

§4 Subproper Forcing

We recall the definition:

Def Let \mathbb{B} be a complete BA.

\mathbb{B} is subproper iff for sufficiently large cardinal θ the following holds:

Let $\mathbb{B} \in H_\theta$. Let $\tau > \theta$ be regular n.t.

$H_\theta \subset W = L_\tau^A$. Let $\sigma: \bar{w} \prec w$ where \bar{w} is countable, transitive, and full.

Let $\sigma(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\mathbb{B}}, \bar{a}, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_n) = \theta, \mathbb{B}, a, \alpha, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$

where $a \in \mathbb{B} \setminus \{0\}$ and λ_i is regular

n.t. $\bar{\mathbb{B}} \prec \lambda_i$ for $i=1, \dots, n$. Then

there is $c \in \mathbb{B} \setminus \{0\}$ n.t. $c \leq a$ and

whenever $G \ni c$ is \mathbb{B} -generic, then

there is $\sigma_0 \in V[G]$ n.t.

(a) $\sigma_0: \bar{w} \prec w$

(b) $\sigma_0(\bar{\theta}, \bar{\mathbb{B}}, \bar{a}, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\lambda}_i) = \theta, \mathbb{B}, a, \alpha, \lambda_i$ ($i=1, \dots, n$)

(c) $\sup \sigma_0'' \bar{\lambda}_i = \sup \sigma'' \lambda_i$ ($i=0, \dots, n$)

where $\bar{\lambda}_0 = 0 \cap \bar{w}$

(d) $\bar{G} = \sigma_0^{-1} \cap G$ is $\bar{\mathbb{B}}$ -generic over \bar{w} ,

As before, we say that B is weakly subproper if there is a parameter p s.t. for sufficiently large θ , the above holds whenever $p \in \text{rng}(\sigma)$. As before, weak subproperness implies subproperness.

The two step iteration theorem for subproper forcing says that if $A \subseteq B$, A is subproper, and

$$\text{If } \check{B}/\check{G} \text{ is subproper,}$$

then B is subproper (\check{G} being, again, the canonical generic name).

In this section we prove:

Thm 5 Thm 1 holds with "subcomplete" replaced by "subproper".

proof

We are given an RCS-iteration $B = \langle B_i \mid i < \alpha \rangle$ satisfying (a) - (c) of Thm 1 (with "subproper" instead of "subcomplete"). By induction on i we prove:

Claim Let $h \leq i$. Let G be B_h -generic.

Then B_i/G is subproper in $V[G]$.

The cases $h=i$, $i=0$, and $i=j+1$ are again straightforward.

Let $i = \lambda$ where λ is a limit ordinal. We consider the same two cases:

Case 1 cf $(\lambda) \leq \bar{B}_i$ for an $i < \lambda$.

Exactly as before it suffices to prove:

Claim Assume cf $(\lambda) \leq \omega_1$ in V . Then IB_λ is subproper.

We again fix $f: \omega_1 \rightarrow \lambda$ s.t. $\sup f''\omega_1 = \lambda$.

Let $\theta > \lambda$ be a cardinal s.t. $\bar{B} < \theta$ and $H_i(\theta)$ witnesses the subproperness of $IB_i(G)$

for $i \leq i' < \lambda$. Let $w = L^A_\theta$ where $\tau > \theta$ is regular, and $H_\theta \subset w$. Let $\sigma: \bar{w} \prec w$ s.t. \bar{w} is countable, transitive, and full.

Suppose moreover that:

$$\sigma(\bar{f}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{B}, \bar{\tau}, \bar{\lambda}_i) = f, \theta, \lambda, B, \tau, \lambda_i \quad (i=1, \dots, m)$$

(We shall suppose w.l.o.g. that $\bar{\tau}$ codes \bar{a} , so that $\sigma'(\bar{a}) = a$ whenever $\sigma': \bar{w} \prec w$ s.t. $\sigma'(\bar{\tau}) = \tau$. This simplifies the notation.) $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ is again a sequence s.t. λ_i is regular and $\bar{B}_\lambda < \lambda_i$. \uparrow suffices to show:

Claim There is $c \in IB_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. $c \subset a$ and whenever $G \ni c$ is IB_λ -generic, then there is $\sigma' \in V[G]$ s.t.

(a) $\sigma': \bar{w} \prec w$

(b) $\sigma'(\bar{f}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{B}, \bar{\tau}, \bar{\lambda}_i) = f, \theta, \lambda, \tau, \lambda_i \quad (i=1, \dots, m)$

(c) $\sup \sigma''\bar{\lambda}_i = \tilde{\lambda}_i \quad (i=0, \dots, m)$ where

$$\bar{\lambda}_0 = 0 \cap \bar{w} \quad ; \quad \tilde{\lambda}_i = \sup \sigma''\lambda_i$$

(d) $\bar{G} = \sigma'^{-1} \cap G$ is $IB_{\bar{\lambda}}$ -generic over \bar{w} .

