

Recall: $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni g(\mathbb{R}^n) \xrightarrow{i\partial_j} L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is essentially self-adj.

Q: What is $\mathcal{D}(i\partial_j)$?

A: Use $\mathcal{F}: L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ as spectral repn: $\mathcal{F}(g) = g$

$$\mathcal{F}(i\partial_j)\mathcal{F}^{-1} = T_{2\pi p_j}: L^2 \rightarrow L^2: u \mapsto 2\pi p_j \cdot u$$

This has a self-adj. ext. w/ domain $\mathcal{F}(D) = \left\{ f \in L^2 \mid p \mapsto 2\pi p_j f(p) \text{ is in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}$

$$\Rightarrow D = \left\{ f \in L^2 \mid \exists \text{ weak deriv. } \partial_j f \in L^2 \right\} \ni H^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Q: Why, in a nutshell, is the spectral thm. for unbd op. true?

A: Self-adj. \Rightarrow symmetric & $\sigma(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \pm i \notin \sigma(A) \Rightarrow T_{\pm} := R_{\pm i}(A)$

are both bdd normal op., spectral repn. of T_+ \sim spectral repn. of A .

Q: What if T_{\pm} are cpt?

defn: $H \ni D \xrightarrow{A} H$ has compact resolvent if $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$ s.t.

$$R_{\lambda}(A): H \rightarrow H: x \mapsto (\lambda - A)^{-1}x \text{ is cpt.}$$

ex: Any $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \ni H^s(\mathbb{T}^n) \xrightarrow{A} L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ with $s > 0$ & $\sigma(A) \neq \mathbb{C}$,

$$\text{Then for } \lambda \notin \sigma(A), \quad L^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \xrightarrow{(\lambda - A)^{-1}} H^s(\mathbb{T}^n) \xrightarrow{\text{cpt}} L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$$

If $A \in \mathcal{L}(H^s(\mathbb{T}^n), L^2(\mathbb{T}^n))$, $R_{\lambda}(A)$

then $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow (\lambda - A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(L^2, H^s)$, $\Rightarrow R_{\lambda}(A)$ is compact.

Observe: A self-adj. $\Rightarrow \sigma(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, i.e. $\neq \mathbb{C}$.

\Rightarrow Then: If $\exists D \xrightarrow{A} H$ is self-adj. w/ cpt resolvent, then its spectrum consists of countably many e-vals $\{\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ s.t. $|\lambda_n| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, each of finite multiplicity.

& H admits an o-n basis of e-vectors of A .

Pf: Suppose $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$, $R_\mu(A) \in L(H)$ cpt, then since $R_\mu(A)$

is cpt & normal, spectral thm for cpt normal ops \Rightarrow

\exists o-n basis of e-vects of $R_\mu(A)$ with e-val. $\{\alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

s.t. $|\alpha_n| \rightarrow 0$. $R_\mu(A)$ inj $\Rightarrow 0$ not an e-val. of $R_\mu(A)$.

$$R_\mu(A)v = \alpha v \Leftrightarrow v = \alpha(\mu - A)v \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha}v = \mu v - Av$$

$\Leftrightarrow Av = (\mu - \frac{1}{\alpha})v$, so v is an e-vect. of A w/ e-val. $\lambda :=$

$$\mu - \frac{1}{\alpha}. \quad \lambda_n := \mu - \frac{1}{\alpha_n}, \text{ then } |\lambda_n| \rightarrow \infty. \quad \square$$

ex 1: $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \supseteq H^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \xrightarrow{-A} L^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightsquigarrow$ o-n basis $\{e^{2\pi ik \cdot x}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$,

$$-\Delta e^{2\pi ik \cdot x} = 4\pi^2 |k|^2 e^{2\pi ik \cdot x}$$

ex 2: On PSET 1, we studied bndy val. problem $\begin{cases} \ddot{x} + Px = f \text{ on } [0,1] \\ x(0) = x(1) = 0 \end{cases}$

for given $P, f \in C^0([0,1])$. If $\|P\|_{\infty}$ suff. small, $\exists!$ sol. $x \in C^2([0,1])$

$$\forall f \in C^0([0,1]).$$

PSET 12 #5: $D := \{x \in C^1([0,1]) \mid \dot{x} \text{ abs cont. \&} \ddot{x} \in L^2([0,1])\}$

$L^2([0,1]) \supseteq D \xrightarrow{Tx := \ddot{x} + Px} L^2([0,1])$ is self-adj. & real-val'd $P \in L^2([0,1])$.

Claim: T has cpt resolvent.

Pf: Pick any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, so $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$, $\lambda - T : D \rightarrow L^2$ bijective.

Defn norm on D by $\|x\|_D := \|x\|_{C^1} + \|\dot{x}\|_{L^2}$.

EASY EX: $(D, \|\cdot\|_D)$ is a Banach sp. & $\lambda - T$ is a bdd op. $(D, \|\cdot\|_D) \rightarrow L^2$.

