

unfringed business

(1) T Fredholm & K compact $\Rightarrow T+K$ also Fredholm

(2) $\Delta: H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is an iso. for $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ odd open.

prop: A normed vec. sp. X is fin. dimensional iff $\overline{B_1(0)} \subseteq X$ is compact.

pf: \Rightarrow follows from Arz.!

Assume $B_1(0) =: U$ has cpct closure.

$\forall x \in X$, $x + \frac{1}{2}U$ is a nbhd of x . \overline{U} cpct \Rightarrow

$U \subseteq \overline{U} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n (x_i + \frac{1}{2}U)$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \overline{U}$.

Let $V := \text{Span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq X$. claim: $X = V$.

$U \subseteq V + \frac{1}{2}U \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}U \subseteq V + \frac{1}{4}U \Rightarrow U \subseteq V + V + \frac{1}{4}U = V + \frac{1}{4}U$.

continue ... $U \subseteq V + \frac{1}{2^n}U \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \Rightarrow U \subseteq \overline{V} = V$.

Given $x \in X$, $\varepsilon x \in U \subseteq V$ for some $\varepsilon > 0 \Rightarrow x \in V$. \square

Recall: $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \rightsquigarrow$ transpose / dual operator $T^* \in \mathcal{L}(Y^*, X^*)$
 $T^* \lambda := \lambda \circ T.$

prop: If $K \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is cpet, then so is $K^* \in \mathcal{L}(Y^*, X^*)$.

pf: Given $\lambda_n \in Y^*$ s.t. $\|\lambda_n\| \leq C$, need to find a conv. subseq.
of $K^* \lambda_n \in X^*$.

$M := \overline{K(B_1(0) \subseteq X)} \subseteq Y$ is a cpet metric space since K is cpet.

$\lambda_n|_M : M \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ satisfy $|\lambda_n(y)| \leq C \|y\| \leq C \cdot \max_{y \in M} \|y\|$

$$|\lambda_n(y) - \lambda_n(y')| \leq C \|y - y'\| \quad \forall y, y' \in M$$

$\Rightarrow \lambda_n|_M$ is a unif. bdd equicontinuous seq. of fns on a cpet domain

Arzela-Ascoli \Rightarrow after restricting to a subseq., $\lambda_n|_M : M \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ converge uniformly.

Now $K^* \lambda_n|_{\overline{B_1(0)}} = \lambda_n \circ K|_{\overline{B_1(0)}}$ converges uniformly \Rightarrow is unif. Cauchy

$\Rightarrow K^* \lambda_n$ is Cauchy \Rightarrow converges in X^* . □

defn: The annihilator of a subspace $V \subseteq X$ is

$$V^\perp := \{ \lambda \in X^* \mid \lambda|_V = 0 \} \subseteq X^*$$

The pre-annihilator of a subspace $V \subseteq X^*$ is

$${}^\perp V := \{ x \in X \mid \lambda(x) = 0 \ \forall \lambda \in V \} \subseteq X.$$

rk: V^\perp & ${}^\perp V$ are always closed subspaces.

EX: Assume $V \subseteq X$ is a closed subspace, denote inclusion $i: V \hookrightarrow X$
& quotient proj. $\pi: X \rightarrow X/V$.

(1) $i^*: X^* \rightarrow V^*$ descends to a Banach sp. iso. $X^*/V^\perp \rightarrow V^*$.

(2) $\pi^*: (X/V)^* \rightarrow X^*$ defines a Banach sp. iso. $(X/V)^* \rightarrow V^\perp$.

prop: For any $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, $(\text{im } T)^\perp = \ker T^*$ & ${}^\perp(\text{im } T^*) = \ker T$.

If also $\text{im } T$ is closed, then $\text{im } T^*$ is also closed &

$$\text{im } T = {}^\perp(\ker T^*) \quad \& \quad \text{im } T^* = (\ker T)^\perp.$$

pf: 1st 2 are immediate from defns.

