cdot$ for a curve $(\therefore$. it suffices to look only at the set of linear series

$$
S(C)=\left\{: D: D \in \operatorname{Dir}(C) \cdot \operatorname{deg}(D) \leq g-1 \cdot h^{0}(D) \geq 2 . h^{1}(D) \geq 2\right\} .
$$

This is becallse any $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ with $d \geq 2 g-1$ is non-special, hence $r=d-g$. while for $d \leq 2 g-2$ by interchanging if necessary $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ by $K_{C}-\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$. we land dentually in the range $d \leq g-1$.

We would like to determine the sequence $\left(d_{1} . d_{2} \ldots \ldots\right)$ for a general $k$-gonal curve of genus $g$ whon $k<(g+2) / 2$ (i.e. $p(g .1 . k)<0)$. Coppens and Martens (cf. [CM]) have investigated how the existence of a $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ on a curve $C$ can be used to produce special linear series on $C$ with negative Brill-Nofther number (i.e. the ones you cannot expect to find on a general curve of genus $g$ ). Under certain numerical constraints. a general $k$-gonal curve $C$ of genus $g$ carries linear series $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}=(r-f) g_{k}^{1}+E$ (which we shatl call Segre linear series, see the motivation below). where $0<f \leq k-2$ and $E \in \operatorname{Div}\left(C^{\circ}\right) . E \geq$ 0. For $r=2$ one recovers a famous result of Benithino Segre (sep $\{A(1]$ ): A gemeral nonhyperelliptic $h$-gonal curve (' of genus ! f has a linear series $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}=\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}+E$. with $E \geq 0$. when $d \geq(9+k+2) / 2$. and which provides a plane momel $\Gamma$ of (' with an ordinary $(d-k)$ fold singularity $p$ and nodes as other singularities. The original $g_{k}^{l}$ can be retrived by projecting $\Gamma$ from $p$. When $r=3$ and $k \geq 4$ a general $k$-gonal curve of genus $g$ has a linear series $g_{d}^{3}=\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{k}+E$ when $d \geq(2 g+k+6) / 3$. Thus for a general ! $\left.C\right] \in \mathcal{M}_{g . k}^{1}$ one has that $\left.d_{2}(C) \leq[g+k-3) / 2\right]$ and $\left.d_{3}(C) \leq[2 g+k+8) / 3\right]$ and we expect to have equality in the case when the Segre linear series haw negative Brill-Noet her number. This would certainly be the case if the following two expectations were true:

- a) For a gemeral $\left.{ }^{\circ} C\right] \in \mathcal{M}_{g, k}^{\prime}$. the Segre linear series are of minimal degree among thoser $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{\prime}=D \in S(C)$ for which $D-\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1} \neq 0$. This is known to be true at least when $r=2$ and $2 k>!(9+k+3) / 2]$. see $[\mathrm{CKM}]$ Proposition 1.1).
- 3) If $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r} \in S(C)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}-\mathfrak{g}_{k}=\emptyset$. then $\rho(g . r . d) \geq 0$. (This holds when $r=1$ (cf. $[\mathrm{AC} 1])$ and for $k \leq 4(\mathrm{cf} .[\mathrm{CM}])$ ).

We are going to prove that these expectations hold in the case when the curve $C$ is of relatively high gonality (but still non-generic):

Theorem 2.1 Let $g$ and $k$ be positive integers such that $-3 \leq \rho(g .1, k)<0$. Assume furhermore that $k \geq 6$ when $\rho(g .1 . k)=-3$. Then a general $k$-gonal curve of genus $g$ has no $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ 's with negative Brill-Noether number except $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ and $\left|K_{C}-\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}\right|$. In other words. the $k$-gonal locus $\mathcal{M}_{g . k}^{1}$ is not contained in any other proper Brill-Noether locus. $\mathcal{M}_{g . d}^{\tau}$.

Remark: A general $k$-gonal curve of genus $g$ with $\rho(g .1, k)<0$ has a unique pencil $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ (cf. $[A C 1]$ ) so there is no ambiguity when we speak of "the $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{l}$ of a general $k$-gonal curve".

Proof: We will make use of the theory of limit linear series. In each case we construct $k$-gonal curves of compact type that do not possess any limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ with $r \geq 2 . d \leq g-1$ and $\rho(g, r . d)<0$. Using the fact that the $k$-gonal locus. $\mathcal{M}_{g . k}^{1}$ is irreducible we obtain the conclusion for a general $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{g, k}^{1}$.

The case $\rho(g, 1, k)=-1$ (when $\mathcal{M}_{g . k}^{1}$ is an irreducible divisor in $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ ) is settled using the curves constructed in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Since the proof goes along the same lines we skip the details.

Assume now that $\rho(g, 1 . k)=-2$. Because any component of $\mathcal{M}_{g, d}^{r}$ has codimension $\geq 3$ when $\rho(g . r . d) \leq-3$ (cf. [Ed2]). it suffices to construct a $k$-gonal curve of genus $g$ having no $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ s when $\rho(g, r, d) \in\{-1,-2\}$.
Let us consider the following curve of genus $2 k$,


$$
X:=C_{1} \cup C_{2} \cup E_{1} \cup E_{2}
$$

where $\left(C_{1}, x\right)$ and $\left(C_{2}, y\right)$ are general pointed curves of genus $k-1$. $E_{i}$ are elliptic curves and $x-p \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(E_{1}\right)$ is a primitive $k$-torsion as it is $p-y \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(E_{2}\right)$. It is straightforward to construct a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{2}$ on $X$ : on $C_{1}$ take the pencil $\mid k x_{1}$. on $C_{2}$ take the pencil $k y \mid$. on $E_{1}$ the pencil $\langle k x . k p\rangle$, spanned by $k x$ and $k p$. while on $E_{2}$ the pencil $\langle k p, k y\rangle$.
Assume now that there is a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ on $X$. say 1 . with $r \geq 2 . d \leq 2 k-1$ and $\rho(g . r . d)<0$. From (1.6). we have that

$$
-1 \geq \rho\left(l_{X}\right) \geq \rho\left(l_{C_{1}} \cdot x\right)+\rho\left(l_{C_{2}} \cdot y\right)+\rho\left(l_{E_{1}}, x \cdot p\right)+\rho\left(l_{E_{2}} \cdot p . y\right)
$$

Because of Prop. 1.3.2 one has $\rho\left(l_{C_{1}} \cdot x\right) \geq 0$ and $\rho\left(l_{C_{2}} . y\right) \geq 0$. Moreover. we have that $\rho\left(E_{1}, x . p\right) \geq-1$ and $\rho\left(E_{2}, p, y\right) \geq-1$. Indeed. if say $\rho\left(E_{1} \cdot x \cdot p\right) \leq-2$. then by denoting
by $\left(a_{0} \ldots . a_{r}\right)$ the vanishing sequence of $l_{E_{1}}$ at $x$ and by $\left(b_{0} \ldots . b_{r}\right)$ that of $l_{E_{1}}$ at $p$. it would follow that for at least 3 indices $i<j<k$ there are equalities $a_{i}+b_{r-i}=a_{j}+b_{r-j}=$ $a_{k}+b_{r-k}=d$. from which $k\left(a_{j}-a_{i}\right)$ and $k\left(a_{k}-a_{i}\right)$. hence $d \geq a_{k} \geq a_{i}+2 k \geq 2 k$. which is a contradiction since we assumed $d \leq 2 k-1$.
This implies that there are essentially two cases to consider:

1. $\rho\left(l_{C_{1}}, x\right)=\rho\left(l_{C_{2}} \cdot y\right)=0 . \rho\left(l_{E_{1}} \cdot x \cdot p\right)=-1 . \rho\left(l_{E_{2}} \cdot p \cdot y\right)=0$.
2. $\rho\left(l_{C_{1}} \cdot x\right)=0 . \rho\left(l_{C_{2}}, y\right)=1 . \rho\left(l_{E_{1}} \cdot x \cdot p\right)=\rho\left(l_{E_{2}} \cdot p \cdot y\right)=-1$.

In both cases $l$ is a refined limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$. The other possibilities can either be dismissed right away (when one of the adjusted Brill-Noether numbers is $\geq 2$ ). or are equivalent to the cases just mentioned.

Let us first settle case 1 . By using (1.8).

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i=0}^{r}\left(k-1-a_{i}^{L_{E_{1}}}(x)+i\right)_{+}=\sum_{i=0}^{r}\left(k-1-a_{i}^{l_{E_{1}}}(x)+i\right)=k-1 \text {. hence } \\
a_{i}^{l_{F_{1}}}(x) \leq k-1+i . \quad \text { and similarly } \quad a_{i}^{L_{E_{2}}}(y) \leq k-1+i . \text { for all } i=0 \ldots . r . \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since on $E_{2}$ we have inequalities $a_{i}^{E_{2}}(p)+a_{r-i}^{L_{E_{2}}}(y) \geq d-2$, for all $i$ (otherwise once again we would clash with the assumption $d \leq 2 k-1$ ). we eventually obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}^{l_{E_{1}}}(p) \leq k+i+1, \text { for all } i=0, \ldots r \text {. } \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\rho\left(l_{E_{1}}, x, p\right)=-1$, there must be indices $i<j$ with $a_{i}^{l_{E_{1}}}(x)+a_{r-i}^{l_{E_{1}}}(p)=a_{j}^{l_{E_{1}}}(x)+$ $a_{r-j}^{l_{E_{1}}}(p)=d$. from where we get that $a_{j}^{l_{E_{1}}}(x)-a_{i}^{l_{E_{1}}}(x)=k$. Then, because of (2.5) and (2.6) we can write

$$
d-k-r+i-1 \leq d-a_{r-i}^{L_{1}}(p)=a_{i}^{l E_{1}}(x) \leq j-1 .
$$

hence $2 r+k \geq d$. Combine this with $\rho(2 k . r . d) \geq-2$ to get that $k \leq\left(r^{2}+r+2\right) /(r-1)$. But we also have that $2 k \geq r^{2}+r+1$ (because $\rho(2 k, r, d) \geq-r$ and $\left.d \leq 2 k-1\right)$. so all in all, we end up with $r^{3}-2 r^{2}-2 r-5 \leq 0$. which can be possible only for $r \leq 3$. When $r \in\{2.3\}$. by plugging in one of the previous inequalities we have that $8 \leq g=2 k \leq 16$. But these cases can be disposed of easily. First, notice that when $g \in\{10,12,16\}$, since $g+1$ is prime, we have no codimension one Brill-Noether condition on $\mathcal{M}_{g}$. To treat one of the remaining cases when we do have a codimension one Brill-Noether locus, take for example $g=14$ and $r=2$. hence $d=11$. In this case the inequalities (2.6) can be improved and this leads to a contradiction: since on $E_{1}$ we have that $\rho\left(l_{E_{1}} \cdot x \cdot p\right)=-1$. exactly two of the numbers $a_{i}^{{ }_{E}}(x)+a_{2-i}^{I_{E_{1}}}(p)$ are equal to 11 while the remaining one is equal to 10 . There are three cases and each can be dismissed swiftly.

We turn to case 2. Use again (1.8) to obtain that $a_{r}^{l_{E_{2}}}(y) \leq k+r$. Moreover
$a_{r}^{l_{E_{2}}}(y)+a_{0}^{L_{E_{2}}}(p) \geq d-1$. hence $a_{1}^{l_{E_{1}}}(p) \leq k+i+1$. for all $i=0 \ldots . r$. hence we have obtained again (2.6). while the inequalities $a_{i}^{I_{E_{1}}}(r) \leq k-i+1$ for $i=0 \ldots$ r still hold. so the previous argument can be repeated here as well.

We treat now the case $\rho(g .1, k)=-3$. that is $g=2 k+1$. with $k \geq 6$. Note that when $k=5$. Segre's Theorem gives a $\mathfrak{g}_{9}^{2}=\left|\mathfrak{g}_{5}^{1}+E\right|$. with $E \geq 0$. on a general 5 -gonal curve of genus 11. i.e. the 5-gonal locus. $\mathcal{M}_{11.5}^{1}$ is contained in the Brill-Noether divisor $\mathcal{M}_{11,9}^{2}$. The idea is the same but the computations are a bit more cumbersome. We use the following curve:


$$
X:=C_{1} \cup C_{2} \cup E_{1} \cup E_{2} \cup E
$$

where $\left(C_{1}, x\right)$ and $\left(C_{2}, y\right)$ are general pointed curves of genus $k-1$, the curves $E . E_{1}, E_{2}$ are all elliptic, and the differences $x-p_{1} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(E_{1}\right)$. $p_{1}-p_{2} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(E)$, and $p_{2}-y \in$ $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(E_{2}\right)$ are all primitive $k$-torsions. Just as in the previous case, it is clear that $X$ possesses a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$. Assume now by contradiction that there exists $l$ a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ on $X$, with $r \geq 2, d \leq g-1$ and $\rho(g, r, d)<0$. There are many cases to consider, but it is clear that in order to maximize the chances for such a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ to exist, the adjusted Brill-Noether numbers must be as evenly distributed and as close to 0 as possible: a very positive Brill-Noether number on one component, implies by (1.6) very negative BrillNoether numbers on other components ( 2 -pointed elliptic curves) and this immediately yields a contradiction. We will only treat one case the other being similar. Assume $\rho\left(l_{C_{1}}, x\right)=\rho\left(l_{C_{2}}, y\right)=\rho\left(l_{E_{1}}, x, p_{1}\right)=\rho\left(l_{E_{2}}, p_{2}, y\right)=0$. and $\rho\left(l_{E}, p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=-1$. Then by (1.8) we have that $a_{r}^{l_{E_{1}}}(x) \leq k+r-1$ and $a_{r}^{l_{E_{2}}}(y) \leq k+r-1$. Since $a_{r}^{l_{E_{1}}}(x)+a_{0}^{l_{E_{1}}}\left(p_{1}\right) \geq d-2$ and $a_{r}^{l_{E_{2}}}(y)+a_{0}^{l_{E_{2}}}\left(p_{2}\right) \geq d-2$. we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}^{l_{E}}\left(p_{1}\right) \leq i+k+1 \text { and } a_{i}^{l_{E}}\left(p_{2}\right) \leq i+k+1 \text {, for } i=0 \ldots, r \text {. } \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the case $\rho=-2$. we can conlude from (2.7) that $2 r+k+2 \geq d$. This we combine with $\rho(g . r . d) \geq-r$ to obtain that $k \leq\left(r^{2}+3 r+2\right) /(r-1)$. Also $2 k \geq r^{2}+r$ (just put together $d \leq 2 k$ and $\rho(g, r, d) \geq-r)$. and in the end we get that $r^{3}-2 r^{2}-7 r-4 \leq 0 \Leftrightarrow r \leq 4$. The case $r=4$ can be dismissed though right away, because then all inequalities we have writen down become equalities. hence $g=21, d=20$. and $\rho(g . r . d)=-4$. contradiction since we assumed $\rho(g . r . d)=-1$. When $r \leq 3$ we have that $k \leq 10$. In these particular cases however. we can improve the inequalities (2.7) (which we have watered down to obtain an argument working for general $r$ ). and we easily reach a contradiction.

Remark: One can try to extend these results for more negative values of $\rho(g .1, k)$. The cases $\rho=-4$ (resp. $\rho=-5$ ) could be handled by slightly modifying the curves used for
treating the cases $\rho=-2$ (resp. $\rho=-3$ ): require that the points $x \in C_{1}$ and $y \in C_{2}$ are ordinary Weierstrass points instead of general points. We have checked that for $g \leq 23$ Theorem 2.1 still holds when $\rho \in\{-4 .-5\}$. For instance we get that the general 10 -gonal curve of genus 23 does not possess any $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ s with $r \geq 2 . d \leq 22$ and negative Brill-Noether number. In these cases howerer. computations become horrendous therefore we think that limit linear series cannot provide the full answer to problem 3).

### 2.4 Existence of regular components of moduli spaces of maps to $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r}$

In this section we construct regular components of the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r} .(k, d)\right)$ of stable maps $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r}$ of bidegree ( $k \cdot d$ ), in the case $k \geq r+2 . d \geq r \geq 3$. and $\rho(g . r . d)<0$.

The spaces $\mathcal{M}_{g}\left(\mathbb{P}^{r} . d\right)$ (or the Hilbert schemes Hilb ${ }_{\text {d.g.r }}$ of curves $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(C)=$ d. $p_{a}(C)=g$ ) have been the subject of much study in the past 20 years. For instance. in the case of curves in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ one knows that for each $g$ there is $D(g) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for any $d \geq D(g)$. there exists a curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ of genus $g$ and degree $d$. with $H^{1}\left(C . \lambda_{C / B 3}(-2)\right)=0$ (so also $H^{1}\left(C . N_{C / F^{3}}\right)=0$ ). The numbers $D(g)$ satisfy the estimate limsup $D(g) g^{-2 / 3} \leq$ $(9 / 8)^{1 / 3}\left(\mathrm{cf}\right.$. [EllH]). Therefore. when (asymptotically) $d \geq g^{2 / 3}(9 / 8)^{1 / 3}$. there are regular components of $\mathcal{M}_{g}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}, d\right)$ whose general points correspond to embeddings $C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}$. In the case $\rho(g . r, d) \geq 0$ there is a unique (regular) component of $\mathcal{M}_{g}\left(\mathbb{P}^{r}, d\right)$ which dominates $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ and whose general point corresponds to a non-degenerate map to $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ (i.e. the image is not contained in a hyperplane). This follows from the fact that $G_{d}^{r}(C)$ is irreducible for general $C$ when $\rho(g . r, d) \geq 1$ (see $[A C G H]$ ): when $\rho(g . r . d)=0$ an extra monodromy argument is needed.

When the target space is $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Arbarello and Cornalba proved that any component of $\mathcal{M}_{g}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} .(d . h)\right)$, when $2 \leq g . d . h$. having general points corresponding to birational maps $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. is regular: as a matter of fact. it is not hard to see that there is exactly one such component. More generally, the methods from $[A C 1]$ can be used succesfully in order to compute $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}_{g}(Y .3)$ when $Y^{\prime}$ is a smooth surface: if $M \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{g}(Y .3)$ is a component of dimension $\geq g+1$ and containing a point $[f: C \rightarrow Y]$ with $\operatorname{deg}(f)=1$. then $M$ is regular. One uses here in an essential way the fact that the normal sheaf $\lambda_{j}$ is of rank 1. hence the Clifford Theorem gives a straightforward condition for the vanishing of $H^{1}\left(C, V_{f}\right)$, so this techniques cannot be applied for handling moduli spaces of maps to higher dimensional target spaces $Y$.

Although we only treat the case of curves mapping into $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r}$ when $r \geq 3$. it will be clear that our methods can be also applied to study regular components of the moduli space of curves sitting on the Segre threefold $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$.

We start our study of moduli spaces of maps into $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r}$. Fix integers $g \geq 0 . d \geq r \geq 3$ and $k \geq 2$, as well as $C$ a smooth curve of genus $g$ with maps $f_{1}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} . f_{2}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r}$. such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{1}\right)=k \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(f_{2}(C)\right)=d$ and $f_{2}$ is generically injective. Let us denote by $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r}$ the product map.

There is a commutative diagram of exact sequences


By taking cohomology in the last column, we see that the condition $H^{1}\left(C, N_{f}\right)=0$ is equivalent with $H^{1}\left(C, N_{f_{1}}\right)=0$ (trivial). $H^{1}\left(C, N_{f_{2}}\right)=0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im}\left\{\delta_{1}: H^{0}\left(C, N_{f_{1}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(C, T_{C}\right)\right\}+\operatorname{Im}\left\{\delta_{2}: H^{0}\left(C, N_{f_{2}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(C, T_{C}\right)\right\}=H^{1}\left(C, T_{C}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the condition (2.8) is equivalent (cf. Chapter 1) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d \pi_{1}\right)_{\left[f_{1}\right]}\left(T_{\left[f_{1}\right]}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, k\right)\right)\right)+\left(d \pi_{2}\right)_{\left[f_{2}\right]}\left(T_{\left[f_{2}\right]}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g}\left(\mathbb{P}^{r}, d\right)\right)\right)=T_{[C]}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(we assume that the curve $C$ has no automorphisms. otherwise we work in the versal deformation space of $C$, it makes no difference). The projections $\pi_{1}: \mathcal{M}_{g}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, k\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g}$ and $\pi_{2}: \mathcal{M}_{g}\left(\mathbb{P}^{r}, d\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g}$ are the natural forgetful maps. Slightly abusing the terminology, if $C$ is a smooth curve and $\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right) \in G_{k}^{1}(C) \times G_{d}^{r}(C)$ is a pair of base point free linear series on $C$, we say that $\left(C, l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$ satisfies (2.9) if ( $C, f_{1}, f_{2}$ ) satifies (2.9), where $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are maps associated to $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$.

We prove the existence of regular components of $\mathcal{M}_{g}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r} .(k . d)\right)$ inductively, using the following:

Proposition 2.4.1 Fix positive integers $g$.r. $d$ and $k$ with $d \geq r \geq 3 . k \geq r+2$ and $\rho(g . r . d)<0$. Let us assume that $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$ is a smooth nondegenerate curve of degree $d$ and genus $g$, such that $h^{1}\left(C, N_{C}\right)=0 . h^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right)=r+1$ and the Petri map

$$
\mu_{0}(C)=\mu_{0}\left(C \cdot \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right): H^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right) \supseteq H^{0}\left(C \cdot K_{C}(-1)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C \cdot K_{C}\right)
$$

is surjective. Assume furthermore that C possesses a simple base point free pencil $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ say 1. such that $\left|\mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right|(-l)=\emptyset$ and $\left(C . l, \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)!\right)$ satisfies (2.9).

Then there exists a smooth nondegenerate curve $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$ with $g(Y)=g+r+1$, $\operatorname{deg}(Y)=d+r$ and a simple base point free pencil $l^{\prime} \in G_{k}^{1}(Y)$. so that $Y$ enjoys exactly the same properties: $h^{1}\left(Y, N_{Y}\right)=0 . h^{0}\left(Y: \mathcal{O}_{Y}(1)\right)=r+1$. the Petri map $\mu_{0}(Y)$ is surjective. $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(1)\left(-l^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$ and $\left(Y . l^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(1)\right)$ satifies (2.9).

Proof: We first construct a reducible $k$-gonal nodal curve $\mathrm{X} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$. with $p_{a}(\mathrm{X})=$ $g+r+1 \cdot \operatorname{deg}(X)=d+r$. having all the required properties. then we prove that $X$ can be smoothed in $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ preserving all properties we want.

