

A Fraïssé limit of nilpotent groups of finite exponent

Andreas Baudisch

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{K}_{2,p}^P$ (where $2 < p$) be the class of all finite nilpotent groups of class 2 and of exponent p with an additional predicate for a subgroup of the center that contains the commutator subgroup. The Fraïssé limit D of this class exists. Non-forking is described for $\text{Th}(D)$.

AMS Classification: 03 C 60, 03 C 98

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{G}_{2,p}$ (where $2 < p$) be the variety of the nilpotent groups of class 2 and of exponent p . Let $\mathcal{K}_{2,p}$ be the subset of finite structures in $\mathcal{G}_{2,p}$. Our aim is to construct the Fraïssé-limit of $\mathcal{K}_{2,p}$. We follow the presentation of W. Hodges ([4], Theorem 7.1.2) of Fraïssé's result [3]:

Theorem *Let L be a countable signature and let \mathcal{K} be a non-empty finite or countable set of finitely generated L -structures which has HP, JEP, and AP. Then there is an L -structure D , unique up to isomorphism such that*

- D has cardinality $\leq w$,*
- \mathcal{K} is the age of D , and*
- D is ultrahomogeneous.*

D is called the Fraïssé limit of \mathcal{K} .

The age of a structure D is the class of all finitely generated structures that can be embedded in D .

A structure D is ultrahomogeneous if every isomorphism between finitely generated substructures of D extends to an automorphism of D .

Hereditary property (HP): If $A \in \mathcal{K}$ and B is a finitely generated substructure of A then B is isomorphic to some structure in \mathcal{K} .

Joint embedding property (JEP): If A, B are in \mathcal{K} , then there is C in \mathcal{K} such that both A and B are embeddable in C .

Amalgamation property (AP): If A, B, C are in \mathcal{K} and $e : A \rightarrow B, f : A \rightarrow C$ are embeddings, then there are D in \mathcal{K} and embeddings $g : B \rightarrow D$ and $h : C \rightarrow D$ such that $ge = fh$.

Note that conversely the age of a Fraïssé limit has the properties HP, JEP, and AP. It is easily seen that $\mathcal{K}_{2,p}$ does not satisfy AP: Let A be an abelian p -group such that $e(A)$ is in the commutator subgroup of B and $f(A)$ is not in the center of C .

Let L^P be the group language with an additional predicate P . We can apply Fraïssé's Theorem if we replace $\mathcal{K}_{2,p}$ by the following class $\mathcal{K}_{2,p}^P$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{2,p}^P$ be the class of all L^P -structures where the L -reduct is a group in $\mathcal{K}_{2,p}$ and P describes a subgroup of the center that contains the commutator subgroup.

In the next section we show:

Theorem 1.1 $\mathcal{K}_{2,p}^P$ has HP, JEP, and AP.

Corollary 1.2 The Fraïssé limit D of $\mathcal{K}_{2,p}^P$ exists. Hence every countable or finite group in $\mathcal{G}_{2,p}$ can be embedded into $D \upharpoonright L$.

Corollary 1.3 For the Fraïssé limit D of $\mathcal{K}_{2,p}^P$ we have that $D' = P(D) = Z(D)$. Hence D is ultrahomogeneous in the language with an additional predicate for the center and the theory of D with this interpretation of P allows the elimination of quantifiers.

Corollary 1.4 The theory of D is \aleph_0 -categorical.

Let T be a complete theory. In [8] S. Shelah gave a definition of non-forking without assuming stability. He called T simple if every type over a set B does not fork over a subset A of B of cardinality at most that of T . So simplicity is a weaker property than stability. Recently B. Kim [6] showed symmetry and transitivity of non-forking in simple theories. Then B. Kim and A. Pillay [7] characterized simplicity and non-forking by properties like symmetry and transitivity and the independence theorem over a model. The only property lost in unstable simple theories is the boundedness of the number of non-forking extensions. A well-known example of such a theory is the theory of an algebraically closed field with a generic automorphism studied by Z. Chatzidakis and E. Hrushovski [2]. It is used by E. Hrushovski [5] for his applications of model theory in diophantine geometry.