Similarly easy: $\text{im } T \subseteq {}^\perp(\ker T^*)$ & $\text{im } T^* \subseteq (\ker T)^\perp$.

claim 1: $\text{im } T$ is dense in ${}^\perp(\ker T^*)$ always. (If $\text{im } T$ closed, \Rightarrow
 $\text{im } T = {}^\perp(\ker T^*)$.)

Spse $\lambda: {}^\perp(\ker T^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is a ldd lin. fnc'l s.t. $\lambda|_{\text{im } T} = 0$.

HB \Rightarrow can extend to Y & assume $\lambda \in Y^*$. Then $\lambda \in (\text{im } T)^\perp = \ker T^*$

$\Rightarrow \lambda$ kills everything in ${}^\perp(\ker T^*) \Rightarrow$ original fnc'l was trivial. \checkmark

claim 2: If $\text{im } T$ is closed, then $(\ker T)^\perp \subseteq \text{im } T^*$.

Recall: $\text{im } T$ closed $\Rightarrow \|Tx\| \geq c \cdot \inf_{v \in \ker T} \|x+v\| \quad \forall x \in X, \text{ a const. } c > 0$
indep. of x .

Spse $\lambda \in (\ker T)^\perp \subseteq X^*$, so $\lambda(v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in \ker T \Rightarrow$

$$\forall x \in X, v \in \ker T, \quad \underbrace{|\lambda(x)| = |\lambda(x+v)|}_{\text{take inf for } v \in \ker T} \leq \|\lambda\| \cdot \|x+v\|$$

$\Rightarrow |\lambda(x)| \leq c' \|Tx\|$ for all $x \in X$, some const. $c' > 0$ indep. of x .

Defn. a fnc'l. $\lambda_0: \text{im } T \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ s.t. $\lambda_0(Tx) := \lambda(x) \quad \forall x \in X$

(indep. of choice since $\lambda|_{\ker T} = 0$). We have $|\lambda_0(Tx)| \leq c' \|Tx\|$

$\Rightarrow \lambda_0$ is a ldd lin. sp. on $\text{im } T$. HB $\rightsquigarrow \exists$ extension $\lambda \in Y^*$,

now $T^*\lambda = \lambda \circ T = \lambda_0 \circ T = \lambda \Rightarrow \lambda \in \text{im } T^*$. \square

Remember: if $\text{im } T$ closed, $(\text{im } T)^\perp = \ker T^*$, ${}^\perp(\text{im } T^*) = \ker T$
 $\text{im } T = {}^\perp(\ker T^*)$, $\text{im } T^* = (\ker T)^\perp$.

defn: $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is semi-Fredholm if $\dim \ker T < \infty$ & $\text{im } T$ is closed.

Lemma: Following conditions are equiv:

- (1) T & T^* are semi-Fredholm
- (2) T is Fredholm
- (3) T^* is Fredholm

Moreover, $\dim \ker T = \dim \text{coker } T^*$ & $\dim \text{coker } T = \dim \ker T^*$
 $\Rightarrow \text{ind}(T) = -\text{ind}(T^*)$.

pf that (1) \Rightarrow (2) & (3):

$$\text{im } T \text{ closed, } (\ker T)^\perp \cong X^\perp / (\ker T)^\perp = X^\perp / \text{im } T^* = \text{coker } T^*$$

$$(\text{coker } T)^\perp = (Y / \text{im } T)^\perp \cong (\text{im } T)^\perp = \ker T^*$$

pf that (2) \Rightarrow (1): T Fredholm $\Rightarrow \text{im } T$ closed, apply same isos. to conclude T^* is Fredholm. \square

Lemma: An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is semi-Fredholm $\Leftrightarrow \exists c > 0$ indep. of $x \in X$ & a cpt op. $K: X \rightarrow Z$ (some Banach space) s.t.
 $\|x\| \leq c \|Tx\| + c \|Kx\| \quad \forall x \in X$.

pf: \Rightarrow : Assume T is semi-Fredholm, let $Z := \ker T$ & $K: X \rightarrow Z$ a contin. lin. proj. K has finite rank \Rightarrow cpt.

