

§1 Preliminaries

We find it most convenient to treat general iteration theory in terms of complete Boolean algebras. We write $A \subseteq B$ to mean that A, B are Boolean algebras, A is complete, and A is completely contained in B (i.e. $\text{id} \upharpoonright A$ is a complete homomorphism). We write "BA" as an abbreviation for "Boolean algebra". If $A \subseteq B$ and $b \in B$ we define the function $h = h_{A/B}$ by:

$$h(b) = \bigwedge \{a \in A \mid b \leq a\}.$$

Then $h(\bigcup_i b_i) = \bigcup_i h(b_i)$, $h(a \wedge b) = a \wedge h(b)$ if $a \in A$, and $b = 0 \iff h(b) = 0$.

(We denote the maximal and minimal elements of a BA by $1, 0$.)

For each complete BA we can form the canonical maximal B-valued model \mathcal{V}^B . The elements of \mathcal{V}^B are called names and there is a valuation function $\varphi \rightarrow \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_B$ attaching to each statement $\varphi = \varphi'(\vec{t})$ a truth value $\llbracket \varphi'(\vec{t}) \rrbracket \in B$. (Here φ is a ZFC formula and t_1, \dots, t_n are names.) If $A: \mathcal{V}^B \rightarrow B$, we may also add a predicate A° to

The language, setting:

$$\llbracket t \in A \rrbracket = \bigcup_{s \in V^B} \llbracket s = t \rrbracket \cap A \upharpoonright s.$$

We can enrich our language further by closing the Σ_0 formulae under infinite disjunction $\bigvee_{i \in I} \varphi_i$ and

conjunction $\bigwedge_{i \in I} \varphi_i$, where I is any

set. We shall generally take V^B as an identity model in the sense:

$$\llbracket s = t \rrbracket = 1 \rightarrow s = t. \quad *$$

Thus e.g. $\{x \mid \llbracket x \in y \rrbracket = 1\}$ is a set, not a proper class. We also write:

$$a \Vdash \varphi \iff (a \in B \setminus \{0\} \wedge a \subset \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket).$$

$$\Vdash \varphi \iff_{nt} 1 \Vdash \varphi.$$

If $A \subseteq B$, there is a

$$\sigma: V^A \rightarrow V^B \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\llbracket \varphi(\vec{t}) \rrbracket = \llbracket \varphi(\sigma(\vec{t}')) \rrbracket$$

where φ is a Σ_0 formula, $t_1, \dots, t_n \in V^B$,

$$\text{and } \llbracket s \in \sigma(t) \rrbracket = \bigcap_{s' \in V^A} (\llbracket s = s' \rrbracket \cap \llbracket s' \in t \rrbracket_A)$$

for $s \in V^B, t \in V^A$.

*) If we have defined V^B without this property we can achieve it by a simple factorisation.

Since it is unimportant what the actual elements of V^A, V^B are, we can easily arrange that $\sigma = id$, when dealing with specific A, B . We shall also follow this convention when dealing with a chain $\langle B_i \mid i < \alpha \rangle$ s.t. $B_i \subseteq B_j$ for $i \leq j < \alpha$.

The simplest BA is $\mathbb{2} = \{0, 1\}$. $V^{\mathbb{2}}$ is isomorphic to V in an obvious sense.

Let $x \mapsto \check{x}$ be the isomorphism of V onto $V^{\mathbb{2}}$.

Following the convention that the above map $\sigma: V^{\mathbb{2}} \rightarrow V^B$ is the identity

we have: $\llbracket t \in \check{x} \rrbracket = \bigcup_{z \in x} \llbracket t = z \rrbracket$ in V^B .

