

Σ_0 iterations

We now apply our methods to the Σ_0 iterations described at the end of §4.

Def Let $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow M$ where \bar{M}, M are premice. Let \bar{y} be a Σ_0 iteration of \bar{M} of length θ , where

$$\bar{y} = \langle \langle \bar{M}_i \rangle, \langle \bar{v}_i \mid i \in D \rangle, \langle \bar{\gamma}_i \rangle, \langle \bar{\pi}_{i,j} \rangle, T \rangle.$$

We say that $y = \sigma(\bar{y})$ is the Σ_0 -
-copy of \bar{y} onto M by σ with Σ_0 -
copying maps $\langle \sigma_i \rangle$ iff

(a) $y = \langle \langle M_i \rangle, \langle v_i \mid i \in D \rangle, \langle \gamma_i \rangle, \langle \pi_{i,j} \rangle, T \rangle$ is a Σ_0 iteration of M of length θ .

(b) $\sigma_i: \bar{M}_i \xrightarrow{\Sigma_0} M_i$ is a cardinal preserving map; $\sigma_0 = \sigma$; $\sigma_i \bar{\pi}_{i,h} = \pi_{h,i} \sigma_h$ if $h \leq_T i$.

(c) If $i = h+1$, $h \notin D$, then $\sigma_h(\bar{\gamma}_h) = \gamma_h$ and $\sigma_{i+1} = \sigma_h \upharpoonright \bar{M}_i$.

(d) Let $i = h+1$, $h \in D$. Then:

(i) $\sigma_h(\bar{v}_h) = v_h$

(ii) Let $\bar{z} = T(i)$. Then $\sigma_{\bar{z}}(\bar{\gamma}_h) = \gamma_h$

(iii) Let $\bar{M}^* = \bar{M}_{\bar{z}} \parallel \bar{\gamma}_h$, $M^* = M_{\bar{z}} \parallel \gamma_h$,
 $\sigma^* = \sigma_{\bar{z}} \parallel \bar{M}^*$. If i is not simple

in \bar{Y} , then $\sigma^* : \bar{M}^* \xrightarrow{\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \omega_i} M^*$

whenever $\omega_{\bar{M}^*} > \bar{\alpha}_h$

(iv) Let $\bar{F} = E_{\bar{v}_h}^{\bar{M}_h}$, $F = E_{v_h}^{M_h}$. Then

$\langle \sigma^*, \sigma_h \parallel \bar{\lambda}_h \rangle : \langle \bar{M}^*, \bar{F} \rangle \rightarrow \langle M^*, F \rangle$

(v) $\sigma_i(\bar{\pi}_{\bar{z}i}(f)(\alpha)) = \pi_{\bar{z}i} \sigma^*(f)(\sigma_h(\alpha))$

whenever $d < \bar{\lambda}_h$, $f \in \bar{M}^*$, $f : \bar{\alpha}_h \rightarrow \bar{M}^*$,

(i) $\sigma_i(\bar{\pi}_{\bar{z}i}(f)(\alpha)) = \pi_{\bar{z}i} \sigma^*(f)(\sigma_h(\alpha))$

whenever $d < \bar{\lambda}_h$, i is not simple in \bar{Y} , and $f \in \Gamma(\bar{\alpha}_h, \bar{M}^*)$,

In place of Lemma 1 we have:

Lemma 5 Let $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow_{\Sigma_0} M$ be cardinal preserving. Let \bar{Y} be a normal Σ_0 iteration of \bar{M} s.t. $Y = \sigma(\bar{Y})$, $\langle \sigma_i \rangle$ exist. If $i < |\bar{Y}|$ is non simple in \bar{Y} , then $\sigma_i: \bar{M}_i \rightarrow_{\Sigma_0} M_i$ whenever $\text{cf}^n \bar{M}_i \geq \sup_{h \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{V}_h$.

The proof is virtually the same (using the fact that if $i = h+1$ is non simple, $\bar{Z} = T(i)$, and $\bar{Y}_h = \text{ht}(\bar{M}_3)$, then \bar{Z} is non simple.)

be cardinal preserving

In place of Lemma 2.1 we then have:

Lemma 6.1 Let S be a normal Σ_0 iteration strategy for M (above, beyond $\nu = \sigma(\bar{\nu})$). Let $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow_{\Sigma_0} M$ and let \bar{S} be the derived strategy. Let \bar{Y} be a normal Σ_0 \bar{S} -iteration of \bar{M} (above, beyond ν). Then $Y = \sigma(\bar{Y})$ exists and is a Σ_0 S -iteration of M .