As before we fix $\langle \nu_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ s.t. $\nu_i < \omega_1^{\bar{W}}$ and $\langle \bar{\xi}_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ is monotone and cofinal in $\bar{\lambda}$, where $\bar{\xi}_i = \bar{f}(\nu_i)$. If $cf(\lambda) = \omega$, we will have $cf(\bar{\lambda}) = \omega$ in \bar{W} and we can choose $\langle \nu_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in \bar{W}$; hence:

(1) $\langle \bar{\xi}_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in \bar{W}$ if $cf(\lambda) = \omega$.

We again set $\bar{\xi}_i = \sigma(\bar{\xi}_i) = f(\nu_i)$. We again have:

(2) $\sigma'(\bar{\xi}_i) = \bar{\xi}_i$ whenever $\sigma': \bar{W} \prec W$ and $\sigma'(f) = f$.

As before, our strategy is to define a sequence $c_i \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{\xi}_i}$, $\sigma_i \in \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{B}_{\bar{\xi}_i}}$ s.t. $\langle c_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ is a thread in $\langle \mathbb{B}_{\bar{\xi}_i} \mid i < \omega \rangle$ and c_i forces that $\sigma_i: \bar{W} \prec W$

and $\bar{G}_i = \sigma_i^{-1} \ast c_i$ is $\mathbb{B}_{\bar{\xi}_i}$ -generic over \bar{W} , where $G_i \equiv c_i$ is $\mathbb{B}_{\bar{\xi}_i}$ -generic and $\sigma_i = \sigma_i^* G_i$.

We then set $c = \bigcap_i c_i$. We require enough pointwise coherence between the σ_i that we can again define $\sigma': \bar{W} \prec W$ by:

$$\sigma'(x) =_{\text{pt}} \sigma_i(x) \text{ for sufficiently large } i,$$

where $\sigma_i = \sigma_i^* G$ and $G \ni c$ is \mathbb{B}_λ -generic.

We also build enough pointwise coherence into the construction to get

(b), (c) of the Claim and:

-5-

(d') $\bar{G}_i = \sigma^{-1} G_i$ is $\bar{B}_{\bar{\xi}_i}$ -generic over \bar{W} ($i < \omega$).

There are, however, two new problems which we must address:

Problem 1 We must ensure $c \subset a = \sigma(\bar{a})$.

Problem 2 We must ensure that (d') will imply: (d) $\bar{G} = \sigma^{-1} G$ is \bar{B}_X -generic over \bar{W} .

Problem 1 is the easier one. We fix an $\bar{a}' \subset \bar{a}$ s.t. $\bar{a}' \in X$, where X is a dense set in \bar{B}_X defined as follows:

Case A $cf(\lambda) = \omega$.

Then $cf(\bar{\lambda}) = \omega$ in \bar{W} and, in fact, $\langle \bar{\xi}_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in \bar{W}$. Hence the set X of $b = \bigcap_{i < \omega} b_i$ s.t. $\langle b_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in \bar{W}$ is a thread in $\langle \bar{B}_{\bar{\xi}_i} \mid i < \omega \rangle$ is dense in \bar{B}_X .

Thus $b = \bigcap_{i < \omega} h_{\bar{\xi}_i}(b)$ for $b \in X$.

Case B $cf(\lambda) = \omega_1$

Then $cf(\bar{\lambda}) = \omega_1$ in \bar{W} . Hence $X = \bigcup_{i < \bar{\lambda}} \bar{B}_i \setminus \{0\}$ is dense in \bar{B}_X . But $b = h_{\bar{\xi}_i}(b)$ for a sufficiently large i for $b \in X$.

Thus, in either case, we need only to fix an $\bar{a}' \subset \bar{a}$ s.t. $\bar{a}' \in X$ and ensure that $c_i \subset h_{\bar{\xi}_i}(\bar{a}')$ where $\bar{a}' = \sigma(\bar{a}')$.

We now consider Problem 2. Let X be the dense set in \bar{B}_λ define in Case A or B. We define:

Def By a master sequence for \bar{W} we mean a sequence $\langle b_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ s.t.

(a) $b_i \in X$, $b_i < b_h$ and $h_{\bar{z}_h}^-(b_i) = h_{\bar{z}_h}^-(b_h)$ for $h \leq i$

(b) Whenever $G \subset \bar{B}_\lambda$ is an ultrafilter s.t.

$G \cap \bar{B}_{\bar{z}_i}^-$ is $\bar{B}_{\bar{z}_i}^-$ -generic over \bar{W} for $i < \omega$ and $\{b_i \mid i < \omega\} \subset G$, then G is \bar{B}_λ -generic over \bar{W} .

There are many master sequences (though we cannot, of course, expect to find one which is an element of \bar{W}). We prove:

(3) There is a master sequence $\langle b_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ s.t. $b_0 \in \bar{a}$.

