

§8 Properties of Mice

The proof of §7 Lemma 6 actually establishes a much more general result for mice M :

Let M be

Lemma 1V Let $\sigma: W \rightarrow M$ witness the goodness of $\langle M, W, \alpha \rangle$. Let $\langle \gamma^W, \gamma^Q \rangle$ be the coiteration of $\langle M, W, \alpha \rangle$ with M . Let θ be the length of γ^Q . Then:

(a) $\theta \geq 0$ in γ^W

(b) W_θ is a simple iterate of W in γ^W and a segment of Q_θ .

(c) W is a mouse.

proof.

(c) follows from (a), (b), since then

$$\pi_{0\theta}^W: W \rightarrow \sum_{\alpha} W_\alpha, \text{ where } W_\alpha \text{ is a mouse.}$$

We prove (a), (b). If Case 1 of §7. Lemma 6 holds, the coiteration has length 0 and W is a segment of M (since $E_{W\alpha}^W = \emptyset$ is impossible as before). Case 2.1 is impossible as before as are Cases 2.2.1 + 2.2.2. Hence (a), (b) hold.

QED (Lemma 1)

Hence $E^M \neq \emptyset$ for $\alpha \geq \omega^m_M$. Hence M is uniquely iterable.

Lemma 2 Let M be a mouse. Let $p \in P^m_M$. Then $\rho^{m+n}_M = \rho^m_{M^{n|p}}$ (i.e. p can be lengthened to a $q \in P^{n+1}_M$ proof. Suppose not.

Let \bar{M}, \bar{p} be defined by: $\bar{M}^{n|\bar{p}} = M^{n|p}$, $\bar{p} \in P^m_{\bar{M}}$. Let $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow \sum_1^{(n)} M$ be defined by: $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow \sum_1^{(n)} M$, $\sigma \upharpoonright \omega^m_M = \sigma(\bar{p}) = p$. Then $\rho^{m+n}_{\bar{M}} = \rho^m_{\bar{M}^{n|\bar{p}}} = \rho^m_{M^{n|p}}$,

since $\bar{p} \in P^m_{\bar{M}}$. Hence $\bar{M} \neq M$. But $\bar{M} \notin M$, since otherwise $A^m_M = A^m_{\bar{M}} \in M$. Hence \bar{M} is not a segment of M .

Clearly σ witnesses the goodness of $\langle M, \bar{M}, \alpha \rangle$, where $\alpha = \omega^m_M = \omega^m_{\bar{M}}$.

Let $\langle \bar{Y}, Y \rangle$ be the coiteration of $\langle M, \bar{M}, \alpha \rangle$ against M , where

$$\bar{Y} = \langle \langle \bar{M}_i \rangle, \langle \bar{v}_i \rangle, \dots, \bar{T} \rangle, Y = \langle \langle M_i \rangle, \langle v_i \rangle, \dots, T \rangle$$

Let $\theta = |Y|$. Then $\theta \geq 0$ in \bar{T} and \bar{M}_θ is a segment of M_θ . Moreover

-3-

\bar{M}_θ is a simple iterate of \bar{M} in $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}$. We show

Claim $\bar{M}_\theta = M_\theta$ and M_θ is a simple iterate of M .

Suppose not. We consider two cases.

Case 1 \bar{M}_θ is a proper segment of M_θ .

Since $\bar{\alpha}_i \geq \alpha$ for $i < \theta$ in \bar{T} , we have;
 $\alpha = \omega \rho_{\bar{M}_\theta}^m$ and $A_{\bar{M}}^{m, \bar{p}} = A_{\bar{M}_\theta}^{m, \bar{p}, \theta}$ (\bar{p}) $\in M_\theta$.

Then $M \neq M_\theta$ + hence there is a least i s.t. $E_{\nu_i}^{M_\theta} \neq \emptyset$, just as before

(§ 7 Lemma 6 Case 2.2.4) we have $\nu_i > \alpha$
 and hence $A = A_{\bar{M}}^{m, \bar{p}} \in \bigcup_{\nu_i} E^{M_\theta} = \bigcup_{\nu_i} E^M \subset M$.

Contr! (Q.E.D) (Case 1)

Case 2 Case 1 fails.

Then M_θ is a non simple iterate of M and $\bar{M}_\theta = M_\theta$. Let i be maximal s.t. $i+1 \in$

is a truncation pt. in $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}$. We know;

$\alpha = \omega \rho_{M_\theta}^m$, since $M_\theta = \bar{M}_\theta$. Hence $\kappa_i \geq \alpha$,

since otherwise $\kappa_i < \alpha \leq \lambda_i$ and hence

$\alpha \leq \lambda_i = \pi_{\nu_{i+1}}(\kappa_i) < \omega \rho_{M_{i+1}}^m \leq \omega \rho_{M_\theta}^m$. Contr!

Hence $A \in \mathcal{F}(\nu_i) \cap \sum_{i=1}^{(m)} (M_{\bar{Y}} \parallel \nu_i)$

where $\bar{3} = T(i+1)$. Hence $A \in M_{\bar{3}}$. It follows exactly as in Case 1 that $A \in M$. Contral! QED (Claim).

But then $n_i \geq d$ for $i \in \theta$ in \bar{y} & hence $\omega p_{\bar{M}}^h = \omega p_{M_\theta}^h$ for $h \geq m$, since

$$d = \omega p_{\bar{M}}^m. \text{ But then } d = \omega p_{M_\theta}^m = \omega p_M^m.$$

It follows as in Case 2 that

$n_i \geq d$ for $i < \theta$ in \bar{y} . Hence $\omega p_{M_\theta}^h = \omega p_M^h$ for $h \geq m$. Hence:

$$\rho_M^{m+n} = \rho_{M_\theta}^{m+n} = \rho_{\bar{M}}^{m+n} = \rho_{\bar{M}^{m, \bar{P}}}^m = \rho_{M^{m, P}}^m.$$

Contral! QED (Lemma 2)

By §7 Lemma 4 and Lemma 6 we know that if $\bar{M} = \text{core}(M)$ and σ is the core map, then $\sigma(p_{\bar{M}}) = p_M$. We prove this in a more general form. We define:

Def Let $\omega p^{n+1} \leq \alpha \leq \omega p^n$ in M .