Let $f_{1}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be the degree $k$ map corresponding to the pencil $l$. The covering $f_{1}$ is simple (i.e. over each branch point $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ there is only one ramification point $x \in f_{1}^{-1}(\lambda)$ and $\epsilon_{x}\left(f_{1}\right)=2$ ). hence the monodromy of $f_{1}$ is the full smmetric group. Then since $\mathcal{O}_{C}(1)(-l)=\emptyset$. we have that for a general $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ the fibre $f_{1}^{-1}(\lambda)=p_{1}+\cdots+p_{k}$ consists of $k$ distinct points in general linear position. Let $\Delta=\left\{p_{1} \ldots \ldots p_{r-2}\right\}$ be a subset of $f_{1}^{-1}(\lambda)$ and let $E \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$ be a rational normal curve ( $\operatorname{deg}(E)=r$ ) passing through $p_{1} \ldots . p_{r+2}$. (Through any $r+3$ points in general linear position in $\mathbb{P}^{r}$. there passes a unique rational normal curre. so we have picked $E$ out of a 1 -dimensional family of curves through the chosen points $p_{1} \ldots . p_{r+2}$ ). Let $\mathrm{K}:=C \cup E$, with $C$ and $E$ meeting quasi-transversally at $\Delta$. Of course $p_{a}(X)=g+r+1$ and $\operatorname{deg}(X)=d+r$. Note that $\rho(g . r . d)=\rho(g+r+1 . r . d+r)$.

We first prove that $[X] \in \bar{M}_{g+r+1 . k}^{1}$ (that is. $X$ is $k$-gonal). by constructing an admissible covering of degree $k$ having as domain a curve $X^{\prime \prime}$. stably equivalent to $X$. Let $X^{\prime}:=X \cup D_{r+3} \cup \ldots \cup D_{k}$. where $D_{1} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{\prime}$ and $D_{i} \cap X=\left\{p_{i}\right\}$. for $i=r+3 \ldots$. t. Take $Y:=\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)_{1} \cup_{\lambda}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)_{2}$ a union of two lines identified at $\lambda$. We construct a degree $k$ admissible covering $f^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ as follows: take $f_{C}^{\prime}=f_{1}: C \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)_{1} \cdot f_{E}^{\prime}=f_{2}: E \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)_{2}$ a map of degree $r+2$ sending the points $p_{1} \ldots . p_{r+2}$ to $\lambda$. and finally $f_{p_{i}}^{\prime}: D_{i} \simeq\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)_{2}$ isomorphisms sending $p_{i}$ to $\lambda$. Clearly $f^{\prime}$ is an admissible covering. so $X$ which is stably equivalent to $X^{\prime}$ is a $k$-gonal curve.

Let us consider now the space $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{g+r+1 . k}$ of Harris-Mumford admissible coverings of degree $k$ (cf. [HM]) and denote by $\pi_{1}: \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{g+r+1, k} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+r+1}$ the natural projection which sends a covering to the stable model of its domain. If we assume that $\operatorname{Aut}(C)=\left\{I d_{C}\right\}$ (which we can safely do). then also $\operatorname{Aut}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\left\{I d_{X^{\prime}}\right\}$. so $\left[f^{\prime}\right]$ is a smooth point of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{g+r+1 . k}$. We compute the differential of the map $\pi_{1}$ at $\left[f^{\prime}\right]$. We notice that $T_{\left.f^{\prime}\right]}\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{g+r+1 . k}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Def}\left(X^{\prime} . f^{\prime} . Y\right)=\operatorname{Def}(X . f . Y)$. where $f=f_{X}^{\prime}: X \rightarrow Y$. The differential $\left(d \pi_{1}\right)_{\left[f^{\prime}:\right.}$ is just the forgetful map $\operatorname{Def}(X, f . Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}(X)$ and from the sequence (2.1) we get that $\operatorname{Inn}\left(d \pi_{1}\right)_{\left[\rho^{\prime}\right]}=u_{1}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Im} u_{2}\right)$ where $u_{1}: \operatorname{Def}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(f^{*} \Omega_{Y} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ and $u_{2}: \operatorname{Def}(Y) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(f^{*} \Omega_{Y} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ are the dual maps of $u_{1}^{Y}: H^{0}\left(X . w_{X}=f^{*} \Omega_{Y}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X . \omega_{X} \quad \Omega_{X}\right)$ and $u_{2}^{\vee}: H^{0}\left(\mathrm{X} . \omega_{X}\right.$ a $\left.f^{*} \Omega_{Y}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathrm{Y} . \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{y}} \Omega_{Y}\right)$ (the last one induced by the trace map $\left.\operatorname{tr}: f_{* u_{X}} \rightarrow i_{y}\right)$. Starting with the exact sequence on X .

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tors}\left(\omega_{X} \Omega \Omega_{X}\right) \longrightarrow \omega_{X} \quad \Omega_{X} \longrightarrow \Omega_{C}^{2}(\Delta) \Omega_{E}^{2}(\Delta) \longrightarrow 0
$$

we can write the following commutative diagram of sequences

where $R_{1}$ (resp. $R_{2}$ ) is the ramification divisor of the map $f_{1}$ (resp. $f_{2}$ ). Taking into
 we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im}\left(d \pi_{1}\right)_{\left[f^{\prime}\right]}=\left(H^{0}\left(C \cdot 2 K_{C}-R_{1}+\Delta\right) \leq \operatorname{Ker}\left(u_{2}^{\vee}\right)_{\text {tors }}\right)^{\perp} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(u_{2}^{\vee}\right)_{\text {tors }}: H^{0}\left(\operatorname{Tors}\left(\omega_{X} ऽ f^{*} \Omega_{Y}\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\operatorname{Tors}\left(\omega_{Y} ऽ \Omega_{Y}\right)\right)$ is the restriction of $u_{2}^{\vee}$. The space $\operatorname{Ker}\left(u_{2}^{\vee}\right)_{\text {tors }}$ is just a hyperplane in $H^{0}\left(\operatorname{Tors}\left(\omega_{X} \circlearrowleft f^{*} \Omega_{Y}\right)\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{r+2}$.

Remark: Since $\Delta \in C_{r+2}$ was chosen generically in a fibre of the $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ on $C$. it follows from Riemann-Roch that $h^{0}\left(C, 2 K_{C}-R_{1}+\Delta\right)=g-2 k+3+r=\operatorname{codim}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+r+1, k}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+r+1}\right)$. If $C$ has only finitely many $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ 's the fibre of the map $\pi_{1}: \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{g+r+1 . k} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+r+1}$ over the point $[X]$ is $(r+1)$-dimensional: the fibre is basically the space of degree $r+1$ maps $f_{2}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that $f_{2}\left(p_{1}\right)=\ldots=f_{2}\left(p_{r+2}\right)=\lambda$. Moreover. if we assume (in the case $g>2 k-2$ ) that $[C]$ is a smooth point of the locus $\mathcal{M}_{g, k}^{L}$ (which happens precisely when $C$ has only one $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mid 2 \mathfrak{g}_{k}^{\prime}=2$ ), then we have for the tangent cone

$$
T C_{[X]}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+r+1, k}^{1}\right)=\bigcup\left\{\operatorname{Im}\left(d \pi_{1}\right)_{z}: z \in \pi_{1}^{-1}([X])\right\}=H^{0}\left(C \cdot 2 K_{C}-R_{1}+\Delta\right)^{\perp}
$$

which shows that $[X]$ is a smooth point of the locus $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+r+1 . k}^{1}$.
We compute now the differential

$$
\left(d \pi_{2}\right)_{[X]}: T_{[X]}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{d+r, g+r+1, r}\right) \rightarrow T_{[X]}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+r+1}\right)
$$

which is the same thing as the differential at the point $\left[X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r}\right]$ of the projection $\pi_{2}: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+r+1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{r}, d+r\right) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+r+1}$. We start by noticing that $X$ is smoothable in $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ and that $H^{1}\left(X, N_{X}\right)=0$ (apply Prop.2.2.2). We also have that $X$ is embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ by a complete linear system, that is $h^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(1)\right)=r+1$. Indeed, on one hand. since $X$ is nondegenerate, $h^{0}\left(X . \mathcal{O}_{X}(1)\right) \geq h^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{r} . \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} r_{(1)}}\right)=r+1$ : on the other hand from the sequence (2.3) we have that $h^{0}\left(X . \mathcal{O}_{X}(1)\right) \leq h^{0}\left(C \cdot \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right)=r+1$.

If $X$ is embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{r}$ by a complete linear system, we know (cf. Section 1.3) that

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(d \pi_{2}\right)_{[X]}=\left(\operatorname{Im} \mu_{1}(X)\right)^{\perp}
$$

where $\mu_{1}(X): \operatorname{Ker} \mu_{0}(X) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X, \omega_{X} 乏 \Omega_{X}\right)$ is the 'derivative' of the Petri map $\mu_{0}(X)$ : $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(1)\right) \bigodot H^{0}\left(X, \omega_{X}(-1)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(X . \omega_{X}\right)$. We compute the kernel of $\mu_{0}(X)$ and show that $\mu_{0}(X)$ is surjective in a way that resembles the proof of Prop.2.3 in [Se2].

From the sequence (2.4) we obtain $H^{0}\left(X, \omega_{X}\right)=H^{0}\left(C, K_{C}+\Delta\right)$. while from (2.3) we have that $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(1)\right)=H^{0}\left(E \cdot \mathcal{O}_{E}(1)\right)$ (keeping in mind that $H^{0}\left(C \cdot \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)(-\Delta)\right)=0$. as $p_{1} \ldots . p_{r+2}$ are in general linear position). Finally. using (2.4) again, we have that $H^{0}\left(X \cdot \omega_{X}(-1)\right)=H^{0}\left(C \cdot K_{C}(-1)+\Delta\right)$. Therefore we can write the following commutative
diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^{0}\left(C \cdot \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right) \therefore H^{0}\left(C \cdot h_{C}(-1)\right) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{0} C} & H^{0}\left(C: h_{C}\right) \\
H^{0}\left(C \cdot \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right) \vdots H^{0}\left(C \cdot h_{C}(-1)+\Delta\right) & \longrightarrow & H^{0}\left(C \cdot \mathscr{K}_{C}+\Delta\right) \\
\vdots & & \vdots= \\
H^{0}\left(X \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X}(1)\right) \vdots H^{0}\left(X \cdot \omega_{X}(-1)\right) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{0}(X)} & H^{0}\left(X \cdot \omega_{X}\right)
\end{array}
$$

It follows that $\operatorname{Ker} \mu_{0}(C) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} \mu_{0}(X)$. By using Corollary 1.6 from $[C R]$. our assumptions ( $\mu_{0}(C)$ surjective and $\operatorname{card}(\Delta) \geq 4$ ) enable us to conclude that $\mu_{0}(X)$ is surjective too. Then $\operatorname{Ker} \mu_{0}(C)=\operatorname{Ker} \mu_{0}(X)$ for dimension reasons. hence also $\operatorname{Im} \mu_{1}(X)=\operatorname{Im} \mu_{1}(C) \subseteq$ $H^{0}\left(C .2 h_{C}\right) \subseteq H^{0}\left(X . \omega_{X} \Omega_{X}\right)$. We thus get that $\operatorname{Im}\left(d \pi_{2}\right)_{X X}=\left(\operatorname{Im} \mu_{1}(X)\right)^{-}=\left(\operatorname{Im} \mu_{1}(C)^{-}\right.$.

The assumption that $\left(C, f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ satisfies (2.9) can be rewritten by passing to duals as

$$
H^{0}\left(C \cdot 2 K_{C}^{\circ}-R_{1}\right)^{\perp}+\left(\operatorname{Im} \mu_{1}(C)\right)^{\perp}=H^{1}\left(C \cdot T_{C}\right) \Longleftrightarrow H^{0}\left(C \cdot 2 K_{C}^{\circ}-R_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{Im} \mu_{1}(C)=0 .
$$

Then it follows that $\operatorname{Im} \mu_{1}(X) \cap\left(H^{0}\left(C \cdot 2 K_{C}-R_{1}+\Delta\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\left(u_{2}^{v}\right)_{\text {ors }}\right)\right)=0$. which is the same thing as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d \pi_{1}\right)_{\left[f^{\prime}\right]}\left(T_{\left.f^{\prime}\right]}\left(\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{g+r+1, k}\right)\right)+\left(d \pi_{2}\right)_{\left.X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r}\right]}\left(T_{\left.X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r}\right]}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+r+1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{r}, d+r\right)\right)\right)=\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\Omega_{X} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the images of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{g+r+1, k}$ under the map $\pi_{1}$ and of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+r+1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{r} . d+r\right)$ under the map $\pi_{2}$, meet transversally at the point $[X] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+r+1}$.
Claim: The curve $X$ can be smoothed in such a way that the $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ and the very ample $\mathfrak{g}_{d+r}^{r}$ are preserved (while (2.11) is an open condition on $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{g+r+1 . k} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+r+1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{r} . d+r\right)$ ).
Indeed. the tangent directions that fail to smooth at least one node of $X$ are those in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r+2} H^{0}\left(\operatorname{Tors}_{p_{l}}\left(w_{X} \quad \Omega_{X}\right)\right)^{i}$. whereas the tangent directions that preserve both the $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ and the $\mathfrak{g}_{d+r}^{r}$ are those in

$$
\left(\left(\operatorname{Im} \mu_{1}(C)+H^{0}\left(C \cdot 2 h_{C}-R_{1}+\Delta\right)\right)=\operatorname{Ker}\left(u_{2}^{v}\right)_{\mathrm{tors}}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Since obviously $H^{0}\left(\operatorname{Tors}_{p_{i}}\left(\omega_{X} \Xi \Omega_{X}\right)\right) \nsubseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(u_{2}^{*}\right)_{\text {tors }}$ for $i=1 \ldots . r+2$ by moving in a suitable direction in the tangent space at $\left[f^{\prime}\right]$ of $\pi_{1}^{-1} \pi_{2}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+r+1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{r} . d+r\right)\right)$, we finally obtain a curve $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$ with $g(Y)=g+r+1 \cdot \operatorname{deg}(Y)=d+r$ and satisfying all the required properties.

In order to use Prop.2.4.1 as the inductive step $(g . d) \mapsto(g+r+1 . d+r)$ in the construction of regular components of $\mathcal{M}_{g}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r} .(k, d)\right)$. we need curves $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$ with all the properties listed in the statement of the Proposition (so that we can start the induction). We are able to construct such curves when $\rho($ g.r.d $)=-1$. i.e. when $\mathcal{M}_{g . d}^{r}$ is a divisor in $\mathcal{M g}_{g}$.

Theorem 2.2 Let $r \geq 3 . s \geq(2 r+1) /(r-1)$ and $k \geq 3$ be integers such that

$$
(r s+s-1) / 2 \leq k \leq r s-r-1
$$

Then for any integers $d . g$ such that $\rho(g . r . d)=-1$ and $g \geq(r+1)(s-1)-1$ there exists a regular component of the moduli space of maps $\mathcal{M}_{g}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r} .(k, d)\right)$.

Remarks: 1. Theorem 2.2 actually provides regular components of the Hilbert scheme of curves of bidegree $(k, d)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r}$. where $k$ and $d$ are as above.
2. In the case $g=23$ (extensively treated in Chapter 1). the theorem provides regular components of . $\mathcal{M}_{23}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{3} .(k, 20)\right)$ when $k \geq 8$.

Proof: We set $g_{0}=(r+1)(s-1)-1$ and $d_{0}=r s-1$. One checks that $\rho\left(g_{0}, r, d_{0}\right)=-1$ and any solution $(g . d)$ of the equation $\rho(g . r . d)=-1$ with $g \geq g_{0}$. can be obtained from $\left(g_{0}, d_{0}\right)$ by applying several times the transformation $(g, d) \mapsto(g+r+1 . d+r)$. According to Prop.2.4.1 it suffices to construct a smooth curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$ of genus $g_{0}$ and degree $d_{0}$. with $h^{1}\left(C, \nu_{C}\right)=0 . h^{0}\left(C \cdot \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right)=r+1$. having the Petri map $\mu_{0}(C)$ surjective. and also carrying a simple base point free pencil $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}=|Z|$ such that $2 \mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ is non-special and $\left|\mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right|\left(-\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}\right)=\emptyset$.

For such a triple (C. $\left.\mathfrak{g}_{k}, \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right)$. condition (2.9) also required in Prop.2.4.1 is immediately satisfied: if $f_{1}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is the map corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$, we know (cf. Section 1.3) that $\left(d \pi_{1}\right)_{\left[f_{1}\right.}\left(T_{\left[f_{1}\right\rangle}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g_{0}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, k\right)\right)\right)=H^{0}\left(C .{h_{C}}_{C}-2 Z\right)^{\perp}=H^{1}\left(C . T_{C}\right)$, because $|2 Z|$ is non-special. so (2.9) follows at once.

It is more convenient to replace the projection $\mathcal{M}_{90}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, k\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{90}$ by the surjective proper map $\pi: \mathcal{G}_{k}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{90}$, given by $\pi(C . l)=[C]$, where $l \in G_{k}^{1}(C)$. Of course $\pi$ does not exist quite as it stands. instead one should replace $\mathcal{M}_{g_{0}}$ by a finite cover over which the universal curve has a section, but we can safely ignore this minor nuisance. The map $\pi$ is surjective (and with connected fibres) because $\rho\left(g_{0} .1 . k\right) \geq r-1$. Theorem 6.1 from [Se2] ensures the existence of an irreducible, smooth, open subset $U$ of $\mathcal{M}_{g_{0}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{r}, d_{0}\right)$, of the expected dimension. such that all points of $U$ correspond to embeddings of smooth curves $C \rightarrow P^{r}$. with $h^{1}\left(C . N_{C}\right)=0 . h^{0}\left(C . \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right)=r+1$ and $\mu_{0}(C)$ surjective. Since $\mathcal{M}_{g_{0}, d_{0}}^{r}$ is irreducible (cf. Chapter 1). it follows that the natural projection $\pi_{2}: U \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g o . d_{0}}^{r}$ is dominant.

We now find a curve $C$ having the properties listed above. For a start. we notice that it is enough to find one curve $\left[C_{0}\right] \in \mathcal{M}_{g_{0} . d_{0}}^{r_{0}}$ possessing a simple. complete, base point free $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$. such that $2 \mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ is non-special. because then. by semicontinuity we get the same properties for a general point of $U$. To find one particular such curve we proceed as follows: take $C_{0}$ a general $(r+1)$-gonal curve of genus $g_{0}$. These curves will have rather few moduli $(r+1<[(g+3) / 2])$ but we still have that $\left[C_{0}\right] \in \mathcal{M}_{g_{0}, d_{0}}^{r}$. Indeed. according to $[\mathrm{CM}] \mathrm{p}$. 348. we can construct a complete. birationally very ample $\mathfrak{g}_{d_{0}}^{r}=\mathfrak{g}_{r-1}^{1}+F$ on $C_{0}$. where $F$ is an effective divisor on $C_{0}$ with $h^{0}\left(C_{0}, F\right)=1$. Using Corollary 2.2 .3 from [CKM] we find that $C_{0}$ also possesses a complete. simple. base-point-free $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ which is not composed with the $\mathfrak{g}_{r+1}^{1}$ computing gon $\left(C_{0}\right)$. and such that $2 \mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ is non-special. Since these are open conditions they will hold generically along a component of $G_{k}^{1}\left(C_{0}\right)$. Applying semicontinuity, for a general element $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{y 0 \text { o } d_{0}}^{r}$ (hence also for a general element $[C] \in L^{\circ}$ ) the
variety $G_{k}^{1}(C)$ will contain a component $A$ with general point $l \in A$ being simple, base point free and with $2 l$ non-special.

We claim that there exists a pencil $/ \in A$ having the properties listed above and moreover $\mathcal{O}_{C}(1)(-l)=\emptyset$. Suppose not. Then if we denote by $I_{d_{0}-k}^{r-1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right.$ ) the variety of effective divisors of degree $d_{0}-k$ on $C$ imposing $\leq r-1$ conditions on $\mathcal{O}_{C}(1)$. we have that

$$
\operatorname{dim} V_{d_{0}-k}^{r-1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(1)\right) \geq \operatorname{dim} A \geq \rho\left(g_{0} .1 . k\right) \geq r-1
$$

the last inequality being the only point where we need the assumption $k \geq(r s+s-1) / 2$. Therefore $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$ has at least $x^{r-1}\left(d_{0}-k\right)$-secant $(r-2)$-planes. hence also at least $x^{r-1} r$-secant $(r-2)$-planes (because $\left.d_{0}-k \geq r\right)$. This last statement clearly contradicts the Uniform Position Theorem (see $[\mathrm{ACGH}]$. p. 112). All in all. the general point $[C] \in U$ enjoys all properties required to make Prop.2.4.1 work.

Remarks: 1. We could apply Prop.2.4.1 and get regular components of the moduli space . $\mathcal{M}_{g}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r} .(k . d)\right.$ ) for lower values of $\rho(g . r . d)$ (and not only when $\left.\rho(g . r . d)=-1\right)$. if we knew that the $(r+1)$-gonal locus $\mathcal{M}_{g . r+1}^{1}$ is contained in every component of $\mathcal{M}_{g . d}^{r}$ (or at least in a component of $\mathcal{M}_{g . d}^{r}$ with the expected number of moduli). No such result appears to be known at the moment (except in the case $\rho(g . r . d)=-1$ ).
2. Let us fix $g . k$ such that $\rho(g .1 . k) \geq 0$. One knows (cf. $[A C G H])$ that if $l \in G_{k}^{1}(C)$ is a complete. base point free pencil. then $\operatorname{dim} T_{l}\left(G_{k}^{1}(C)\right)=\rho(g .1, k)+h^{1}(C .2 l)$. Therefore if $A$ is a component of $G_{k}^{l}(C)$ such that $\operatorname{dim} A=\rho(g, 1, k)$ and the general $l \in A$ is base point free such that $2 l$ is special, then $A$ is nonreduced. We ask the following question: what is the dimension of the locus

$$
M:=\left\{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{g}: \text { every component of } G_{k}^{1}(C) \text { is nonreduced }\right\} \text { ? }
$$

A result of Coppens (cf. [Co4]) says that for a curve $C$. if the scheme $W_{k}^{1}(C)$ is reduced and of dimension $\rho(g, 1 . k)$, then the scheme $W_{k+1}^{1}(C)$ is reduced too and of dimension $\rho(g .1 . k+1)$. Therefore it would make sense to determine $\operatorname{dim}(M)$ when $\rho(g, 1, k) \in\{0,1\}$ (depending on the parity of $g$ ). We suspect that $M$ depends on very few moduli. A suitable upper bound for $\operatorname{dim}(M)$ would rule out the possibility of a component of $\mathcal{M}_{g . d}^{r}$ being contained in $M$ (we have the lower bound $3 g-3+\rho(g . r . d)$ for all components of $\mathcal{M}_{g, d}^{r}$ ) and we could apply Prop.2.4.1 without having to resort to Corollary 2.2.3 from [CKM].