As a preliminary we show:

(4) Let $b \in X$. Let $\Delta \in \bar{W}$ be strongly dense in \bar{B}_λ . There is $b' \in X$ s.t. $b' < b$, $h_{\bar{z}_i}^-(b') = h_{\bar{z}_i}^-(b)$ and the set $\Delta^b = \{a \in \bar{B}_{\bar{z}_i}^- \mid a \wedge b' \in \Delta\}$ is dense below $h_{\bar{z}_i}^-(b)$ in $\bar{B}_{\bar{z}_i}^-$.

proof.

The set $\{h_{\bar{z}_i}^-(b') \mid b' < b \wedge b' \in \Delta\}$ is certainly dense below $h_{\bar{z}_i}^-(b)$ in $\bar{B}_{\bar{z}_i}^-$. Let A be a maximal antichain in this set. Then A is predense below $h_{\bar{z}_i}^-(b)$. For $a \in A$ choose $b_a < b$ s.t. $b_a \in \Delta$ and $h_{\bar{z}_i}^-(b_a) = a$. Set: $b' = \bigcup_{a \in A} b_a$. Then b' has the desired property. QED (4)

(3) Then follows easily: Let $(\Delta_i | i < \omega)$ enumerate the $\Delta \in \bar{W}$ which are π -strongly dense in \bar{B}_X . Successively pick b_i s.t. $b_0 = \bar{a}$, $b_{i+1} \subset b_i$, $h_{\bar{\Sigma}_i}(b_{i+1}) = h_{\bar{\Sigma}_i}(b_i)$ and Δ_i^c is dense below $h_{\bar{\Sigma}_i}(b_i)$ in $\bar{B}_{\bar{\Sigma}_i}$. Let $G \subset \bar{B}_X$ be as above. Let $\Delta = \Delta_i$ be π -strongly dense in \bar{B}_X . Then $\Delta \cap G_i \neq \emptyset$ where $G_i = G \cap \bar{B}_{\bar{\Sigma}_i}$. Let $a \in \Delta \cap G_i$. Then $a \cap b_i \in G \cap \Delta$. QED(3)

We now fix a master sequence $(\bar{b}_i | i < \omega)$ s.t. $\bar{b}_0 \subset \bar{a}$. Set $\bar{b}_i^d = h_{\bar{\Sigma}_i}(\bar{b}_i)$. In our construction we shall enforce that if $G_i \ni c_i$ is $\bar{B}_{\bar{\Sigma}_i}$ -generic and $\sigma_h = \sigma_h^i G_i$ for $h \leq i$, then $\sigma_h(\bar{b}_h) = \sigma_i(\bar{b}_h)$ for $h \leq i$ and $\sigma_i(\bar{b}_i^d) \in G_i$. Then at the end we shall have $\sigma_i(\bar{b}_i^d) \in G$ for $i < \omega$.

But $\bar{b}_j^d \subset \bar{b}_i^d$ for $i \leq j$. Hence:

$\sigma_j(\bar{b}_i^d) \in G$ for $j \geq i$. At Case A holds,

we then have $\sigma'(\bar{b}_i) = \sigma'(\bigcap_{j \geq i} \bar{b}_i^d) =$

$\bigcap_{j \geq i} \sigma'(\bar{b}_i^d) \in G$ by genericity,

At Case B holds, there is j s.t. $\bar{b}_i = \bar{b}_j^d$ & hence $\sigma'(\bar{b}_i) \in G$. Hence $\{\bar{b}_i | i < \omega\} \subset$

$C \bar{G} = \sigma'^{-1} G$, which will guarantee the \bar{B}_X -genericity of \bar{G} over \bar{W} .

From now on let $\langle \bar{b}_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ be a fixed master sequence s.t. $\bar{b}_0 \subset \bar{a}$ and $\langle x_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ a fixed enumeration of \bar{W} with infinite repetition.

(*) we construct $c_k \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{z}_k}$, $\sigma_k \in V^{\mathbb{B}_{\bar{z}_k}}$ s.t. $\langle c_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ is a thread in $\langle \mathbb{B}_{\bar{z}_i} \mid i < \omega \rangle$ and:

(*) Let $G_k \ni c_k$ be $\mathbb{B}_{\bar{z}_k}$ -generic. Set:

$$\sigma_i = \sigma_j^* G_k = \sigma_i^* G_i \quad \text{for } i \leq k \text{ where } G_i = G_k \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{z}_i}$$

Then, $\sigma_0 = \sigma$ and

(a) $\sigma_k : \bar{W} \prec W$

(b) $\sigma_k(\bar{f}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mathbb{B}}, \bar{a}, \bar{\lambda}_i) = f_i, \theta_i, \lambda_i, \mathbb{B}_i, a_i, \lambda_i \quad (i=1, m, n)$