Set $X = h_{M^m, P_M^m}(\alpha \cup q)$, where

$q = p_M^n \setminus \alpha$. Let $\sigma': \bar{W} \xrightarrow{\sim} W \setminus X$, where

$W = M^m, P_M^m$. Let \bar{M}, \bar{P} be s.t.

$\bar{M}^m, \bar{P} = \bar{W}$, $\bar{P} \in P_{\bar{M}}$. Let

$\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m M$ s.t. $\sigma \upharpoonright \bar{W} = \sigma'$,

$\sigma(\bar{P}) = p_M^m$. We call \bar{M} the α -

core of M ($\text{core}_\alpha(M)$) and σ the

α -core map. (Note There might

be more than one in satisfying

the above, but the choice of n

is easily seen not to matter.

We have $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow \sum^* M, 1$

(Clearly, $\text{core}(M) = \text{core}_{\omega p_M}^{\omega p}(M)$.)

Def N is round above α iff whenever $p \in P_N^*$, then each $x \in N$ has the form $x = f(\vec{\zeta}, p)$, where f is a good $\Sigma^*(N)$ map and $\vec{\zeta} < \alpha$. (Hence N is round iff N is round above ω_N^{ω} .)

A repetition of the proof of §7 Lemma 3.1 gives:

Lemma 3.1 Let $\bar{M} = \text{core}_\alpha(M)$. Then \bar{M} is round above α iff $\sigma(p_{\bar{M}}) = p_M$, where σ is the α -core map.

But:

Lemma 3.2 Let M be a mouse. Let $\bar{M} = \text{core}_\alpha(M)$ with core map σ . Then $\sigma(p_{\bar{M}}) = p_M$ and $\sigma'' P_{\bar{M}}^* \subset P_M^*$.

Proof

$\sigma(p_{\bar{M}}) = p_M$ by §7 Lemma 2.1. Now let $q \in P_{\bar{M}}^*$. Then $p_{\bar{M}} = \bar{f}(\vec{\zeta}, \bar{q})$, where $\vec{\zeta} < \alpha$ and \bar{f} is a good $\Sigma^*(M)$ fun. Hence $p_M = \sigma(p_{\bar{M}}) = f(\vec{\zeta}, q)$, where $q = \sigma(\bar{q})$ and f has the same functionally absolute definition over M . Hence $q \in P_M^*$.

QED (Lemma 3.2)

Def Let F be an extender at κ, λ on a suitable $U \in \mathcal{H}(\kappa)$. Let $X \subset \lambda$, F is generated by X iff for every $\alpha < \lambda$ there are $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in X$ such $f \in (\kappa_\alpha) \cap U^{(m+1)}$ s.t.

$$\langle \alpha, \vec{\beta} \rangle \in F(\{ \langle \xi, \vec{\gamma} \rangle \mid \xi = f(\vec{\gamma}) \}).$$

(Thus if $\pi: M \xrightarrow{F} M'$, this means that $M' =$ the Σ_0 -closure of $\text{rng}(\pi) \cup X$.)

We now attempt to generalize to mice the "condensation lemma" for structures J_α . This says that if $\pi: N \xrightarrow{\Sigma_1} J_\alpha$ and N is transitive, then $N = J_\alpha^-$ is a segment of J_α . The best version we can obtain for mice is:

Lemma 4 Let M be a mouse and \bar{M} a premouse. Let $\sigma: \bar{M} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_0} M$. Set $\nu = \max \{ \xi \mid \sigma \upharpoonright \xi = \text{id} \}$ and let σ be $\Sigma_0^{(m)}$ -preserving for $\omega p_{\bar{M}}^m > \nu$. Then \bar{M} is a mouse. Moreover, if $\omega p_{\bar{M}}^\omega \leq \nu$ and \bar{M} is solid above ν , then one of the following holds:

(a) $\bar{M} = \text{core}_*(M)$ and σ is the core map

(b) $\bar{M} = M \parallel \gamma$ for an $\eta < \text{ht}(M)$.

(c) $\pi: M \parallel \gamma \xrightarrow[E_\mu^M]^* \bar{M}$, where:

(i) $\nu \leq \gamma < \text{ht}(M)$ and $\omega p_{M \parallel \gamma}^\omega < \nu$

(ii) $\mu \leq \omega \gamma$

(iii) $\nu = \kappa + M \parallel \gamma$ where $\kappa = \text{crit}(E_\mu^M)$

(iv) E_μ^M is generated by $\{u\}$.

Remarks

(1) If $\omega p_{\bar{M}}^\omega < \nu$ and (b) holds, then

$\gamma =$ the least $\gamma \geq \nu$ s.t. $\omega p_{M \parallel \gamma}^\omega < \nu$.

(2) If (c) holds, then γ has the same definition and μ is uniquely determined by (iv).

We prove Lemma 4 by \mathcal{R} -induction on M where: $\bar{N} \mathcal{R} N \iff \bar{N}$ is a non simple iterate of the mouse N . \mathcal{R} is well founded. Note first that if $\nu = \text{On} \cap \bar{M}$, then \bar{M} is a segment of M + there is nothing to prove. (If then $\bar{F} = F^{\bar{M}} \neq \emptyset$, $F = F^{\text{On} \cap M}$, then $\sigma(\bar{F}(x)) = F(x)$ for $x \in \#(k) \cap \bar{M} = \#(k) \cap M$, and it follows easily that $\bar{M} = M$.)

Hence we assume w.l.o.g. that $\nu = \text{crit}(\sigma)$. If $\text{wp}_{\bar{M}}^{\omega} > \nu$, then $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow_{\Sigma^*} M$ and hence \bar{M} is a mouse, which is all there was to prove.

So let $\text{wp}_{\bar{M}}^{\omega} \leq \nu$. We can assume w.l.o.g. that:

(*) σ witnesses the goodness of $\langle \bar{M}, M, \nu \rangle$.