### 2.5 The gonality of space curves

### 2.5.1 Preliminaries

The gonality of a curve is perhaps the second most natural invariant of a curve: it gives an indication of how far from being rational a curve is in a way different from what the genus does. For $g \geq 3$ we consider the stratification of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ given by gonality:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{g .2}^{1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{g .3}^{1} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{g, k}^{1} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{g}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{g . k}^{1}=\mathcal{M}_{g}$ for $\left.k \geq ;(g+3) / 2\right]$. The number $[(g+3) / 2]$ is thus the generic gonality for curves of genus $g$. We want to study the relative position of the Brill-Noether loci $\mathcal{M}_{g . d}^{r}($ with $r \geq 3 . \rho(g . r . d)<0)$ and the $k$-gonal loci $\mathcal{M}_{g . k}^{1}$ (where $k<(g+2) / 2$ ). More precisely, we would like to know the gonality of a general point of $\mathcal{M}_{g, d}^{r}$. Since the geometry of the loci, $\mathcal{M}_{g . d}^{r}$ is. as we already pointed out in Section 1.2. very messy (existence of many components. some unreduced and/or of unexpected dimension). we will content ourselves with computing gon $(C)$ when $[C]$ is a general point of a genuine ${ }^{\circ}$ component of $\mathcal{M}_{g . d}^{r}$ (i.e. a component which is generically smooth. with general point corresponding to a curve with a very ample $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ ).

The same problem for $r=2$ has already been solved by M. Coppens (cf. [Co3]):
Proposition 2.5.1 Let $\nu: C \rightarrow \Gamma$ be the normalization of a general. irreducible plane curve of degree $d$ with $\delta=g-\binom{d-1}{2}$ nodes. Assume that $0<\delta<\left(d^{2}-\bar{i} d+18\right) / 2$. Then $\operatorname{gon}(C)=d-2$.

Remarks: 1. The result says that there are no $\mathfrak{g}_{d-3}^{1}$ s on $C$. On the other hand a $\mathfrak{g}_{d-2}^{1}$ is given by the lines through a node of $\Gamma$.
2. The condition $\delta<\left(d^{2}-7 d+18\right) / 2$ is equivalent with $\rho(g .1 . d-3)<0$. This is the range in which the problem is non-trivial: if $\rho(g .1 . d-3) \geq 0$. the Brill-Noether Theorem provides $\mathfrak{g}_{d-3}^{1}$ 's on $C$.

For $r \geq 3$ we could expect a similar result. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$ be a suitably general smooth curve of genus $g$ and degree $d$, with $\rho(g . r . d)<0$. We can always assume that $d \leq g-1$ (by duality $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r} \mapsto K_{C}-\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ we can always land in this range). One can expect that a $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ computing gon $(C)$ is of the form $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}(-D)=\left\{E-D: E \in \mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}\right.$. $\left.E \geq D\right\}$ for some effective divisor $D$ on $C$. Since the expected dimension of the variety of $e$-secant $(r-2)$-plane divisors

$$
V_{e}^{r-1}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}\right):=\left\{D \in C_{e}: \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}(-D) \geq 1\right\}
$$

is $2 r-2-e$ (cf. $[\mathrm{ACGH}]$ ). we may ask whether $C$ has finitely many $(2 r-2)$-secant $(r-2)$-planes (and no $(2 r-1)$-secant $(r-2)$-planes at all). This is known to be true for curves with general moduli. that is. when $\rho(g . r, d) \geq 0$ (cf. [Hirsch]): for instance a smooth curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ with general moduli has only finitely many 4 -secant lines and no 5-secant lines. However. no such principle appears to be known for curves with special moduli.
Definition: We call the number $\min (d-2 r+2 \cdot[(g+3) / 2])$ the expected gonality of a smooth nondegenerate curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$ of degree $d$ and genus $g$.

The main result of this section is the following:
Theorem Let $g \geq 5$ and $d \geq 8$ be integers. $g$ odd. $d$ even. such that $d^{2}>8 g .4 d<3 g+12$. $d^{2}-8 g+8$ is not a square and either $d \leq 18$ or $g<4 d-31$. If

$$
\left(d^{\prime} \cdot g^{\prime}\right) \in\{(d \cdot g) \cdot(d+1 \cdot g+1) \cdot(d+1 \cdot g+2) \cdot(d+2 \cdot g+3)\}
$$

then there exists a regular component of Hilb dr' $^{\prime} y^{\prime} .3$ whose general point $\left[C^{\prime}\right]$ is a smooth curve such that $\operatorname{gon}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=\min \left(d^{\prime}-4 .\left[\left(g^{\prime}+3\right) / 2\right]\right)$.

Onf (an approach this problen from a different angle: find recipes to conipute the gonality of varions classes of curves $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2}$. ()ur knowledge in this respect is very scant: we know how to compute the gonality of extrenal curves $C \subseteq P^{r}$ that is, curves attaning the (astelnmovo bound see $A C G H]$ ) and the gonality of complete intersections in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ (ef. [Ba]]: If $\left(C^{\prime} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}\right.$ is a smooth complete intersection of type ( $a . b$ ) then gont $\left(C^{\prime}\right)=a b-l$. where / is the degree of a maximal linear divisor on $C$. Hence an effective divisor $D \subseteq C$ computing gon $(C)$ (that is $\operatorname{deg}(D)=$ gon $(C)$ and $\left.h^{0}(C \cdot D) \geq 2\right)$. is residual to a linear divisor of degree $l$ in a plane section of $(C$. ()f course. we know gon $(C)$ in a few other (ames: It is a classical result that the gonality of a smooth plane $C$ curve of degree $d$ is $d-1$ and every $\mathfrak{g}_{d-1}^{1}$ on $C$ is of the form $\mathcal{O}_{C}(1)(-p)$. where $p \in C$. If $C$ is a smooth curve of type $(a . b)$ on a smooth quadric surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, then gon $(C)=m i n(a . b)$. i.e. the gonality is computed by a ruling. One gets a similar result for a curve sitting on a Hirzebruch surface. Finally: in [Pa] there is a rather surprising lower bound for the gonality of a smooth curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{r}$ in terms of the Seshadri constant of $C$. Which is an invariant measuring the positivity of $\mathcal{O}$ ) (1) in a neighbourhood of $C$.

### 2.5.2 Linear systems on smooth quartic surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$

We recall a few basic facts abont linear swatems on $k 3$ surfaces (of. [SD]). Let S be a suooth $h^{2}$ surface. For an effective divisor $D \subseteq S$. we have $h^{1}(S . D)=h^{0}\left(D .0_{D}\right)-1$. If $C \subseteq S$ is an irreducible curve then $H^{1}(S, C)=0$. and by Riemann-Roch we have that

$$
\operatorname{dim!} C \left\lvert\,=1+\frac{C^{2}}{2}=p_{a}(C)\right.
$$

In particular $C^{2} \geq-2$ for every irreducible curve $C$.
Proposition 2.5.2 Let $S$ be a K 3 surface. We have the follouing equitalences:

1. $C^{2}=-2 \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{dim} C=0 \Longleftrightarrow C$ is a smonth. rational curve.
$\therefore C^{2}=0 \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{dim} C=1 \Longleftrightarrow p_{n}(C)=1$.
For a K 3 surface one also has a 'strong Bertini' Theorem:
Proposition 2.5.3 Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a lime bundla oll a A 3 surface $S$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ har, wo base pouts outside it.s fired components. Moveorer. if bis $\mathcal{L}=\emptyset$ then eather

- $\mathcal{L}^{2}>0 . h^{1}(S . \mathcal{L})=0$ and the general member of $|\mathcal{L}|$ is a smooth. irreducible curve of genus $\mathcal{L}^{2} / 2+1$. or
- $\mathcal{L}^{2}=0$ and $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{S}(k E)$. where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} . E \subseteq S$ is an irreducible rurve with $p_{a}(E)=1$. We have that $h^{0}(S, \mathcal{L})=k+1 . h^{\prime}(S, \mathcal{L})=k-1$ and all davisors in $\mathcal{L}$ arw of the form $E_{1}+\cdots+E_{k}$ with $E_{,} \sim E$.

We are interested in space curves sitting on K 3 surfaces and the starting point is Moris Theorem Icf. [ No ]): if $d>0 . g \geq 0$, there is a smooth curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree $d$ and genus $g$. lying on a smooth quartic surface $S$. if and only if (1) $g=d^{2} / 8+1$. or (2) $g<d^{2} / 8$ and $(d . g) \neq(5.3)$. Moreover. we can choose $S$ such that $\operatorname{Pic}(S)=\mathbb{Z} H=\mathbb{Z}(4 / d) C$ in case (1) and such that $\operatorname{Pic}(S)=\mathbb{Z} H, \mathbb{Z} C$. with $H^{2}=4 . C^{2}=2 g-2$ and $H \cdot C=d$. in case ( 2 ). In each case $H$ denotes a plane section of $S$. Note that from the Hodge Index Theorem one has the necessary condition

$$
(C \cdot H)^{2}-H^{2} C^{2}=d^{2}-8(g-1) \geq 0
$$

We will repeatedly use the following observation:
Proposition 2.5.4 Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be a smooth quartic surface with a smooth curve $C \subseteq S$ such that $\operatorname{Pic}(S)=\mathbb{Z} H=\mathbb{Z} C$ and assume that $S$ has no $(-2)$ curves. For a divisor $D \subseteq S$ we have that:

1. $D$ is effecture $\Longleftrightarrow D^{2} \geq 0$ and $D \cdot H>2$.
2. If $D^{2}=0$ and $D \cdot H>2$, then $D=k E$. where $E$ is an irreducible curve of genus 1 and $h^{0}(S . D)=k+1 . h^{\prime}(S . D)=k-1$.
3. If $D^{2}>0$ and $D \cdot H>2$. then the general element of $D$ is simooth and irreducible.

Remarks: a) The first part of Proposition 2.5.4 is based on the fact that if $D \subseteq S$ is a curve with $\operatorname{deg}(D)=D \cdot H \leq 2$. then $h^{0}(S . D)=1$. i.e. $D$ is isolated. But every isolated curve is a $(-2)$ curve and we have assumed that there are no such curves on $S$.
b) If $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is a smooth quartic surface with Picard number 2 as above. $S$ has no ( -2 ) curres when the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 m^{2}+m n d+(g-1) n^{2}=-1 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no solutions $m . n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is the case for instance when $d$ is even and $g$ is odd.

### 2.5.3 Brill-Noether special linear series on curves on $K 3$ surfaces

The study of special linear series on curves lying on $K 3$ surfaces began with Lazarsfeld's proof of the Brill-Noether-Petri Theorem (cf. 'La]). He noticed that there is no BrillNoether type obstruction to embed a curve in a $K_{3}$ surface: if $C_{0} \subseteq S$ is a smooth curve of genus $g \geq 2$ on a $K 3$ surface such that $\operatorname{Pic}(S)=\mathbb{Z} C_{0}$. then the general curve $C \in C_{0}$. satisfies the Brill-Noether-Petri Theorem, that is. for any line bundle $A$ on $C$. the Petri map $\mu_{0}(C . A): H^{0}(C, A) \quad H^{0}\left(C . K_{C} \cdot A^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C^{\prime}, K_{C}\right)$ is injective. We mention that Petri's Theorem implies (trivially) the Brill-Noether Theorem.

The general philosophy when studying linear series on a $k 3$-section $C \subseteq S$ of genus $y \geq 2$. is that the type of a Brill-Noether special $g_{d}^{r}$ often does not depend on $C$ but only on its linear equivalence class in $S$. i.e. a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ on $C$ with $\rho(g . r . d)<0$ is expected to propagate to all mooth curves $C^{\prime \prime} \in C$. This expectation. in such generality. is perhaps
a bit too optimistic. but it was proved to be trme for the Clifford index of a curve (see (iLj): for $C \subseteq S$ a mooth $K 3$-section of genus $y \geq 2$. one has that Cliff $\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)=C$ liff( $C$ )
 of the Clifford index), then there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $S$ such that for all smooth $C^{\prime} \in C^{\prime}$ the restriction $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ (omputes (liff $C^{\prime}$ ). Recall that the Clifford index of a curve C of genus !f is defined as

$$
\left(\operatorname{liff}(C):=\min \left\{C \operatorname{liff}(D): D \in \operatorname{Div}(C) \cdot h^{0}(D) \geq 2 . h^{1}(D) \geq 2\right\} .\right.
$$

where for a divisor $D$ on (. we have $\left(\operatorname{liff}(D)=\operatorname{deg}(D)-2\left(h^{0}(D)-1\right)\right.$. Note that in the detinition of Cliffl $C$ ) the condition $h^{1}(D) \geq 2$ (an be replaced with $\operatorname{leg}(D) \leq g-1$. Another invariant of a curve is the Clifford dimension of $C$ defined as
$\left(\operatorname{liff}-\operatorname{dim}\left(C^{\prime}\right):=\min \left\{r \geq 1: \exists \mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}\right.\right.$ on $($ with $d \leq g-1$. such that $d-2 r=(\operatorname{lifff}(C)\}$.
Curve with (lifford dimension $\geq 2$ are rare: mooth plane curw are precisely the curves of Clifford dimension 2. while curve of Clifford dimension 3 oceur only in genus 10 as complete intersections of two cubic surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$.

Harris and Mumford during their work in [HM] conjectured that the gonality of a $K 3$-section should stay constant in a linear system: if $C \subseteq S$ carries an exceptional $\mathfrak{g}_{d}$ then every smooth $C^{\prime \prime} \in C^{\prime}$ carries an equally exceptional $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{1}$. This conjecture was later disproved by Donagi and Morrison (cf. [DMo]) who showed that the gonality can vary in a linear swam: Consider the following situation: let $\pi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a $k 3$ surface. double cover of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ brancherd along a smooth sextic and let $\mathcal{L}=\pi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{32}(3)$. The genus of a smooth $C^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}$ is 10 . The general $C^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ carries a very ample $\mathfrak{g}_{6}^{2}$. henee gon $(C)=\overline{5}$. On the other hand, any curve in the codimension 1 linear system $\pi^{*} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{F^{2}}(3)\right.$ ) is bielliptic. therefore has gonality 4 . Cuder reasomable assmptions this turns out to be the only commerexample to the Harris-Mumford conjecture. Ciliberto and Pareschi proved (in [CilP]) that if $C^{\prime} \subseteq S$ is such that $C$ is base-point-free and ample, then either gon $\left(C^{\prime}\right)=$ gon $\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ for all smooth $C^{\prime} \in C^{\prime} \mid$ or $(S . C)$ are as in the previous counterexample. Although gon( $C^{\prime}$ ) (an drop as $C$ varim in a linear sestem. base point free $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{1}$.s on $h^{\circ} 3$ sertions do propagate:

Proposition 2.5.5 (Donagi-Morrison) Let $S$ be a $h 3$ surface. $C \subseteq S$ a smooth, nonhypurelliptir rume and $Z$ a complete. base pout free $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{\prime}$ on (' such that $\mu(g .1 . d)<0$. Thro there in all effectur divisor $D \subseteq S$ such that:

- $h^{0}(S . D) \geq 2 . h^{0}(S . C-D) \geq 2 \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{C}}\right) \leq g-1$.
- Cliff $\left(C^{*} . D_{C^{\prime}}\right) \leq C \operatorname{liff}(C . Z)$. for amy smooth $C^{\prime \prime} \in C^{\prime}$.
- Theme $\boldsymbol{K}_{0} \in Z$. cons.isting of distinct peint surh that $Z_{0} \subseteq D \cap($.


### 2.5.4 The gonality of curves on quartic surfaces

For a wide range of $d$ and $g$ we construct curves $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree $d$ and genus $g$ having the expected gonality. We start with the case when $g$ is odd and $d$ is even when we can realize our curves as sections of smooth quartic surfaces.

Theorem 2.3 Let $g \geq 5 . d \geq 8$ be integers. $g$ odd. d even, such that $d^{2}>8 g .4 d<3 g+12$ and $d^{2}-8 g+8$ is not a square. Then there exists a smooth curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree $d$ and genus $g$ such that $\operatorname{gon}(C)=\min (d-4,[(g+3) / 2])$. If $\operatorname{gon}(C)=d-4<[(g+3) / 2]$, every $\mathfrak{g}_{d-4}^{1}$ computing the gonality is given by the planes through a 4 -secant line to $C$. Moreover. $C$ has only finitely many 4 -secant lines, finitely many tangential trisecants and no 5 -secant lines.

Proof: By Mori's Theorem, for such $d$ and $g$, there exists a smooth quartic surface $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ and $C \subseteq S$ a smooth curve of degree $d$ and genus $g$ such that $\operatorname{Pic}(S)=\mathbb{Z} H \subseteq \mathbb{Z} C$, where $H$ is a plane section. The conditions $d$ and $g$ are subject to, ensure that $S$ does not contain ( -2 ) curves or genus 1 curves (the existence of a curve with self-intersection 0 would imply that $d^{2}-8 g+8$ is a square).

We prove first that $\mathrm{Cliff}-\operatorname{dim}(C)=1$. It suffices to show that $C \subseteq S$ is an ample divisor, because then by using Prop.3.3 from [CilP] we obtain that either Cliff-dim $(C)=1$ or $C$ is a smooth plane sextic, $g=10$ and $(S, C)$ are as in Donagi-Morrison's example (then Cliff- $\operatorname{dim}(C)=2$ ). The latter case obviously does not happen.

We prove that $C \cdot D>0$ for any effective divisor $D \subseteq S$. Let $D \sim m H+n C$, with $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, such a divisor. Then $D^{2}=4 m^{2}+2 m n d+n^{2}(2 g-2) \geq 0$ and $D \cdot H=4 m+d n>2$. The case $m \leq 0, n \leq 0$ is impossible, while the case $m \geq 0, n \geq 0$ is trivial. Let us assume $m>0, n<0$. Then $D \cdot C=m d+n(2 g-2)>-2 n\left(d^{2} / 8-g+1\right)+d / 2>0$, because $d^{2} / 8>g$. In the remaining case $m<0 . n>0$ we have that $n D \cdot C \geq-m D \cdot H>0$, so $C$ is ample by Nakai-Moishezon.

Our assumptions imply that $d \leq g-1$, so $\mathcal{O}_{C}(1)$ is among the line bundles from which $\operatorname{Cliff}(C)$ is computed. We get thus the following estimate on the gonality of $C$ :

$$
\operatorname{gon}(C)=\operatorname{Cliff}(C)+2 \leq \operatorname{Cliff}\left(C . H_{C}\right)+2=d-4,
$$

which yields $\operatorname{gon}(C) \leq \min (d-4,[(g+3) / 2])$.
Assume now that $\operatorname{gon}(C)<[(g+3) / 2]$. We will then show that $\operatorname{gon}(C)=d-4$. Let $|Z|$ be a complete, base point free pencil computing gon $(C)$. By applying Prop.2.5.5. there exists an effective divisor $D \subseteq S$ satisfying
$h^{0}(S, D) \geq 2, h^{0}(S, C-D) \geq 2, \operatorname{deg}\left(D_{C}\right) \leq g-1 . \operatorname{gon}(C)=\operatorname{Cliff}\left(D_{C}\right)+2$ and $Z \subseteq D \cap C$.
We consider the exact cohomology sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{0}(S . D-C) \rightarrow H^{0}(S . D) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C . D_{C}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}(S . D-C) .
$$

Since $C-D$ is effective and $\nsim 0$. one sees that $D-C$ cannot be effective. so $H^{0}(S, D-C)=$ 0 . The surface $S$ does not contain ( -2 ) curves. so $C-D$ has no fixed components; the
equation $(C-D)^{2}=0$ has no solutions, therefore $(C-D)^{2}>0$ and the general element of $C-D$ is smooth and irreducible. Then it follows that $H^{1}(S . D-C)=H^{1}(S . C-D)^{2}=0$. Thus $H^{0}(S . D)=H^{0}\left(C^{\circ}, D_{C}\right)$ and

$$
\operatorname{gon}(C)=2+\operatorname{Cliff}\left(D_{C}\right)=2+D \cdot C-2 \operatorname{dim} D=D \cdot C-D^{2} .
$$

We consider the following family of effective divisors

$$
\mathcal{A}:=\left\{D \in \operatorname{Div}(S): h^{0}(S . D) \geq 2 \cdot h^{0}(S \cdot C-D) \geq 2 . C \cdot D \leq g-1\right\} .
$$

and since we already know that $d-4 \geq$ gon $(C) \geq a$. where $a=\min \left\{D \cdot C-C^{2}: D \in \mathcal{A}\right\}$. we are done if we prove that $a \geq d-4$. Take $D \in \mathcal{A}$. such that $D \sim m H+n C . m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The conditions $D^{2}>0 . D \cdot C \leq g-1$ and $2<D \cdot H<d-2$ (use Prop. 2.5 .4 for the last inequality) (an be rewritten as
$2 m^{2}+m m d+n^{2}(g-1)>0$ (i). $2<4 m+n d<d-2$ (ii). $m d+(2 n-1)(g-1) \leq 0$ (iii).
We have to prove that for any $D \in \mathcal{A}$ the following inequality holds

$$
f(m . n)=D \cdot C-D^{2}=-4 m^{2}+m(d-2 n d)+\left(n-n^{2}\right)(2 g-2) \geq f(1.0)=d-4 .
$$

We solve this standard calculus problem. Denote by $a:=\left(d+\sqrt{d^{2}-8 g}+8\right) / 4$ and $b:=\left(d-\sqrt{d^{2}-8 g+\overline{8}}\right) / 4$. We dispose first of the case $n<0$. Assuming $n<0$. from (i) we have that either $m<-b n$ or $m>-a n$. If $m<-b n$ from (ii) we obtain that $2<n(d-4 b)<0$. because $n<0$ and $d-4 b=\sqrt{d^{2}-8 g+8}>0$. so we have reached a contradiction.

We assume now that $n<0$ and $m>-a n$. From (iii) we get that $m \leq(g-1)(1-2 n) / d$. If $-a n>(g-1)(1-2 n) / d$ we are done because there is no $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying (i). (ii) and (iii). while in the other case for any $D \in \mathcal{A}$ with $D \sim m H+n C$. one has the inequalities

$$
f(m . n)>f(-a n . n)=(2 g-2-a d) n=\frac{\left(d^{2}-8 g+8\right)+d \sqrt{d^{2}-8 g+\overline{8}}}{4}(-n)>d-4 .
$$

unless $n=-1$ and $d^{2}-8 g<8$ (which forces $d^{2}-8 g=4$ ). In this last case we obtain $m \geq(d+4) / 4$ so $f(m .-1) \geq f((d+4) / 4 .-1)>d-4$.