(c) $\sup \sigma_k \bar{\lambda}_i = \tilde{\lambda}_i \quad (i=0, m, n)$

where $\bar{\lambda}_0 = 0_m \cap \bar{W}$, $\tilde{\lambda}_i = \sup \sigma \bar{\lambda}_i$

(d) $\bar{G}_k = \sigma_k^{-1} G_k$ is $\mathbb{B}_{\bar{z}_k}$ -generic over \bar{W}

(f) $\sigma_k(x_\ell, \bar{b}_\ell, d_\ell) = \sigma_\ell(x_\ell, \bar{b}_\ell, d_\ell)$ for $\ell \leq k$,

where $d_\ell = \begin{cases} \text{the } \bar{W}\text{-least } d \in \bar{G}_\ell \cap x_\ell & \text{if} \\ \bar{G}_\ell \cap x_\ell \neq \emptyset; \\ 0 & \text{if not} \end{cases}$

(g) Let $i=0, m, n, k=i+1$ s.t.

$$\sigma_i(\bar{z}_m^i) \leq \bar{z}_k^i < \sigma_i(\bar{z}_{m+1}^i)$$

Then $\sigma_k(\bar{z}_\ell^i) = \sigma_i(\bar{z}_\ell^i)$ for $\ell \leq m+1$

(h) $\sigma_k(h_{\bar{z}_k}(\bar{b}_k)) \in G_k$

We first show that (*) implies the claim.

Let $c = \bigcap_i c_i$. Then $c \in \mathbb{B}_X \subset \mathbb{B}_\lambda$. Let $G \ni c$ be

\mathbb{B}_λ -generic. Set $\sigma_i = \sigma_i^* G = \sigma_i^* G_i$, where

$G_i = G \cap \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{F}_i}$. By (f) we can define

$\sigma' : \bar{W} \rightarrow W$ by:

$\sigma'(x) = \sigma_\ell(x)$ for sufficiently large ℓ .

(a), (b) of the claim are immediate. (c) follows exactly as in the proof of Thm 1. It remains to show:

(d) $\bar{G} = \sigma'^{-1} G$ is $\bar{\mathbb{B}}_X$ -generic over \bar{W} .

We first show:

(5) $\bar{G}_i = \sigma'^{-1} G$ is $\bar{\mathbb{B}}_{\mathbb{F}_i}$ -generic over \bar{W} .

\bar{G}_i is obviously an ultrafilter on $\bar{\mathbb{B}}_{\mathbb{F}_i}$.

Let $\Delta \in \bar{W}$ be strongly dense in $\bar{\mathbb{B}}_{\mathbb{F}_i}$.

Claim $\Delta \cap \bar{G}_i = \emptyset$

Let $j \geq i$ s.t. $\Delta = \mathcal{X}_j$. Then $\Delta \cap \sigma_j^{-1} G_j \neq \emptyset$,

$(\sigma_j^{-1} G_j) \cap \bar{\mathbb{B}}_{\mathbb{F}_i}$ is $\bar{\mathbb{B}}_{\mathbb{F}_i}$ -generic. Hence

$\sigma_j(d_j) \in G_j$ and $d_j \in \Delta = \mathcal{X}_j$. But $\sigma_\ell(d_j) =$

$= \sigma_j(d_j)$ for $j \leq \ell$. Hence $\sigma'(d_j) = \sigma_j(d_j) \in G_j$.

Hence $d_j \in \bar{G}_i \cap \Delta$. QED (5)

But $\sigma_j(h_{\mathbb{F}_i}(\bar{b}_j)) \in G_j$ for all j . By the

argument sketched above we then

have: $\sigma_j(\bar{b}_j) \in G$ and hence

$\bar{b}_j \in \bar{G}$ for $j < \omega$. \bar{G} is obviously an ultrafilter.
 Hence \bar{G} is \bar{B}_λ -generic over \bar{W} , since
 $\langle \bar{b}_j : j < \omega \rangle$ is a master sequence. Note that
 $\bar{a} \in \bar{G}$ since $\bar{b}_0 \subset \bar{a}$. Hence $a \in G$. Since this
 holds for every \bar{B}_λ -generic $G \in C$, we conclude
 that $c \subset a$. QED (Claim)

It remains only to construct $\hat{\sigma}_k, \hat{c}_k$ and to
 verify (*). The construction is virtually the
 same as in Thm 1. We again proceed by
 induction on k . For $k=0$ set: $c_0 = 1, \hat{\sigma}_0 = \hat{\sigma}$.
 Now let $k = j+1$. The construction is essentially
 a careful repeat of the proof of the two
 step iteration theorem.

Let $G_j \in C_j$ be $\bar{B}_{\bar{\lambda}_j}$ -generic. Then $\sigma_j = \hat{\sigma}_j \upharpoonright G_j$
 extends uniquely to a $\sigma_j^* : \bar{W}[G_j] \prec W[G_j]$
 s.t. $\sigma_j^*(\bar{G}_j) = G_j$, where $\bar{G}_j = \sigma_j^{-1} \upharpoonright G_j$.