Another well-known example of a simple theory is the random graph. But the Fraïssé limit D of $\mathcal{K}_{2,p}^P$ does not have a simple theory since it is easily seen that D contains an infinite chain $C(\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n\})$ for all n of centralizers where $C(\{a_0, \dots, a_{n+1}\})$ has infinite index in $C(\{a_0, \dots, a_n\})$. This contradicts simplicity ([9]).

In section 3 we describe non-forking for D . Let $A \subseteq B$ be sets in the monster model \mathcal{C} of $\text{Th}(D)$. Let $\langle X \rangle$ be the subgroup generated by X . Let \bar{c} be a tuple in \mathcal{C} . Then we show: $\text{tp}(\bar{c}/B)$ does not fork over A if and only if in the vectorspace $\mathcal{C}/Z(\mathcal{C})$ the subspaces $\langle B \rangle/Z(\mathcal{C})$ and $\langle \bar{c}A \rangle/Z(\mathcal{C})$ are linearly independent over $\langle A \rangle/Z(\mathcal{C})$ and in the vectorspace $Z(\mathcal{C})$ for every subgroup B_I with $\langle A \rangle \subseteq B_I \subseteq \langle B \rangle$ the subspaces

$\langle \bar{c}B_I \rangle \cap Z(\mathcal{C})$ and $\langle B \rangle \cap Z(\mathcal{C})$ are linearly independent over $B_I \cap Z(\mathcal{C})$. In fact we show this for non-dividing and then that non-forking is non-dividing for this theory.

This work is inspired by the construction of a new uncountably categorical group in [1]. There we only consider nilpotent groups G of class 2 and exponent p where $G' = Z(G)$. In [1] there are further essential restrictions on the groups and embeddings that are used in the amalgamation to get the final structure D . But in both papers we work in fact with bilinear maps instead of groups.

2 Amalgamation in $\mathcal{G}_{2,p}^P$

To get the desired Fraïssé limit we amalgamate finite bilinear maps. Let p be a prime greater than 2. Let G be a group. We use $[a, b] = a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$. Z or $Z(G)$ denotes the center of G and $\langle X \rangle$ the subgroup generated by the elements of the subset X of G . Then the commutator subgroup G' is $\langle \{[a, b] : a, b \in G\} \rangle$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{2,p}^P$ be the category of all L^P -structures G that satisfy

- The reduct of G to the group-language is nilpotent of class 2 and has exponent p .
- $P(G)$ is a subgroup of $Z(G)$ that contains G' .

The morphisms of $\mathcal{G}_{2,p}^P$ are the monomorphisms. Often we write only \mathcal{G}^P . \mathcal{G}^P has HP. We show AP for \mathcal{G}^P . JEP follows.

If G is in \mathcal{G}^P , then $[,]$ defines an alternating bilinear map of $V \times V$ into W , where $V = G/P(G)$ and $W = P(G)$. V and W can be considered as vector spaces over \mathbb{F}_p , the field with p elements.

The image of $[,]$ generates a subspace of W . This construction of $\langle V, W, [,] \rangle$ gives us an 1-1 correspondence F between the isomorphism-types of \mathcal{G}^P and \mathcal{B}^P , where \mathcal{B}^P is the category of all alternating bilinear maps $\beta : V \times V \rightarrow W$ where V and W are \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces. A morphism of \mathcal{B}^P from $\langle V_1, W_1, \beta_1 \rangle$ to $\langle V_2, W_2, \beta_2 \rangle$ is a pair (f, g) of vector space monomorphisms $f : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ and $g : W_1 \rightarrow W_2$ such that $\beta_2(f \times f) = g\beta_1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_1 \times V_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & W_1 \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ V_2 \times V_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & W_2 \end{array} .$$