The case $n>0$ can be treated rather similarly. From (i) we get that either $m<-a n$ or $m>-b n$. The first case can be dismissed immediately. When $m>-b n$ we use that for any $D \in \mathcal{A}$ with $D \sim m H-n C$.

$$
f(m \cdot n) \geq \min \{f(-(g-1)(2 n-1) / d \cdot n) \cdot \max \{f(-b n \cdot n) \cdot f((2-n d) / 4 \cdot n)\}\} .
$$

Elementary manipulations give that

$$
f(-(g-1)(2 n-1) / d . n)=(g-1) / 2\left[(2 \prime-1)^{2}\left(d^{2}-8 g+8\right) / d^{2}+1\right] \geq d-4
$$

(use that $d^{2}>8 g$ and $d \leq g-1$ ). Note that we have equality if and only if $n=1 . m=-1$ and $d=g-1$. This possibility is compatible with the other conditions only for $g \in$
$\{11.13 .15\}$.
Furthermore $f(-b n . n)=n(2 g-2-b d) \geq 2 g-2-b d$ and $2 g-2-b d \geq d-4 \Leftrightarrow$ $4 \leq \sqrt{d^{2}-8 g+8} \leq d-4$. When this does not happen we proceed as follows: if $\sqrt{d^{2}-8} g+8>d-4$ then if $n=1$ we have that $m>-b>-1$, that is $m \geq 0$. but this contradicts (ii). When $n \geq 2$. we have $f((2-n d) / 4 . n)=\left[\left(d^{2}-8 g+8\right)\left(n^{2}-n\right)+\right.$ $(2 d-4)] / 4 \geq d-4$. Finally, the remaining possibility $4>\sqrt{d^{2}-8 g+8}$ can be disposed of easily by an ad-hoc argument (our assumptions force in this case $d^{2}-8 g=4$ ).

All this leaves us with the case $n=0$. when $f(m, 0)=-4 m^{2}+m d$. Clearly $f(m, 0) \geq$ $f(1,0)$ for all $m$ complying with (i).(ii) and (iii).

Thus we proved that gon $(C)=d-4$. We have equality $D \cdot C-D^{2}=d-4$ where $D \in \mathcal{A}$. if and only if $D=H$ or in the case $d=g-1 . g \in\{11.13 .15\}$ also when $D=C-H$. It is easy to show that if $d=g-1$ then $K_{C}=2 H_{C}$. therefore we can always assume that the divisor on $S$ cutting a $\mathfrak{g}_{d-4}^{1}$ on $C$ is the plane section of $S$. Since $Z \subseteq H \cap C$. if we denote by $\Delta$ the residual divisor of $Z$ in $H \cap C$. we have that $h^{0}\left(C \cdot H_{C}-\Delta\right)=2$, so $\Delta$ spans a line and $Z$ is given by the planes through the 4 -secant line $\langle\Delta\rangle$. This shows that every pencil computing gon $(C)$ is given by the planes through a 4 -secant line.

There are a few ways to see that $C$ has only finitely many 4 -secant lines. The shortest is to invoke Theorem 3.1 from [CilP]: since gon $\left(C^{\prime}\right)=d-4$ is constant as $C^{\prime}$ varies in $|C|$. for the general smooth curve $C^{\prime} \in \mid C^{\prime}$ one has $\operatorname{dim} W_{d-4}^{1}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=0$. Thus $C$ has only finitely many 4 -secant lines and no 5 -secant lines. Note that the last part of this assertion can also be seen directly using Bezout's Theorem: if $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ were a $\overline{5}$-secant line to $C$, then $L \subseteq S$, but $S$ contains no lines. Finally, $C$ has only finitely many tangential trisecants because $C$ is nondegenerate and we can apply a result from [Kaji].
Remarks: 1. One can find quartic surfaces $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ containing a smooth curve $C$ of degree $d$ and genus $g$ in the case $g=d^{2} / 8+1$ (which is outside the range Theorem 2.3 deals with). Then $d=4 m, g=2 m^{2}+1$ with $m \geq 1$ and $C$ is a complete intersection of type $(4, m)$. For such a curve, gon $(C)=d-l$. where $l$ is the degree of a maximal linear divisor on $C$ (cf. [Ba]). If $S$ is picked sufficiently general so that it contains no lines, by Bezout. $C$ cannot have 5 -secant lines so $\operatorname{gon}(C)=d-4$ in this case too.
2. Moris Theorem can be extended to curves sitting on $K 3$ surfaces which are embedded in higher dimensional projective spaces: for $r \geq 3 . d>0 . g \geq 0$ such that $g<d^{2} /(4 r-4)$ and $(d . g) \neq(2 r-1, r)$, there exists a $K 3$ surface $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{P r}$ of degree $2 r-2$ containing a smooth curve $C$ of degree $d$ and genus $g$ and such that $\operatorname{Pic}(S)=\mathbb{Z} H \mathbb{Z} C$. where $H$ is a hyperplane section of $S$ (see [Kn]). It seems very likely (although I have only checked several particular cases) that under the same conditions (i.e. S contains no genus 0 or genus 1 curves) the analogue of Theorem 2.3 still holds, that is $\operatorname{gon}(C)=$ $\min ([(g+3) / 2] \cdot d-2 r+2)$.

We want to find out when the curves constructed in Theorem 2.3 correspond to 'good points" of Hilb ${ }_{d .9,3}$. We have the following:
Proposition 2.5.6 Let $C \subseteq S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be a smooth curve sitting on a quartic surface such that $\operatorname{Pic}(S)=\mathbb{Z} H=\mathbb{Z} C$ with $H$ being a plane section and assume furthermore that $S$ contains no (-2) curves. Then $H^{1}\left(C . \aleph_{C / P^{3}}\right)=0$ if and only if $d \leq 18$ or $g<4 d-31$.

Proof: We use the exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow,_{C / S} \longrightarrow{\Lambda_{C / 3}} \rightarrow \lambda_{S / Z 3} \vdots \mathcal{O}_{C} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{S / F^{3}} \& \mathcal{O}_{C}=\mathcal{O}_{C}(4)$ and $\lambda_{C / S}=K_{C}$. We claim that there is an isomorphism $H^{\prime}\left(C . \mathcal{M}_{C / \mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)=H^{1}\left(C \cdot \mathcal{O}_{C}(4)\right)$. Suppose this is not the case. Then the injective map $H^{1}\left(C . K_{C}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(C, V_{C / 2^{3}}\right)$ provides a splitting of the sequence (2.13) and by using Proposition 3.25 from [Mod] we obtain that $C$ is a complete intersection with $S$. This is clearly a contradiction.

We have isomorphisms $H^{1}\left(C .4 H_{C}\right)=H^{2}(S .4 H-C)=H^{0}(S, C-4 H)^{v}$. According to Prop.2.5. 4 the divisor $C-4 H$ is effective if and only if $(C-4 H)^{2} \geq 0$ and $(C-4 H) \cdot H>2$. from which the conclusion follows.

We need to determine the gonality of nodal curves not of compact type and which consist of two components (like those appearing in Prop.2.2.2). The following result is intuitively clear if one uses admissible coverings:

Proposition 2.5.7 Let $C=C_{1} \cup_{\Delta} C_{2}$ be a quasi-transversal union of two smooth curves $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ meeting at a finite set $\Delta$. Denote by $g_{1}=g\left(C_{1}\right) \cdot g_{2}=g\left(C_{2}\right) \cdot \delta=\operatorname{card}(\Delta)$. Let us assume that $C_{1}$ has only finitely many pencils $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{1}$. where $\delta \leq d$ and that the points of $\Delta$ do not occur in the same fibre of one of these pencils. Then $\operatorname{gon}(C) \geq d+1$. Moreover if $\operatorname{gon}(C)=d+1$ then either (1) $C_{2}$ is rational and there is a degree $d$ map $f_{1}: C_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and a degree 1 map $f_{2}: C_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that $f_{1 \Delta}=f_{2} \Delta$. or (2) there is a $\mathfrak{g}_{d+1}^{1}$ on $C_{1}$ containing $\Delta$ in a fibre.

Proof: For the proof we use Section 2 of [EH1] (the one which works for nodal curves not necessarily of compact type). We briefly reviewed this in Chapter 1 (see also [Est] for a clear account on limit linear series on (general) reducible nodal curves). Let us assume that $C$ is $k$-gonal, that is, a limit of smooth $k$-gonal curves. Then there exists a family of curves $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$. with $B=\operatorname{Spec}(R), \mathrm{R}$ being a discrete valuation ring, such that the central fibre $C_{0}$ is $C$. the generic fibre $C_{n}$ is smooth ( $\eta \in B$ is the generic point) and there is a $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{\prime}$ on $C_{\eta}$. which as in Chapter 1 we denote by $l_{\eta}=\left(\mathcal{L}_{\eta} . V_{\eta}\right)$. where $V_{\eta} \subseteq \pi_{*} \mathcal{L}_{\eta}$ is a vector bundle of rank 2. To the family of pencils $l_{\eta}$ we can associate a limit linear series on $\mathcal{C}$ as follows (cf. [Est]): there are unique line bundles $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ on $\mathcal{C}$ such that:

1. $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ are extensions of $\mathcal{L}_{\eta}: \mathcal{L}_{i} C_{n}=\mathcal{L}_{\eta}$. for $i=1.2$.
2. If $V_{\mathcal{L}_{1}}:=V_{\eta} \cap \pi_{*} \mathcal{L}_{i} \subseteq \pi_{*} \mathcal{L}_{i}$. then the map $V_{\mathcal{L}_{1}}(0) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{1}(0) C_{1}\right)$ is injective and the map $V_{\mathcal{L}_{1}}(0) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C_{2}, \mathcal{L}_{1}(0)_{C_{2}}\right)$ is $\neq 0$. Similarly. $V_{\mathcal{L}_{2}}(0) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C_{2}, \mathcal{L}_{2}(0) C_{2}\right)$ is injective and $V_{\mathcal{C}_{2}}(0) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(C_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}(0) C_{C_{1}}\right)$ is $\neq 0$.

Note that in the case of curves of compact type. it was possible to get for each component of the special fibre one extension of $\mathcal{L}_{\eta}$ whose restriction had degree $k$ on the chosen component and degree 0 on all the other components of the special fibre: obviously we cannot expect something like this for arbitrary nodal curves. We also point out that
even in the case when $C$ is of compact type the extensions $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ may differ from Eisenbud-Harris extensions: this happens when there is some ramification at the nodes of $C$.

Let us denote by $1 \geq 0$ the unique integer such that $\mathcal{L}_{1}=\mathcal{L}_{2}\left(l C_{2}\right)$ and by $d_{1}=$ $\operatorname{deg}_{C_{1}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1} C_{1}\right) \cdot d_{2}=\operatorname{deg}_{C_{2}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2} c_{2}\right)$. Then $d_{1}+d_{2}=k+1 \delta$.

We show first that $k \geq d+1$. Suppose $k=d$. Then $d_{1}=d . d_{2}=1 \delta \geq 1$ (because $h^{0}\left(C_{2} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{2}(0)_{C_{2}}\right) \geq 2$ ). and then $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}(0) C_{1} \cdot V_{\mathcal{L}_{1}}(0)\right)$ is one of the finitely many $g_{d}^{1}$ s on $C_{1}$. From 2. we have that $1 \leq h^{0}\left(C_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}(0) C_{1}\right) \leq h^{0}\left(C_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{1}(0) C_{1}-\Delta\right)$. that is. $\Delta$ is contained in a fibre of a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{1}$ on $C_{1}$. a contradiction.

Assume now $k=d+1$. There are two cases to consider: (i). $d_{1}=d . d_{2}=l \delta+1$ which forces $l=0$ (if $l \geq 1$ once again $\Delta$ would be entirely contained in a fibre of a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{1}$ on $C_{1}$ ). hence $\mathcal{L}_{2}=\mathcal{L}_{1}$, so we have only one line bundle on $C$ which gives a degree $d+1$ map $C_{1} \cup_{\Delta} C_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$. which is case (1) of Prop.2.5.7 (ii). $d_{1}=d+1, d_{2}=l \delta$. Again, the condition $h^{0}\left(C_{2}, \mathcal{L}_{2}(0) C_{C_{2}}\right) \geq 2$ gives $l \geq 1$. hence $1 \leq h^{0}\left(C_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}(0)_{C_{1}}\right) \leq h^{0}\left(C_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{1}(0)_{C_{1}}-\Delta\right)$, which yields case (2) of Prop.2.5.7.

Theorem 2.3 provides space curves of expected gonality when $d$ is even and $g$ is odd. Naturally. we would like to have such curves when $d$ and $g$ have other parities as well. We will achieve this by attaching to a 'good' curve of expected gonality, either a 2 or 3 -secant line or a 4 -secant conic.

Theorem 2.4 Let $g \geq 5 . d \geq 8$ be integers with $g$ odd and $d$ even, such that $d^{2}>8 g .4 d<$ $3 g+12 . d^{2}-8 g+8$ is not a square and either $d \leq 18$ or $g<4 d-31$. If

$$
\left(d^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right) \in\{(d, g) \cdot(d+1, g+1),(d+1, g+2) \cdot(d+2, g+3)\}
$$

then there exists a regular component of $\operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathrm{d}^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{g}^{\prime} \cdot 3}$ with general point $\left[C^{\prime}\right]$ a smooth curve such that $\operatorname{gon}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=\min \left(\mathrm{d}^{\prime}-4 .\left[\left(\mathrm{g}^{\prime}+3\right) / 2\right]\right)$.
Proof: For $d$ and $g$ as in the statement we know by Theorem 2.3 and Prop.2.5.6 that there exists a smooth, nondegenerate curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree $d$ and genus $g$. with gon $(C)=$ $\min (d-4,[(g+3) / 2])$ and $H^{1}\left(C \cdot N_{C / \mathbb{P}^{3}}\right)=0$. We can also assume that $C$ sits on a smooth quartic surface $S$ and $\operatorname{Pic}(S)=\mathbb{Z} H=\mathbb{Z} C$. Moreover, in the case $d-4<[(g+3) / 2]$ the curve $C$ has only finitely many $\mathfrak{g}_{d-4}^{1}$ s. all given by planes through a 4 -secant line.
i) Let us settle first the case $\left(d^{\prime \prime} . g^{\prime}\right)=(d+1 . g+1)$. Take $p . q \in C$ general points. $L=\overline{p q} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ and $X:=C \cup L$. By Prop.2.2.2 $X$ is smoothable and $H^{1}\left(X, N_{X}\right)=0$. If $d-4<[(g+3) / 2]$. then since $C$ has only finitely many $\mathfrak{g}_{d-4}^{1}$ s. by applying Prop. 2.5 .7 we get that $\operatorname{gon}(X)=d-3$. In the case $d-4 \geq[(g+3) / 2]$ we just notice that $\operatorname{gon}(X) \geq \operatorname{gon}(C)=\left[\left(g^{\prime}+3\right) / 2\right]$.
ii) Next. we tackle the case $\left(d^{\prime} . g^{\prime}\right)=(d+1 . g+2)$. Assume first that $d-4<$ $[(g+3) / 2] \Leftrightarrow d^{\prime}-4<\left[\left(g^{\prime}+3\right) / 2\right]$. Let $p \in C$ be a general point. The image of the projection $\pi_{p}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ from $p$ is a plane curve of degree $d-1$ having only nodes as singularities, which means that $C$ has no stationary trisecants through $p$ (i.e. trisecants $\overline{p q} q^{\prime}$ such that $T_{q}(C$ ) and $T_{q^{\prime}}(C)$ meet), because a stationary trisecant would correspond to a tacnode of $\pi_{p}(C)$.

Pick $L$ one of the $\binom{d-2}{2}-g$ trisecants through $p$ and consider $X:=C L L$. The conditions required by Prop.2.2.2 (part 3 ) being satisfied. X is smoothable and $H^{1}\left(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{N}_{\lambda}\right)=0$. To conclude that $\operatorname{gon}(X)=d-3$. we have to show that there is no $\mathfrak{g}_{d-4}^{1}$ on $C$ containing $L \cap C$ in a fibre. $A$ line in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ (hence also a 4 -secant line to $C$ ) can meet only finitely many trisecauts. Indeed. assuming that $m \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{33}$ is a line meeting infinitely many trisecants. by considering the correspondence

$$
T=\{(p, t) \in C \times m: \exists l \text { a trisecant to } C \text { passing through } p \text { and } t\}
$$

the projection $\pi_{2}: T \rightarrow m$ yields a $g_{3}^{1}$ on $T$. hence $C$ is trigonal as well. a contradiction. Since $p$ and $L$ have been chosen generally we may assume that $L$ does not meet any of the 4 -secant lines.

In the remaining case $d-4 \geq[(g+3) / 2]$ we apply Theorem 2.3 to obtain a smooth curve $C_{1} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree $d$ and genus $g+2$ such that gon $\left(C_{1}\right)=(g+5) / 2$ and $\left.H^{1}\left(C_{1} . .\right\rangle_{C_{1}}\right)=0$. We take $X_{1}:=C_{1} \cup L_{1}$ with $L_{1}$ being a general 1-secant line to $C_{1}$. Then $X_{1}$ is smoothable and $\operatorname{gon}\left(X_{1}\right)=\operatorname{gon}\left(C_{3}\right)=(g+5) / 2$.
iii) Finally. we turn to the case $\left(d^{\prime} \cdot g^{\prime}\right)=(d+2 . g+3)$. Take $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ a general plane meeting $C$ in $d$ distinct points in general linear position and pick 4 of them: $p_{1} \cdot p_{2} \cdot p_{3} \cdot p_{4} \in C \cap H$. Choose $Q \subseteq H$ a general conic such that $Q \cap C=\left\{p_{1} \cdot p_{2}, p_{3} \cdot p_{4}\right\}$. Prop.2.2.2 ensures that $\mathrm{X}:=C \cup Q$ is smoothable and $H^{1}\left(X . \lambda_{X}\right)=0$.

Assume first that $d^{\prime}-4 \leq\left[\left(g^{\prime}+3\right) / 2\right]$. We claim that gon $(X) \geq \operatorname{gon}(C)+2$. According to Prop. 2.5. 7 the opposite could happen only in 2 cases: a) there exists a $\mathfrak{g}_{d-3}^{1}$ on $C$. say $\mid Z$. such that $\mid Z\left(-p_{1}-p_{2}-p_{3}-p_{4}\right) \neq \emptyset$. b) there exists a degree $d-4$ map $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and a degree 1 map $f^{\prime}: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that $f\left(p_{i}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(p_{i}\right)$. for $i=1 \ldots$. 4 .

Assume that a) does happen. We denote by $U=\left\{D \in C_{4}: \mathcal{O}_{C}(1)(-D) \neq \emptyset\right\}$ the irreducible 3 -fold of divisors of degree 4 spanning a plane and also consider the correspondence

$$
\Sigma=\left\{(l . D) \in G_{d-3}^{1}(C) \times L^{\circ}: l(-D) \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

with the projection $\pi_{1}: \Sigma \rightarrow G_{d-3}^{1}(C)$. We have that dim $\Sigma \geq 3$. There are two possibilities: $\left.a_{1}\right)$ There is $l \in \pi_{1}(\Sigma)$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{C}(1) \mid(-l)=\emptyset$. Then $\pi_{1}^{-1}(l)$ is finite hence $\operatorname{dim} G_{d-3}^{1}(C) \geq 3$. By using the theory of excess linear series (cf. [ACGH]) we get that dim $G_{d-4}^{1}(C) \geq 1$. a contradiction. $\left.a_{2}\right)$ For all $l \in \pi_{1}(\Sigma)$ we have that $\mathcal{O}_{C}(1)_{i}(-l) \neq 0$ and then $C$ has $x^{2}$ trisecants. But a nondegenerate curve in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ can have at most $x^{1}$ trisecants. so by picking the plane section $H \cap C$ generally we (an assume that $a_{2}$ ) does not happen either.

We now rule out case b). Suppose that b) does happen and denote by $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ the 4 secant line corresponding to $f$. Let $\{p\}=L \cap H$. and pick $l \subseteq H$ a general line. As $Q$ was a general conic through $p_{1} \ldots . p_{4}$ we may assume that $p \notin Q$. The map $f^{\prime}: Q \rightarrow l$ is (up to a projective isomorphism of $l$ ) the projection from a point $q \in Q$. while $f\left(p_{i}\right)=\overline{p_{i} p} \cap l$. for $i=1 \ldots .4$. By Steiner's Theorem from classical projective geometry: the condition $\left(f\left(p_{1}\right) f\left(p_{2}\right) f\left(p_{3}\right) f\left(p_{4}\right)\right)=\left(f^{\prime}\left(p_{1}\right) f^{\prime}\left(p_{2}\right) f^{\prime}\left(p_{3}\right) f^{\prime}\left(p_{4}\right)\right)$ is equivalent with $p_{1} \cdot p_{2} \cdot p_{3} \cdot p_{4}, p$ and $q$ being on a conic. a contradiction since $p \notin Q$.

Finally: when $d^{\prime}-4>\left[\left(g^{\prime}+3\right) / 2\right]$. we have to show that gon $(X) \geq \operatorname{gon}(C)+1$. We
note that $\operatorname{dim} G_{(g+3) / 2}^{1}(C)=1$ (for any curve one has the inequality $\operatorname{dim} G_{\text {gon }}^{1} \leq 1$ ). By taking $H \in\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}\right)^{v}$ general enough. we obtain that $p_{1} \ldots \ldots p_{4}$ do not occur in the same fibre of a $\mathfrak{g}_{(g+3) / 2}^{1}$.

As an application of all these results we give a totally different proof of the most difficult part of Chapter 1. namely Prop. 1.5.4:

Theorem 2.5 The Kodaira dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{23}$ is $\geq 2$.
Proof: We apply Theorem 2.4 when $(d . g)=(18.23)$. There exists a curve $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree 18 and genus 23 such that $\operatorname{gon}(C)=13$ (generic). Hence $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{23.17}^{2} \cap, \mathcal{M}_{23.20}^{3}$ but $[C] \notin \mathcal{M}_{23,12}^{1}$. which basically proves 3 ) of Chapter 1.

### 2.6 Miscellany

In this section we gather several facts about the relative position of certain loci in $\mathcal{M}_{g}$. The Brill-Noether Theorem asserts that the general curve of genus $g$ has no linear series with negative $\rho$. However. it is notoriously difficult to find smooth Brill-Noether general curves. We discuss whether various geometrically defined subvarieties of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ (e.g. loci of curves which lie on certain surfaces, or admit irrational involutions) might possess BrillNoether general curves.