But $\bar{B}' = \bar{B}_{\bar{\lambda}_j} / G_j$ is subproper in $V[G_j]$.
 Hence there is $c' \in \bar{B}' \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. whenever
 $G' \in c'$ is \bar{B}' -generic, then there is a $\sigma' \in$
 $V[G_j][G']$ s.t.

(a) $\sigma' : \bar{W}[\bar{G}_j] \prec W[G_j], \sigma'(\bar{G}_j) = G_j$

(b) $\sigma'(f, \bar{e}, \bar{x}, \bar{B}, \bar{a}, \bar{\lambda}_i) = f, e, \lambda, B, a, \lambda_i$
 ($i = 1, \dots, m$)

(c) $\sup \sigma' \upharpoonright \bar{\lambda}_i = \bar{\lambda}_i$ ($i = 0, \dots, m$)

(d) $\bar{G}' = \sigma'^{-1} \upharpoonright G'$ is $\bar{B}'_{\bar{\lambda}_j}$ -generic over $\bar{W}[\bar{G}_j]$

Moreover, since any finite pointwise coherence of the embeddings σ_j^* , σ' can be enforced, we may require:

$$(e) \sigma'(x_\ell, \bar{b}_\ell, d_\ell) = \sigma_j(x_\ell, \bar{b}_\ell, d_\ell) \text{ for } \ell < k$$

where $d_\ell = \begin{cases} \text{the } \bar{w}\text{-limit } d \in x_\ell \text{ s.t. } \sigma_\ell(d) \in G_\ell \\ \text{if this exists;} \\ 0 \text{ if not.} \end{cases}$

$$(f) \text{ Let } i = 0, m, n \text{ s.t. } \sigma_j(\bar{z}_m^i) \leq \bar{z}_k^i < \sigma_j(\bar{z}_{m+1}^i).$$

Then $\sigma'(\bar{z}_\ell^i) = \sigma_j(\bar{z}_\ell^i)$ for $\ell \leq m+1$.

$$(g) \sigma'(h_{\bar{z}_k}(\bar{b}_k)) = \sigma_j(h_{\bar{z}_k}(\bar{b}_k))$$

$$(h) \sigma_j(h_{\bar{z}_k}(\bar{b}_k)) / G_j \in G'$$

(To enforce (h) we pick $c' \subset b' = \sigma_j(h_{\bar{z}_k}(\bar{b}_k)) / G_j = \sigma_j^*(h_{\bar{z}_k}(\bar{b}_k) / \bar{G}_j)$. We know that $b' \neq 0$, since $h_{\bar{z}_j}, h_{\bar{z}_k}(\bar{b}_k) = h_{\bar{z}_j}(\bar{b}_j) \in \bar{G}_j$.)

Set $G = G_j * G' = \{b \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{z}_k} \mid b / G_j \in G'\}$. Then G

is $\mathbb{B}_{\bar{z}_k}$ -generic. Similarly $\bar{G} = \bar{G}_j * \bar{G}$ is

$\bar{\mathbb{B}}_{\bar{z}_k}$ -generic over \bar{w} . Setting $\sigma_k = \sigma' \upharpoonright \bar{w}$,

we have: $\bar{G} = \sigma_k^{-1} \upharpoonright \bar{w} G$. It follows easily

from (a)-(g) that σ_k satisfies (*) (a)-(h)

with $G = G_j * G'$. But the fact that

there is a $c' \in \mathbb{B}'$ forcing the existence of such a σ_k is forced by c_j .

Thus we may w.l.o.g. take

$c' = c \cdot G_i$, where $c' = c \cdot G_i$ forces this conclusion over $V[G_i]$ whenever $G_i \ni c_i$ is $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Z}_i}$ -generic. We may also assume w.l.o.g. that $\llbracket c \neq 0 \rrbracket = c_i$.

Then $\Vdash c \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Z}_k}$. Hence there is a unique

$c_k \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Z}_k}$ s.t. $\Vdash c_k / G_i = c$. But then

$\mathbb{h}_{\mathbb{Z}_i}(c_k) = \llbracket c_k / G_i \neq 0 \rrbracket = c_i$. At $G \ni c_i$ is

$\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Z}_k}$ -generic, then $c_i \in G_k$ and

$c_k / G_i = c \cdot G_i \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Z}_k} / G_i$ where $G_i = G \cap \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Z}_i}$.

Set $G' = G / G_i = \text{nt} \{ b / G_i \mid b \in G \}$. Then G'

is $\mathbb{B}' = \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Z}_k} / G_i$ -generic over $V[G_i]$

and $V[G_i][G'] = V[G]$. Hence

there is $\sigma_k \in V[G]$ satisfying $(*) (a) - (h)$.