The proof is again virtually identical to the earlier one.

Exactly as before:

Cor 6.2 Let $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow_{\Sigma_0} M$ be cardinal preserving + let S be a normal Σ_0 iteration strategy for M (above, beyond $\nu = \sigma(\bar{\nu} + 1)$). The derived strategy \bar{S} is then a normal Σ_0 iteration strategy for \bar{M} (above, beyond $\bar{\nu}$).

The normal Σ_0 uniqueness property is defined as before + we again have that M is uniquely iterable iff M has an it. strategy + has the uniqueness property.

Def Let $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow M$, where \bar{M}, M are premice. Let \bar{y} be a Σ_0 iteration of \bar{M} of length θ . We say that $y = \sigma(\bar{y})$ is a full copy of \bar{y} onto M by σ with Σ_0 -copying maps $\langle \sigma_i \rangle$ iff (b), (c), (d) of the earlier definition hold together with:
(a) y is a generalized iteration of M of length θ .

(An other words, \mathcal{Y} employs \ast -ultra-products everywhere and $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}$ employs \ast -ultra-products only in forming \bar{M}_i for non-simple $i = h+1$). Then Lemmas 5, 6.1, 6.2 hold in an appropriate form for full copies. (A.e.

Lemma 6.1 says that if S is a normal iter. strategy for M , $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow \sum_0 M$ is cardinal preserving, \bar{S} is the derived Σ_0 -strategy, and $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}$ is a normal Σ_0 ~~iter.~~ \bar{S} -iteration of \bar{M} , then the full copy $\mathcal{Y} = \sigma(\bar{\mathcal{Y}})$ exists and is a normal S -iteration of M .)

(The "full copy" version of Cor 6.2 tells us among other things that if M is normally iterable, then M is normally Σ_0 -iterable, since if S is a normal strategy for M , then the derived Σ_0 strategy \bar{S} by $\text{id}: M \rightarrow M$ is a normal Σ_0 strategy for M .)

In place of Lemma 3 we have:

Lemma 7 Let $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow_{\Sigma_0} M$ be cardinal preserving. Let \bar{J} be a normal Σ_0 -iteration of \bar{M} s.t. that the Σ_0 copy $J = \sigma(\bar{J})$ exists with copying maps $\langle \sigma_i \rangle$. Then $\sigma_i: \bar{M}_i \rightarrow_{\Sigma^*} M_i$ whenever i is non simple in \bar{J} .

This follows by induction on i from:

Lemma 7.1 Let σ, \bar{M}, M etc. be as above.

If $i \in D$, $\bar{J} = T(i+1)$, $\bar{M}^* = \bar{M}_3 \parallel \bar{J}_i$, $M^* = M_3 \parallel J_i$

$\sigma^* = \sigma_3 \upharpoonright \bar{M}^*$, $\bar{F} = E_{\bar{V}_i}^{\bar{M}_i}$, $F = E_{V_i}^{M_i}$, then:

$$\langle \sigma^*, \sigma_i \upharpoonright \bar{M}_i \rangle: \langle \bar{M}_i^*, \bar{F} \rangle \xrightarrow{*} \langle M_i^*, F \rangle,$$

(Note Lemma 7.1 does not hold for the full copy $J = \sigma(\bar{J})$.)

We prove this essentially as before.

We again assume that \bar{J} is direct

& define $\hat{\alpha}_i, \hat{\tau}_i, \hat{\sigma}_i, \hat{\eta}_i, \hat{\mu}_i, \dots, \hat{\nu}_i$ as before. We then show:

Lemma 7.2 Let σ_i exist. Let $\bar{A} \subset \hat{\mathcal{E}}_i$, $A \subset \hat{\mathcal{E}}_i$ s.t. A is $\Sigma_1(M_i)$ in \bar{p} and A is $\Sigma_1(M_i)$ in $p = \sigma_i(\bar{p})$ by the same definition. Then there is $\bar{q} \in \bar{M}_{\delta_i} \parallel \hat{\gamma}_i$ s.t. \bar{A} is $\Sigma_1(\bar{M}_{\delta_i} \parallel \hat{\gamma}_i)$ in \bar{q} and A is $\Sigma_1(M_{\delta_i} \parallel \hat{\gamma}_i)$ in q by the same definition.