To see this, suppose that (*) fails. Then there is $\alpha < \nu$ which is a cardinal in \bar{M} but not in M . Hence $\text{wp}_{\bar{M}}^1 \leq \nu$, since otherwise σ is not $\Sigma_0^{(1)}$ -preserving. Let $\bar{M} = \langle \bigcup_{\beta} \bar{E}_{\beta}, \bar{F} \rangle$ and $M = \langle \bigcup_{\beta} E_{\beta}, F \rangle$.

Claim $\bar{F} = \emptyset$

If not, then, letting $\bar{\alpha} = \text{crit}(\bar{F})$, $\bar{\lambda} = F(\bar{\alpha})$

$\lambda = \sigma(\bar{\lambda})$ we have $\lambda = F(\sigma(\bar{\alpha})) =$ the largest cardinal in M . Hence α is a cardinal in $M \parallel \lambda = \sigma(\bar{\alpha})$. Hence α is a cardinal in M . Contr! QED (Claim)

Now let $\omega_{\beta}^{\tilde{M}} = \sup \sigma'' \omega_{\beta}$, $\tilde{M} = \langle \bigcup_{\beta} E_{\beta}^M, \emptyset \rangle$.

Then $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow \sum_1 \tilde{M}$ cofinally, where $\omega_{\beta}^{\tilde{M}} \leq \nu$ and σ witnesses the goodness of $\langle \tilde{M}, \bar{M}, \nu \rangle$. Thus \tilde{M} is a proper segment of $M \neq$ hence a round mouse. It suffices to prove the theorem with \tilde{M} in place of M , noting that if (a) holds, \dots for \tilde{M} , then $\bar{M} = \tilde{M}$ by roundness.

From now on assume (*)

Let $\omega_{\beta}^{n+1} \leq \nu < \omega_{\beta}^n$ in \bar{M} , where

$$\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow \sum_0^{(n)} M.$$

Case 1 $E_{\bar{z}}^M = \emptyset$ for $\bar{z} \geq \nu$.

Then $E_{\bar{z}}^{\bar{M}} = \emptyset$ for $\bar{z} \geq \nu$ and \bar{M} is a segment of M , hence a mouse. If \bar{M} is a proper segment, we are done. Let $\bar{M} = M$. Then $\omega_{\bar{M}}^{\rho^h} = \omega_M^{\rho^h}$ for all h and it follows easily that $\sigma: \bar{M} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^*} M$. Hence $\sigma(p_M) = p_M$. But $\sigma \upharpoonright \nu = \text{id}$ and M is sound above ν . Hence $\sigma = \text{id}$. Contr! QED (Case 1)

Case 2 Case 1 fails.

Then M is uniquely smoothly iterable. Let $\langle \bar{y}, y \rangle$ be the coiteration of $\langle \bar{M}, M, \nu \rangle$ against \bar{M} , where $\bar{y} = \langle \langle \bar{M}_i \rangle, \dots, \langle \bar{\pi}_{i_i} \rangle, \bar{i} \rangle$, $y = \langle \langle M_i \rangle, \dots, \langle \pi_{i_i} \rangle, i \rangle$ and $|y| = \theta$. Then $\theta \geq 0$ in \bar{y} and \bar{M}_θ is a simple iterate of M above ν in \bar{y} and a segment of M_θ . Hence M_θ is a mouse. But $\bar{\pi}_{\theta_\theta}: \bar{M} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^*} M_\theta$. Hence \bar{M} is a mouse.

From now on assume that \bar{M} is round above ν . Since $\bar{\pi}_{0\theta} \upharpoonright \nu = \text{id}$, $\bar{\pi}_{0\theta}(P_{\bar{M}}) = P_M$, it follows that $\bar{M} = \text{core}_\nu(\bar{M}_\theta)$ and $\bar{\pi}_{0\theta}$ is the core map. From now on set: $\bar{\pi} = \bar{\pi}_{0\theta}$, $\pi = \pi_{0\theta}$. We consider three cases:

Case 2.1 $M_\theta = \bar{M}_\theta$ is a simple iterate of M in \mathcal{Y} .

Then $\omega_{\bar{M}}^{n+1} = \omega_{M_\theta}^{n+1} \leq \nu$, since $\bar{\pi}_i \geq \nu$ for $i < \theta$ in \bar{T} , $i \in \bar{D}$. Hence

(1) $\kappa_i \geq \omega_M^{n+1}$ for $i < \theta$ in T , $i \in D$.

Hence:

(2) $\rho_{\bar{M}}^h = \rho_M^h = \rho_{M_\theta}^h$ for $h > n$.

(3) $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow \sum_0^{\infty} M$ cofinally.

proof.

Suppose not. Set $\omega_\delta = \sup \sigma \omega_{\bar{M}}^n$.

Let $\bar{P} = P_{\bar{M}}$, $P = \sigma(\bar{P})$, $A = A^{M, P, n}$.

$$Q = (M^{M, P, n} \upharpoonright \delta) = \langle J_\delta^{E^M}, A \cap J_\delta^{E^M} \rangle.$$

Then $\sigma : \bar{M}^{n+1}, \bar{p}^{n+1} \rightarrow \bigcup_0 Q$ cofinally

and $\sigma \circ \omega_p^{n+1} = \text{id}$. Set:

$$B = \omega_{\bar{M}}^{n+1} \wedge A^{n+1, p_{\bar{M}}^{n+1}}. \text{ Then}$$

$B \notin \bar{M}$ and $B \in \bigcup_1 Q$. But $Q \in M$.

Hence $B \in M$. By (1) and the fact that $\bar{\pi}_i \geq \nu$ for $i < \theta$ in \bar{T} , we

conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} B \in \mathcal{F}(\omega_p^{n+1}) \cap M_\theta &= \mathcal{F}(\omega_p^{n+1}) \cap \bar{M}_\theta = \\ &= \mathcal{F}(\omega_p^{n+1}) \cap \bar{M}. \text{ Contr! QED (3).} \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (2):

$$(4) \sigma : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bigcup_{\neq} M,$$

Hence $\sigma(p_{\bar{M}}) = p_M$. Since \bar{M} is round above ν and $\sigma \circ \nu = \text{id}$, we conclude $\bar{M} = \text{core}_\nu(M)$ and σ is the core map. QED (Case 2.1)

Case 2.2 \bar{M}_θ is a proper segment of M_θ .