Inclusion $(D, \|\cdot\|_D) \hookrightarrow L^2$ is cpt: $x_k \in D$ w/ $\|x_k\|_D \leq C$

$\Rightarrow \|x_k\|_{C^1} \text{ bdd} \stackrel{(1,1)}{\Rightarrow} \exists$ C^0 -cont. subseq. $\Rightarrow L^2$ -cont.

con: $L^2([0,1])$ has o-n basis of for $x_i \in D$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{x}_i + (P - \lambda)x_i = 0 & \text{for a countable discrete set e-vals. } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}. \\ x_i(0) = x_i(1) = 0 & \text{For any } \lambda \text{ not an e-val., the problem} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{x} + (P - \lambda)x = f & \text{has a ! sol. } x \in D \text{ \& } f \in L^2. \\ x(0) = x(1) = 0 & \text{Notice: if } P, f \in C^0, \Rightarrow x \in C^2. \end{cases}$$

functional calculus

$H = D \xrightarrow{A} H$ self-adj. \rightsquigarrow spectral repn. $UAV^{-1} = T_F$ for some $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

wlog $F(X) \subseteq \sigma(A)$ \Rightarrow con defn. $\#$ -alg. hom.

$\mathcal{B}(\sigma(A)) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H): f \mapsto f(A) := U^* T_{f \circ F} U$ s.t.

$\mathcal{B}(\sigma(A)) \ni f_n \xrightarrow{\text{p.w.}} f$ & $|f_n|$ uniformly bounded $\Rightarrow f_n(A)x \rightarrow f(A)x \quad \forall x \in H$.

Contin: $\sigma(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ unbd $\Rightarrow \text{Id} \notin \mathcal{B}(\sigma(A))$; similarly $A \notin \mathcal{L}(H)$, but

can prove via dominated conv: if $\mathcal{B}(\sigma(A)) \ni f_n$ s.t. $f_n(\lambda) \rightarrow 1$

& $|f_n(\lambda)| \leq |\lambda| + \lambda, n$, then $f_n(A)x \rightarrow Ax \quad \forall x \in H$.

Ex: $f_t(\lambda) := e^{i\lambda t}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f_t \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $f_t(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq S^1 \quad \forall t$,

f_t is p.w. contin w.r.t. t , $f_s f_t = f_{s+t}$, $f_0 = \text{Id}$

$\Rightarrow U(t) := f_t(A) = e^{itA} \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ defines a "strongly continuous 1-parameter unitary group":

$$U(t) \in \mathcal{U}(H) := \{ \text{unitary ops. } H \rightarrow H \} \quad \forall t, \quad U(s)U(t) = U(s+t),$$

$$U(0) = \text{Id}, \quad U(t)x \rightarrow U(t_0)x \text{ as } t \rightarrow t_0 \quad \forall x \in H.$$

Recall PSET 12 $\# 7(j)$: $U \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is unitary $\Leftrightarrow U = e^{iA}$ for some $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ self-adj.

Can show: $\mathcal{U}(H)$ is a smooth "Banch submanifold" of $\mathcal{L}(H)$

with tangent space $T_{\text{Id}} \mathcal{U}(H) = \{ iA \in \mathcal{L}(H) \mid A \text{ self-adj.} \}$,

& the map $\{ A \in \mathcal{L}(H) \mid A \text{ self-adj.} \} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(H)$

$A \xrightarrow{i} e^{iA}$

defns. a diffeomorphism from a nbhd of 0 to a nbhd of Id.

Then any "norm continuous" 1-parameter unitary group (i.e. contin. maps

$U: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(H)$ s.t. is a group hom.) is of the form $U(t) = e^{itA}$
for a ! self-adj. $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. (main tool: implicit function theorem in Banach spaces)

trouble: \exists natural cases for which $t \mapsto U(t)$ is not contin. in operator norm.

Ex: $U_j(t): L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $(U_j(t)f)(x) := f(x + te_j)$ for

standard basis $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here $\forall f \in L^2$, $\|U_j(t)f - U_j(t_0)f\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$

as $t \rightarrow t_0$, but $\sup_{f \neq 0} \frac{\|U_j(t)f - U_j(t_0)f\|_{L^2}}{\|f\|_{L^2}} \not\rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow t_0$.

Spectral repn: $\widehat{U_j(t)f}(\rho) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i \rho \cdot x} f(x + te_j) dx = e^{2\pi i \rho \cdot te_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i \rho \cdot x} f(x) dx$
 $= e^{2\pi i \rho \cdot t} \widehat{f}(\rho)$; compare $\widehat{i \partial_j f}(\rho) = -2\pi \rho_j \widehat{f}(\rho)$

$\Rightarrow \boxed{U_j(t) = e^{-it(i\partial_j)} = e^{+t\partial_j}}$ " $i\partial_j$ " is the infinitesimal generator
of translation in direction e_j .

Stone's thm (1932): Every strongly contin 1-parameter unitary group is

of the form $U(t) = e^{itA}$ for a self-adj. op $H \ni D \xrightarrow{A} H$ with

dense domain $D := \{ x \in H \mid \frac{d}{dt} U(t)x \Big|_{t=0} \text{ exists} \}$.