Let us look first what kind of surfaces can a Brill-.Noether general curve lie on. Lazarsfeld proved that a general $K 3$ surface (with Picard number 1) contains Brill-Noether-Petri general curves. It seems pretty hard to obtain such results for other classes of surfaces. We have the following observation:

Proposition 2.6.1 1. A general surface $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree $d \geq 5$ does not contain nondegenerate Brill-Noether general curves.
2. A smooth curve of genus $g \geq 29$ with generic gonality $[(g+3) / 2]$ cannot lie on an Enriques surface.

Proof: By the Noether-Lefschetz Theorem. if $S$ is a general surface of degree $d \geq 5$. $\operatorname{Pic}(S)=\mathbb{Z} H$. with $H$ being a plane section. Hence any curve $C \subseteq S$ is a complete intersection. For $C \sim m H$ with $m \geq 2$. we have that $2 g(C)-2=m d(m+d-4)$. from which clearly $\rho(g .3, m d)<0$, so $C$ is not Brill-Noether general.

Suppose now that $C \subseteq S$ is a smooth curve of genus $g$. sitting on an Enriques surface. There exists, $2 E \mid$ an elliptic pencil on $S$ such that $C \cdot E \leq \sqrt{2 g-2}$ (cf. [CD] Corollary 2.7.1). In the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{0}(S .2 E-C) \longrightarrow H^{0}(S .2 E) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(C .2 E_{C}\right)
$$

we have that $h^{0}(S .2 E) \geq 2$ and $H^{0}(S .2 E-C)=0$ (because $\left.(2 E-C) \cdot E<0\right)$. Therefore $C$ carries a $\mathfrak{g}_{2 E \cdot C}^{1}$. Since for $g \geq 29$ we have that $2 \sqrt{2 g-2} \leq(g+1) / 2$. the curve $C$ does not have generic gonality:

We would like to know whether curve having an irrational involution can be BrillVoether general. We will restrict ourselves to double covers. although these considerations can be carried out for coverings of arbitrary degree. We have the following resilts:

Proposition 2.6.2 1. For $y \geq 1$. the general point of the lor $u:\{C] \in \mathcal{M}_{2 q-1}: \Xi \sigma: C \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{X} . \operatorname{deg}(\sigma)=2 . g(\mathrm{X})=g\}$ is Brill- Woether genemal.
2. For odd $g \geq 1$. the gemerml point of the lorus $\{C] \in \mathcal{M}_{2 g-1}: \exists \sigma: C \rightarrow X$. Etale. with $\operatorname{deg}(\sigma)=2 \cdot g(X)=g\}$ i. of generic gonolity $g+1$.

Proof: 1. Lsing limit linear series we fink a Brill-Noether general curre (' of compact type and gemus $2 g$. having a map of degree 2 onto a curve $X$ of compact type and genus $g$. Take ( $-4 . p$ ) a general pointed curve of gems $g$. and $R$ a shooth rational curve. Consider $\mathrm{X}:=A \cup_{p} R_{1}$. which is of gemus $g$. Let $\left(C_{1}, p_{1}\right)$ and $\left(C_{2}, p_{2}\right)$ be two copies of $(A, p)$ and $(E, x, y)$ a 2 -pointed elliptic curve such that $x-y \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(E)$ is not torsion. We const ruct a curve of compact type of gernus $2 g+1$. hy taking $C:=\left(; \cup_{p_{1} \sim x} E \cup_{y_{n-p_{2}}} C_{2}\right.$. It is straghtforward to construct a degree 2 map $\sigma: C \rightarrow I:$ take $\sigma\left(C_{i}, p_{i}\right)=(A, p)$ and $\sigma_{E}: E \rightarrow R$. the double covering given by the linear systen $r+y$ on $E$. This shows that $($ is a limit of smooth curves of gembs $29-1$ having a donble cover with 4 hranch points. The rlaim that ( is Brill-Noether genemal (i.e. it does not admit any linit linear

2. The idea is the same fo construct an untamified double cover $\sigma: C \rightarrow X$. with $X$ and $C$ of compact tye. $g(C)=2 g-1 . g(N)=g$ and $C$ having no $g_{g}^{1} \div$. This time we take $X:=A \cup_{p} E$ with (A.p) a general curve of genus $g-1$ and $E$ an elliptic curve. and $C^{\prime}:=C_{1} \cup_{p_{1}} E^{\prime} \cup_{p_{2}} C_{2}$. where $\left(C_{i}, p_{i}\right)$ are just copies of $(A, p) . E^{\prime}$ is a copy of $E$ and $p_{1}-p_{2} \in_{2} \mathrm{Pic}^{0}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$. We obtain an étale double cover $\sigma: C \rightarrow X$. by mapping $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ to A. $E^{\prime}$ to $E$. such that $\sigma\left(p_{1}\right)=\sigma\left(p_{2}\right)=p$. The proof that ( has no limit $\mathfrak{g}_{g}^{1}$ sis similar to a few other such proofs in this thesis. so we omit it. Note that this construction in the unramified case can aliso be found in [Ber].

In the previous proposition. the restriction to odd $g$ in the maramified case seems to be rather artificial. Atthongh we beliew that for a sufficiently large even of there are Brill-Noether general curves of genus $2 g-1$ mapping $2: 1$ to a curve of gemus $g$. for $g=6$ we haw the rather surprising result which we regard as a one-off:

Proposition 2.6.3 If $\sigma: \dot{C} \rightarrow C$ is an fald double coot with $g(\dot{C})=11 . g\left(C^{\prime}\right)=6$. then


Proof: Let us consider the moduli space $R_{6}$ of pairs ( $C \cdot \eta$ ) where $($ is a mooth curve of genus 6 and $\eta \in_{2} \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(C^{\circ}\right)$. We denote by $\sigma: \mathcal{R}_{6} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{11}$ the map given by of $(C, \eta):=[\dot{C}]$. where $\sigma: \dot{C} \rightarrow C$ is the etale double cover corresponding to $\eta$. i.e. $\sigma_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\dot{C}}=\mathcal{O}_{r} \cdots \eta$.

The key observation is the following resinlt of Verra (ef. [Ve]): for a general point $(C \cdot m) \in R_{f}$. there exists an Enrques surface $S$ such that $C^{\prime} \subseteq S . C^{2}=10$ herner dim. $C=$ 5). $C$ is very ample and $\eta=K_{5}$ c. Moreowr. if $(C, \eta) \in R_{t}$ is general. the Enrigues surface $S$ can be chosen generally too in the 10 -dimensional moduli pare of Entiques surfaces. By general theory (af. $[C D]$ ) $S$ contains 10 curves of gemins $1, E_{1} \ldots . F_{10}$. such
that $E_{i} \cdot E_{j}=1-\delta_{i j}$ and $C \sim 1 / 3\left(E_{1}+\cdots+E_{10}\right)$. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ be the $K 3$ cover of $S$. $\bar{C}=\pi^{-1}(C)$ and $F_{i}=\pi^{-1}\left(E_{i}\right)$. with $3 \tilde{C} \sim F_{1}+\cdots+F_{10}$. For some $1 \leq i \leq 10$. consider the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(X, F_{i}-\tilde{C}\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(X, F_{i}\right) \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(\dot{C}, F_{i} \dot{C}\right)
$$

Certainly $H^{0}\left(X . F_{i}-\bar{C}\right)=0$ and although $E_{i}$ might be isolated on $S$. when we pass to the $\dot{K} 3$ surface $X$. we get that $h^{0}\left(X, F_{i}\right)=2$, so $F_{i}$ gives a pencil on $\tilde{C}$ of degree $6\left(=F_{i} \cdot \vec{C}=2 E_{i} \cdot C\right)$. This shows that $\phi\left(\mathcal{R}_{6}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{11,6}^{1}$.
Remark: In a similar way, we can show that any smooth curve lying on the $K 3$-cover of a general Enriques surface cannot have maximal gonality, so it is Brill-Noether special.

## Chapter 3

## Divisors on moduli spaces of pointed curves

### 3.1 Introduction

For integers $g \geq 3$ and $n \geq 1$ we denote by $\mathcal{M}_{g . n}$ the moduli space of complex $n$-pointed curves of genus $g$ and by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$ its compactification, the moduli space of $n$-pointed stable curves. When $n=1$ we will sometimes use the notation $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g}=\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 1}$ for the universal (stable) curve of genus $g$.

The loci $\mathcal{M}_{g . d}^{r} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{g}$ consisting of curves having a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ turned out to be extremely useful for understanding the birational geometry of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$. One can consider analogous Brill-Noether loci in $\mathcal{M}_{g, n}$ defined as follows: if $\alpha^{1} \ldots, \alpha^{n}$ are Schubert indices of type $(r, d)$ (that is $0 \leq \alpha_{1}^{i} \leq \ldots \leq \alpha_{r}^{i} \leq d-r$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$ ). we consider the subvariety

$$
\mathcal{M}_{g, n, d}^{r}\left(\alpha^{1}, \ldots, \alpha^{n}\right):=\left\{\left[C, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right] \in \mathcal{M}_{g . n}: \exists l \in G_{d}^{r}(C) \text { with } \alpha^{l}\left(p_{i}\right) \geq \alpha^{i} \text { for all } i\right\}
$$

The 'strong Brill-Noether Theorem of Eisenbud and Harris (cf. Section 1.3) asserts that for a general $n$-pointed curve ( $C . p_{1} \ldots . p_{n}$ ) of genus $g$, the dimension of the variety

$$
G_{d}^{r}\left(C \cdot\left(p_{1} \cdot \alpha^{1}\right) \ldots,\left(p_{n} \cdot \alpha^{n}\right)\right)=\left\{l \in G_{d}^{r}(C): \alpha^{l}\left(p_{i}\right) \geq \alpha^{i} \text { for } i=1 \ldots, n\right\}
$$

is the adjusted Brill-Noether number $\rho\left(\right.$ g.r. d. $\left.\alpha^{1} \ldots . . \alpha^{n}\right)=\rho(g . r . d)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{r} \alpha_{j}^{i}$. When this number is -1 one expects to find divisors on $\mathcal{M}_{g . n}$. We will try to understand the geometry of such divisors when $r=2$ and $n \in\{1.2\}$. that is. we will look at loci of 1 or 2 -pointed curves having a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}$ with prescribed ramification at the marked points. We mention that the case $r=n=1$ has already been treated in [Lo], but as it will turn out. computations are significantly more involved in the case of 2-dimensional linear series.

Experience shows that on $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ the most interesting divisors defined in terms of linear series are those consisting of curves with certain $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ s having ramification as ordinary as possible: in general the more ramification one imposes on a linear series. the higher the slope of the resulting divisor on $\overline{\operatorname{M}}_{g}$ will be. hence it will be less relevant for understanding the birational geometry of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$. It is natural to expect the same for Brill- $\mathrm{N}_{\text {oether }}$ divisors
on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$. Which means that we will be mainly interented in the case when the $a^{\prime \prime}$ s are minimat.

For an integer $g \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ and $\geq 4$. we set $d:=(2 g-7) / 3$. so that $\rho(g .2 . d)=1$. There are two ways to get Brill-Noether divisors with minimal ramification on $\mathcal{M}_{g_{1},}$. Either we take $a=(0.1 .1)$ and then we consider the divisor of curves with a marked point that is a cusp, i.e.

$$
C l^{-}:=\mathcal{U}_{g .1 d}^{2}((0.1 .1))=\left\{[C . p] \in \mathcal{M}_{g .1}: \exists g_{d}^{2} \text { on } C \text { with a cusp at } p\right\}
$$

or we take $a=(0.0 .2)$ and then we get the divisor of curves with a marked point that is a heperflex. i.e.

$$
H F:=\mathcal{M}_{g .1, d}^{2}((0.0 .2))=\left\{(C, p] \in \mathcal{M}_{g, l}: \exists \mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2} \text { on } C \text { with a hyperffex at } p\right\}
$$

We are going to compute the classes of the closimes $\overline{C l}$ and $\overline{H F}$ in $\bar{M}_{g .1}$.
On $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g 22}$ there is only one way to pet a Brill-Noether divisor by imposing minimal ramification, and that is by taking $a^{1}=a^{2}=(0.0$. 1) to obtain the divisor of curves with 2-marked points that are both Hexes:

$$
F L:=\left\{\left[C \cdot p_{1} \cdot p_{2}\right] \in \cdot \mathcal{U}_{g: 2}: \exists \mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2} \text { on } C \text { having flexes at } p_{1} \text { and } p_{2}\right\} .
$$

We shall also compute the class $[\overline{F L}] \in \operatorname{Pic} ;\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .2}\right)$.
Although I think that computations of divisor classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$ are interesting in themselves because they enhance our understanding of families of (pointed) curves. the original motivation for studying the divisor $F L \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{g .2}$ was an attempt to prove that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{22.2}$ is of general type. It is proved in [Lo] that $\mathcal{M}_{22 . n}$ is of general type for $n \geq 8$ : since $\mathcal{M}_{23 . n}$ is of general type for $n \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{M}_{21, n}$ is of non-negative Lodaira dimension for $n=5$ and of general type for $n \geq 6$. it is natural to expect that the bound for genus 22 is some way off from being optimal. The divisor $\overline{F L}$. or some of its pullbacks to.$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . n}$ via the maps $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g: 2}$ forgetting some marked points. seemed the most likely candidate for being part of a multicanonical linear sustem. i.e. to have $K_{M_{22}} \sim a \overline{F L}+($ effective divisor $)$. for some $" \geq 0$. Unfortunately our calculation shows this not to be the case.

In Section 3.6 we compute the class of get another divisor on the universal curve $\bar{M}_{y, 1}$. This time we consider a divisor whichathongh is defined by a geometric condition in terms of linear series on curves. is different from the Brill-Noether divisors from Section 3.4. in the sense that it appears as the push-forward of a codimension 2 Brill-Noether locus in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .2}$ under the map $\pi_{2}: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .2} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}$ forgetting the second point.

For an integer $d \geq 3$ we set $g:=2 d-4$. We define the following codimension 1 locus in the minersal curve

$$
T R:=\left\{[C \cdot p] \in \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}: \exists l \in G_{d}^{\prime}(C) . \exists . r \in C^{\prime}-\{p\} \text { such that } a_{1}^{\prime}(p) \geq 3 \text { and } a_{1}^{\prime}(x) \geq 3\right\} .
$$

that is. $T R$ is the locus of 1 -pointed curven ( $(C, p$ ) for which there exists a degree $d$ map $f: C^{\prime} \rightarrow P^{1}$ having triple ramification at the marked point $p$ and at some mumarked point
$x \in C . x \neq p$. Clearly. $T R=\pi_{2}\left(. \mathcal{M}_{g, 2, d}((0.2) .(0.2))\right)$. Since $\rho(g .1 . d .(0.2) \cdot(0.2))=-2$ the expected codimension of $\mathcal{M}_{g .2 d}((0.2),(0.2))$ inside $\mathcal{M}_{g .2}$ is 2 and it is easy to see that this is also the actual codimension. hence $T R$ is a divisor on $\mathcal{M}_{g .1}$. We shall compute the class $[\overline{T R}] \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{.}_{g .1}\right)$ of the closure of $T R$ in $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{g .1}$.

We close this chapter by proving in Section 3.7 the following
Theorem 3.1 For $g=11.12 .15$ the Kodaira dimension of the universal curve $\mathcal{C}_{g}$ is $-\infty$.

### 3.2 The Picard group of the moduli space of pointed curves

We review a few facts about the Picard groups of the moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . n}$. when $g \geq 3$ and $n \geq 1$. The main references are [AC3] and [Lo] (but also [Mod]. for a very comprehensible discussion on divisor classes on moduli stacks). All Picard groups we consider are with rational coefficients: in particular we have isomorphisms $\operatorname{Pic}_{f u n}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . n}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Pic} \overline{\bar{\xi}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . n}\right) \simeq$ $A_{\hat{Q}}^{1}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . n}\right)$. Here by $\mathrm{Pic}_{f u n}$ we understand the Picard group of the moduli stack (functor). From now on we denote $\operatorname{Pic} \mathcal{F}_{2}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{y, n}\right)$ by $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g \cdot n}\right)$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$ let us denote by $\pi_{i}: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n-1}$ the morphism which forgets the $i$-th point. We denote by $v_{i} \in \operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . n}\right)$ the class on the moduli stack which associates to every $n$-pointed family of curves $\left(f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B, \sigma_{1} \ldots, \sigma_{n}: B \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\right.$ ) the class of the line bundie $\sigma_{i}^{*}\left(\omega_{f}\right)$ on $B$. where $\omega_{f}=\omega_{\mathcal{C} / B}$ is the relative dualizing sheaf of $f$.

For $0 \leq i \leq[g / 2]$ and $A \subseteq\{1.2, \ldots, n\}$. we denote by $\Delta_{i: A}$ the irreducible divisor on $\bar{M}_{g . n}$ whose general point is a reducible curve of two components, one of genus $i$. the other of genus $g-i$, meeting transversally at a point and such that the genus $i$ component contains precisely the marked points corresponding to $A$. The index set $A$ is subject to the obvious conditions $\operatorname{card}(A) \geq 2$ if $i=0$ and $1 \in A$ for $i=g / 2$. We denote by $\delta_{i: A}$ the class on the moduli stack associated to the divisor $\Delta_{i \cdot A}$. We also write $\delta_{i: A}=\delta_{g-i: A^{\prime}}$. where $A^{\prime}:=\{1 \ldots . n\}-A$. as well as $\delta_{i}=\delta_{g-i n}$. The key result is the following:

Proposition 3.2.1 (Harer, Arbarello-Cornalba) For $g \geq 3$ and $n \geq 1$ the group $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{n}}\right)$ is freely generated by the class of the Hodge line bundle $\lambda$ and by the classes $2_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and the boundary classes $\delta_{i A A}$. where $0 \leq i \leq[g / 2]$ and $A \subseteq\{1 \ldots . n\}$.

We briefly discuss now the cases that are of interest to us. i.e. when $n \in\{1.2\}$. If $n=1$ we denote by $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ the natural projection. Clearly $\iota^{\prime}=\dot{\mathcal{u}}=c_{1}\left(\mathcal{u}_{\pi}\right)$ : also

$$
\pi^{*}(\lambda)=\lambda . \quad \pi^{*}\left(\delta_{0}\right)=\delta_{0} \text { and } \pi^{*}\left(\delta_{i}\right)=\delta_{i}+\delta_{g-i} . \text { for } 1 \leq i<[g / 2] .
$$

If $i=[g / 2]$ we have that $\pi^{*}\left(\delta_{g / 2}\right)=\delta_{g / 2}$.
When $n=2$ we look at the maps $\pi_{i}: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .2} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}$ forgetting one of the points. One has that $L_{l}=c_{1}\left(\omega_{\pi_{1}}\right)+\delta_{0:\{1,2\}}$. As for the pullbacks. we will need the formulas (cf. LLo])

$$
\pi_{2}^{*}(\lambda)=\lambda . \quad \pi_{2}^{*}\left(\delta_{0}\right)=\delta_{0} . \pi_{2}^{*}(0)=\iota_{1}-\delta_{0,\{1,2\}} \text { and } \pi_{2}^{*}\left(\delta_{i A 1}\right)=\delta_{i, A}+\delta_{1, A,\{2\}}
$$

### 3.3 Counting linear series on curves via Schubert calculus

In order 10 compate the class of Brill-Noether divisors on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{g, \ldots}$. one has to figure out the intersection mumbers of such divisors with vatious curven in $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{g, n}$. Typicallys. we have to answer questions like:
 0. how mary $\mathfrak{g}_{a}{ }^{\circ}$ : with prescribed ramification at the $s$ marked points does a general spointed curve of gems $g$ hate? In other instances one has to compute the number of $\mathfrak{g}_{d}$ : s having prescribed ramification at unprescriberl points.
In each case we will solve such problems using Schubert calculus: we let our curve degenerate to curves of compact type that are unions of $\mathbb{P}^{2} s$ and have elliptic tails and no other romponents. Computing the number of limit $\mathfrak{g}_{4}^{7}$ : with special properties on such curves boils down to computations in the cohomologe rings of certain (irasmamians.

Win now outher the methord. We use as references (GH] and EH:]. While F] is a reference for general properties of Schubert cyeles in Grasmamians. Let (' be an algehraic
 the following strictly decreasing flag in $H^{0}(C, \mathcal{L} / \mathcal{L}(-(d+1) p)) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ :

$$
\mathcal{F}(p): H^{0}(C \cdot \mathcal{L} / \mathcal{L}(-(d)+1 \mid p))=W_{0} \supset W_{1} \supset \ldots \supset W_{d} \supset W_{d+1}=0 .
$$

where $\Pi_{i}=H^{0}(C \cdot \mathcal{L}(-i p) / \mathcal{L}(-(d+1) p))$. If $\Omega=\left(a_{0} \ldots \ldots a_{r}\right)$ is a Schubert index of type $(r . d)$. the condition $\alpha^{\prime}(p) \geq a$ is equivalent with 1 belonging to the Schubert eycle $\sigma_{0} \in \mathbb{G}\left(r . H^{0}(C \cdot \mathcal{L} / \mathcal{L}(-(d+1) p))\right)=\mathbb{G}(r . d)$ defined w.r.t. the flag $\mathcal{F}(p)$ (see Section 1.3 for our way of denoting Schubert cecles which basically coincides with that from [GriffHa] except that we write the indices in reversed order). For instance. $p$ is a ramification point of $l$ if and only if $\mathrm{I}^{\prime} \in \sigma_{(0, \ldots, 0.1)}$.

Let us now consider the special case $C=\mathbb{P}^{1}$. There is only one line bundle of degree $d$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. namely $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}$ ( $(d)$. Making once and for all the identification $\mathbb{C}^{d+1}=$
 in $\mathbb{P}^{d}=\mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathcal{O}\right.\right.$ ) $\left.(d) \mid\right)$. For cach point $\eta \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ we haw the flag $\mathcal{F}(p)$ with respect to which we can define Schubert cyeles in $\mathbb{G}(r, d)$.

Let $p_{1} \ldots . p_{s} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be distinct gemeral points and $a^{2} \ldots$. $a^{s}$ Schubert indices of type

 component of $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\prime \prime \prime} \sigma_{a^{\prime}}$ has codimension

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{codim}\left(\sigma_{a^{2}} \cdot \mathbb{G}(r \cdot d)\right)=\sum_{1}^{m} \sigma^{i} .
$$

and in particular $\bigcap_{1, \ldots, 1}^{\prime \prime \prime} \sigma_{a^{2}}=\emptyset$ if and only if $\sigma_{, 1} \ldots \sigma_{a^{\prime \prime \prime}}=0$ in $H^{*}(\mathbb{G}(r, d), \mathbb{Z})$. This show: that there exists a $g_{,}^{r}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ having ramification $\geq a^{i}$ at $p_{1}$, for $i=1 \ldots \ldots$. if and only if $\sigma_{a_{1}} \ldots \sigma_{n_{-}} \neq 0$.