Then \bar{M}_θ is sound and $\omega_{\bar{M}_\theta}^{n+1} = \omega_{\bar{M}}^{n+1} \leq \nu$.

It follows easily that $\bar{M}_\theta = \bar{M}$ and $\bar{\pi} = \text{id}$. If $0 < \theta$, then $\nu_0 > \text{On} \cap \bar{M}$,

since otherwise $\nu_0 \leq \text{On} \cap \bar{M}$ is a cardinal in M_θ + hence $\omega_{\bar{M}}^{n+1} \geq \nu_0$. Hence

$\nu_0 = \nu = \omega_{\bar{M}}^{n+1}$. But then λ_0 is a cardinal in $J_{\nu_0}^{EM}$, hence in \bar{M} , hence in M . But $\omega_{M \parallel \nu_0}^\omega < \lambda_0$.

Contr!

But then \bar{M} is a proper segment of $J_{\nu_0}^{EM}$, hence of M . QED (Case 2.2)

Case 2.3 $M_\theta = \bar{M}_\theta$ is a non simple iterate of M in \mathcal{Y} .

This is the most difficult case.

We shall prove (c).

Let i_0 be minimal in $D = \{i \mid E_{\nu_i}^{M_i} \neq \emptyset\}$

Then $M_{i_0} = M$ and $\lambda_{i_0} = \bar{\pi}_{i_0, i_0 \pm 1}(\nu_{i_0}) =$
 $=$ the largest cardinal in $J_{\nu_{i_0}}^{EM}$.

Clearly $\nu_{i_0} \geq \nu$. Moreover;

(1) $\lambda_{i_0} \geq \nu$,

proof. Otherwise $\nu = \nu_{i_0}$ and $i_0 = 0$.
But then λ_{i_0} is a cardinal in \bar{M} ,
hence in M . But $\omega p_{M \parallel \nu_0}^\omega < \lambda_{i_0}$. Contr!

But then $\kappa_i \geq \nu$ for $i \leq \theta$ in T , $i \in D$.

Since ν is a cardinal in M_θ , we
conclude:

(2) ν is a cardinal in $J_{\nu_{i_0}}^{EM}$

Since ν_i is a cardinal in M_i for
 $i > i_0$, we conclude:

(3) ν is a cardinal in M_i for $i > i_0$.

Now let i be maximal st. $i+1 \leq \theta$
in T and $\gamma_i < \text{ht}(M_\xi)$, $\xi = T(i+1)$,

(4) $\xi = T(i+1) = i_0$

proof.

Suppose not. Then ν_{i_0} is a cardinal
in M_ξ . Hence $\kappa_i \geq \omega p_{M_\xi \parallel \gamma_i}^\omega \geq \nu_{i_0} > \nu$,

Hence $\omega p_{\bar{M}}^\omega = \omega p_{M_\theta}^\omega = \omega p_{M_\xi \parallel \gamma_i}^\omega > \nu$.

Contr!

(5) $u_i < v$.

Suppose not. Let $x \in \bar{M}$. Then $x = \bar{f}(\bar{z}, p_{\bar{M}})$ where \bar{f} is a good $\Sigma_1^{(m)}$ function and $\bar{z} < v$. Then

$\pi^{-1}\bar{\pi}(x) = f(\bar{z}, p_{M \parallel \gamma_i}) \in M \parallel \gamma_i$, where f has the same functionally absolute definition over $M \parallel \gamma_i$.

Conversely $\bar{\pi}\pi^{-1}(x) \in \bar{M}$ for $x \in M \parallel \gamma_i$ by the same argument.

Hence $\bar{M} = M \parallel \gamma_i$, $\pi^{-1}\bar{\pi} = \text{id}$, and Case 2.2 holds. Contr. QED(5)

(Here $\bar{\pi} = \bar{\pi}_0 \theta$, $\pi = \pi_0 \theta$).

Now let $u = u_i$, $\gamma = \gamma_i$.

(6) $\kappa + M \parallel \gamma = \nu$,

since otherwise $\kappa + M \parallel \gamma = \kappa + \bigcup_{\nu_i} E^{M_i}$ is a cardinal in M . Hence $\gamma = \text{ht}(M)$. Contr!

Hence by definition:

(7) $\gamma =$ the least $\gamma \geq \nu$ s.t. $\omega_{M \parallel \gamma}^\omega < \nu$,

(8) $\kappa = \omega_{M \parallel \gamma}^\omega$

pf.

(\leq) $\omega_{M \parallel \gamma}^\omega < \nu$

(\geq) κ_i is a cardinal in M QED (8)

Since $\text{crit}(\bar{\pi}) \geq \nu$, $\text{crit}(\pi) = \kappa < \nu$, $\omega_{M \parallel \gamma}^{m+1} < \nu \leq \omega_{M \parallel \gamma}^m$ in \bar{M} , $\pi, \bar{\pi}$ are Σ^* -preserving and $\nu = \kappa + \bar{M} \parallel \gamma$, we conclude:

(9) $\omega_{M \parallel \gamma}^h = \omega_{M \parallel \gamma}^h = \omega_{\bar{M}}^h$ for $h > m$.

Clearly:

(10) $\pi^*: M \parallel \gamma \rightarrow_{\Sigma^*} \bar{M}$ where $\pi^* = \bar{\pi}^{-1} \pi$, and $\kappa = \text{crit}(\pi^*)$.

(11) $\sup \pi^* \omega_{M \parallel \gamma}^m = \omega_{\bar{M}}^m$

pf.

$\sup \pi \omega_{M \parallel \gamma}^m = \omega_{M \parallel \gamma}^m$ since $\kappa_i \geq \kappa$ for $i+1 \leq \theta$ in T

$\sup \bar{\pi} \omega_{\bar{M}}^m = \omega_{\bar{M}}^m$ " $\bar{\kappa}_i \geq \nu$ for $i+1 \leq \theta$ in \bar{T} .

