

§0 Forcing Axioms Compatible with CH

The cent decades have seen great interest in forcing axioms like MM and PFA, which imply the negation of CH. In these notes we survey four axioms which are compatible with CH and have a "natural" motivation similar to that of MM and PFA. These axioms seem quite strong. They imply some of the most striking consequences of MM. Their least known upper consistency bound is a supercompact cardinal.

These notes form a "survey" in the truest sense: We say nothing new. All results are either previously known or follow easily from previously known ones. Two of the axioms we discuss - the complete forcing axiom (CFA) and the Dee-proper forcing axiom (DPFA) -

have in one form or other been known and studied for years. The other two - the subcomplete forcing axiom (SCFA) and the Dee - subproper forcing axiom (DSPFA) - are based on our recent extensions of Shelah's iteration theories.

In §2 we discuss the complete forcing axiom, which says that Martin's Axiom holds for all forcings which are complete in Shelah's sense. It turns out that these forcings have an equivalent characterization which is more familiar: A complete Boolean algebra B is a complete forcing iff it is isomorphic to the canonical Boolean algebra $BA(P)$ over a set of conditions P which is ω -closed. To show that CFA is consistent, one follows a standard construction: One iterates "all possible"

complete forcings up to a supercompact cardinal κ , using a Laver function to select the components of the iteration. We describe this in some detail, since it forms a template for the later construction. We call the resulting structure the "natural model". It satisfies $\text{CPA} + \text{CH}$. If we made GCH true in the ground model by an application of Silver forcing, then it satisfies GCH . Complete forcings are \diamond -preserving - i.e. if \diamond holds in the ground model, it will hold in the extension. Since there are complete forcings which make \diamond true, we conclude that \diamond holds in the natural model. The natural model also makes the slightly stronger form CFA^+ true. In [FMS] it was shown that CFA^+ implies a strong form of Chang's conjecture.

Complete forcings not only add no reals,
they add no countable sets of ordinals.
In [SPSC] we defined the class of sub-
-complete^(sc) forcings. These add no reals,
but among them are forcings, like Namba
and Prikry forcing, which add new
countable sets of ordinals and thereby
change cofinalities. § 3 deals with the
subcomplete forcing axiom (SCFA) which
says that Martin's axiom holds for all
SC forcings. The SC forcings are closed
under revised countable support iteration,
subject to certain standard restraints.
Using this, we again force up to a super-
compact cardinal to obtain the
"natural model". (The definition of "sc" is
modified slightly from earlier notes
so as to facilitate this construction.)
The natural model again satisfies
 $SCFA^+ + CH$, and can be consistently
supposed to satisfy GCH . Since SC forcing
preserves \diamond , we again conclude that
the natural model satisfies \diamond .

SCFA has two of the more impressive consequences of MM:

- \square fails everywhere (and Friedman's principle holds)
- The singular cardinal hypothesis holds at strong limit cardinals.

The proof is quite straightforward: We simply observe that the forcings to which MM was applied to get these results are subcomplete. Finally, we consider the application of SCFA to the following general question:

Let $X < \aleph_2$ s.t. $\omega_1 \subset X$ and $\bar{X} = \omega_1$. For regular $\tau \in X$ set: $cf_X(\tau) = cf(\tau \cap X)$. What forms can the function $cf_X(\tau)$ take?

If we assume $V=L$, the possibilities are very limited (e.g. $cf_X(\tau)$ can change only finitely often as τ ranges over the regular elements of X). One would expect the use of large cardinals to yield more flexible solutions.

That is, indeed, the case. Foreman and Magidor, by forcing over a model containing a set of measurable, obtain a model in which the measurables remain regular and in which the function $cf_x(\tau)$ can be anything we want as τ ranges over the formerly measurable cardinals. Here we show that SCFA + GCH implies an optimally flexible solution. Even SCFA + CH yields many interesting results in this direction. It implies, for instance, that, if τ is a strong limit cardinal which is regular or has cofinality ω_1 , then for any $A \subset H_\tau$ there is $X \prec \langle H_\tau, A \rangle$ s.t. $\omega_1 \subset X$, $\bar{X} = \omega_1$, $cf_X(\tau) = \omega_1$, and $cf_X(\kappa) = \omega$ for all regular $\kappa \in (\omega_1, \tau)$. We don't know whether this follows from (or is even consistent with) MM.

In § 4 we briefly consider the axioms DPFA and DSBPFA. The treatment is sketchier and we refer the reader to other sources for some of the basic definitions. DPFA says that Martin's axiom holds for all forcings which are both ω_1 -proper and ^(simply) \aleph_1 -proper. Since every complete forcing has these properties, DPFA is a strengthening of CFA. The natural model satisfies DPFA⁺ + CH and can consistently be supposed to satisfy GCH. By a theorem of Shelah, however, DPFA implies that every Aronszajn tree is special. Hence it is incompatible with \diamond . In [DSP] we generalized ω_1 -proper forcing and (simply) \aleph_1 -proper forcing to ω_1 -subproper forcing and \aleph_1 -subproper forcing. It again turns out that subcomplete forcings have these two properties, so DSBPFA

strengthens all three of the previous axioms.
The natural model again satisfies
 $DS_0 PFA^+ CH$ and can consistently
satisfy GCH . It again implies that
all Aronszajn trees are special.

Bibliography

- [A] Abraham, Uri Proper Forcing in Handbook of Set Theory Springer 2010
- [FMS] Foreman, Magidor, Shelah Martin's Maximum, saturated Ideals, and Nonregular Ultrafilters Part I in Ann. of Math. vol. 127 No. 1 (1988)
- [S] Shelah Proper and Improper Forcing Springer Perspectives in Math. Logic (1991)
- [LF] Jensen \mathcal{L} -Forcing *
- [SPSC] Jensen Subproper and Subcomplete Forcing *
- [EN] Jensen The Extended Namba Problem *
- [IT] Jensen Iteration Theorems for Subcomplete and Related Forcings *
- [DSP] Jensen Dee-Subproper Forcing *

* These handwritten notes are available on my website. (To find it, enter "Ronald B. Jensen" in Google.)