

Preliminaries

ZF^- ("ZF without power set") consists of the axioms of extensionality and foundation together with:

- (1) $\emptyset, \{x, y\}, \cup x$ are sets
- (2) (Axiom of Subsets or "Aussonderungssatz")
 $x \cap \{z \mid \varphi(z)\}$ is a set
- (3) (Axiom of Collection)
 $\forall x \forall y \varphi(x, y) \rightarrow \exists u \forall v \forall x \in u \forall y \in v \varphi(x, y)$
- (4) (Axiom of infinity) ω is a set

Note (3) implies the usual replacement axiom, but cannot be derived from it without the power set axiom.

ZFC^- is ZF^- together with the strong form of the axiom of choice:

- (5) Every set is enumerable by an ordinal.

Note The power set axiom is required to derive (5) from the weaker forms of choice.

The Levy hierarchy of formulae is defined in the usual way:

Σ_0 formulae are the formulae containing only bounded quantification - i.e.,

$\Sigma_0 =$ the smallest set of formulae containing the primitive formulae and closed under sentential operations and bounded quantification:

$$\wedge x \in y \varphi, \quad \vee x \in y \varphi$$

(where $\wedge x \in y \varphi = \wedge x (x \in y \rightarrow \varphi)$ and $\vee x \in y \varphi = \vee x (x \in y \wedge \varphi)$).

(In some contexts it is useful to introduce bounded quantifiers as primitive signs rather than defined operations.)

We set: $\Pi_0 = \Sigma_0$. Σ_{n+1} formulae are then the formulae of the form

$\vee x \varphi$, where φ is Π_n . Similarly

Π_{n+1} formulae have the form $\wedge x \varphi$,

where φ is Σ_n .

A relation R on the model \mathcal{M} is called $\Sigma_n(\mathcal{M})$ ($\Pi_n(\mathcal{M})$) iff it is definable over \mathcal{M} by a Σ_n (Π_n) formula.

R is $\Sigma_n(\mathcal{O})$ ($\Pi_n(\mathcal{O})$) in the parameters
 p_1, \dots, p_m iff it is Σ_n (Π_n) definable
 in the parameters $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathcal{O}$. It
 is Σ_n (Π_n) iff it is
 Σ_n (Π_n) definable in some
 parameter. It is $\Delta_n(\mathcal{O})$ iff it
 is $\Sigma_n(\mathcal{O})$ and $\Pi_n(\mathcal{O})$.