If G, H , and f are in \mathcal{G}^P where f is an embedding of G in H , then $F(f)$ is $(\bar{f}, f \downarrow P)$ where \bar{f} is the embedding of $G/P(G)$ into $H/P(H)$ induced by f and $f \downarrow P$ is the restriction of f to $P(G)$. Similar as in [1] we can show:

Lemma 2.1 i) F is a functor from \mathcal{G}^P onto \mathcal{B}^P that is 1-1 on the level of objects.
ii) In \mathcal{G}^P we consider embeddings e_0 of G_0 into G and e_1 of H_0 into H . Let f_0 be an isomorphism between G_0 and H_0 . Assume that there is an morphism (g, h) that embeds $F(G)$ into $F(H)$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(G_0) & \xrightarrow{F(e_0)} & F(G) \\ \downarrow F(f_0) & & \downarrow (g, h) \\ F(H_0) & \xrightarrow{F(e_1)} & F(H) \end{array} .$$

Then there is an embedding f of G into H such that $F(f) = (g, h)$ and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_0 & \xrightarrow{e_0} & G \\ \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow f \\ H_0 & \xrightarrow{e_1} & H \end{array} .$$

Lemma 2.2 If \mathcal{B}^P has AP, then \mathcal{G}^P has AP.

Proof. Assume we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & B & \\ & \swarrow e & \searrow f \\ & A & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ & C & \end{array}$$

in \mathcal{G}^P . By assumption we can amalgamate the F -images in \mathcal{B}^P . By Lemma 2.1i) the amalgam can be written as $F(D)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F(D) & \\ (g_0, h_0) \nearrow & & \nwarrow (g_1, h_1) \\ F(B) & & F(C) \\ F(e) \nwarrow & & \nearrow F(f) \\ & F(A) & \end{array}$$

By Lemma 2.1 again there is an \mathcal{G}^P -embedding j of A into D such that $F(j) = (g_0, h_0)F(e) = (g_1, h_1)F(f)$. Let us assume that $G_0 = H_0$ in Lemma 2.1ii). We apply Lemma 2.1ii) to the situation $A = G_0 = H_0$, $B = G$, $D = H$, $e = e_0$, $j = e_1$, and

$(g_0, h_0) = (g, h)$.

Then we obtain an embedding k_0 of B into D such that $F(k_0) = (g_0, h_0)$ and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & D \\ & \nearrow^{k_0} & \uparrow j \\ B & & A \\ & \nwarrow_e & \end{array}$$

Analogously we have k_1 with $F(k_1) = (g_1, h_1)$ and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & D & \\ & \nwarrow_{k_1} & \\ & & C \\ & \nearrow_f & \\ A & & \end{array}$$

□

Note the following:

For every vector space V there is a free alternating bilinear map $\Lambda : V \times V \rightarrow \Lambda^2 V$ which is defined by the following property: For every alternating bilinear map $\beta : V \times V \rightarrow W$ from \mathcal{B}^P there is a unique linear map $f_\beta : \Lambda^2 V \rightarrow W$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V \times V & \xrightarrow{\Lambda} & \Lambda^2 V \\ & \searrow \beta & \downarrow f_\beta \\ & & W \end{array}$$

$\Lambda^2 V$ is called the exterior square of V . β is completely determined by f_β .

It remains to amalgamate finite maps in \mathcal{B}^P .

Lemma 2.3 \mathcal{B}^P has AP.

Proof. We consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle V_B, W_B, \beta_B \rangle & & \langle V_C, W_C, \beta_C \rangle \\ (e_B, f_B) \swarrow & & \searrow (e_C, f_C) \\ & \langle V_A, W_A, \beta_A \rangle & \end{array}$$

Let V_D be the vectorspace amalgam $V_B \oplus_{V_A} V_C$ with the corresponding embeddings $g_B : V_B \rightarrow V_D$ and $g_C : V_C \rightarrow V_D$. Let $b_1 \dots b_n a_1 \dots a_m c_1 \dots c_n$ be a basis of the vectorspace V_D with $a_i \in g_B e_B(V_A) = g_C e_C(V_A)$, $b_i \in g_B(V_B)$, $c_i \in g_C(V_C)$, b_1, \dots, b_n are linearly independent over $g_B e_B(V_A)$ and c_1, \dots, c_n linearly independent over $g_C e_C(V_A)$.