Proposition 3.3.1 Let $a^{1} \ldots \ldots a^{s}$ be Schubert indices of type (r.d) such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=0}^{r} a_{j}^{i}+r g=(r+1)(d-r)
$$

and $p_{1} \ldots . p_{g} . x_{1} \ldots . r_{s} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ distinct general points. Then. the variety of $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r} ;$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ having cusps at the points $p_{1} \ldots . p_{g}$ and ramification $\geq a^{i}$ at $x_{i}$ for $i=1 \ldots \ldots s$ is reduced. 0 -dimensional and consists of $\sigma_{(0.1 \ldots .1)}^{y} \sigma_{\alpha^{1}} \ldots \sigma_{\alpha^{s}}$ points.

Proof: This has been basically settled in [EH5]. To be precise. Eisenbud and Harris proved a similar statement for the Schubert cycles of the form $\sigma_{(0 \ldots, 0 . m)}$ rather than $\sigma_{(0,1 \ldots \ldots)}$. but by duality we obtain the claimed statement from theirs. Just use that the dual of the cycle $\sigma_{(0.1 \ldots, 1)}$ in $\mathbb{G}(r . d)$ is the cycle $\sigma_{(0 \ldots, 0 . r)}$ in $\mathbb{G}(d-r-1 . d)$.

We will repeatedly use the following formula (cf. [GH. page 269]): if $a=\left(\alpha_{0} \ldots \ldots \alpha_{r}\right)$ is a Schubert index of type $(r . d)$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_{i}+r g=(r+1)(d-r)$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\left(\alpha_{0} \ldots \alpha_{r}\right)} \sigma_{(0,1, \ldots, 1)}^{g}=g!\frac{\prod_{i<j}\left(a_{j}-\alpha_{i}+j-i\right)}{\prod_{i=0}^{r}\left(g-d+i+\alpha_{i}+r\right)!} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another formula that we will find quite useful is the classical Plücker formula (see [Mod, page 257]): If $C$ is a smooth curve of genus $g$ and $l$ a $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ on $C$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \in C} u^{l}(p)=(r+1) d+(r+1) r(g-1) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u^{l}(p)$ is the weight of $p$ in $l$ (cf. Chapter 1 ).

### 3.4 Divisors on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}$

In this section we compute the classes of the divisors $\overline{C T}$ and $\overline{H F}$ of curves with a marked points that is either a cusp or a hyperflex. We will use Theorem 4.1 from [EH2] which gives informations about the subspace of $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}\right)$ generated by the classes of the BrillNoether divisors.

Fix $g \geq 3$ and let us denote by $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ the natural projection. Inside $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}\right)$ one can look at the subspace generated by the classes of all divisors $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . d}^{r}(\alpha)$. where $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{0} \ldots . \alpha_{r}\right)$ is a Schubert index of type ( $r . d$ ) such that $\rho(g . r . d)-\sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_{i}=$ -1 . We note that the Brill-Noether loci we consider on $\bar{M}_{g .1}$ will have exactly one divisorial component (cf. [EH2] Theorem 1.2) and possibly some other lower dimensional components. It is not known whether the Brill-ㅅoether divisors on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$. with $n \geq 2$ are irreducible or not.

One distinguished Brill- ©oether divisor on . $\mathcal{U}_{g .1}$ is the locus of Weierstrass points,

$$
\mathcal{W}:=\left\{[C \cdot p] \in \mathcal{M}_{g .1}: p \text { is a Weierstrass point of } C\right\}
$$

Clearly $\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{M}_{g .2, g}^{1}(0 . g-1)$, that is. the locus of those $\left(C^{\prime} . p\right)$ for which there is a $\mathfrak{g}_{g}^{1}$ with total ramification at $p$. The elass of the closure $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{y, i}$ has beetn computed (ef. (uk)!:

$$
[\bar{M}]=-\lambda+\frac{g(g+1)}{2} i-\sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{(g-i)(g-i+1)}{2} \delta_{j} .
$$

Anotlier divisor clase we convider on $\bar{M}_{9.1}$ is

$$
B . X:=(g-3) \lambda-\frac{g+1}{6} \delta_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} i(g-i) \delta_{i} .
$$

Here. $B . N^{\prime}$ is (modulo multiplication by a positive rational constant) the class of the pullbatk of any Brill-Noether divisor $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . d}^{r}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$. When $\rho(g . r . d)=-1$. The clans $B . X$ is offertive whell there are Brill-Noether divisors on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{y}$ and this happens precisely when $g+1$ is composite. When $g+1$ is prime, it is not clear whether $B N$ is effective (as a matter of fact. the slope conjecture (see end of Chapter 1) predicts it is not). For $g+1$ prime (in particular we can then write $g=2 k-2$ ). the effective divisor on $\bar{M}_{g}$ having the largest shope known to this date. is the clowiure of the loens

$$
\left.E_{k}^{\prime}:=\{C\} \in \mathcal{M}_{g}: \exists \mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1} \text { on } C \text { such that } 2 \mathfrak{g}_{k}^{\prime} \text { is special }\right\} \text {. }
$$

The slope of $\bar{E}_{k}^{1}$ is $\left(6 k^{2}+k-6\right) / k(k-1)(c f$. [EH3]). A general curve of genus $2 k-2$ has $(2 k-2)!/(k!(k-1)!)$ linear systems $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$. and $E_{k}^{1}$ is the locus of curves $C$ for which the scheme $G_{k}^{l}(C)$ is nomeduced. This happens when two $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{\prime} \therefore$ come together. so that we get a $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{\prime}$ with dim $2 \mathfrak{g}_{k}^{\prime} \geq 3$. or equivalently by Riemann-Roch. $2 \mathfrak{g}_{k}^{1}$ is special.

We have the following remarkable result of Eisenbud and Harris (cf. [EH2]):
Proposition 3.4.1 The Brill-Noether subspare in $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{k}, 1}\right)$ is two-dimensional, generated by the clawses $W$ and B.N.

Remark: More generally: Logan has proved in [Lo] that for $n \geq 1$ the subspace of Pice $\left(\bar{M}_{y, n}\right)$ generated by the classes of the Brill-. Noether divisors on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{y, n}$ has dimension $1-\binom{n+1}{2}$.

Wie now compute the classes of the divisors $\overline{H F}$ and $\overline{C T}$.
Theorem 3.2 Let $g \equiv 1$ mod 3 be an infegre $\geq 4$ and set $d:=(2 g+7) / 3$. We have the following relation.s in $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{\text {, }}\right)$ :

1. $\overline{H F}]=r\left(a \lambda-b \imath-c_{0} \dot{j}_{0}-\sum_{j-1}^{g-1} f_{i} \delta_{i}\right)$.
wheit

$$
a=2\left(g^{3}+7 g^{2}-10 g-166\right) . \quad b=15 g(g-2)(g-d+6) . \quad f_{0}=\left(g^{2}+9 g+23\right)(g-d+1) .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon_{1}=(g-i)\left(5 g^{2}+2 i g^{2}+3 i g+45 g-110-74-i\right) \text { and } \\
& c=8(g-3)!/((g-d+6)!(g-d+3)!(g-d+1)!) . \\
& \text { 2. }[\overline{C L}]=c^{\prime}\left((g+4) \lambda+g \imath-\frac{g-2}{6} \delta_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{g-1}(i+1)(g-i) \delta_{i}\right) . \\
& \text { where } c^{\prime}=24(g-2)!/((g-d+5)!(g-d+3)!(g-d+1)!) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark: Let us try to understand the meaning of our formulas in the simplest case. $g=4, d=5$. By substitution we get

$$
[\overline{H F}]=-2 \lambda+20 L^{\prime}-12 \delta_{1}-6 \delta_{2}-2 \delta_{3} .
$$

On the other hand. on a curve $C$ of genus 4 every $g_{5}^{2}$ is of the form $h_{C}-x_{0}$. for some $x \in C$. The marked point $p \in C$ is a hyperflex of $\left|h_{C}^{-}-x\right|$ if and only if $h^{0}(C \cdot 4 p+x) \geq 3$. This implies that $p$ is a Weierstrass point of $C$ and $x$ is one of the 2 points in the effective divisor $K_{C}-4 p$. Therefore $\overline{H F}=2 \overline{\mathcal{W}}$. and this can also be seen by comparing our formula to Cukierman's (cf. [Cuk]):

$$
[\overline{\mathcal{W}}]=-\lambda+10 \psi+-6 \delta_{1}-3 \delta_{2}-\delta_{3}
$$

As for the other divisor, when $g=4$, one finds that

$$
C U=\left\{(C, p) \in \mathcal{C}_{4}: \text { there exists } x \in C \text { such that } h^{0}(C, 2 p+x) \geq 2\right\}
$$

Our formula gives in this case $[\overline{C U}]=8 \lambda+4 \psi^{2}-6 \delta_{1}-6 \delta_{2}-4 \delta_{3}$. Note that the class of the divisor $C U$ on $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ already appears in $[\mathrm{Fa}]$ page 423 (that is the $\{\lambda . \psi\}$ part in our formula).
Proof: 1) We start by computing $[\overline{H F}]$ which is technically a bit more difficult than computing $[\overline{C l}]$.

Since $\overline{H F}=\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1 . d}^{2}((0.0 .2))$ is a Brill-Noether divisor, by applying Prop. 4.1 it follows that there are rational constants $\nu . \mu$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\overline{H F}]=\mu B N+\nu[\overline{\mathcal{W}}] . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we just have to determine the coefficients $\mu$ and $\nu$. We use the method of test curves. i.e. we intersects both sides of (3) with curves in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}$ : we write down 1-dimensional families of 1-pointed curves of genus $g$ and compute the degrees of $\lambda . L$ and the $\delta$ s on that curve as well as the degree of $\overline{H F}$. We need two test curves in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}$ which will provide two linear equations in $\mu$ and $\nu$. Since it is pretty difficult to write down explicit families of curves of genus $g$ with smooth general member. most of the test curves we use. will be entirely contained in the boundary $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}-\mathcal{M}_{g .1}$.

We obtain the first test curve as follows: Take a general curve $B$ of genus $g-1$ and
a general 2-pointed elliptic curve ( $E .0 . p$ ). We get a 1-dimensional family in $\Delta_{1} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}$ by identifying the fixed point $0 \in E$ with a variable point $q \in B$. the marked point being the fixed point $p \in E$. Let us denote by $\left\{X_{q}:=E \mathcal{U}_{q} B . p \in E\right\}_{q \in B}$ the resulting family:

The degrees of the generators of $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}\right)$ on the family we have just constructed. are as follows:

$$
\operatorname{deg}(\lambda)=0 . \quad \operatorname{deg}(c)=0 . \quad \operatorname{deg}\left(\delta_{1}\right)=-\operatorname{deg}\left(K_{B}\right)=4-2 g
$$

while $\delta_{0}$ and $\delta_{i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq g-1$, all vanish. Next we evaluate $\operatorname{deg}(\overline{H F})$. that is the degree of the divisor $\overline{H F}$ on the curve in, $\bar{M}_{g .1}$ we have written down.

Let us take $\left(X_{q}=E \cup_{q} B \cdot p \in E\right)$ a member of our family. Then $\left[X_{q}, p\right] \in \overline{H F}$ if and only if there exists a (smoothable) limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}$ on $X_{q}$. say 1 . with vanishing $\geq(0.1$. 4) at $p$. Using the additivity of the Brill-Nocther number we have that:

$$
-1 \geq \rho\left(l \cdot a^{\prime}(q)\right) \geq \rho\left(l_{B} \cdot a^{l_{B}}(q)\right)+\rho\left(l_{E} \cdot a^{l_{E}}(q) \cdot a^{l_{E}}(p)\right)
$$

Since $\rho\left(l_{E} \cdot \alpha^{l_{E}}(q) \cdot \alpha^{I_{E}}(p)\right) \geq 0$ (if we assume $p-q \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(E)$ not to be a torsion class) and also $\rho\left(l_{B} \cdot \alpha^{l_{B}}(q)\right) \geq-1$ (because $[B] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1}$ is general). it follows that $\rho\left(l_{B} \cdot \alpha^{l_{B}}(q)\right)=$ - 1. that $\rho\left(l_{E} \cdot a^{l_{E}}(q) \cdot a^{I_{F}}(p)\right)=0$ and $a^{I_{E}}(p)=(0.1 .4)$. By using Prop. 1.4.1 we have that $d-1 \leq a_{i}^{l_{E}}(p)+a_{2-i}^{I_{F}}(q) \leq d$ for $i=0.1 .2$ and there are two cases two consider:
1st case: $a^{l_{E}}(q)=(d-4 . d-2 . d-1)$ from which $a^{l_{H}}(q)=(1.2 .4)$. By $\cdot$ The Regeneration Theorem' (cf. Chapter 1). all these linear series are smoothable. In order to compute the contribution to $\operatorname{deg}(\overline{H F})$ in this case. we have to count how many points $q \in B$ there are such that there is a $\mathfrak{g}_{d-1}^{2}$ on $B$ with ordinary ramification at $q$.

At this point. one might worry about the multiplicities with which we count such linear series. It turns out that all multiplicities we encounter during this proof are equal to 1 . The reason is that if we denote by $(f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B, \tilde{p}: B \rightarrow \mathcal{X})$ the versal deformation space of $\left(X_{q} . p\right)$, then in a similar way to the proof of Lemma 3.4 from [EH2]. one can show that the variety $\mathcal{G}_{d}^{2}(\mathcal{X} / B \cdot(\tilde{p} \cdot(0.0 .2)))$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2} s$ with hyperflexes on 1 -pointed curves nearby ( $X_{q} \cdot p$ ) , is transversal to our test curve.

By standard Brill-Nocther theory. B possesses 2( $g-1)!/((g-d+2)!(g-d+3)!(g-d+4)!)$ linear series $\mathfrak{g}_{d-1}^{2}$. By Plücker's formula (3.2) each such $\mathfrak{g}_{d-1}^{2}$ has $3 d+6 g-15$ ramification points (all ordinary, since $B$ is general). We thus get a contribution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2(3 d+6 g-15)(g-1)!}{(g-d+4)!(g-d+3)!(g-d+2)!} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

2nd case: $a^{l_{E}}(q)=(d-5 . d-2 . d)$. hence $a^{I_{B}}(q)=(0.2 .5)$. Once again. all these linear series are smoothable and the contribution to $\operatorname{deg}(\overline{H F})$ we get in this case. is the number of $g_{d}^{2}$ s on a general curve of genus $g-1$ with vanishing sequence ( 0.2 .5 ) at an unspecified point. We now determine this number.

Let the curve $B$ degenerate in a 1 -dimensional family $\left\{B_{t}\right\}$ having smooth generic fibre and as special fibre. a curve of compact type $B_{0}:=\mathbb{P}^{1} \cup E_{1} \cup \ldots \cup E_{g-1}$. where $E_{i}$ are general elliptic curves. $\left\{p_{i}\right\}=E_{i} \cap \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $p_{1} \ldots p_{g-1} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ are general points. We count the number of limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}$ s on $B_{0}$ with ramification ( 0.1 .3 ) at some unspecified point
$x \in B_{0}$.
Note that in principle we could have $x=p_{i}$ for some $i$, that is. there exists $x_{t} \in B_{t}$ and and a family of 2-dimensional linear series $l_{t} \in G_{d}^{2}\left(B_{t} \cdot\left(x_{t} \cdot(0.1 .3)\right)\right.$ ) for $t \neq 0$. such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} x_{t}=p_{i}$. (i.e. the hyperflexes $x_{t}$ specialize to a node of the central fibre). In this case howerer. by making a finite base-change. blowing-up sufficiently often the nodes of $B_{0}$ and resolving the resulting singularities. we obtain a new generically smooth family $\left(B_{t}^{\prime}, x_{t}^{\prime}\right)$ and linear series $l_{t}^{\prime} \in G_{d}^{2}\left(B_{t}^{\prime},\left(x_{t}^{\prime},(0.1 .3)\right)\right)$ for $t \neq 0$. such that no ramification point of $l_{t}^{\prime}$ specializes to a node of $B_{0}^{\prime}$. The central fibre $B_{0}^{\prime}$ is derived from $B_{0}$ by inserting chains of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ s at the nodes of $B_{0}$. Since this operation (explained in [EH1]) does not change the Brill-Noether theory of the central fibre we may assume from the beginning that all ramification has been swerved away from the nodes.

Conversely. using semicontinuity of fibre dimension for the space of (limit) $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2} s$ with hyperflexes on curves nearby $B_{0}$. we find that all limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}$ on $B_{0}$ with vanishing $\geq(0.1 .4)$ at an unmarked point. are smoothable to every nearby curve in a way that maintains the hyperflex. This shows that the number of limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2 \cdot}$ s on $B_{0}$ having a hyperflex. is the same as the number of (honest) $g_{d}^{2} s$ with a hyperflex on a general curve of genus $g-1$.

Let $l$ be a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}$ on $B_{0}$ with ramification $\geq(0.1 .3)$ at a smooth point $x$. In general. from the Plücker formula it follows that for any linear series $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and for any number of points $y_{1} \ldots . y_{m} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$. the inequality $\rho\left(\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r} . \alpha\left(y_{1}\right) \ldots . \alpha\left(y_{m}\right)\right) \geq 0$ holds. Lsing this observation and that on $B_{0}$ we have $\rho\left(l, \alpha^{l}(x)\right)=-1$. by additivity it follows that $x$ must be on one of the elliptic tails. say $x \in E_{1}$. Then we must have $\rho\left(l_{E_{1}}, \alpha^{l_{E_{1}}}(x), \alpha^{l_{E_{1}}}\left(p_{1}\right)\right)=$ -1 . $\rho\left(l_{E_{i}} \cdot \alpha^{l_{E_{i}}}\left(p_{i}\right)\right)=0$ for $2 \leq i \leq g-1$ and $\rho\left(l_{\geq 1}, \alpha^{l_{\mathrm{p} 1}}\left(p_{1}\right) \ldots . \alpha^{l_{\mathrm{p}}}\left(p_{g-1}\right)\right)=0$. This means that the aspect $l_{21}$ has cusps at the points $p_{2}, \ldots p_{g-1}$. As for the $E_{1}$-aspect of $l$ there are three possibilities:

- $a^{l_{E}}\left(p_{1}\right)=(d-5 . d-2 . d-1)$. so $a^{i_{\mathrm{P} 1}}\left(p_{1}\right)=(1.2 .5)$. Then clearly $3 p_{1}+2 x \sim$ $5 x$. so $3 x \sim 3 p_{1}$ on $E_{1}$. On $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ we have (after subtracting the base point $p_{1}$ ) a $\mathfrak{g}_{d-1}^{2}$ with ramification ( 0.0 .2 ) at $p_{1}$ and cusps at $p_{2} \ldots . p_{g-2}$. According to Section 3.3 the number of such linear series is $\sigma_{(0,0.2)} \sigma_{(0.1 .1)}^{y-2}$ (the product is taken in $\left.H^{\operatorname{top}}(\mathbb{G}(2 . d-1) . \mathbb{Z})\right)$. Since there are 8 choices for $x \in E_{1}$ and $x$ can lie on any of the $g-1$ elliptic tails. using formula (3.1). we get a total contribution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
8(g-1) \sigma_{(0,0,2)} \sigma_{(0,1,1)}^{g-2}=\frac{96(g-1)!}{(g-d+5)!(g-d+2)!(g-d+1)!} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $a^{l_{E_{1}}}\left(p_{1}\right)=(d-6 . d-2 . d)$. so $a^{l_{1}}\left(p_{1}\right)=(0.2 .6)$. Then $2 x \sim 2 p_{1}$ on $E_{1}$. and in this case we obtain a contribution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
3(g-1) \sigma_{(0.1 .4 ;} \sigma_{(0.1 .1)}^{g-2}=\frac{144(g-1)!}{(g-d+6)!(g-d+2)!(g-d)!} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $a^{i_{E}}\left(p_{1}\right)=(d-5 . d-3 . d)$. hence $a^{l_{1}=1}\left(p_{1}\right)=(0.3 .5)$. Then $5 x \sim 5 p_{1}$ on $E_{1}$ and the contribution to $\operatorname{deg}(\overline{H F})$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
24(g-1) \sigma_{(0.23!} \sigma_{(0.1 .1)}^{g-2}=\frac{720(g-1)!}{(g-d+5)!(g-\bar{d}+3)!(g-d)!} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By adding (3.4).(3.5).(3.6) and (3.7). we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}(\overline{H F})=\frac{16(g-1)!\left(7 g^{2}+48 g-184\right)}{(g-d+6)!(g-d+3)!(g-d+2)!} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since from (3.3) we have that $\operatorname{deg}(\overline{H F})=2(g-1)(g-2) \mu+g(g-1)(g-2) \nu$, the equation (3.8) provides one linear relation between $\mu$ and $\nu$.

In order to obtain a second relation, we use as test curve a general fibre of the map $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$. We fix $C$. a general curve of genus $g$ and let $p \in C$ vary. For this family of course $\operatorname{deg}\left(\iota^{\prime}\right)=2 g-2$. while $\lambda$ and all the $\delta$ 's vanish. We also need $\operatorname{deg}(\overline{H F})$ which is just the number of $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2} s$ on a general curve of genus $g$ having a hyperflex at an unspecified point.

To compute this number we let $C$ degenerate to $C_{0}:=\mathbb{P}^{1} \cup E_{1} \cup \ldots \cup E_{g}$. where $E_{i}$ are general elliptic curves, $\left\{p_{i}\right\}=E_{i} \cap \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{g} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ are general points. We count limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}$ s on $C_{0}$ with vanishing $\geq(0,1,4)$ at some point $x \in C_{0}$. As before, it turns out that all these $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}$ s are smoothable and no two $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}$ on smooth curves nearby $C_{0}$ coalesce. Plücker's formula forces the point $x$ to sit on an elliptic tail.

Take $l$ a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}$ on $C_{0}$ with a hyperflex at a point $x$ and assume that $x \in E_{1}$. It is straightforward to see that $a^{E_{E_{1}}}(x)=(0.2 .4)$ and $a^{l_{E_{1}}}\left(p_{1}\right)=(d-4, d-2, d)$. from which $4 p_{1} \sim 4 x$. which gives 15 choices for $x \in E_{1}$. On the spine $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ we have to count $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}$ s with vanishing sequence $(0,2,4)$ at $p_{1}$ and cusps at $p_{2} \ldots, p_{g}$. The number of such linear series is $\sigma_{(0,1,2)} \sigma_{(0,1,1)}^{g-1}$, the product being computed in $H^{t o p}(\mathbb{G}(2, d) . \mathbb{Z})$. Since $x$ can sit on any of the tails $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{g}$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}(\overline{H F})=15 g \sigma_{(0,1,2)} \sigma_{\{0,1,1)}^{g-1}=\frac{240 g!}{(g-d+5)!(g-d+3)!(g-d+1)!} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which immediately gives

$$
\nu=\frac{240(g-2)!}{(g+1)(g-d+5)!(g-d+3)!(g-d+1)!} .
$$

By plugging in we obtain $\mu$ as well. hence $[\overline{H F}]$ too.
2) In order to compute $[\overline{C \bar{C}}]$ we could use exactly the same test curves employed for the computation of $[H F]$. but there is a shorter way of doing things in this case. Thankfully. our results do not depend on which method we choose.

