

§ 3.7 Properties of K

We continue to assume γ_0^2 ,

Lemma 1 Let W be universal and let $\sigma: W \rightarrow_{\Sigma_1} K$. Then $\sigma = \text{id}$.

proof. Suppose not.

Let $\kappa = \text{crit}(\sigma)$. Set

$$U = \{X \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa) \cap W \mid \kappa \in \sigma(X)\}.$$

Then $N = \langle J_{\nu}^{E^W}, U \rangle$ is a beaver,

hence κ -strong, where $\nu = \kappa + W$.

Hence $E_{\nu}^K = U$. Since $J_{\nu}^W = J_{\nu}^N$ and N is a mouse, we have:

If $\pi: W \rightarrow_u W'$, then

$$E_{\nu}^{W'} = E_{\nu}^{N'} = \emptyset, \text{ where } \pi \upharpoonright N: N \rightarrow_u N'.$$

Clearly there is $\sigma: W' \rightarrow_{\Sigma_1} K$

wt. $\sigma(\nu) = \nu$. Hence $E_{\nu}^K = \emptyset$.

Contradiction! QED (Lemma 1)

Cor 1.1 K is rigid

Cor 1.2 Let $\sigma: K \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} W$. Let

$Z \subseteq W$, $Z \subseteq \text{rng}(\sigma)$ s.t.

\bar{K} is universal where $\bar{K} \cong Z$ is transitive. Then $\bar{K} = K$ and $Z = \text{rng}(\sigma)$.

prf.

Let $\pi: \bar{K} \xrightarrow{\sim} Z$. Then

$\sigma^{-1}\pi: \bar{K} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} K$, \bar{K} universal.

Hence $\sigma^{-1}\pi = \text{id}$. QED (Cor 1.2)

We can improve Lemma 1 to:

Lemma 2 Let α be a cardinal in K s.t. $W' \upharpoonright \alpha = K \upharpoonright \alpha$. Let $\sigma: W \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} W'$ where W is universal. Then $\sigma \upharpoonright \alpha = \text{id}$.

The proof is exactly like that of Lemma 1.

Repeating the proof of Cor 1.2!

Cor 2.1 Let W', d be as above and let $\sigma: W' \xrightarrow{\Sigma} W$. Let $Z \prec W$, $Z \subset \text{rng}(\sigma)$ s.t. \bar{W} is universal where $\pi: \bar{W} \xrightarrow{\sim} Z$. Then $J_d^{E^{\bar{W}}} = J_d^{E^K}$ and $\sigma \upharpoonright d = \pi \upharpoonright d$.

Now let $E = \langle E_\nu \mid \nu < \delta \rangle$ be s.t. $\langle J_\nu^E, E_\nu \rangle$ is a strong mouse for $\nu < \delta$. Define $K' = K[E]$ by setting $E_\nu^{K'} = E_\nu$ for $\nu < \delta$ and defining $E_\nu^{K'}$ as in the definition of K for $\nu \geq \delta$. (The uniqueness theorem for strong mice + the sequence lemma again tell us that K' is defined). Repeating the above proofs we get versions of the above lemmas for $K[E]$!

Lemma 3 Let $K' = K[E]$ be as above and let $\alpha \geq \delta$ be a cardinal in K' s.t. $W' \upharpoonright \alpha = K' \upharpoonright \alpha$. Let $\sigma: W \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} W'$ where W is universal and $\sigma \upharpoonright \delta = \text{id}$. Then $\sigma \upharpoonright \alpha = \text{id}$.

Lemma 3.1 Let K', W, α be as above and let $\sigma: W' \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} W$. Let $Z \prec W$, $Z \subset \text{rng}(\sigma)$ s.t. $\sigma'' \delta \subset Z'$. Let $\pi: \bar{W} \leftrightarrow Z$, where \bar{W} is universal. Then $J_\alpha^{E^{\bar{W}}} = J_\alpha^{E^{K'}}$ and $\sigma \upharpoonright \alpha = \pi \upharpoonright \alpha$.

We use these in proving:

Lemma 4 Let K' be a simple iterate of K by an iteration with normal indices $\langle \nu_i \mid i < \theta \rangle$ ($\theta < \infty$). Set: $\alpha = \text{lub}_{i < \theta} \nu_i$; $E = \langle E_{\bar{3}}^{K'} \mid \bar{3} < \alpha \rangle$. Then $K' = K[E]$.

proof of Lemma 4

Let $K^* = K[E]$. Coiterate K, K^* to \tilde{K} with coiteration indices $\langle \tilde{\nu}_i \mid i < \Gamma \rangle$ ($\Gamma \leq \infty$). Then

(1) $\tilde{\nu}_i = \nu_i$ and $E_{\nu_i}^{K^*} = 0$ for $i < \theta \leq \Gamma$.