The proof is a virtual repeat of Lemma 3.2.

Cor 7.3 Let $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow_{\Sigma_0} M$ be cardinal preserving. Let \bar{y} be a good Σ_0 iteration of \bar{M} (above, beyond $\nu = \sigma(\bar{\nu})$). Then:

(a) If M has a good Σ_0 iteration strategy S (above, beyond ν) and \bar{S} is the derived strategy, then \bar{S} is a good Σ_0 strategy for \bar{M} (above, beyond $\bar{\nu}$). Moreover, if \bar{y} is a \bar{S} -iteration, then $y = \sigma(\bar{y})$ exists + is a good Σ_0 S -iteration.

(b) If $y = \sigma(\bar{y})$ exists with copying maps $\langle \sigma_i \rangle$, then:

(i) $\sigma_i: \bar{M}_i \rightarrow_{\Sigma^*} M_i$ if i is non-simple

(ii) Let $i \in D$, $\bar{z} = T(i+1)$. Set:

$\bar{M}^* = \bar{M}_{\bar{z}} \parallel \bar{y}_i$, $M^* = M_{\bar{z}} \parallel y_i$, $\sigma^* = \sigma_{\bar{z}} \parallel \bar{M}^*$,

$\bar{F} = E_{\bar{y}_i}^{\bar{M}_i}$, $F = E_{y_i}^{M_i}$. Then

$\langle \sigma^*, \sigma_i \parallel \bar{x}_i \rangle: \langle \bar{M}^*, \bar{F} \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle M^*, F \rangle$,

Cor 7.4 If $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow_{\Sigma_0} M$ is cardinal preserving where M has a Σ_0 iteration strategy and \bar{M} has the Σ_0 uniqueness property, then \bar{M} is uniquely iterable.

We have seen that a normal iteration strategy for M induces a normal Σ_0 iteration strategy for \bar{M} if $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow_{\Sigma_0} M$ is cardinal preserving. Our methods do not, however yield a proof of the same theorem for good Σ_0 iterations, since Lemma 7 appears not to hold for full copies. It is apparent however that we can get:

Lemma 7.5 Let $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow_{\Sigma_0} M$ be cardinal preserving. Let S be a good iteration strategy ^(for M). Let \bar{S} be the derived Σ_0 strategy for \bar{M} + let $\bar{J} = \langle \langle \bar{M}_i \rangle, \dots, T \rangle$ be a good Σ_0 \bar{S} -iteration of \bar{M} of length $\theta + 1$ s.t. every $i \in T \setminus \theta$ is simple in \bar{J} . Then the full copy $y = \sigma(\bar{J})$ exists and is a good S iteration of M .

Lemma 7.6 Let $\sigma, \bar{M}, M, S, \bar{S}$ be as above,
 Let $\bar{Y} = \langle \langle \bar{M}_i \rangle, m, T \rangle$ be a good Σ_0
 \bar{S} -iteration of \bar{M} with marking
 sequence $\langle d_i \mid i \leq \Gamma \rangle$. If d_i is simple
 in \bar{Y} for $i < \Gamma$, then the full copy
 $Y = \sigma(\bar{Y})$ exists in a good S -iter-
 ation of M .

Lemma 7.7 Let $\sigma, \bar{M}, M, S, \bar{S}$ be as above
 Let $\bar{Y} = \langle \langle \bar{M}_i \rangle, m, T \rangle$ be a good Σ_0
 \bar{S} -iteration of \bar{M} with marking
 sequence $\langle d_i \mid i \leq \Gamma \rangle$. If there is $i < \Gamma$
 s.t. d_i is simple in \bar{Y} and d_{i+1}
 is not, then the full copy Y
 $Y = \sigma(\bar{Y})$ exists.

