

PROBLEM SET 1
To be discussed: 25.10.2017

Instructions

This homework will not be collected or graded, but it is highly advisable to at least think through all of the problems before the next Wednesday lecture after they are distributed, as they will often serve as mental preparation for the material in that lecture. We will discuss the solutions in the Übung afterwards.

1. Consider categories $\mathbf{Ab}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and \mathbf{Chain} , defined as follows:

- Objects of $\mathbf{Ab}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ are \mathbb{Z} -graded abelian groups $G_* = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} G_n$, and morphisms from G_* to H_* are group homomorphisms $\Phi : G_* \rightarrow H_*$ satisfying $\Phi(G_n) \subset H_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- Objects of \mathbf{Chain} are chain complexes (C_*, ∂) , meaning \mathbb{Z} -graded abelian groups $C_* = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} C_n$ endowed with homomorphisms $\partial : C_* \rightarrow C_*$ that satisfy $\partial(C_n) \subset C_{n-1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\partial^2 = 0$. Morphisms from (A_*, ∂_A) to (B_*, ∂_B) are chain maps, meaning homomorphisms $\Phi : A_* \rightarrow B_*$ with $\Phi(A_n) \subset B_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Phi \circ \partial_A = \partial_B \circ \Phi$.

Recall that the homology of a chain complex (C_*, ∂) is defined in general as the graded abelian group $H_*(C_*, \partial) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H_n(C_*, \partial)$ where $H_n(C_*, \partial) = \ker \partial_n / \text{im } \partial_{n+1}$, with the restriction of $\partial : C_* \rightarrow C_*$ to $C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1}$ denoted by ∂_n .

- Show that H_* defines a functor from \mathbf{Chain} to $\mathbf{Ab}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ in a natural way. How does this functor act on morphisms of \mathbf{Chain} ?
- Recall that two chain maps Φ and Ψ from (A_*, ∂_A) to (B_*, ∂_B) are called **chain homotopic** whenever there exists a homomorphism $h : A_* \rightarrow B_*$ satisfying $h(A_n) \subset B_{n+1}$ and

$$\partial_B \circ h + h \circ \partial_A = \Phi - \Psi.$$

This defines an equivalence relation on the set of chain maps, so we can define \mathbf{Chain}^h as the category whose objects are the same as in \mathbf{Chain} , but with morphisms defined as chain homotopy classes of chain maps. Show that H_* also defines a functor from \mathbf{Chain}^h to $\mathbf{Ab}_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

2. One can speak of “functors of multiple variables” in much the same way as with functions. Show for instance that on the category \mathbf{Ab} of abelian groups and homomorphisms,

$$\text{Hom} : \mathbf{Ab} \times \mathbf{Ab} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$$

defines a functor that is contravariant in the first variable and covariant in the second, assigning to each pair of groups (G, H) the group $\text{Hom}(G, H)$ of homomorphisms $G \rightarrow H$.

3. For a pointed space (X, p) , recall that the *Hurewicz homomorphism*

$$h : \pi_1(X, p) \rightarrow H_1(X)$$

sends each element $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(X, p)$ represented by a path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = p$ to the homology class represented by the singular 1-cycle $\gamma : \Delta^1 \rightarrow X$, defined by identifying $[0, 1]$ with the standard 1-simplex $\Delta^1 = \{(t_0, t_1) \in [0, 1]^2 \mid t_0 + t_1 = 1\}$. Let \mathbf{Top}_* denote the category of pointed spaces with base-point preserving continuous maps, so that we can regard both π_1 and H_1 as functors from \mathbf{Top}_* to the category \mathbf{Grp} of groups with homomorphisms. (Note that the base point is irrelevant for the definition of H_1 , which actually takes values in the smaller subcategory of *abelian* groups, but these details are unimportant for now.) In this context, show that the Hurewicz homomorphism defines a natural transformation from π_1 to H_1 .

4. For a fixed field \mathbb{K} , let $\mathbf{Vec}_{\mathbb{K}}$ denote the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{K} with \mathbb{K} -linear maps as morphisms.

- (a) Show that there is a covariant functor Δ^2 from $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{K}}$ to itself, assigning to each $V \in \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{K}}$ the dual of its dual space $(V^*)^*$. Describe how this functor acts on morphisms.
- (b) Let Id denote the identity functor on $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{K}}$, which sends each object and morphism to itself. Construct a natural transformation from Id to Δ^2 that assigns to every $V \in \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{K}}$ a vector space isomorphism $V \rightarrow (V^*)^*$.
- (c) Every complex vector space $V \in \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}$ has a **conjugate** space $\bar{V} \in \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}$, defined as the same set with the same notion of vector addition but with scalar multiplication conjugated: in other words, if for each $v \in V$ we denote the same element in \bar{V} by \bar{v} , then scalar multiplication on \bar{V} is defined for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\lambda \bar{v} := \overline{\lambda v}.$$

Show that there is a covariant functor $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}$ sending each complex vector space to its conjugate, and describe how it acts on morphisms.

- (d) (*harder?*) Notice that for $V \in \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}$, the map $V \rightarrow \bar{V} : v \mapsto \bar{v}$ is not a morphism in $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}$, as it is complex *antilinear*. Of course V and \bar{V} are both complex vector spaces of the same dimension, so they are *always* isomorphic, but we claim that in contrast to the case of the double dual in part (b), there exists no natural transformation from the identity to the conjugation functor that provides a complex-linear isomorphism $V \rightarrow \bar{V}$ for every $V \in \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}$. See if you can convince yourself that this is true.

Comments: While the problem sounds at first as if it involves only linear algebra, the only solution I can immediately think of requires some topology, and in particular some basic knowledge of vector bundles. The idea is to show that if such a natural transformation exists, then one can use it to associate with every complex vector bundle a vector bundle isomorphism to its so-called conjugate bundle. But it is easy to find examples of vector bundles that are not isomorphic to their conjugates, e.g. this is immediate for any complex line bundle with a nonzero first Chern class. We will discuss some of these things toward the end of the semester if there is time.