Hence:

(2) $K' = K_\theta$ and $\pi_{K'} \upharpoonright \alpha = \text{id}$.

Pick a limit cardinal $\beta > \alpha$ s.t.

(3) $\sup \pi_{K \tilde{K}} \text{ " } \beta = \sup \pi_{K^* \tilde{K}} \text{ " } \beta = \beta$

and $\nu_i < \beta$ for $i < \Gamma \cap \beta$.

Pick W, X, W^*, X^* s.t.

(4) W is universal, X is massive in W ,

$$J_\beta^{E^W} = J_\beta^{E^K}$$

(5) W^* is universal, X^* is massive in W^* ,

$$J_\beta^{E^{W^*}} = J_\beta^{E^{K^*}}$$

Coiterate W, W^* to \hat{W} with indices $\langle \hat{\nu}_i \mid i \leq \hat{\Gamma} \rangle$ ($\hat{\Gamma} \leq \infty$). Then

(6) $\hat{\nu}_i \simeq \tilde{\nu}_i$ for $i < \beta$

and hence:

$$(7) \pi_{K\tilde{K}} \uparrow \beta = \pi_{W\hat{W}} \uparrow \beta, \pi_{K^*\tilde{K}} \uparrow \beta = \pi_{W^*\hat{W}} \uparrow \beta.$$

Set:

$$Z = \{d \in X \cap X^* \mid \pi_{W\hat{W}}(d) = \pi_{W^*\hat{W}}(d) = d\}$$

Then Z is massive in W, W^*, \hat{W} .

Set:

$$(8) Y = \text{the } \Sigma_1(\hat{W})\text{-closure of } Z$$

$$(9) Y^* = \text{ " " " " } Z \cup d,$$

Then $Y \triangleleft \hat{W}$, $Y \subset \text{rng}(\pi_{W\hat{W}})$. Set:

$$\sigma: \bar{W} \xrightarrow{\sim} Y, \bar{W} \text{ transitive.}$$

Then \bar{W} is universal & hence by

Lemma 2.1 + (7):

$$(10) \sigma \uparrow \beta = \pi_{W\hat{W}} \uparrow \beta = \pi_{K\tilde{K}} \uparrow \beta$$

But $Y^* \triangleleft \hat{W}$, $d \in Y \subset \text{rng}(\pi_{W\hat{W}})$.

Setting: $\sigma^*: \bar{W}^* \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$, \bar{W}^* trans,

we conclude by Lemma 3.1 + (7):

$$(11) \sigma^* \uparrow \beta = \pi_{W^*\hat{W}} \uparrow \beta = \pi_{K^*\tilde{K}} \uparrow \beta.$$

We now show:

$$(12) \pi_{K^*\tilde{K}} \uparrow \beta = \pi_{K\tilde{K}} \uparrow \beta$$

prf. of (12)

Note first that $Y \cap J_{\beta}^{E^{\tilde{K}}} =$

$$= \text{rng}(\pi_{\tilde{K}\tilde{K}} \upharpoonright J_{\beta}^{E^{\tilde{K}}}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$Y^* \cap J_{\beta}^{E^{\tilde{K}}} = \text{rng}(\pi_{\tilde{K}^*\tilde{K}} \upharpoonright J_{\beta}^{E^{\tilde{K}^*}})$$

by (7) and (3). Since $J_{\beta}^{E^{\tilde{K}'}} =$

= the $\Sigma_1(J_{\beta}^{E^{\tilde{K}'}})$ -closure of

$$\text{rng}(\pi_{\tilde{K}'\tilde{K}} \upharpoonright \beta) \cup \alpha, \quad \text{and } \pi_{\tilde{K}'\tilde{K}} \upharpoonright \alpha = \text{id},$$

it suffices to show:

Claim $Y^* \cap J_{\beta}^{E^{\tilde{K}}} =$ the $\Sigma_1(J_{\beta}^{E^{\tilde{K}}})$ -
- closure of $(Y \cap J_{\beta}^{E^{\tilde{K}}}) \cup \alpha$.

(\supset) is obvious.