Since this is forced by c_k , there is

a $\sigma_k \in V \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Z}_k}$ s.t. $\sigma_k = \sigma_k \cdot G$ satisfies

$(*) (a) - (h)$ whenever $G \ni c_k$ is

$\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Z}_k}$ -generic. QED

This completes Case 1.

Case 2 Case 1 fails.

Then λ is regular and $\overline{B}_i < \lambda$ for $i < \lambda$.

We closely follow the proof of Thm 1.

Let $\bar{w}, w, \theta, \sigma$ be as before with:

$$\sigma(\bar{\theta}, \overline{B}, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}) = \theta, B, \alpha, \lambda, \lambda_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m),$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are as before and $a \in B_\lambda$. We

set: $\lambda_{m+1} = \lambda, \bar{\lambda}_{m+1} = \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}_0 = 0 \cap \bar{w}$ and

$$\bar{\lambda}_i = \sup \sigma^{-1} \bar{\lambda}_i \quad (i = 0, \dots, m+1). \quad (\text{We also}$$

write $\bar{\lambda} = \bar{\lambda}_{m+1}$.) We again fix an enumer-

ation $\langle x_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ of \bar{w} with infinite repe-

tition and a master sequence $\langle \bar{b}_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$

with $\bar{b}_0 \in \bar{a}$. (Note that this time $\bigcup_{i < \lambda} B_i$ is

dense in B_λ , so we can take

$$b_i \in \bigcup_{i < \lambda} \overline{B}_i.) \quad \text{We claim:}$$

Claim There is $c \in B_\lambda$ s.t. $\underbrace{c \in a \text{ and}}_{c \in a \text{ and}}$ whenever $G \ni c$ is B_λ -generic, there is $\sigma' \in V[G]$ s.t.

(a) $\sigma': \bar{w} \prec w$

(b) $\sigma'(\bar{\theta}, \overline{B}, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\lambda}_i) = \theta, B, \alpha, \lambda_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, m+1)$

(c) $\sup \sigma'^{-1} \bar{\lambda}_i = \bar{\lambda}_i \quad (i = 0, \dots, m+1)$

(d) $G = \sigma'^{-1} \cap G$ is \overline{B}_λ -generic over \bar{w} .

We choose $\langle \bar{z}_i^j \mid i < \omega \rangle$ monotone and

cofinal in $\bar{\lambda}_j$ for $j = 0, \dots, m+1$ and set

$$\bar{z}_i^j = \sigma(\bar{z}_i^j). \quad \text{We also set: } \bar{z}_i^j = \bar{z}_i^{m+1}, \bar{z}_i^j = \bar{z}_i^{m+1}$$

We inductively construct $c_k \in B_{\bar{\zeta}_k}$, $\sigma_k \in V^{B_{\bar{\zeta}_k}}$ s.t. I of Case 2 in the proof of Thm 1 holds and:

II Let $G \ni c_k$ be $B_{\bar{\zeta}_k}$ -generic. Set:

$$G_m = G \cap B_{\bar{\zeta}_m} \quad (m \leq \bar{\zeta}_k), \quad \sigma_i = \sigma_i^1 G = \sigma_i^1 G_{\bar{\zeta}_i} \quad (i \leq k).$$

Then:

(a) $\sigma_k : \bar{W} \prec W$

(b) $\sigma_k(\bar{\theta}, \bar{B}, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\lambda}_i) = \theta, B, \alpha, \lambda_i \quad (i=1, m, m+1)$

(c) $\sup \sigma_k \bar{\lambda}_i = \tilde{\lambda}_i \quad (i=0, m, m+1)$

(d) Let $\sigma_k(\bar{\zeta}_m) \leq \bar{\zeta}_k < \sigma_k(\bar{\zeta}_{m+1})$. Then

$$\bar{G} = \sigma_k^{-1} \upharpoonright G_{\sigma_k(\bar{\zeta}_m)} \text{ is } \bar{B}_{\bar{\zeta}_m} \text{-generic over } \bar{W}$$

(e) Let $k = j+1$. Then

$$\sigma_k(x_i, \bar{b}_i, d_i) = \sigma_j(x_i, \bar{b}_i, d_i) \text{ for } i \leq j$$

where d_i is defined by: Let $\sigma_i(\bar{\zeta}_m) \leq \bar{\zeta}_i < \sigma_i(\bar{\zeta}_{m+1})$

$$d_i = \begin{cases} \text{the } \bar{W} \text{-least } d \in x_i \text{ s.t. } \sigma_i(d) \in G_{\sigma_i(\bar{\zeta}_m)} \\ \text{if such a } d \text{ exists,} \\ 0 \text{ if not} \end{cases}$$

(f) Let $k = j+1$, $i=0, m, m+1$. If

$$\sigma_j(\bar{\zeta}_m^i) \leq \bar{\zeta}_k^i < \sigma_j(\bar{\zeta}_{m+1}^i), \text{ then}$$

$$\sigma_k(\bar{\zeta}_l^i) = \sigma_j(\bar{\zeta}_l^i) \text{ for } l \leq m+1.$$

(g) Let $\sigma_k(\bar{\zeta}_m) \leq \bar{\zeta}_k < \sigma_k(\bar{\zeta}_{m+1})$.

$$\text{Then } \sigma_k(h_{\bar{\zeta}_m}(\bar{b}_m)) \in G.$$

Thus (a)-(c), (f) are unchanged, (d), (e) are altered to fit the new situation, and (g) is new.