\rightarrow If W is an inner model of ZFC and $B \in W$ is complete in the sense of W , we can of course form $W^B = (V^B)_W$ by relativizing the definition of

V^B to W . (However, we may not be able to define W^B internally as an identity model if W does not model full ZFC or have a definable well ordering.) If $B \in W$ is complete in W ,

we say that $G \subset B$ is a B -generic set over W iff G is an ultrafilter on B which is complete w.t. W in the sense:

The predicate \check{x} is, as usual, defined by $\llbracket t \in \check{x} \rrbracket = \bigcup_{x \in V} \llbracket t = x \rrbracket$.

$$\bigcup_{i \in X} b_i \in G \iff \forall i \in X \ b_i \in G$$

for $X \subset B$, $X \in W$. (Equivalently, $G \subset B \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. $G \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$ whenever $\Delta \in W$ is dense in $B \setminus \{0\}$.)

If $\overline{\#(B) \cap W} \leq \omega$ then there is a generic $G \ni b$ for each $b \in B \setminus \{0\}$. If B is complete in V it is often useful in analysing $V[B]$ to work in a mythical larger universe in which:
(*) V is an inner model and $\overline{\#(B) \cap V} \leq \omega$.

This is harmless, since if \mathbb{C} collapses $2^{\overline{B}}$ to ω , then $(*) (V, \overline{B})$ holds in $V^{\mathbb{C}}$.

We note that there is a unique term $\dot{G} \in V[B]$ s.t. $\Vdash \dot{G} \subset \overline{B}$ and $\Vdash \dot{b} \in \dot{G} = b$ for $b \in B$.

Thus, if G is generic over V , then $G = \dot{G}^G$. We call \dot{G} the canonical B -generic name.

Now let $A \subseteq B$. If G is A -generic over V , then $G' = \{b \in B \mid \forall a \in G \ a \subset b\}$ is a complete filter on B and we can form the factor algebra B/G' (which we normally denote by B/G). If B is complete in V , then B/G is complete in $V[G]$. There is a canonical homo-

morphism $\sigma: B \rightarrow B/G$ s.t.
 $\sigma(b) \subset \sigma(c) \iff \neg b \cup c \in G'$

When the context permits, we write b/G for $\sigma(b)$.

Now let $B_0 \subseteq B_1$ and let G_0 be B_0 -generic over V , let \tilde{G} be B_1/G_0 -generic over $V[G_0]$. Set $G_1 = G_0 * \tilde{G} = \text{nt} \{ b \in B_1 \mid b/G_0 \in \tilde{G} \}$. Then G_1 is B_1 -generic over V and $V[G_1] = V[G_0][\tilde{G}]$.

Conversely, if G_1 is B_1 -generic over V and we set:

$$G_0 = B_0 \cap G_1, \quad \tilde{G} = \{ b/G_0 \mid b \in G_1 \},$$

then G_0 is B_0 -generic over V , \tilde{G} is B_1/G_0 -generic over $V[G_0]$ and $G_1 = G_0 * \tilde{G}$.

Omitting most proofs, we mention some basic facts:

Fact 1 Let $A \subseteq B$ and let $h = h_{A|B}$ be the function defined above. Then

$$h(b) = \llbracket \check{b}/G \neq 0 \rrbracket_A$$

where G is the A -generic name.

Fact 2 If $A \subseteq B_0 \subseteq B_1$, G is A -generic,

and $\sigma_h : B_h \rightarrow B_h/G$ is the canonical homomorphism ($h=0,1$), then there is a complete injective homomorphism

$\delta : B_0/G \rightarrow B_1/G$ defined by

$$\delta(\sigma_0(b)) = \sigma_1(b)$$

Note Then $\delta : B_0/G \xrightarrow{\sim} B'_1/G$. In such a context we shall often identify B_0/G with B'_1/G so as to have $B_0/G \subseteq B_1/G$.

Fact 3 If $A \subseteq B$ and $\llbracket b \in \check{B}/G \rrbracket_A$, then

there is a unique $b \in B$ s.t.

$$\llbracket b \in \check{B}/G \rrbracket_A$$

proof

To see uniqueness, let $\llbracket b''/G = b'/G \rrbracket_A$.

Then $\llbracket b'' \check{b}'/G = 0 \rrbracket_A$. Hence $h(b'' \check{b}') =$

$$= \llbracket b'' \check{b}'/G \neq 0 \rrbracket_A = 0. \text{ Hence } b'' \check{b}' = 0.$$

Hence $b \subseteq b'$. Similarly $b' \subseteq b$.