Def F at κ, ν on $M \parallel \gamma$ is defined by:

$$F(X) = \pi^*(X) \cap \nu.$$

(Hence $F(X) = \pi(X) \cap \nu$, since $\bar{\pi} \cap \nu = \text{id.}$)

$$(12) (\pi^* \uparrow H_{M \parallel \gamma}^m) : H_{M \parallel \gamma}^m \xrightarrow{F} H_{\bar{M}}^m$$

pf.

We must show: $H_{\bar{M}}^m =$ the Σ_0 closure of $\nu \cup \text{rng}(\pi^* \uparrow H_{M \parallel \gamma}^m)$. Let $x \in H_{\bar{M}}^m$, $x = f(\bar{z}, P_{\bar{M}})$, where $\bar{z} < \nu$ and f is a good $\Sigma_1^{(m)}$ fcn. Let:

$$y = f(z, w) \leftrightarrow \forall u \in P(u, z, w),$$

where P is $\Sigma_0^{(m)}$ + this def. is functionally absolute. Let $\delta \in \omega_{M \parallel \gamma}^m$ s.t. $\forall u \in J_{\pi^*(\delta)}^{E^{\bar{M}}} P(u, x, \bar{z}, P_{\bar{M}})$. Let

\bar{P} have the same $\Sigma_0^{(m)}$ def. over $M \parallel \gamma$ + set:

$$g(\bar{z}) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } \forall u \in J_{\delta}^{E^M} \bar{P}(u, x, \bar{z}, P_{M \parallel \gamma}) \\ 0 & \text{if not} \end{cases}$$

for $\bar{z} < \kappa$. Then $g \in H_{M \parallel \gamma}^m$ and

$$x = \pi^*(g)(\bar{z}). \quad \text{QED (12)}$$

$$(13) \pi^* : M \parallel \gamma \xrightarrow{F} \bar{M},$$

since $\bar{M} =$ the closure of $H_{\bar{M}}^m$ under good $\Sigma_0^{(m-1)}$ fcn if $m > 0$, by soundness above $\nu \leq \omega_{\bar{M}}^m$.

Now set: $\lambda^* = \pi^*(\kappa)$, $\nu^* = \pi^*(\nu)$. Define an extender F^* at κ, λ^* on $M \parallel \gamma$ by $F^*(X) = \pi^*(X)$. Then $F = F^* \upharpoonright \nu$ and by (13):

$$(14) \pi^* : M \parallel \gamma \xrightarrow{F^*} \bar{M}.$$

We also note:

(15) F^* is generated by $\{\kappa\}$.

pf.

Let $\alpha < \lambda^*$. Then $\alpha = \pi^*(f)(\beta)$ for a $\beta < \nu$, $f \in (\kappa)^{M \parallel \gamma}$ by (12).

Let $a \in \kappa^2$, $a \in M \parallel \gamma$ not, $\beta = \text{otp}(a)$.

For $\bar{z} < \kappa$ set: $f(\bar{z}) = f(\text{otp}(a \cap \bar{z}^2))$.

Then $\beta = \text{otp}(a) \upharpoonright \kappa = \text{otp}(\pi^*(a) \cap \kappa^2)$

and $\alpha = \pi^*(f)(\beta)$. QED (15)

(16) $\bar{N} = \langle \bigcup_{\nu^*} E^{\bar{M}}, F^* \rangle$ is amenable, by §1 Lemma 6.

The theorem will be proven when we show:

Claim $\nu^* \leq \omega \gamma$ and $F^* = E^{\bar{M}}_{\nu^*}$.

We proceed by cases as follows:

Case 2.3.1 $v_i \in M_i$.

Then $N = \langle J_{v_i}^{E^{M_i}}, E_{v_i}^{M_i} \rangle$ is sound.

$$(17) E_{v_i}^{M_i} \upharpoonright v = F$$

pf.

Let $\alpha < v$. Then $\bar{\pi}(\alpha) = \alpha$ and:

$$\alpha \in E_{v_i}^{M_i}(X) \iff \alpha \in \pi_{\alpha, i+1}(X) \iff$$

$$\iff \alpha \in \pi(X) \iff \alpha \in \bar{\pi}^{-1}\pi(X) = \bar{\pi}^*(X)$$

$$\iff \alpha \in F(X), \quad \text{QED (17)}$$

Since $\pi^*: M \upharpoonright \gamma \xrightarrow{v} \bar{M}$, $\pi_{\alpha, i+1}: M \upharpoonright \gamma \xrightarrow{v} E_{v_i}^{M_{i+1}}$

and $\langle \text{id}, \text{id} \rangle: \langle M \upharpoonright \gamma, F \rangle \xrightarrow{v} \langle M \upharpoonright \gamma, E_{v_i}^{M_i} \rangle$,

we can define $k: \bar{M} \xrightarrow{\sum v} \sum v M_{i+1}$ by:

$$k(\pi^*(f)(\alpha)) = \pi_{\alpha, i+1}(f)(\alpha) \text{ for}$$

$f \in \Gamma^*(\kappa, M \upharpoonright \gamma)$. Clearly:

$$(18) k \upharpoonright (v+1) = \text{id}$$

Set: $\bar{k} = k \upharpoonright \bar{N}$. Then:

$$(19) \bar{k}: \bar{N} \xrightarrow{\sum v} N$$

pf.

$k \pi^* = \pi_{\alpha, i+1}$. Hence $k(v^*) = v_i$ and

$\bar{k}: J_{v^*}^{E^{\bar{N}}} \xrightarrow{\sum v} J_{v_i}^{E^{M_i}}$. Moreover, \bar{k} is

cofinal in $\bigcup_{\nu_i} E^{\nu_i}$, since $\nu^* = \sup \pi^{\nu}$ and $\nu_i = \sup \pi_{0, i+1}^{\nu}$. Thus it suffices

to show: Claim $\bar{k}(u \cap F^*) = \bar{k}(u) \cap F^N$ for $u \in \bar{N}$. This follows from:

Claim $k(F^* \cap u) = F^N \cap u$ for $u \in \bar{N}$.