(\subset) Let $x = t(\vec{\delta}, \vec{\zeta})_{\hat{W}} \in J_{\beta}^{E^{\hat{W}}}$,

where $\vec{\delta} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\vec{\zeta} < \alpha$. Pick

$$z \in \text{rng}(\pi_{\tilde{K}\tilde{K}}) \cap \beta \quad \text{and } t,$$

$x \in V_{\hat{W}}$. Define g on α^m

$$\text{by } g(\vec{x}) = t(\vec{\delta}; \vec{x})_{\hat{W}} \cap V_z^{\hat{W}}.$$

Then $g \in Y \cap J_{\beta}^{E^{\tilde{K}}}$ and hence

$$g(\vec{\zeta}) = x \text{ as desired. } \quad \text{QED(12)}$$

By (12) we then have:

$$(13) \quad J_{\beta}^{E^{\tilde{K}}} = J_{\beta}^{E^{K'}}$$

But this holds for arbitrarily large β .

QED (Lemma 4)

Corollary 5 Every weakly universal weasel is a simple iterate of K .

prf.

Let W be weakly universal. Coiterate

K, W to W' . Claim $W = W'$,

let $\langle K_i \mid i \leq \pi \rangle, \langle W_i \mid i \leq \pi \rangle$

be the coiteration ($\pi \leq \omega$) with indices ν_i . We show:

Claim $E_{\nu_i}^{W_i} = \emptyset$

Suppose not. Then $E_{\nu_i}^{K_i} \neq \emptyset$ by Lemma 4, since $J_{\nu_i}^{E^{K_i}} = J_{\nu_i}^{E^{W_i}}$

and $W_i \upharpoonright \nu_i$ is strong. But

Then $E_{\nu_i}^{K_i} = E_{\nu_i}^{W_i}$ by §3.6, Cor 9.3.

Cont. 1

We now prove the full rigidity lemma!

Corollary 6 Let $\sigma: K \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} W$. Then

W is a simple iterate of K and

$$\sigma = \pi_{KW}$$

proof.

We construct a normal iteration K_i ($i \leq \infty$) of K with indices

ν_i, κ_i . Simultaneously we construct maps $\sigma_i: K_i \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} W$

s.t.

$$(a) \quad \sigma_i \pi_{K_h K_i} = \sigma_h \quad \text{for } h \leq i$$

$$(b) \quad \sigma_i \nu_h = \text{id} \quad \text{for } h < i$$

We define K_i, σ_i and verify

(a), (b) by ind. on i as follows:

$$K_0 = K, \quad \sigma_0 = \sigma.$$

Now let K_i, σ_i be given.

K_{i+1} is defined iff $\sigma_i \neq \text{id}$.

In this case we set:

$$\kappa_i = \text{crit}(\sigma_i), \quad \nu_i = \nu + \kappa_i.$$

Then $\kappa_i > \nu_h$ for $h < i$ by (b). Set:

$$U = \{X \in \mathcal{P}(\kappa_i) \cap \kappa_i \mid \kappa_i \in \sigma_i(X)\}.$$
 Then

$\langle \bigcup_{\nu_i} E_{\nu_i}^{\kappa_i}, U \rangle$ is a beaver + hence

$U = E_{\nu_i}^{\kappa_i}$ by Lemma 4. Then κ_{i+1}

is defined and we def. σ_{i+1} by:

$$\sigma_{i+1} \left(\pi_{\kappa_i \kappa_{i+1}} (f|(\kappa_i)) \right) = \sigma_i (f|(\kappa_i)).$$

The verification of (a), (b) is straightforward.

Now let κ_i, σ_i be given for $i < \lambda$, $\text{Lim}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \leq \infty$. We of course

let $\kappa_\lambda, \langle \pi_{\kappa_i \kappa_\lambda} \mid i < \lambda \rangle$ be

the transitive direct limit of

$\langle \kappa_i \rangle, \langle \pi_{\kappa_i \kappa_j} \mid i \leq j < \lambda \rangle$ and

define σ_λ by:

$$\sigma_\lambda \pi_{\kappa_i \kappa_\lambda} = \sigma_i \quad \text{for } i < \lambda.$$

(a) is immediate and (b) follows

by the fact that (a), (b) hold at $i < \lambda$. However, if $\lambda = \infty$, we must show that the above transitive direct limit exists - i.e. that:

$$(1) \bigwedge \exists \forall i \quad \pi_{\kappa_0 \kappa_i}(\beta) < \kappa_i.$$

It suffices to take $\kappa_i > \sigma(\beta)$, since then:

$$\pi_{\kappa_0 \kappa_i}(\beta) \leq \sigma_i \pi_{\kappa_0 \kappa_i}(\beta) = \sigma(\beta) < \kappa_i.$$

This completes the construction and we need only note:

$$(2) \quad \sigma_{\pi} = \text{id}.$$

If $\pi < \infty$ this is immediate from the construction and if $\pi = \infty$ it follows from (b).