$X \rightarrow Y \oplus Z: x \mapsto (Tx, Kx)$ is an inj. lin. map with
 $\text{image} = \text{im } T \oplus Z \subseteq Y \oplus Z \Rightarrow$ closed.

EX 4 from TAKEACHE $\Rightarrow \exists$ estimate $\|(Tx, Kx)\| = \|Tx\| + \|Kx\| \geq c \|x\|$.

\Leftarrow : Assuming the estimate, claim: unit ball in $\ker T$ is cpt.

Assume $x_n \in \ker T$ s.t. $\|x_n\| \leq 1$. K cpt \Rightarrow after restricting to a subseq., Kx_n conv. in $Z \Rightarrow Kx_n$ is Cauchy. Now $\forall n, n$ large,
 $\|x_n - x_m\| \leq c \underbrace{\|Tx_n - Tx_m\|}_0 + c \underbrace{\|Kx_n - Kx_m\|}_{\text{small}} \Rightarrow x_n$ is Cauchy in X
 $\Rightarrow \dim \ker T < \infty$.

claim: $\text{im } T$ is closed. $\dim \ker T < \infty \Rightarrow X = \ker T \oplus V$ for some closed $V \subseteq X$.
then $\text{im } T = \text{im}(T|_V)$, but $T|_V: V \rightarrow Y$ is inj.

Now space $x_n \in V$ s.t. $Tx_n \rightarrow y \in Y$.

subclaim 1: x_n is bdd. If not, after restricting to a subseq.,

can assume $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \infty$. Let $z_n := \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}$, then $Tz_n = \frac{1}{\|x_n\|} Tx_n \rightarrow 0$.

For a further subseq., WLOG Kz_n converges \Rightarrow is Cauchy, \Rightarrow

$\|z_n - z_m\| \leq c \underbrace{\|Tz_n - Tz_m\|}_{\text{small}} + c \underbrace{\|Kz_n - Kz_m\|}_{\text{small}} \Rightarrow z_n$ is Cauchy,

write $z_n \rightarrow z_\infty \in X$. $\|z_n\| = 1 \quad \forall n \Rightarrow \|z_\infty\| = 1 \Rightarrow z_\infty \neq 0$ & $z_\infty \in V$
& $Tz_\infty = 0$, but $V \cap \ker T = \{0\}$ contradiction!

subclaim 2: A subseq. of x_n converges ($\Rightarrow y \in \text{im } T \Rightarrow \text{im } T$ closed).

Some trick: for a subseq. Kx_n converges \Rightarrow Cauchy, Tx_n also Cauchy
 $\Rightarrow x_n$ is Cauchy (due to estimate). \square

pf that T Fredholm a K cpt $\Rightarrow T+K$ Fredholm:

T is semi-Fredholm $\Rightarrow \exists$ estimate $\|x\| \leq c \|Tx\| + c \|K_0 x\|$ for some $c > 0$ a cpt op. $K_0: X \rightarrow Z$.

$$\Rightarrow \|x\| \leq c \|(T+K)x\| + c \|Kx\| + c \|K_0 x\| = c \|(T+K)x\| + c \|K_1 x\|$$

for a cpt op. $K_1: X \rightarrow X \oplus Z: x \mapsto (Kx, K_0 x)$

$\Rightarrow T+K$ is semi-Fredholm.

T^* is also semi-Fredholm, K^* is also cpt $\Rightarrow T^* + K^* = (T+K)^*$ is also semi-Fredholm $\Rightarrow T+K$ is Fredholm. \square

sketch pf that $\Delta: H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is an iso.

(1) The inclusion $j: H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is cpt.

(2) $\underline{\Phi} := j - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \Delta: H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is an isomorphism.

$\Rightarrow \Delta = 4\pi^2 \underline{\Phi} + 4\pi^2 j = \underbrace{\text{iso}}_{\text{Fred. ind}=0} + \text{cpt} \Rightarrow$ is Fredholm w. index 0.

(3) Δ is injective. \Rightarrow also surjective.