(The full copy $Y' = \sigma(\bar{Y}|_{d_{i+2}})$ exists by
 7.5. Let $\langle \sigma_j \mid j \leq d_{i+1} \rangle$ be the copying map
 Then $\sigma_{d_{i+1}}: \bar{M}_{d_{i+1}} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} M_{d_{i+1}}$ and the
 remainder of \bar{Y} is a good iteration of $\bar{M}_{d_{i+1}}$

For the moment we refer to these as Σ_0 mice.

Σ_0 - uniqueness mice

By a Σ_0 uniqueness mouse we mean a unique Σ_0 -iterable premouse (i.e. the uniqueness strategy is a good Σ_0 strategy). Clearly any non simple iterate of a Σ_0 mouse is a mouse.

The Dodd-Jensen lemma for Σ_0 mice reads:

Lemma 8. Let M be a Σ_0 mouse.

If N is a Σ_0 iterate of M with iteration map π and $\sigma: M \rightarrow_{\Sigma_0} N$,

then N is a simple Σ_0 iterate of M and $\sigma(\xi) \geq \pi(\xi)$ for $\xi \in M$.

proof.

We first show that N is not a non simple iterate of M . Suppose not. Then N is a mouse.

Define a relation on mice by: $N' \leq N$ iff N' is a

non simple iterate of N . Let N be \mathbb{R} -minimal for the property: There is a Σ_0 mouse M s.t. N is a non simple Σ_0 iterate of M and there is $\sigma: M \xrightarrow[\Sigma_0]{} N$. Then σ is cofinal in N , hence cardinal preserving (otherwise we could truncate N to $N' \mathbb{R} N$ with the same property). Let

$$y = \langle \langle M_i \mid i \leq \theta \rangle, \langle \kappa_i \rangle, \langle \gamma_i \rangle, \langle \pi_{i,i'} \rangle, T \rangle$$

be a good Σ_0 iteration from M to N .

Let $k =$ the least $k \leq \theta$ which is non simple in y . Then

the full copy y' of $y \upharpoonright k+1$ onto N exists by Lemma 7.5.

Let $\langle \sigma_i \mid i \leq k \rangle$ be the copying

$$\text{maps. Then } \sigma_k \pi_{0k}: M \xrightarrow[\Sigma_0]{} N_k$$

where N_k is a non simple Σ_0 iterate of M and $N_k \mathbb{R} N$.

Contn!

We now show: $\sigma(\zeta) \geq \pi(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in M$
 Suppose not. Define a relation R on pairs $\langle M, \zeta \rangle$ s.t. M is a Σ_0 mouse and $\zeta \in M$ by:

$\langle M', \zeta' \rangle R \langle M, \zeta \rangle$ iff M' is a simple Σ_0 iterate of M with map π s.t. $\pi(\zeta) > \zeta'$.

Then R is well founded. Let $\langle M, \zeta \rangle$ be R -minimal with the property that M has a Σ_0 iterate N with iteration map π s.t. there is $\sigma: M \rightarrow_{\Sigma_0} N$ with $\sigma(\zeta) < \pi(\zeta)$.

Fix N, σ . Then σ is cofinal into N , since otherwise we could truncate N to a nonsimple Σ_0 iterate N' with $\sigma: M \rightarrow_{\Sigma_0} N'$. Hence σ is cardinal preserving. Let $J = \langle \langle M_i \mid i \leq \theta \rangle, \dots, \langle \pi_i \rangle, T \rangle$ be the iteration from M to M_θ with $\pi = \pi_\theta$.

Let $J' = \langle \langle N_i \mid i \leq \theta \rangle, \dots, \langle \pi'_i \rangle, T \rangle$ be the copy onto N with copying maps $\langle \sigma_i \mid i \leq \theta \rangle$. Then we have i

$\sigma_\theta : N \rightarrow \sum_0 N_\theta$, $\sigma_\theta(\sigma(\bar{3})) < \sigma_\theta(\pi_{0\theta}(\bar{3})) =$
 $= \pi'_{0\theta}(\sigma(\bar{3}))$, where N_θ is a simple
 Σ_0 iterate of N with iteration
map $\pi'_{0\theta}$ and $\langle N, \sigma(\bar{3}) \rangle R \langle M, \bar{3} \rangle$.

Contr! QED (Lemma 8).

It follows as before that N
cannot be both a simple and
non-simple Σ_0 iterate of M and
that if it is simple, then the
iteration map π is unique.