To see existence, note that $\Delta = \{a \in A \mid \forall b \text{ alt-} b^\circ = b^\vee / G\}$ is dense in $A \setminus \{0\}$, let X be a maximal antichain in Δ , let $\text{alt-} b^\circ = b_a^\vee / G$ for $a \in X$. Set $b = \bigcup_{a \in X} a \wedge b_a$. Then $\text{alt-} b^\circ = b / G$, since if G is A -generic there is $a \in X \cap G$ by genericity. Hence $b^\circ \upharpoonright G = b_a / G = b / G$.
 QED (Fact 3)

Fact 4 If $A \subseteq B$, then

$$\text{alt-}_{A} (b^\vee / G \leq c^\vee / G) \leftrightarrow a \wedge b \leq c.$$

Fact 5 Let $A \subseteq B \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Let G be A -generic. Let $h = h_{B \subseteq \mathbb{C}}$ (in V) and $\tilde{h} = h_{B/G, \mathbb{C}/G}$ (in $V[G]$). Then

$$h(c) / G = \tilde{h}(c / G) \text{ for all } c \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Fact 6 If $\dot{B} \in V^A$ s.t.

$\text{alt-}_{A} \dot{B}$ is a complete BA, then

there is a complete $\dot{B} \subseteq A$ s.t.

$$\text{alt-}_{A} \dot{B} / G = \dot{B}.$$

proof (sketch)

Form the complete BA $A * \dot{B}$ consisting of the points $\{b \mid \text{alt-}_{A} b \in \dot{B}\}$ and with the operations:

$\rightarrow b = \text{that } b' \text{ s.t. } \prod_A b' = \gamma b$

$\cup X = \text{that } b' \text{ s.t. } \prod_A b' = \cup \{x \in X \mid x \in \check{X}\} \text{ in } \check{B}$

$\cap X = \text{" " } \prod_A b' = \cap \{ \text{" } \}$

$\mathbb{A} * \check{B}$ is then a complete BA and there is a complete homomorphic injection $\sigma: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} * \check{B}$ defined by:

$$\sigma(a) = \text{that } \check{a} \text{ s.t. } \prod (\check{a} \in \check{G} \wedge a = 1) \vee (\check{a} \notin \check{G} \wedge a = 0)$$

Hence we can find $\check{B}, \delta: \mathbb{A} * \check{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{B}$ s.t. $\delta \sigma = \text{id}$, QED (Fact 6)

Note In the following we shall often deal with sequences $\mathbb{B} = \langle \mathbb{B}_i \mid i < \alpha \rangle$ s.t. $\mathbb{B}_i \subseteq \mathbb{B}_j$ for $i \leq j < \alpha$. We then set:

$$h_i = h_{\mathbb{B}_i, \check{\mathbb{B}}}$$

where $\check{\mathbb{B}} = \bigcup_{i < \alpha} \mathbb{B}_i$. We let G_i be the \mathbb{B}_i -

-generic name and write \prod_i for $\prod_{\mathbb{B}_i}$ and $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_i$ for $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathbb{B}_i}$. We assume $\forall \mathbb{B}_i$

is defined that $\text{id} \upharpoonright \mathbb{V}^{\mathbb{B}_i}$ is the canonical map $\sigma: \mathbb{V}^{\mathbb{B}_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}^{\mathbb{B}_j}$ mentioned

above for $i \leq j < \alpha$. $\forall h$ G_h is \mathbb{B}_h -generic

and $\delta_i: \mathbb{B}_i / G_h \rightarrow \check{\mathbb{B}} / G_h$ is the injective

homomorphism of Fact 2, we shall,

whenever the context permits, identify

\mathbb{B}_i / G_h with $\text{rng}(\delta_i)$, so that $\delta_i = \text{id}$.

Iterations

Def $\mathbb{B} = \langle \mathbb{B}_i \mid i < \alpha \rangle$ is an iteration iff

- $\mathbb{B}_i \subseteq \mathbb{B}_j$ for $i \leq j < \alpha$ and $\mathbb{B}_0 = \{0, 1\}$
- \mathbb{B}_λ is generated by $\bigcup_{i < \lambda} \mathbb{B}_i$ for limit $\lambda < \alpha$.