Note By (e), $\sigma_k(x_i, \bar{b}_i, d_i) = \sigma_i(x_i, \bar{b}_i, d_i)$ for $i \leq k$.

Note We shall again arrange that if $\sigma_j(\bar{z}_m) \leq \bar{z}_j < \bar{z}_k < \sigma_j(\bar{z}_{m+1})$, then $\sigma_k = \sigma_j$.

We show now that I, II imply the Claim. The proof is virtually the same as in Thm 1. We set $c = \bigcap_{i < \omega} c_i$. Then

$c \in \mathbb{B}_\lambda \subset \mathbb{B}_1$. Let $G \ni c$ be \mathbb{B}_λ -generic.

Set $\sigma_j = \sigma_j \circ G$ for $j < \omega$. We define

$\sigma' : \bar{W} \rightarrow W$ by: $\sigma'(x) = \sigma_k(x)$ for sufficiently

large k . (a)-(c) follow exactly as in

Thm 1. We prove (d). We first show:

(6) Let $\sigma'(\bar{z}_m) = \bar{z}$. Then $\bar{G} = \sigma'^{-1} \circ G_{\bar{z}}$ is

$\mathbb{B}_{\bar{z}_m}$ -generic over \bar{W} ,

proof.

Let Δ be dense in $\mathbb{B}_{\bar{z}_m}$. Let $\Delta = \pi_j$ for a j

chosen large enough that $m \geq n$

where $\sigma_j(\bar{z}_m) \leq \bar{z}_j < \sigma_j(\bar{z}_{m+1})$. Set

$\bar{z}' = \sigma_j(\bar{z}_m)$. Then $\bar{G}' = \sigma_j^{-1} \circ G_{\bar{z}'}$ is $\mathbb{B}_{\bar{z}_m}$ -

generic over \bar{W} . Hence $\bar{G} = \bar{G}' \cap \mathbb{B}_{\bar{z}_m}$

is $\mathbb{B}_{\bar{z}_m}$ -generic over \bar{W} and

and $\Delta = \chi_{j'} \in \overline{B_{\mathbb{Z}_m}}$ is dense in $\overline{B_{\mathbb{Z}_m}}$. Hence $\Delta \cap \overline{B_{\mathbb{Z}_m}} \neq \emptyset$. Hence $d_j \in \Delta \subset \overline{B_{\mathbb{Z}_m}}$ and

$\sigma_j(d_j) \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_m} \cap \overline{B_{\mathbb{Z}_m}} \subset G_{\mathbb{Z}_m}$. But

$\sigma_k(d_j) = \sigma_j(d_j)$ for $j < k$. Hence

$\sigma'(d_j) = \sigma_j(d_j) \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_m}$ where $d_j \in \Delta$.

Hence $d_j \in \overline{G} \cap \Delta$. QED (6)

But then we need only show:

(7) $\overline{b}_i \in \overline{G}$ for $i < \omega$.

proof

Pick $k > i$ s.t. $m > i$ where $\sigma_k(\overline{b}_m) \in \overline{G} \subset \sigma_k(\overline{b}_{m+1})$

and $h_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(\overline{b}_i) = \overline{b}_i$. Then $\sigma_k(h_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(\overline{b}_i)) \in G$,

where $h_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(\overline{b}_i) \subset h_{\mathbb{Z}_m}(\overline{b}_i) = \overline{b}_i$. Hence

$\sigma_k(\overline{b}_i) \in G$ for sufficiently large k .

Hence $\sigma'(\overline{b}_i) \in G$ and $\overline{b}_i \in \overline{G}$. QED (7)

Hence (d) holds, since $\langle \overline{b}_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$ is a maximal sequence. We must also show that $c \subset a$, but this is trivial since $\overline{b}_0 \subset \overline{a}$ + hence $a = \sigma'(\overline{a}) \in G$ whenever $G \ni c$ is \overline{B}_X -generic.

This verifies the Claim. It remains only to define c_k, σ'_k and verify I, II. Here, too, we closely follow the proof in Thm 1.