Assume w.l.o.g. $u \cap \#(u) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\#(u) \cap u = \{A_i \mid i < \kappa\}$, where $A = \langle A_i \mid i < \kappa \rangle \in \bar{N}$. Then

for $A^* = \pi^*(A)$, $A' = \pi_{0, i+1}(A)$, we have

$\bar{k}(A^*) = A'$ and:

$$\bar{F} \cap u = \{ \langle A_i^* \cap \kappa, A_i^* \rangle \mid i < \kappa \}$$

$$F^N \cap u = \{ \langle A_i' \cap \kappa, A_i' \rangle \mid i < \kappa \}.$$

Hence $\bar{k}(\bar{F} \cap u) = F^N \cap u$. QED (19)

(20) $\omega_{\bar{N}}^1 \leq \nu$ and \bar{N} is round above ν with $P_{\bar{N}} \setminus \nu = \emptyset$.

proof.

Claim $h_{\bar{N}}(\nu) = \bar{N}$.

(a) $\lambda^* \subset h_{\bar{N}}(\nu)$, since if $\alpha < \lambda^*$, there

is $f \in ({}^\kappa \kappa)^{\bar{N}}$, $\xi < \nu$ s.t. $\alpha = \pi^*(f)(\xi)$.

But then f = the ξ -th element of

$\bigcup_{\nu^*} E^{\bar{N}}$ for a $\xi < \nu$ and

$\alpha =$ that α s.t. $\langle \delta, \alpha \rangle \in F^{\nu}(\{ \langle \delta, \beta \rangle < \kappa \mid f(\delta) = \beta \})$

QED (a)

(b) $\nu^* \subset h_{\bar{N}}(\nu)$.

It suffices to show: $\pi^* \nu \subset h_{\bar{N}}(\nu)$,
 since then $\pi^* \nu$ is cofinal in ν^*
 and for each $\zeta < \nu^*$ there is
 $f \in \bar{N}$ mapping λ^* onto ζ , where
 $\lambda^* \equiv \pi^*(\lambda) \in h_{\bar{N}}(\nu)$. Let $\bar{\zeta} = \pi^*(\zeta)$.

Let $\bar{a} \in \bar{N}$, $\bar{a} \in \alpha^2$ s.t. $\text{otp}(\bar{a}) = \bar{\zeta}$.

Then $\bar{a} \in h_{\bar{N}}(\nu)$. Hence $a = F(\bar{a}) \in h_{\bar{N}}(\nu)$.

Hence $\zeta = \text{otp}(a) \in h_{\bar{N}}(\nu)$.

QED (20)

We are arguing by induction on the
 relation \bar{R} and it is obvious that
 $N \bar{R} M$. Hence, by (20) and the
 incl. hyp. one of the following hold

(a) $\bar{N} = \text{core}_{\delta}(N) + \pi^*$ is the core map,
 where $\delta = \text{crit}(\pi^*)$

(b) \bar{N} is a proper segment of N ,

(c) $\pi' : N \parallel \gamma' \xrightarrow{E_{\bar{N}}^{\pi'}} \bar{N}$, where $\bar{\zeta} \leq \omega \gamma$
 and γ' is least s.t. $\omega \gamma' < \delta$ and

$\delta = \text{crit}(\pi^*) = \kappa' + N \parallel \gamma'$, where

$\kappa' = \text{crit}(E_{\bar{N}}^{\pi'})$,

If (a) holds, then $\bar{N} = N$, since N is sound.

If (b) holds, then \bar{N} is a segment of N , (c) cannot hold, since then $\kappa' \geq \nu'$ and hence \bar{N} would not be sound above ν . Contr!

Thus \bar{N} is a segment of N , which is a proper segment of M_i .

If $i = i_0$, then $M_i = M$ and $\nu^* \leq \nu_{i_0} < \gamma$.

Now let $i > i_0$. Then ν_{i_0} is a cardinal in M_i . But $\omega_{\bar{N}}^1 \leq \nu < \nu_{i_0}$. Hence

\bar{N} is a proper segment of $J_{\nu_{i_0}}^{EM}$,

hence of $M \parallel \gamma$. QED (Case 2.3.1,

Case 2.3.2

Case 2.3.2 Case 2.3.1 fails and M_i is a non simple iterate of M in \mathcal{Y} ,

Then $\nu_i = \text{On} \cap M_i$, (17) $(E_{\nu_i}^{M_i} | \nu = F)$ follows exactly as before.

Let $j =$ the maximal j st. $j+1 \leq i$ in T and $j+1$ is a truncation point. Let $\mathcal{Z} = T(j+1)$. Since $\nu_j \geq \nu_{i_0} > \nu$, we know that:

$$(21) \left(E_{\text{On} \cap M_{j+1}}^{M_{j+1}} | \nu \right) = \left(E_{\nu_i}^{M_i} | \nu \right) = F,$$

Set: $F' = E_{\omega \gamma_i}^{M_{\mathcal{Z}}} | \gamma_i$, Then

$$(22) \text{crit} (F') \leq \text{crit} \left(E_{\text{On} \cap M_{j+1}}^{M_{j+1}} \right). \text{ But}$$

$$\text{crit} \left(E_{\text{On} \cap M_{j+1}}^{M_{j+1}} \right) = \kappa,$$

since $\kappa_h \geq \lambda_j \geq \lambda_{i_0}$ for $h > j$.

Hence:

$$(23) \kappa_j > \nu,$$

since otherwise $\text{crit} (F') < \kappa_j$ in which case $\text{crit} \left(E_{\text{On} \cap M_{j+1}}^{M_{j+1}} \right) = \text{crit} (F') < \kappa_j \leq \kappa$, or else $\text{crit} (F') \geq \kappa_j$ and

$$\text{crit} \left(E_{\text{On} \cap M_{j+1}}^{M_{j+1}} \right) \geq \tau_{\mathcal{Z}(j+1)}(\kappa_j) = \lambda_j > \kappa,$$

Contr!