We adopt the notation introduced above in dealing with iterations.

By a \mathbb{B} -sequence we mean a $b = \langle b_i \mid i \in u \rangle$ s.t. $u \subseteq \{i \mid i+1 < \alpha\}$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{B}_{i+1}$ for $i \in u$.

We like to pretend that b_i is defined for all $i < \alpha$ and therefore set:

$$b_i = 1 \text{ if } i < \alpha \setminus \text{dom}(b).$$

The support of b is defined by:

$$\text{supp}(b) = \{i \mid b_i \neq 1\}.$$

Def If $\text{supp}(b)$ is bounded in α , set:

$$b^* = \bigwedge_{i < \alpha} b_i \quad (\text{in } \mathbb{B}_d \text{ where } \text{supp}(b) \subset j < d)$$

Def b is a good sequence for \mathbb{B} ($GS(b, \mathbb{B})$) iff

- b is a \mathbb{B} -sequence
- $h_i((b \upharpoonright j)^*) = (b \upharpoonright i)^*$ for $i \leq j < \alpha$
- $h_i(b_i) = 1$ for $i+1 < \alpha$.

Note Then $(b \upharpoonright i)^* \neq 0$ for all $i < \alpha$, since $h_0((b \upharpoonright 1)^*) = \emptyset^* = 1$.

Note The condition $h_i(b_i) = 1$ could be weakened to: $h_i(b_i) \supset (b \upharpoonright i)^*$. However, we lose nothing by adopting the stronger requirement, since if b satisfies the weaker condition & we set $\tilde{b}_i = b_i \cup \neg h_i(b_i)$, then \tilde{b} is a good sequence and $(\tilde{b} \upharpoonright i)^* = (b \upharpoonright i)^*$ for all $i < \alpha$.

Def Let $IB = \langle IB_i \mid i < \alpha \rangle$ be an iteration and let G_i be IB_i -generic. Set:

$$IB/G_i = \langle IB_{i+j}/G_i \mid j < \alpha - i \rangle.$$

Without proof we mention:

Lemma 1.1 IB/G_i is an iteration in $V[G_i]$

Def Let IB, G_i be as above and let $GS(b, IB)$. Set:

$$b/G_i = \langle b_{i+j}/G_i \mid j+1 < \alpha - i \rangle.$$

Lemma 1.2 $GS(b/G_i, IB/G_i)$ in $V[G_i]$

Lemma 1.3 Let $i < \alpha$. Then

$$GS(b, IB) \iff (GS(b \upharpoonright i, IB) \wedge (b \upharpoonright i)^* \Vdash_{G_i} GS(\check{b}/\check{G}_i, \check{IB}/\check{G}_i))$$

(where \check{G}_i is the IB_i -generic name)

Def b has countable support in IB ($CS(b, IB)$)

iff $GS(b, IB) \wedge \overline{\text{supp}(b)} \leq \omega$.

Def IB is a countable support iteration

iff for all limit $\lambda < \alpha$ we have:

(a) $\nexists CS(b, IB \upharpoonright \lambda)$, then $b^* = 0$ in IB_λ

(b) $\{b^* \mid CS(b, IB \upharpoonright \lambda)\}$ is dense in $IB_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$.

Then:

Lemma 2.1 Let $IB = \langle IB_i \mid i < \alpha \rangle$ be a countable support iteration. Let $\lambda < \alpha$, $\text{Lim}(\lambda)$.

$\nexists CS(b, IB \upharpoonright \lambda)$, then $h_i(b^*) = (b \upharpoonright i)^*$ for $i < \lambda$.

However, the analogue of Lemma 1.3 can fail for the property $CS(b, IB)$. This happens if cofinalities of ordinals are changed by the iteration. For this reason we shall employ revised countable support iterations.

However, we use Donder's simplified notion in place of Shelah's definition.