Just as in Thm 1 we shall, in an intermediate step, define b_k, σ_k' , where $b_k \in \mathbb{B}_{\bar{\zeta}_k}$, and then define $c_k \subset b_k$,

(Note The b_k we shall define now are not to be confused with the elements of the master sequence $\langle \bar{b}_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$. We apologise for having used the same letter.)

We shall inductively verify I - IV, where III, IV are exactly as before.

Suppose first that I - IV hold below k and b_k, σ_k' are given satisfying III (a) - (c) and IV. We define c_k and verify I, II, III (d). We first define:

$a^{i\nu\mu}$ ($\nu \leq \bar{\zeta}_k < \mu < \tilde{\lambda}$, $\sup_{i < k} \bar{\zeta}_i < \nu$) exactly as before and let $A = A_k$ be the set of $a^{i\nu\mu} \neq 0$, as before. IV then gives us σ_a' for $a = a^{i\nu\mu} \in A_k$ i.t.

(8) $\sigma_a' \in \mathbb{B}_\nu$ and $\sigma_a' G = \sigma_k' G$ whenever $G \ni a$ is $\mathbb{B}_{\bar{\zeta}_k}$ -generic.

Arguing as before - and imitating the construction of σ_{i+1}, c_{i+1} from σ_i, σ_i' in Case 1, we get:

(9) Let $a \in A_k$, $a = a^{i^r \mu}$. There exist $\tilde{a} \in \mathbb{B}_\mu$, $\sigma'_a \in V \mathbb{B}_\mu$ s.t. $h_\mu(\tilde{a}) = a$ and whenever $G \ni \tilde{a}$ is \mathbb{B}_μ -generic, $\sigma_a = \sigma'_a G$, $\sigma'_a = \sigma_a' G$, and $\sigma_i = \sigma_i' G$ for $i < k$, then:

(a) $\sigma'_a : \bar{W} \hookrightarrow W$

(b) $\sigma'_a(\bar{\theta}, \bar{B}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}_i) = \theta, B, \lambda, \lambda_i$ ($i=1, \dots, n$)

(c) $\sup \sigma'_a \bar{\lambda}_i = \tilde{\lambda}_i$ ($i=0, \dots, m$)

(d) $\bar{G} = \sigma_a'^{-1} G$ is $\mathbb{B}_{\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i}$ -generic over \bar{W}

(e) Let r be least s.t. $\mu \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i$. Then

$\sigma_a'(x_l, \bar{b}_l, d_l) = \sigma_a(x_l, \bar{b}_l, d_l)$ for $l < r$,

where d_l is as above for $l < k$ and

$$d_l = \begin{cases} \text{the } \bar{W}\text{-least } d \in \kappa_l \text{ s.t. } \sigma_a(d) \in G, \\ \text{if such exists;} \\ 0 \text{ if not} \end{cases}$$

for $k \leq l < r$.

(f) Let r be as above. Let $j = 0, \dots, m+1$ and let $\sigma_a(\bar{\xi}_m^j) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i < \sigma_a(\bar{\xi}_{m+1}^j)$. Then

$\sigma_a'(\bar{\xi}_l^j) = \sigma_a(\bar{\xi}_l^j)$ for $l \leq m+1$,

(Note that $m=i$ for $j=m+1$)

(g) $\sigma_a'(h_{\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i}(\bar{b}_{i+1})) = \sigma_a(h_{\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i}(\bar{b}_{i+1})) \in G$

We fix \tilde{a}, σ'_a for $a \in A_k$ and again define C_k by:

Def Set $\bar{b} = b_k \setminus \cup A_k, C_k =_{\text{pt}} \bar{b} \cup \cup_{a \in A_k} h_{\bar{\xi}_k}(\tilde{a})$.

The verifications are as before.

Now let I-IV hold below k . We must define b_k, σ'_k and verify III (a)-(c) and IV.

For $k=0$ again set: $b_k = 1, \sigma'_k = \sigma^v$.

Now let $k = j+1$. Since $A_l, \langle \tilde{a} \mid a \in A_l \rangle$ has been defined for $l \leq j$ we again set:

Def $\hat{A}_j =$ the set of $a = a^{i, \mu} \in \cup_{l \leq j} A_l$ s.t. $\bar{\xi}_j < \mu$.

Def $b_k = \cup \{ h_{\bar{\xi}_k}(\tilde{a}) \mid a \in \hat{A}_j \}$

Def Set $\tilde{A} =$ the set of $a^{i, \mu} \in \hat{A}_j$ s.t. $\mu \leq \bar{\xi}_k$

σ is an element of \mathcal{V}^B s.t.

$\llbracket \sigma'_k = \sigma'_a \rrbracket$ if $a \in \tilde{A}$

$\llbracket \sigma'_k = \sigma'_j \rrbracket \cap b_k = b_k \setminus \cup \tilde{A}$.

The verifications are exactly as before.

QED (Thm 5)

It is not hard to reformulate and reprove Thm 2 - Thm 4 for "subproper" instead of "subcomplete".