Q.E.D. (23)

Hence:

(24) $\text{crit}(F') = \kappa$, and

$$F' \upharpoonright \nu = E_{\text{On} \cap M_{i+1}^c}^{M_{i+1}} \quad (\nu = F).$$

Since $\gamma_3 < \text{ht}(M_3)$, we know that

$$M_3 \parallel \gamma_3 = \langle \bigcup_{\gamma_3} E^{M_3}, F' \rangle \text{ is sound.}$$

We repeat the above argument with $M_3 \parallel \gamma_3$ in place of N to show that \bar{N} is a segment of $M_3 \parallel \gamma_3$,

if $\bar{3} = 0$, then $\kappa_3 < \lambda_{i_0} < \gamma$. Hence

$$\kappa_3 + M_3 \parallel \gamma_3 < \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_3 \leq \gamma.$$

Thus \bar{N} is a segment of $M \parallel \gamma$.

Now let $\bar{3} > 0$. Then $\bar{3} \geq i_0 + 1$.

Hence λ_{i_0} is a cardinal in M_3 .

Since $\text{wp}_{\bar{N}}^1 \leq \nu < \lambda_{i_0}$, it follows

that \bar{N} is a proper segment of $\bigcup_{\lambda_{i_0}} E^M$, hence of $M \parallel \gamma$.

QED (Case 3.3.2)

Case 2.3.3 The above cases fail.

We show that this cannot occur.

We have: $\nu_i = \text{On} \cap M_i$ and M_i is a simple iterate of M in γ , $i \neq i_0$, since $\nu_{i_0} < \gamma < \text{ht}(M)$. Let j be minimal st. $j+1 \leq i$ in T . Then $T(j+1) = 0$. Then $\kappa_j < \gamma$, since otherwise $M \parallel \gamma$ is a segment of M_{j+1}^+ hence of M_{i+1} , since $\kappa_h > \kappa_j$ for $h > j$. Hence $M \parallel \gamma$ is a non simple iterate of itself. Contr! But then $\kappa_j \leq \kappa = \text{the } M \parallel \gamma \text{-predecessor of } \nu$, since κ_j is a cardinal in M . Let $\bar{\kappa} = \text{crit}(E_{\text{On} \cap M})$. If $\bar{\kappa} < \kappa_j$, then $\kappa = \text{crit}(E_{\text{On} \cap M_{j+1}}) = \bar{\kappa} < \kappa_j \leq \kappa$. Contr! If $\kappa_j \leq \bar{\kappa}$, then $\kappa \geq \text{crit}(E_{\text{On} \cap M_{j+1}}) \geq \pi_{0, j+1}(\kappa_j) = \lambda_j > \nu > \kappa$. Contr!

QED (Lemma 4)

Lemma 4.1 Let $M = \langle J_\nu^E, F \rangle$ be a mouse with $F \neq \emptyset$, $\lambda = \text{length}(F)$. Let $\kappa = \text{crit}(F)$. Then $\lambda = \sup \{ k(f)(\kappa) \mid f \in (\kappa_\kappa)^M \}$ where $k: M \xrightarrow[F]{*} N$.

proof.

Suppose not. Let $\bar{\lambda} = \sup \{ k(f)(\kappa) \mid f \in (\kappa_\kappa)^M \}$
 $\bar{\lambda} < \lambda$. It is easily seen that:

(1) $\bar{\lambda}$ is a limit cardinal in M .

(2) $(\alpha < \bar{\lambda} \wedge f \in (\kappa_\kappa)^M) \rightarrow k(f)(\alpha) < \bar{\lambda}$.

pf.

Let $\pi(g)(\kappa) > \alpha$, $g \in (\kappa_\kappa)^M$. Set:

$h(\xi) = \text{lub} \{ f(\xi) \mid \xi < g(\xi) \}$ for

$\xi < \kappa$. Then $h \in (\kappa_\kappa)^M$ and

$k(f)(\alpha) < k(h)(\alpha) < \bar{\lambda}$. QED(2).

Let $\bar{F} = F \upharpoonright \bar{\lambda}$. Then

$\langle \text{id}, \text{id} \upharpoonright \bar{\lambda} \rangle: \langle M, \bar{F} \rangle \xrightarrow[*]{*} \langle M, F \rangle$.

Hence there is $\bar{k}: M \xrightarrow[F]{*} \bar{N}$ and

$\sigma: \bar{N} \xrightarrow[\Sigma^*]{*} N$ defined by:

$\sigma(\bar{k}(f)(\alpha)) = k(f)(\alpha)$. Clearly

$\sigma \upharpoonright \bar{\lambda} = \text{id}$ and $\sigma(\bar{\lambda}) = \sigma \bar{k}(\kappa) = k(\kappa) = \lambda$.

Hence σ witnesses the goodness of $\langle N, \bar{N}, \bar{\lambda} \rangle$. Let $\langle \bar{J}, \bar{J} \rangle$ be the coiteration of $\langle N, \bar{N}, \bar{\lambda} \rangle$ against N with $\bar{J} = \langle \langle \bar{N}_i \rangle, \dots, \langle \bar{\pi}_i \rangle, \bar{T} \rangle$, $J = \langle \langle N_i \rangle, \dots, \langle \pi_i \rangle, T \rangle$.

Then \bar{N}_θ is a simple iterate of \bar{N} in \bar{J} and a segment of N_θ . Hence \bar{N}_θ is a mouse. Hence $\kappa_\theta \in \bar{N}$. Let $\bar{\varepsilon} = \bar{\lambda} + \bar{N}$, $\bar{\pi}_i \geq \bar{\lambda}$ for $i+1 \leq \theta$ in \bar{J} .

Hence $\kappa_i \geq \bar{\varepsilon}$ for $i+1 \leq \theta$ in \bar{J} , since otherwise $\bar{\pi}_i + \text{J}_{\kappa_i}^{EM_i}$ is not a cardinal in $\bar{N}_{T(i+1)} + \bar{N}_\theta$ is a non simple iterate of \bar{N} . Contr! Hence $\text{J}_{\bar{\varepsilon}}^{EN} = \text{J}_{\bar{\varepsilon}}^{EN_{\bar{N}_\theta}} = \text{J}_{\bar{\varepsilon}}^{EN_{N_\theta}}$. Let i_0 be minimal s.t.