QED (Cor 6)

Finally we prove:

Corollary 7 Let β be a singular cardinal. Then $\beta^+ = \beta^{+\kappa}$.

proof.

Suppose not. Let $\bar{\alpha}$ = the least regular $\bar{\alpha} > \omega_1$ s.t. $\text{cf}(\beta^+ + \bar{\alpha}) < \bar{\alpha}$.

Exactly as in §3.3.4 we generically collapse $\beta^+ + \bar{\alpha}$ to $\bar{\alpha}$ and define

$$\sigma_\alpha : \bar{W}_\alpha \rightarrow \kappa / \beta^+, \quad \sigma_{\alpha, \alpha'} : \bar{W}_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{W}_{\alpha'}$$

We also write \bar{K}_α for \bar{W}_α . It

suffices to prove Lemma 1 in the proof of the weak covering lemma!

(*) There is a club $C' \subset C$ s.t.

$$\#(\alpha) \cap \kappa \not\subset \bar{K}_\alpha \text{ for } \alpha \in C' \text{ s.t. } \text{cf}(\alpha) > \omega,$$

since the rest of the proof uses only the universality of $W = \kappa$.

Suppose not. Let $S \subset C$ be a stationary set of pts of cofinality $> \omega$ s.t. $\#(\alpha) \cap \kappa \subset \bar{K}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in S$. We first note.

Let $\nu_\alpha = \alpha + \bar{\kappa}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in S$. Then

$$(1) \nu_\alpha = \alpha + \kappa \quad \text{and} \quad J_{\nu_\alpha}^{E^{\bar{\kappa}_\alpha}} = J_{\nu_\alpha}^{E^\kappa},$$

proof.

$J_{\nu_\alpha}^{E^{\bar{\kappa}_\alpha}} = J_{\nu_\alpha}^{E^\kappa}$ since otherwise the coiteration of $\bar{\kappa}_\alpha, \kappa$ would involve a truncation of the mouse $\bar{\kappa}_\alpha$. But then $\nu_\alpha \leq \alpha + \bar{\kappa}_\alpha$, since $\alpha =$ the largest cardinal in $J_{\nu_\alpha}^{E^{\bar{\kappa}_\alpha}}$. Hence $\nu_\alpha = \alpha + \bar{\kappa}_\alpha$, since $\#(\alpha) \cap \kappa \subset \bar{\kappa}_\alpha$. QED(1)

But then $K|_\beta$ is an extension of $Q_\alpha = J_{\nu_\alpha}^{E^{\bar{\kappa}_\alpha}}$ for all $\beta \geq \bar{\tau}$. For each regular $\beta \geq \bar{\tau}$ the frequent extension lemma gives us an $\alpha = \alpha_\beta < \bar{\tau}$ s.t. the canonical ex-

ension $\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} : K|_\beta \rightarrow \tilde{K}_\beta$ of

$\tilde{\sigma}_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha \upharpoonright Q_\alpha$ exists. It is clear

But then there is an α s.t. $\alpha = \alpha_\beta$ for arbitrarily large β . It is clear from the definitions that

(2) If $\alpha = \alpha_\beta = \alpha_{\beta'}$, $\beta \leq \beta'$, then $\tilde{K}_{\beta'}$ end extends \tilde{K}_β and $\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta'} > \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}$.

Hence, setting $\sigma = \bigcup_{\beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}$,

$\tilde{K} = \bigcup_{\beta} \tilde{K}_\beta$ we have:

(3) $\sigma : K \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} \tilde{K}$.

Thus \tilde{K} is a simple iterate of K .

Since $\alpha = \text{crit}(\sigma)$, we have:

(4) $E_{\nu_\alpha}^K \neq \emptyset$, $E_{\nu_\alpha}^{\tilde{K}} = \emptyset$.

But then α is a limit cardinal in K , since it carries a measure. Hence ν_α is $\tau = \sigma_\alpha(\alpha)$.

But then $\tau > \nu_\alpha = \alpha^{+K}$. But

(5) $\tilde{K} \upharpoonright \tau = K \upharpoonright \tau$

and hence:

$$E_{\nu_\alpha}^{\tilde{K}} = E_{\nu_\alpha}^K \neq \emptyset.$$

Contradiction! QED