We fix a general elliptic curve $E$ and consider the map $j: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+1}$ given by
$j([B . p]):=\left[B \cup_{y} E\right]$ (attaching an elliptic tail). Then $\overline{C C}=j^{*}\left(\bar{M}_{g+1, d}^{2}\right)$. As already pointed out in Chap.1. we have

$$
\left[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+1, d}^{2}\right]=f\left((g+4) \lambda-(g+2) / 6 \delta_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{(!g+1) / 2} i(g+1-i) \delta_{i}\right) .
$$

where $f=3 a /(2 g-2)$. with a being the number of $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}$ s on a 1-pointed curve of genus $g-1$ and having ramification ( 0.1 .2 ) at the marked point. By degenerating the 1 -pointed curve of genus $g-1$ to $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \cup E_{1} \cup \ldots \cup E_{g} . p \in \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$, where the $E_{i}$ are elliptic. $\left\{p_{i}\right\}=\mathbb{P}^{1} \cap E_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots g$ and $p, p_{1} \ldots, p_{g} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ are general points, we see that $a=\sigma_{(0,1,2)} \sigma_{(0,1,1)}^{g-1}$ $\left(\in H^{t o p}(\mathbb{G}(2, d), \mathbb{Z})\right)$. from which we obtain

$$
f=\frac{24(g-2)!}{(g-d+5)!(g-d+3)!(g-d+1)!} .
$$

The pullback $j^{*}$ acts on the generators of $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g+1}\right)$ as follows:
$j^{*}\left(\delta_{0}\right)=\delta_{0} \cdot j^{*}(\lambda)=\lambda, j^{*}\left(\delta_{1}\right)=-i^{*}+\delta_{g-1}$ (by adjunction), $j^{*}\left(\delta_{i}\right)=\delta_{g-i}+\delta_{i-1}$ for $i \geq 2$.
We get immediately the stated formula for $[\overline{C U}]$.

### 3.5 A divisor on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .2}$

Using results from the previous section we compute the class of the divisor of curves with 2 marked points that are flexes of a 2-dimensional linear series on the curve:

Theorem 3.3 Let $g \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ be an integer $\geq 4$ and set $d:=(2 g+7) / 3$. We have the following formula in $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathrm{g} .2}\right)$ :

$$
[\overline{F L}]=c^{\prime \prime}\left(A \lambda+B\left(\psi_{1}+\nu_{2}\right)-C \hat{\delta}_{0}-D \delta_{0:\{1.2\}}-\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} a_{i} \delta_{i:\{1\}}-\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} b_{i} \delta_{i:\{1.2\}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =6\left(g^{3}+9 g^{2}-2 g-140\right), \quad B=6(g+1)(g+11)(g-2) . \quad C=g^{3}+7 g^{2}-10 g-76, \\
D & =12 g(g-2)(g+11), \quad b_{i}=6(g-i)\left(\left(g^{2}+4 g\right)(i+2)-(32 i+44)\right), \\
a_{i} & =6\left(g^{3}(i+1)-g^{2}\left(i^{2}-4 i-10\right)-g\left(4 i^{2}+32 i+13\right)+\left(32 i^{2}-22\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \\
c^{\prime \prime} & =4(g-3)!/((g-d+6)!(g-d+3)!(g-d+1)!) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark: When $g=4 . d=5$ we have that $F L=\left\{\left[C \cdot p_{1}, p_{2}\right] \in \mathcal{M}_{4.2}:\right.$ there exists $x \in C$ such that $h^{0}\left(C \cdot x+3 p_{i}\right) \geq 2$ for $\left.i=1.2\right\}$.

Our formula gives in this case

Proof of Theorem 3.3: We detemine the coefficient: in the expresion of $\overline{F L}]$ in three steps. First. We consider the map $\bar{T}_{2}: \bar{M}_{y 2} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{g .1}$ which forgets the second point. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\pi_{2}\right) \cdot\left([\overline{F L}] \cdot \dot{\delta}_{0,\{1: 2\}}\right]=[\overline{H F}]-[\overline{C L}] . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is almost obrions: If $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathcal{U}_{4} \mathrm{P}^{1} \cdot \mu_{1} \cdot p_{2}\right]$. with $p_{1} \cdot p_{2} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$. is a general point in $\overline{F L} \cap \nu_{0:\{1: 2\}}$. then there exists $l$. a limit $g_{d}^{2}$ on $X$ having flexes at $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$. Csing the additivity of the Brill-Noether number on $I$ we have that such a linear series is refined. that $\rho\left(l_{p+1} \cdot a^{l}\left(p_{1}\right) \cdot \alpha^{l}\left(p_{2}\right) \cdot a^{\prime}(q)\right)=0$ and $\rho\left(l_{c} \cdot a^{l c}(q)\right)=-1$. It follows that $u^{l c}(q)=2$ and this happens if either $a^{l_{c}}(q)=(0.1 .4)$ (and then $\left.[C, q] \in \overline{H F}\right)$. or $a^{l_{c}}(q)=(0.2 .3)$ (and then $C . q] \in \breve{C}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. On $P^{1}$ on the other hand there is precisely one $g_{d}^{2}$ with flexes at both $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ and ranishing $(d-4 . d-1 . d)$ (resp. $(d-3 . d-2 . d)$ at the point $q$. $s$ o indeed $\left.(\pi, 2):\left\{[\overline{F L}] \cdot \delta_{0:\{1.2\}}\right)=[\overline{H F}]+(T]\right]$. We use $(3.10)$ together with Therorem 3.2 to detemnine a few coefficients in the expresion of $\overline{F L}]$.
Let us write $[\overline{F L}]=A \lambda+B\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right)-\left(\dot{\delta}_{0}-D \dot{\delta}_{0,\{1,2\}}-\sum_{i=1}^{y-1} a_{i} \delta_{i\{1\}}-\sum_{i=1}^{y-1} b_{i} \delta_{i\{1,2\}}\right.$.
Since $\left(\pi_{2}\right)_{*}\left(\lambda \cdot \dot{\delta}_{0,\{1,2\}}\right)=\lambda . \quad\left(\pi_{2}\right)_{*}\left(\dot{0}_{0,2,2\}}^{2}\right)=-i \quad\left(\pi_{2}\right)_{*}\left(\dot{\delta}_{0} \cdot \dot{\delta}_{0 ;\{1,2\}}\right)=\dot{\delta}_{0}$. $\left(\pi_{2}\right)_{*}\left(c_{i} \cdot \dot{\delta}_{0 ;\{1,2\}}\right)=0$ for $i=1.2 .\left(\pi_{2}\right)_{*}\left(\dot{\delta}_{2\{1\}} \cdot \dot{\delta}_{0:\{1,2\}}\right)=0$ and $\left(\pi_{2}\right)_{*}\left(\dot{\delta}_{i\{1,2\}} \cdot \dot{\delta}_{0,\{1,2\}}\right)=\dot{\delta}_{i\{1\}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq g-1$. from (3.10) and Theorem 3.2 we obtain the coefficients A. C. $D$ and $b$, for $1 \leq i \leq g-1$.

In order to get the coefficients of $\iota_{1}$ and $L_{2}$. we use the following test curve: fix $C$ a general curve of gemus $g$. let $p_{1} \in C$ be a general fixed point and $p_{2} \in C$ a variable point. Whell $p_{2}$ hits $p_{1}$. by blowing-up we insert a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ at $p_{1} \in C$. therefore deg $\left(\delta_{0:\{1: 2\}}\right)=1$ for this family: Moreover. $\operatorname{deg}\left(\iota_{1}\right)=1$ (the restriction of $\iota_{1}$ to this fanily is $\left.\mathcal{O}_{C}\left(p_{1}\right)\right)$. $\operatorname{deg}\left(\iota_{2}\right)=2 g-1$ (because the restriction of $\epsilon_{2}$ to this family is the line bundle $\left.\Omega_{C}\left(p_{1}\right)\right)$ and finally $\lambda$ and all the other $\delta$ ss vanish.

We now compute $\operatorname{deg}(\overline{F L})$. By the Shubert catculus we have already employed a muntere of times. we see that $C$ has $\sigma_{0.0 .1} \sigma_{10,1,1)}^{g}\left(\in H^{t(4)}(\mathbb{G}(2 . d) . \mathbb{Z})\right)$ linear series $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}$ with a flex at a fixed point $p_{1}$. By Plüker. each of these linear serim has $3 d-6 g-7$ ranification points different from $p_{1}$. thas we get that

$$
\operatorname{deg}(\overline{F L})=(3 d+6 g-7) \sigma_{(0,0.1)} \sigma_{(0) 1.1)}^{g}=\frac{(3 d+6 g-7)!!!}{(g-d+5)!(g-d+3)!(g-d-2)!} .
$$

We hate in this way the relation $2 g B-D=\operatorname{deg}(\bar{F} L)$. Which allows us to determine $B$.
We are left with the task of determining the coefficient: $a_{i}$ of $\dot{b}_{i:\{1\}}$. When $1 \leq i \leq g-1$. For this porpose we use a new test curve. Take ( $B$. $q$ ) a general 1-pointed curve of gemus ; and $\left(C . q \cdot \mu_{2}\right)$ a general 2 -pointed curve of genus $g-i$. We take $X:=B \cup_{q} C$ and consider as marked points a moving point $p_{1} \in B$ and the fixed point $p_{2} \in C^{C}$. For this family we have that

$$
\left.\operatorname{deg}\left(\sum_{1}\right)=2 i-1 . \operatorname{deg}\left(\log _{2}\right)=0 . \operatorname{deg}\left(\dot{\delta}_{g-i+1,2\}}\right)=1 . \operatorname{deg}\left(\dot{x}_{i+1}\right\}\right)=-1 .
$$

while $\lambda$ and the remaining $\delta$ 's vanish. Thus we have the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}=-(2 i-1) B+b_{g-i}+\operatorname{deg}(\overline{F L}) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the only unknown in the left-hand side is $\operatorname{deg}(\overline{F L})$ which we now determine.
We have to solve the following problem: let ( $Y_{, p}$ ) a general 1-pointed curve of genus $g$ degenerating to $\left(\mathcal{X}=B \cup_{q} C . p_{2}\right)$. There are $\sigma_{(0.0 .1)} \sigma_{(0.1 .1)}^{g}$ linear series $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}$ on $Y^{\prime}$ having a flex at $p$. Fix one of them. How many of its ramification points will end up on the genus $i$ component $B$ as $Y$ specializes to $X$ ?

To answer this we let $X$ further degenerate to $X^{\prime}:=E_{1} \cup \ldots \cup E_{y}$. a string of $g$ elliptic curves, the marked point. call it $r_{0}$ being on $E_{1}$. We assume that the component $C$ of $X$ degenerates to $\cup_{j=1}^{g-i} E_{j}$. whereas $B$ degenerates to $\cup_{j=g-i+1}^{g} E_{j}$. If $\left\{r_{i}\right\}=E_{i} \cap E_{i+1}$. assume $r_{i}-r_{i-1} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(E_{i}\right)$ is not a torsion class. Pick $l$ one of the limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2}$ s on $X^{\prime}$ that have a flex at $r_{0}$. Because of our assumptions. $\rho\left(l_{E_{i}} \cdot \alpha^{l_{E_{i}}}\left(r_{i-1}\right) \cdot \alpha^{l_{E_{i}}}\left(r_{i}\right)\right)=0$. for $1 \leq i \leq g$. By Plücker. the aspect $l_{E_{i}}$ has 8 flexes which are smooth points of $X^{\prime}$, which means that there will be $8 i$ flexes on the components $E_{g-i+1} \ldots, E_{g}$, hence finally.

$$
\operatorname{deg}(\overline{F L})=8 i \sigma_{(0,0,1)} \sigma_{(0,1,1)}^{g}=\frac{48 i g!}{(g-d+5)!(g-d+3)!(g-d+2)!}
$$

Substituting in (3.11) we have the coefficients $a_{i}$ as well. We have determined all terms in the expression of $[\overline{F L}]$.

Remark: We discuss now the case $g=22, d=17$, which as we already pointed out, was the initial motivation for computing $[\overline{F L}]$.
One tries to show that the Kodaira dimension of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{22.2}$ is $\geq 0$ by exhibiting an explicit effective multicanonical divisor. Recall (cf. Chap. 1) that on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}$ the Brill-Noether divisor $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23.17}^{2}$ of curves with a $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$, is multicanonical (modulo a positive combination of the classes $\delta_{i}$. for $i \geq 1$ ). Therefore, it seemed possible that on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{22.2}$, the divisor $\overline{F L}$ of 2-pointed curves with a $\mathfrak{g}_{17}^{2}$ having flexes at both marked points, would be multicanonical as well.

The canonical class $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .2}}$ can be computed easily (see also [Lo]): if $\pi_{2}: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .2} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}$ and $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ are the natural maps, then $\bar{K}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 2}}=\pi_{2}^{*}\left(K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 1}}\right)+c_{1}\left(\omega_{\pi_{2}}\right)$ and $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 1}}=\pi^{*}\left(K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}}\right)+\iota$, which gives.

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g}}=13 \lambda+\vartheta-2 \delta_{0}-3\left(\delta_{1}+\delta_{g-1}\right)-2 \sum_{i=2}^{g-2} \delta_{i} . \quad \text { and } \\
K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .2}}=13 \lambda+\iota_{1}+\iota_{2}-2 \delta_{0}-2 \delta_{0:\{1.2\}}-3 \sum_{A} \delta_{1: A}-2 \sum_{i \geq 2, A} \delta_{i: A} .
\end{gathered}
$$

To make computations easier to handle we introduce the following notation: for $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ divisor classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$ we write
$D_{1} \geq_{\delta} D_{2} \Longleftrightarrow D_{1}-D_{2}$ is a non-negative combination of the classes $\delta_{i \cdot A}$. where $i \geq 1$.

Our Theorem 3.3 shows that in the case $g=22$ we have that

$$
\frac{24 \bar{i}}{2} \lambda+\frac{253}{2}\left(\iota_{1}+\iota_{2}\right)-242 \delta_{0:\{1: 2\}}-\frac{229}{12} \delta_{0} \geq_{\delta} 0
$$

(the left-hatud side is modulo bonndary classes a rational multiple of $\overline{F L}$ ). Other known effective divisor clases on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{22}$ ate the following:

- The clasis of the Weierstrass divisor Wei $:=\pi_{i}^{*}(\bar{W})-\pi_{2}^{*}(\overline{\mathcal{L}})$. that is the closure of the locus of those $\left[C, p_{1}, p_{2}\right]$ for which either $p_{1}$ or $p_{2}$ is a Weierstrass point of $C$. One has that [Wei] $\leq_{j}-2 \lambda+253\left(\iota_{1}+\iota_{2}\right)-506 \delta_{0}$.
- The pullback of the divisor $\bar{E}_{12}^{1}$ from $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{22}$. that is. the closure of the locus of those $\left[C, p_{1}, p_{2}\right]$ for which $C$ has a $\mathfrak{g}_{12}^{1}$ such that dim $2 \mathfrak{g}_{12}^{2} \geq 3$. One has that that $\left[E_{12}^{1}\right] \leq_{j} 870 \lambda-132 \delta_{0}$.
- The closiare of the locus $D:=\left\{\left[C \cdot p_{1} \cdot p_{2}\right] \in \mathcal{M}_{22_{2}}: h^{0}\left(C \cdot 11 p_{1}+11 p_{2} \mid \geq 2\right\}\right.$. Onfe hat ( (f. [Lo 0 ) that $[\bar{D}] \leq_{8}-\lambda+66\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right)-25 \cdot 3 \delta_{0,\{1.2\}}$.
One can then show that $[\overline{F L}]$ is not expressible as a positive linear combination of [Wei]. $\left[\bar{E}_{12}^{\mathrm{i}}\right]$ and $[\bar{D}]$. so by knowing $\left.\overline{F L}\right]$ we really extend the knowledge of the effective cone on $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\bar{M}_{22,2}\right)$.

On the ot her hand. one sees that $K_{\mathcal{H}_{22,2}}$ cannot be expressed as a positive combination of the four effective classes mentioned before. Although our computation of $[\overline{F L}]$ provides a new effective divisor class on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{22.2}$. this enlargement is not big enough to include the canonical class. In the spirit of the slope conjecture that predicts that on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ the effective divisors of lowest slope are the Brill-Noether divisors. since $K_{\bar{M}_{22,2}}$ lies outside the Brill-Noether subspace in $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{22,2}\right)$. we make the following:

Conjecture 2 The Kodaira dimension of. $\mathcal{U}_{22.2}$ (and hence that of $\mathcal{M}_{22.1}$ ) is $-x$.

### 3.6 The divisor of curves with two triple ramification points

In this section we compute the clase of the divisor $\overline{T R}$ of 1 -pointed curves that admit a map to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ hating both the marked point and some mespecified point as triple ramification points.

Let us fix an integer $d \geq 3$ and we set $g:=2 d-4$. For a general 1-pointed curve $(C, p)$ of genus $g$ the variety of pencils $G_{d}^{1}(C)$ is an irreducible smooth surface. Among the $x^{2}$ pencils of degree $d$ there are finitely many $l \in G_{d}^{1}(C)$ for which $p$ is a triple ramification point. that is. $a_{1}^{\prime}(p) \geq 3$. Moreover. all linear series $l$ sat isfying this condition are complete. base point free and all ramification apart from $p$ is ordinary: Imposing the condition that there exists a degree $d$ nap $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ with two triple ramification points. one of which is marked. we obtain a corlinemsion 1 condition on $\overline{\mathrm{M}}_{g .1}$. We have the following:

Theorem 3.4 Let $d \geq 3$ be an integer and set $g:=2 d-4$. We hace the following relation in $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}\right)$ :

$$
[\overline{T \bar{R}}]=m\left(a \lambda+b \imath-\sum_{i=0}^{g-1} c_{i} \delta_{i}\right) .
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=2\left(18 d^{3}-39 d^{2}-120 d+290\right) . \quad b=8\left(6 d^{2}-28 d+35\right)(2 d-4) \\
& c_{0}=6 d^{3}-24 d^{2}+13 d+30 . \\
& c_{i}=2(2 d-4-i)\left(24 d^{2}+9 i d^{2}-112 d-42 i d+140+50 i\right) \text { for } i \geq 1 \text { and } \\
& m=6(2 d-6)!/(d!(d-3)!) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark: In the simplest case $d=3 . g=2$ our formula gives the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\overline{T R}]=80 L+10 \delta_{0}-120 \lambda \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a boundary class on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2.1}$. namely $\lambda=\delta_{0} / 10+\delta_{i} / 5$ (cf. [EH3]). For genus 2 one has the following interpretation for our divisor

$$
T R=\left\{[C \cdot p] \in \mathcal{M}_{2.1}: \exists x \in C \cdot x \neq p . \text { such that } 3 p \sim 3 x\right\}
$$

During the proof of Theorem 3.4 we will need the result for the particular case $g=2$. hence we will settle this case independently.