Def Let $GS(b, IB)$, $\lambda \leq \alpha$, $\text{Lim}(\lambda)$.

$$U_\lambda(b) = U_\lambda(b, IB) = \bigcup_{i < \lambda} \{a \in IB_i \setminus \{0\} \mid a \subset (b \upharpoonright i)^*\}$$

$S_\lambda(b) = S_\lambda(b, IB) =_{\text{iff}}$ the set of $a \in U_\lambda(b)$ s.t.

either $\forall i < \lambda$ all i $\text{cf}(i) = \omega$, or else

$a \subset (b \upharpoonright i)^*$ for all $i < \lambda$.

Def b has revised countable support in \mathbb{B}

$(R(b, \mathbb{B}))$ iff for all limit $\lambda \leq \alpha$

$S_\lambda(b)$ is dense in $U_\lambda(b)$

Def \mathbb{B} is a revised countable support iteration

(RCS iteration) iff for all limit $\lambda < \alpha$,

(a) $\forall R(b, \mathbb{B} \upharpoonright \lambda)$, then $b^* \neq 0$ in \mathbb{B}_λ

(b) $\{b^* \mid R(b, \mathbb{B} \upharpoonright \lambda)\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{B}_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$

Lemma 2.2

(a) $CS(b, \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow R(b, \mathbb{B})$

(b) $R(b, \mathbb{B}) \leftrightarrow R(b, \mathbb{B} \upharpoonright \alpha + 1)$

if $\text{supp}(b) \subset \dot{c} < \alpha$

(c) $R(b, \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow R(b \upharpoonright \dot{c}, \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow R(b \upharpoonright \dot{c}, \mathbb{B} \upharpoonright \dot{c})$

for $\dot{c} < \alpha$,

We get the analogue of Lemma 1.3:

Lemma 2.3 Let $\dot{c} < \alpha$. Then

$R(b, \mathbb{B}) \leftrightarrow (R(b \upharpoonright \dot{c}, \mathbb{B}) \wedge$

$\wedge (b \upharpoonright \dot{c})^* \Vdash_{\dot{c}} R(\check{b} / \check{G}_{\dot{c}}, \check{\mathbb{B}} / \check{G}_{\dot{c}})$,

where $\check{G}_{\dot{c}}$ is the $\mathbb{B}_{\dot{c}}$ -generic name.

Finally:

Lemma 2.4 Let \mathbb{B} be an RCS iteration. Let

$\dot{j} < \alpha$. Then

(a) $R(b, \mathbb{B} \upharpoonright \dot{j}) \leftrightarrow R(b, \mathbb{B})$

(b) $R(b, \mathbb{B} \upharpoonright \dot{j}) \rightarrow h_{\dot{c}}(b^*) = (b \upharpoonright \dot{c})^*$ for $\dot{c} < \dot{j}$

(c) $\{b^* \mid R(b, \mathbb{B} \upharpoonright \dot{j})\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{B}_{\dot{j}}$

Lemma 2.3 Let IB be an RCS iteration.

Let $\lambda < \alpha$ be a limit point.

$R(b, IB \upharpoonright \lambda), R(b', IB \upharpoonright \lambda)$, then

$$b^* \subset b'^* \iff \bigwedge i < \lambda (b \upharpoonright i)^* \subset (b' \upharpoonright i)^*$$

Note Let $\lambda < \alpha$ be a limit. Let $R(b, IB \upharpoonright \lambda)$.

Clearly there is $c \subset b$ s.t. either

$$(c \upharpoonright i)^* \upharpoonright_i \text{cf}(\lambda) = \omega \text{ for an } i < \lambda$$

or else $\text{supp}(c) \subset i$ for an $i < \lambda$

$$(\text{hence } (c \upharpoonright i)^* = c^*).$$

Hence the set of such c^* is dense in IB_λ .

Donders original definition of "RCS -

- iteration" was that for each limit

$\lambda < \alpha$ the set of such c^* (with $GS(c, IB \upharpoonright \lambda)$)

be dense in $IB_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$. This is easily

seen to be equivalent to the present

definition.