$E_{\kappa_{i_0}}^M \neq \emptyset$ (if there is such). Then $\kappa_{i_0} \geq \bar{\varepsilon}$, since otherwise $\bar{\lambda} < \kappa_{i_0} < \bar{\varepsilon}$

and κ_{i_0} is a cardinal in N_θ , hence in \bar{N}_θ . Contr! Thus $\text{J}_{\bar{\varepsilon}}^{EN} =$

$\text{J}_{\bar{\varepsilon}}^{EN}$. Thus $\bar{\varepsilon} \leq \bar{\lambda} + N$, since $\bar{\lambda}$ is the largest cardinal in $\text{J}_{\bar{\varepsilon}}^{EN}$.

Hence $\bar{c} < \lambda$ + hence $J_{\bar{c}}^{E\bar{N}} = J_{\bar{c}}^{EM}$.

Clearly $\langle J_{\bar{c}}^{EM}, \bar{F} \rangle = \langle J_{\bar{c}}^{E\bar{N}}, \bar{F} \rangle$

is a premouse, contradicting

§6 Cor 7.1. \square_{ED} (Lemma 4.1)

As a corollary of Lemma 4.1:

Cor 4.2 Let $M = \langle J_{\nu}^E, F \rangle$ as above be sound. Let $\beta < \lambda$.

Either β generates F or $F \upharpoonright \beta \in M$,

proof.

Let (w.l.o.g.) $\kappa < \beta$. Let

$$\bar{k}: (J_{\kappa^+}^E)^M \longrightarrow J_{\bar{\nu}}^{E\bar{N}}$$

$$k: (J_{\kappa^+}^E)^M \longrightarrow F \upharpoonright \beta$$

Then $M = \langle J_{\nu}^E, F \rangle$. Set:

$\bar{M} = \langle J_{\bar{\nu}}^{E\bar{N}}, \bar{F} \rangle$. Then \bar{M} is amenable

Let $\sigma: J_{\bar{\nu}}^{E\bar{N}} \rightarrow J_{\nu}^E$ be defined

by $\sigma(\bar{k}(f)(\alpha)) = k(f)(\alpha)$. Then

$\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow M$ cofinally by the

proof in Lemma 4.1. Moreover

where $\bar{F}(x) = \bar{k}(x)$ for $x < \kappa$.

$$\omega_{\bar{M}}^1 \leq \beta \leq \text{crit}(\sigma),$$

since β generates \bar{F} .

Hence σ witnesses the goodness of $\langle M, \bar{M}, \delta \rangle$, where $\delta = \text{crit}(\sigma)$. Hence one of the following holds:

(a) $\bar{M} = \text{core}_\sigma(M)$

(b) \bar{M} is a proper segment of M

(c) $\pi: M \upharpoonright \gamma \xrightarrow{E_M^\pi} \bar{M}$, where $\gamma < \text{ht}(M)$

and $\tau \leq \gamma$.

An. case (a), $\bar{M} = M + \beta$ generates $F = \bar{F}$, since M is sound. An the other two cases $\bar{M} \in M$; hence $F \upharpoonright \beta = \bar{F} \upharpoonright \beta \in M$. QED (Cor 4.2)

Cor 4.3 Let M be as above. There is $\beta < \lambda$ which generates F .
proof.

$$\text{Set: } D_\beta = \{ \pi(f)(\alpha) \mid f \in M, f: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa^{+M}, \alpha < \beta \}$$

for $\beta \leq \lambda$. Then $M \subset D_\lambda$. Pick

$$\beta < \lambda \text{ s.t. } \omega_{\bar{M}}^1 \leq \beta \text{ and}$$

$P_M \subset D_\beta$. Let \bar{M} be as above with $F|\beta$, ~~Let~~ Let $\sigma: \bar{M} \rightarrow M$ be as above, $\bar{p} = \sigma^{-1}(p_M)$. Then

$$A_{\bar{M}}^{1, \bar{p}} = A_M^{1, p_M}, \text{ Hence } \bar{M} \notin M, \\ \text{since otherwise } A_M^{1, p_M} \in M. \text{ Hence } \\ \bar{M} = M. \quad \square \text{ED (Cor 4.3)}$$

As a corollary of the proof of Lemma 4:

Lemma 5 Let $p = \omega p_M^n \in M$, $\tau = p^{+M}$,
 Let $\bar{M} = \text{core}_p(M)$, $\bar{\tau} = p^{+\bar{M}}$
 and $J_{\bar{\tau}}^{E^{\bar{M}}} = J_{\tau}^{E^M}$.

proof.

If $\bar{M} = M$, there is nothing to prove, so let $\bar{M} \neq M$. Let σ be the core map, let v be as in Lemma 4. Then $p \leq v$, $v \neq 0_M \cap \bar{M}$, since \bar{M} is not a segment of M . Hence $v = \text{crit}(\bar{\sigma})$. Clearly (a) holds. Case 1 fails, since \bar{M} is not a segment of M . Thus Case 2 holds. Hence Case 2.1 holds, since otherwise (a) fails. Then $p = \omega p_M^n = \omega p_{M_\theta}^n$ since $\text{crit}(\bar{\sigma}) \geq v \geq p$. Hence $\kappa_i \geq p$ for $i < \theta$ in \mathcal{Y} . Hence ...

$\rho = \omega \rho^m = \omega \rho^m$, But $\pi, \bar{\pi}$ are iteration maps, and $\rho \in \text{crit}(\pi), \text{crit}(\bar{\pi})$
Hence $\tau = \rho^{+M} = \rho^{+M} = \rho^{+M\theta}$ and
 $J_{\tau}^{E^{\bar{M}}} = J_{\tau}^{E^M} = J_{\tau}^{E^{M\theta}}$. QED (Lemma 5)

(Note Since $\pi \rho = \text{id}$, we have
 $\text{rang}(\bar{\pi}) \subset \text{rang}(\pi)$, since $i^{\bar{M}} =$
 $= \text{core}_p(M\theta)$, $\bar{\pi} = \text{the core map}$.
Since $\bar{\pi}(p_M^-) = \bar{\pi}(p_M) = p_{M\theta}$, it
follows that $\sigma = \pi^{-1}\bar{\pi}$.)