### 3.6.1 Counting pencils with two triple points

In order to determine the intersection multiplicities of $\overline{T R}$ and various test curves in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}$ we will need certain enumerative results contained in the following result:

Proposition 3.6.1 1) Let (C.p.q) be a general 2-pointed curve of genus $2 d-6$ with $d \geq 3$. The number of pencils $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{1}$ on $C$ having triple points at both $p$ and $q$ is

$$
F(d)=(2 d-6)!\left(\frac{1}{(d-3)!^{2}}-\frac{1}{d!(d-6)!}\right) .
$$

2) Let $C$ be a general curve of genu.s $2 d-4$ with $d \geq 3$. The number of pencils $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{1}$ on $C$ having triple ramification at some distinct points, $x, y \in C$ is

$$
N(d)=\frac{48\left(6 d^{2}-28 d+35\right)(2 d-4)!}{d!(d-3)!}
$$

Remarks: 1. In the expression of $F(d)$ we make the convention $1 / n!=0$ for $n<0$.
2. For $d=3$ our formula gives $\mathcal{V}(3)=80$. that is. for a general curve $C$ of genus 2 there are $160=2 \cdot 80$ pairs of points $(x, y) \in C \times C . x \neq y$. such that $3 r \sim 3 y$. This can also be seen directly by considering the map $c \cdot: C \times C \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(C)$ given by $\left(\cdot(x, y)=\mathcal{O}_{C}(3 x-3 y)\right.$. Then $L^{* *}(0)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{C \times C^{\prime}} \iota^{*}\left(د^{\prime} \wedge \dot{\sim}^{\prime}\right)=2 \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 3^{2}=162$. where $\dot{\sim}$ is a
differential form representing $A$. To get the answer to onr emumerative question we have to subtract from 162 the contribution of the diagonal $D \subseteq C \times C$. This exeess intersection contribution is equal to 2 (cf. Dij). so in the end we get $160=162-2$ pairs of distinct points $(. r . y) \in C \times C$ with $3 . r \sim 3 y$.
Proof: 1) We let ( $C . p . q$ ) degenerate to the following 2-pointed curre of compact type $\left(C_{0}:=\mathbb{P}^{1} \downharpoonleft E_{1} \cup \ldots \cup E_{2 d-6} \cdot \mu_{0} \cdot q_{0}\right)$. Where $E_{\text {, are }}$ general clliptic curves. $\left\{p_{i}\right\}=E_{t} \frown \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $p_{1} \ldots \ldots p_{2 d} 6 . p_{0} . q_{0} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ are general points. We have to count the number of limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{1} \leqslant$ on $C_{0}$ having triple ramification at $\mu_{0}$ and $q_{0}$. This is the same as the number of $\mathfrak{g}_{i}^{1}$. $s$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ having cusps (i.e. ordinary ramification) at $p_{1} \ldots$. $p_{2 d-6}$ and triple ramification at $p_{0}$ and $q_{0}$. By Prop.3.3.1 this number is $\sigma_{(0.2)}^{2} \sigma_{(0.1)}^{2 d-6}$ (in $\left.H^{t o p}(\mathbb{G}(1 . d) . \mathbb{Z})\right)$. This product can be computed using fommala ( r ) at the bottom of page 273 in $[\mathrm{F}]$ and one has that

$$
\sigma_{(0,2)}^{2} \sigma_{(0,1)}^{2 d-6}=(2 d-6)!\left(\frac{1}{(d-3)!^{2}}-\frac{1}{d!(d-6)!}\right) .
$$

2) Once more we let $C$ degenerate to $C_{0}=\mathbb{P}^{1} \cup E_{1} \cup \ldots \cup E_{2 d-4}$. where $E_{\text {, are }}$ general elliptio curves. $\left\{p_{1}\right\}=P^{1} \cap E_{\text {, and }} p_{1} \ldots \ldots \mu_{2 d-1} \in P^{1}$ are gemeral points. We count limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{2} \times 0$ ( $C_{0}^{\prime}$ with vanishing $\geq(0.3)$ at two distinct points $x, y \in C_{0}$. Let $/$ be such a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{1}$. By a standard argunent we have already outlined before. we can assme that both $x$ and $y$ are smooth points of $C_{0}$ and by the additivity of the Brill-Noether mumber we obtain that $x \cdot y$ must lie on the tails $E_{i}$. Since $E_{i}$ are general. we can assume that $j\left(E_{i}\right) \neq 0$ (that is. none of the $E_{i}$ 's is the Fermat cubic). hence there can be no $\mathfrak{g}_{3}^{\prime}$ on $E_{i}$ with three triple points. There are two cases:
a) There are $1 \leq i<j \leq 2 d-4$ such that $x \in E_{i}$ and $y \in E_{j}$. Then $a^{i_{F_{7}}}\left(p_{i}\right)=a^{l_{E_{j}}}\left(p_{j}\right)=$ $(d-3 . d)$. hence $3 x \sim 3 p_{i}$ on $E_{i}$ and $3 y \sim 3 p_{j}$ on $E_{j}$. There are 8 choises for $x \in E_{i} .8$ choices for $y \in E_{j}$ and $\binom{2 d-4}{2}$ choices for the tails $E_{i}$ and $E_{j}$ containing the triple points. On $\mathbb{P}^{l}$ we count $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{1}$ s with cusps at $\left\{p_{1} \ldots \ldots p_{2 d-4}\right\}-\left\{p_{2} . p_{j}\right\}$ and triple points at $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$. This number is again $\sigma_{(0,2)}^{2} \sigma_{(0,1)}^{2 d-6}$. so we get in this case a contribution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
64\binom{2 d-4}{2} \sigma_{(0,2)}^{2} \sigma_{(0,1)}^{2,6}=32(2 d-4)!\left(\frac{1}{(d-3)!!^{2}}-\frac{1}{d!(d-6)!}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) There is $1 \leq i \leq 2 d-4$ such that $x, y \in E_{i}$. We distinguish between two cases here:
$b_{1}!d^{i_{k}}\left(p_{i}\right)=(d-3 . d-1)$. On $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ we comnt $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{1} ;$ witl $l_{1}$ cusps at $p_{1} \ldots . p_{2 d} a$ and this number is $\sigma_{: 0,1}^{2 d .}$ (in $\left.H^{\text {top }} \mathbb{C}(1 . d-1) \mathbb{Z}\right)$. On $E$, we have to compute the number of $\mathfrak{g}_{3}{ }^{2}$ shaving triple ramification at some unspecified points $x, y \in E_{;}-\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ and which also haw simple ramification at $p_{i}$. Let us denote $\left(E_{i} . p_{i}\right)=(E . p)$. If we regard $p \in E$ as the origin of $E$. then the translation $(r . y) \mapsto(y-r .-x)$ establishes a bijection between the set of pairs $(x . y) \in E \times E-\Delta . x \neq p \neq y$. such that there is a $\mathbf{g}_{3}^{1}$ in which $r . y$. $p$ appear with multiplicitios 3.3 and 2 respectively: and the set of pairs $(u, i) \in E \times E-\lambda$. with $u \neq p \neq r$ such that there is a $\mathfrak{g}_{3}^{\prime}$ in which $u . r . p$ appear with multiphicities 3.2 and 3 respectively. The latter set has obviously cartinality 16 . hence the mumber of pencils $\mathfrak{g}_{3}^{1}$
we are counting is $8=16 / 2$. All in all we have a contribution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
8(2 d-4) \sigma_{(0.1)}^{2 d-4}=\frac{8(2 d-4)(2 d-4)!}{(d-2)!(d-1)!} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left.b_{2}\right) a^{l_{E_{i}}}\left(p_{i}\right)=(d-4 . d)$. This time. on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ we look at $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{1}$ s with cusps at $\left\{p_{1} \ldots, p_{2 d-4}\right\}-$ $\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ and a 4 -fold point at $p_{1}$. Their number is $\sigma_{(0.3)} \sigma_{(0,1)}^{2 d-5}$ (in $\left.H^{t o p}(\mathbb{G}(1 . d) . \mathbb{Z})\right)$. On $E_{i}$ we shall compute the number of $\mathfrak{g}_{4}^{1}$ s for which there are distinct points $x, y \in E_{i}-\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ such that $p . x . y$ appear with multiplicities 4.3 and 3 respectively. Again, for simplicity we denote $\left(E_{i}, p_{i}\right)=(E, p)$ and we proceed as follows: We consider $\Sigma$ the closure in $E \times E$ of the locus

$$
\left\{(u, v) \in E \times E-\Delta: \exists l \in G_{4}^{1}(E) \text { such that } a_{1}^{l}(p)=4, a_{1}^{l}(u) \geq 3, a_{1}^{l}(v) \geq 2\right\} .
$$

The class of the curve $\Sigma$ can be computed readily. If $F_{i}$ denotes the numerical equivalence class of a fibre of the projection $\pi_{i}: E \times E \rightarrow E$, for $i=1.2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma \sim 10 F_{1}+5 F_{2}-2 \Delta \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients in this expression are determined by intersecting $\Sigma$ with $\Delta$ and the fibres of $\pi_{i}$. One has that $\Sigma \cap \Delta=\{(x, x) \in E \times E: x \neq p, 4 p \sim 4 x\}$ and $\Sigma \cap \pi_{2}^{-1}(p)=\{(y, p) \in$ $E \times E: y \neq p, 3 p \sim 3 y\}$. It is easy to check that these intersections are transversal, hence $\Sigma \cdot \Delta=15, \Sigma \cdot F_{2}=8$ whereas obviously $\Sigma \cdot F_{1}=3$ and these relations yield (3.15).

The number of pencils $l \subseteq|4 p|$ having two extra triple points will then be equal to $1 / 2 \#\left(\right.$ ramification points of $\left.\pi_{2}: \Sigma \rightarrow E\right)=\Sigma^{2} / 2=20$. We have obtained in this case a contribution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
20(2 d-4) \sigma_{(0,3)} \sigma_{(0,1)}^{2 d-5}=80 \frac{(2 d-4)!}{(d-4)!d!} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding together (3.13).(3.14) and (3.16), we obtain the stated number $N(d)$.

### 3.6.2 A divisor class on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2.1}$

Here we compute the class of $\overline{T R}$ when $g=2$. We have the following:
Proposition 3.6.2 Let us consider the divisor

$$
\left.T R=\{!C \cdot p] \in \mathcal{M}_{2.1}: \exists x \in C-\{p\} . \text { such that } 3 x \sim 3 p\right\} .
$$

Then $[\overline{T R}]=80 c+10 \delta_{0}-120 \lambda$.
Proof: There are a few ways to compute $[\overline{T R}]$. One is to consider the map j: $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2.1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{4}$ given by $j([B, p]):=\left[B \cup_{p} C_{0}\right]$. where $\left(C_{0}, p\right)$ is a general 1-pointed curve of genus 2. On $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{4}$ we have the divisor of curves with an abnormal Weierstrass point. that is.

$$
D:=\left\{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{4}: \exists x \in C \text { such that } h^{0}(C .3 x) \geq 2\right\} .
$$

One knows (cf. [Di]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\bar{D}]=264 \lambda-30 \delta_{0}-96 \delta_{1}-12 \bar{\delta} \delta_{2} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $j^{*}(\bar{D})=\overline{T R}+16 \bar{W}$. Indeed. let $[B \cdot p] \in \mathcal{M}_{2.1}$ be such that $j([B \cdot p]) \in \bar{D}$. Then there is a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{3}^{\prime}$ on $X=B \cup_{p} C_{0}$. say $l$. which has a point of total ramification at some $x \in X$. There are two cases depending on whether $x$ lies on $C_{0}$ or on $B$.

If $x \in B$. then $a^{l_{0}(p)}=(0.3)$. hence $l_{C_{0}}=3 p$ (and there is a single choice for $l_{C_{0}}$ ). while on $B$ we have the linear equivalence $3 p \sim 3 x$. hence $[B . p] \in T R$.

If $x \in C_{0}$. then $a^{i_{B}}(p)=(1.3)$. i.e. $p \in B$ is a Weierstrass point and $l_{B}=p+12 p i$. On $C_{0}$ we have $a^{l_{0}}(p)=(0.2)$ and $a^{c_{0}}(x)=(0.3)$. so there exists $y \in C_{0}-\{p . x\}$ such that $3 x \sim 2 p+y$. To compute the number of such points $x \in C_{0}=C$. we intersect the curves $f_{1}(C)$ and $f_{2}(C)$ inside $\mathrm{Pic}^{3}(C)$. where $f_{3}: C \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^{3}(C)$ are given by $f_{1}(t)=$ $\mathcal{O}_{C}(3 t)$ and $f_{2}(t)=\mathcal{O}_{C}(2 p+t)$ respectively. Clearly $\left[f_{1}(C)\right]=9 \theta$ and $\left[f_{2}(C)\right]=\theta$. hence $f_{1}(C) \cdot f_{2}(C)=18$. However. we have to discard fron this intersection the point $\mathcal{O}_{C}(3 p)$ at which the condition $x \neq p$ is no longer satisfied. At this point the curves $f_{i}(C)$ have a common tangent line and using Lemma 6.2 or 6.4 from [Di] one gets that the intersection multiplicity at $\mathcal{O}_{C}(3 p)$ is actually 2 . The answer to our enumerative problem is thus $16=18-2$.

We have proved that $j^{*}(\bar{D})=\overline{T R}+16 \overline{\mathcal{W}}$. From this and from (3.17) we get the expression for $[\overline{T R}]$ if we take into account that $j^{*}\left(\delta_{0}\right)=\delta_{0} \cdot j^{*}\left(\delta_{1}\right)=\delta_{1}, j^{*}\left(\delta_{2}\right)=-\varphi$ and $j^{*}(\lambda)=\lambda=\delta_{0} / 10+\delta_{1} / 5$.

### 3.6.3 The class of the divisor $\overline{T R}$

We now compute the class of the divisor $\overline{T R}$ in $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 1}\right)$ :
Proof of Theorem 3.4: By Prop. 3.2.1 there are rational constants A. B. $C_{0} \ldots . C_{g-1}$ such that the following relation holds:

$$
[\overline{T R}]=A \lambda+B \cup-\sum_{i=0}^{g-1} C_{i} \delta_{i} .
$$

We first consider the map $o: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0 . g-1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}$ obtained by associating to a $(g+1)$-pointed curve $\left(R . p_{0} \ldots . p_{g}\right.$ ) of genus 0 the 1 -pointed curve $\left(C . p_{0}\right)$. with $C=R \cup E_{1} \cup \ldots \cup E_{g}$ and $\left\{p_{i}\right\}=E_{i} \cap \mathbb{P}^{1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq g$. where $E_{i}$ are general elliptic curves. We show that $o\left(\bar{M}_{u \cdot g+1}\right)-\overline{T R}=\emptyset$. Then by using Lemma 4.2 from $[E H 2]$ we obtain relations between the coefficients of $\overline{T R}$ : for $i \geq 1$.

$$
C_{i}=\frac{(g-i)(g-i-1)}{g(g-1)} B+\frac{i(g-i)}{g-1} C_{g-1} .
$$

Note that Lemma 4.2 as stated in [EH2] is not applicable to the divisor $\overline{T R}$. but a brief inspection of its proof shows that its conclusions are valid for any divisor on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1}$ whose support is disjoint from $\operatorname{Im}(o)$. hence for $\overline{T R}$ too.

The proof that $\operatorname{Im}(o) \cap \overline{T R}=0$ is an immediate application of Prop.1.4.1 together with Plücker's formula (3.2).

Next. we take the map $j: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2.1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{M}}_{g .1}$ sending a 1-pointed curve (B.q) of gemus 2 to $\left(X=B \cup_{q} C_{0} \cdot p\right)$, where $\left(C_{0} \cdot p . q\right)$ is a general 2 -pointed curse of genus $g-2$. The pull-back $j^{*}$ acts on the generators of the Picard group as follows: $j^{*}(\lambda)=\lambda, j^{*}\left(c^{\prime}\right)=$ $0 . j^{*}\left(\delta_{0}\right)=\delta_{0} \cdot j^{*}\left(\delta_{g-2}\right)=-\imath \cdot j^{*}\left(\delta_{g-1}\right)=\delta_{1}$ and $j^{*}\left(\delta_{i}\right)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq g-3$. Since on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{21}$ we also have the relation $\delta_{1}=5 \lambda-\delta_{0} / 2$. we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
j^{*}([\overline{T R}])=\left(4-5 C_{g-1}\right) \lambda+\left(C_{g-1} / 2-C_{0}\right) \dot{\delta}_{0}+C_{g-2} L^{\prime} . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now compute $j^{*}(\overline{T R})$. Let us take $[B, q] \in j^{*}(\overline{T R})$. Then there exists $l$. a limit $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{1}$ on $X=B \cup_{q} C_{0}$ and a point $x \in X$ such that $a_{1}^{\prime}(x) \geq 3$ and $a_{1}^{\prime}(p) \geq 3$.

If $x \in C_{0}$. then $\rho\left(l_{B} \cdot \alpha^{l_{B}}(q)\right)=-1$ and we get that $q \in B$ is a Weierstrass point. The multiplicity with which $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$ appears in $j^{*}(\overline{T R})$ is the number of $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{1}$ s on $C_{0}$ in which $p . q$ and an unspecified point $x \neq p . q$ appear with multiplicities 3.2 and 3 respectively. By Schubert calculus this number is

$$
n_{!}=8(2 d-6) \sigma_{(0.2)}^{2} \sigma_{(0.1)}^{2 d-6}=8(2 d-6)(2 d-6)!\left(\frac{1}{(d-3)!^{2}}-\frac{1}{d!(d-6)!}\right)
$$

If $x \in B$. then we have the linear equivalence $3 q \sim 3 x$ on $B$, that is. $[B . q] \in T R_{2}$.
 $\overline{T R}_{2}$ appears in $j^{*}(\overline{T R})$ is just the number of $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{1}$ s on $C_{0}$ with triple ramification at the fixed points $p$ and $q$. According to Prop.3.6.1 this number is $n_{2}=F(d)$.

We have thus obtained that $j^{*}([\overline{T R}])=n_{1}[\overline{\mathcal{W}}]+n_{2}\left[\overline{T R}_{2}\right]$. which according to (3.18) provides three new relations between $A$ and the $C_{i}$ is.

Finally we determine the coefficient $B$. It is enough to intersect $\overline{T R}$ with a general fibre of the map $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g .1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ and to divide the intersection number by $2 g-2$. The intersection number is twice the number of $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{1} s$ on a general curve $C$ of genus $2 d-4$ having two points of triple ramification. By Prop.3.6.1 this number is $2 . V(d)$. hence we obtain that $B=N(d) /(2 d-5)$.

### 3.7 The Kodaira dimension of the universal curve

At the beginning of Chapter 1 we recounted attempts by various people to understand the birational geometry of $\mathcal{U}_{g}$. in particular to compute its Kodaira dimension. Similar questions can be asked about the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{g . n}$. Obviously the problem is nontrivial only for $g \leq 23$ : since for $g \geq 24$ the moduli space. $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is of general type. the spaces. $\mathcal{M}_{g, n}$. with $n \geq 1$ will be of general type too.

The case $g=23$ turns out to be quite easy too: since the relative dualizing sheaf of the map $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23.1} \rightarrow{\overline{\mathcal{M}_{23}}}$ is big one only needs the effectiveness of $K_{\bar{W}_{23}}$ to conclude that $K_{\bar{M}_{23.1}}=\left(\cdot+\pi^{*}\left(K_{\bar{M}_{23}}\right)\right.$ is big too. hence $\mathcal{M}_{23 . n}$ is of general type for all $n \geq 1$. In the case $4 \leq g \leq 22$. Logan computed a number $f(g)$ such that for all $n \geq f(g)$ the
moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g . n}$ is of general type (see [Lo]).
We shal content ourselves with the case $n=1$ which we approach from a different angle: it is known that for $g \leq 16 . g \neq 14$. the Lodaira dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is $-x$. Is there a similar result for the universal curve $\mathcal{C}_{g}=. \mathcal{M}_{g .1}$ in this range')

The problem is amost trivial for $g \leq 10$ : the universal curve is unirational for these genera. To see this one can easily adapt Severi's argument about the unirationality of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$. This is atso remarked in [Lo]. For most remaining cases we have the following:

Theorem 1 For $g=11.12$. 15 the Kodaira dimension of the miversal curve $\mathcal{C}_{g}$ is $-x$.
Proof: We assume that $\operatorname{si}\left(\mathcal{C}_{g}\right) \geq 0$. i.e. some multiple of the canonical divisor $K_{\mathcal{C}_{g}}$ is effective. We are going to reach a contradiction with some estimates for the slope $s_{g}$ of $\bar{M}_{g}$ (see end of Chapter 1 for the definition of $s_{g}$ ).

We denote as usual by $\pi: \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ the natural projection and we have seen that $h_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}=13 \lambda+2-3\left(\delta_{1}+\dot{\delta}_{g-1}\right)-2 \sum_{i=2}^{g-2} \dot{\delta}_{j}$.

Assume there exists $m>0$ such that $m K_{\bar{C}_{g}}$ is effective. We consider the divisor of Weierstrass points $\overline{\mathcal{W}} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g}$. whose class. We recall. is

$$
[\overline{\mathfrak{N}}]=-\lambda+g(g+1) / 2 \iota-\sum_{i=1}^{g-1}(g-i)(g-i+1) / 2 \delta_{i} .
$$

Clearly $m K_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g}}$ cannot contain $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$ with arbitrarily high mutiplicity. In fact. it suffices to choose $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $a g(g+1) / 2>m$ and then $a \overline{\mathcal{W}} \nsubseteq m{K_{\mathcal{C}_{g}}}$. Indeed. otherwise the divisor $m K_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g}}-a \overline{\mathcal{W}}$ would have negative degree on the fibres $\pi^{-1}[C]$. where $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{g}$ is arbitrary and this is impossible.

After choosing such an $a$. we consider the push-forward $D:=\pi_{*}\left(m K_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{g}} \cdot a \overline{\mathcal{W}}\right)$. which is an effective divisor on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$. In particular. we have that $s_{D} \geq s_{g}$. where by $s_{D}$ we denote the slope of $D$. Since

$$
[D]=m a \pi_{*}\left(K_{\bar{c}_{s}} \cdot[\overline{\mathcal{W}}]\right) \geq_{\delta}\left(13 g^{3}+6 g^{2}-9 g+2\right) \lambda-1 / 2 g(g+1)(4 g-3) \delta .
$$

we obtain that

$$
s_{D}=\frac{2\left(13 g^{3}+6 g^{2}-9 g+2\right)}{g(g+1)(4 g-3)} .
$$

On the other hand. it is known that $s_{11}=7(=6+12 /(g+1)) \cdot s_{12} \geq 41 / 6=6.63 \ldots$ (cf. [Tan]) and $s_{15} \geq 6.667$ (cf. (CR 4$]$ ). The values of $s_{D}$ are $6.62 \ldots$ for $g=11,6.61 \ldots$ for $g=12$ and $6.59 \ldots$ for $g=15$. hence in each of these cases we have found an effective divisor on $\bar{M}_{g}$ having slope $\geq s_{g}$. which is a contradiction.

We note that there is also a bound $s_{16} \geq 6.56$ (cf. [CR4]). but for this genus we have $s_{D}=6.58 \ldots$ so we cannot conclude that $\kappa\left(\mathcal{C}_{16}\right)=-x$.
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## Samenvatting

In dit proefschrift bestuderen we de meetkunde van de moduliruinte $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ van algebraische krommen van geslacht $g$. Deze ruimte. $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is de universele parameterruimte van krommen van geslacht $g$ in die zin, dat ieder punt van $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ correspondeert met een isomorfieklasse van krommen.

Een belangrijk probleem in de algebraïsche meetkunde is de natuur van $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ als algebraïsche variëteit. Het is een klassiek resultaat dat voor $g \leq 10$ the moduliruimte $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ unirationaal is. terwijl $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ van algemeen type is voor $g \geq 24$. zoals Harris. Mumford en Eisenbud hebben bewezen. Men vermoedt dat alle moduliruimten $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ met $g \leq 22$ gedomineerd worden door regelvariëteiten, en dit laat één geval over, namelijk de moduliruimte $\mathcal{M}_{23}$, dat dan een overgangsgeval is tussen twee uitersten: gedomineerd door een regelvariëteit tegenover van algemeen type.

In Hoofdstuk 1 bewijzen we dat de Kodairadimensie van $\mathcal{M}_{23}$ minstens 2 is. We dragen verder feiten aan die suggereren. dat de Kodairadimensie van $\mathcal{M}_{23}$ gelijk is aan 2. Ons bewijs is gebaseerd op de expliciete studie van drie Brill-Noetherdivisoren op $\mathcal{M}_{23}$ die multikanoniek blijken te zijn.

In Hoofdstuk 2 bestuderen we de geografie (relatieve positie) van verschillende BrillNoetherloci (dat wil zeggen, van loci van krommen die zekere $\mathfrak{g}_{d}^{r}$ s bezitten). In paragraaf 2.4 tonen we het bestaan aan van een reguliere component van het Hilbertschema van krommen van bigraad ( $k, d$ ) in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{r}$ voor bepaalde $k$ en $d$ en $r \geq 3$. In paragraaf 2.5 construeren we gladde krommen $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ van graad $d$ en geslacht $g$ die de verwachte gonaliteit $\min (d-4,[(g+3) / 2])$ bezitten. Als gevolg hiervan verkrijgen we cen nieuw bewijs van ons resultaat $\kappa\left(\mathcal{M}_{23}\right) \geq 2$.

In Hoofdstuk 3 berekenen we de klasse van verschillende divisoren op de moduliruimten $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g . n}$ voor $n=1.2$. Deze divisoren worden gedefinieerd door meetkundige voorwaarden voor het bestaan van lineaire reeksen op krommen. In paragraaf 3.6 berekenen we de Kodairadimensie van de universele kromme $\mathcal{C}_{g}$ voor $g$ gelijk aan 11.12 en 15.
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