

Exercises, 12th December

9.1 Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, (\mathcal{A}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}, P)$ be a filtered probability space.

- a) (2 points) Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be an adapted integrable process on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, (\mathcal{A}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}, P)$. Show that there exists a unique decomposition

$$X_n = M_n + A_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

such that the process (M_n) is a martingale and the process (A_n) is predictable with $A_0 = 0$. This decomposition is called the *Doob-decomposition* of the process (X_n) .

- b) (2 points) Let $M = (M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $N = (N_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be two martingales w.r.t. $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $E[M_n^2] < \infty$ and $E[N_n^2] < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Compute the Doob-decomposition of the process $MN = (M_n N_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and describe the predictable process (A_n) in terms of the conditional covariances

$$E[(M_{n+1} - M_n)(N_{n+1} - N_n) | \mathcal{A}_n] \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

9.2 Assume that $\Omega = [0, 1)$ and let P be the Lebesgue measure on Ω . We denote by \mathcal{A}_n , $n = 0, 1, \dots$, the σ -algebra generated by the dyadic intervals

$$I_{n,k} := [k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n}), \quad k = 0, \dots, 2^n - 1.$$

Let further F be some increasing function on $[0, 1]$.

- a) (2 points) Show that

$$f_n(\omega) := \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \frac{F((k+1)2^{-n}) - F(k2^{-n})}{2^{-n}} \mathbb{1}_{I_{n,k}}(\omega)$$

defines a non-negative martingale with respect to (\mathcal{A}_n) . Thus there exists for P -almost all $\omega \in [0, 1)$ the limit $f(\omega) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\omega)$. This limit can be seen as the “dyadic derivative” of F at ω .

- b) (2 points) Assume in addition that F is Lipschitz-continuous, i.e. there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the inequality

$$|F(\omega) - F(\tilde{\omega})| < C|\omega - \tilde{\omega}|$$

holds for all $\omega, \tilde{\omega} \in \Omega$. Show that then for $0 \leq a < b < 1$

$$F(b) - F(a) = \int_a^b f(\omega) d\omega.$$

- 9.3 (5 points) Let $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{n=0,-1,\dots}$ be a filtration in (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) and let

$$\mathcal{A}_{-\infty} := \bigcap_{n=0,-1,\dots} \mathcal{A}_n.$$

Show that each supermartingale $(X_n)_{n=0,-1,\dots}$ with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{n=0,-1,\dots}$ converges P -almost sure with $n \rightarrow -\infty$ to some $\mathcal{A}_{-\infty}$ -measurable random variable $X_{-\infty}$ with values in $(-\infty, +\infty]$ P -a.s..
Hint: Prove a version of an “Upcrossing lemma” for supermartingales and argue as in the proof of the convergence theorem for submartingales in the lecture.

- 9.4 (5 points) The following result of Blackwell, Dubins and Hunt combines the Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem and the convergence theorem for uniformly integrable martingales.

Assume that $(X_n)_{n=0,1,\dots}$ is a sequence of random variables on (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) with

$$X_n \rightarrow X_\infty \quad P\text{-a. s.}, \quad \sup_n |X_n| \in \mathcal{L}^1(P),$$

let $(\mathcal{A}_n)_{n=0,1,\dots}$ be a filtration in (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) and let $\mathcal{A}_\infty := \sigma(\cup_n \mathcal{A}_n)$. Prove that

$$\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} E[X_n | \mathcal{A}_n] = E[X_\infty | \mathcal{A}_\infty] \quad P\text{-a.s.}$$

and even the stronger assertion

$$\lim_{n,m \uparrow \infty} E[X_n | \mathcal{A}_m] = E[X_\infty | \mathcal{A}_\infty] \quad P\text{-a.s.}$$

holds.

The problems 9.1 -9.4. should be solved at home and delivered at Wednesday, the 19th December, before the beginning of the tutorial.