Let W_D be $W_B \oplus_{W_A} W_C \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq k}} \langle b_i \wedge c_j \rangle$ where the $b_i \wedge c_j$ are new elements linearly independent over $W_B \oplus_{W_A} W_C$. We have canonical embeddings $h_B : W_B \rightarrow W_D$ and $h_C : W_C \rightarrow W_D$. Finally we define β_D to be β_B on V_B , β_C on V_C , and $\beta_D(b_i, c_j) = b_i \wedge c_j$. We see that $\langle V_D, W_D, \beta_D \rangle$ is well-defined and has the desired properties. \square

The bilinear map $\langle V_D, W_D, \beta_D \rangle$ constructed in the proof of Lemma 2.3 is called the free amalgam of $\langle V_B, W_B, \beta_B \rangle$ and $\langle V_C, W_C, \beta_C \rangle$ over $\langle V_A, W_A, \beta_A \rangle$. The corresponding group is called the free amalgam of B and C over A .

3 Non-forking

We work in a big saturated model \mathcal{C} of a complete theory T . We use Z to denote the center of \mathcal{C} . If $p \in S(B)$ (p is a complete type over B) and f is an automorphism of \mathcal{C} , then $f(p) = \{\varphi(\bar{x}, f(\bar{a})) : \varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{a}) \in p\}$. Let $\text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{C})$ be the set of automorphisms of \mathcal{C} that fix A pointwise. S. Shelah defined ([8]):

Definition

- i) Let p be in $S(B)$ and $A \subseteq B$. p divides over A , if there are automorphisms f_i in $\text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{C})$ ($i < w$) such that $\{f_i(B) : i < w\}$ is indiscernible over A and $\bigcup_{i < w} f_i(p)$ is inconsistent.
- ii) p forks over A , if for some $C \supseteq B$ every extension of p over C divides over A .
- iii) T is simple, if the following is true:
(Local Character) For every $p \in S(B)$ there is some $A \subseteq B$ such that p does not fork over A and $|A| \leq |T|$.

We want to describe non-forking for the Fraïssé limit D of $\mathcal{K}_{2,p}^P$. Let T be $\text{Th}(D)$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $A \subseteq B$ be subsets of \mathcal{C} and \bar{c} be a tuple in \mathcal{C} .*

- 1) $\text{tp}(\bar{c}/B)$ does not divide over A if and only if

$$\langle \bar{c} \rangle \cap \langle B \rangle = \langle \bar{c} \rangle \cap \langle A \rangle \text{ modulo } Z,$$

and for every subgroup B_I with $\langle A \rangle \subseteq B_I \subseteq \langle B \rangle$

$$\langle \bar{c}B_I \rangle \cap \langle B \rangle \cap Z = \langle B_I \rangle \cap Z.$$

- 2) *Forking is dividing.*

Proof. First we show 1).

(\rightarrow) $\langle \bar{c} \rangle \cap \langle B \rangle = \langle \bar{c} \rangle \cap \langle A \rangle$ modulo Z is clear by the definition of non-dividing. Furthermore we have $\text{tp}(\bar{c}/B)$ does not divide over B_I for every $A \subseteq B_I \subseteq B$. Again by the definition

$$\langle \bar{c}B_I \rangle \cap \langle B \rangle \cap Z = \langle B_I \rangle \cap Z.$$

(\leftarrow) Without loss of generality $B \setminus A$ is finite. Suppose f_i in $\text{Aut}_A(\mathcal{C})$ ($i < w$) are such that $\{f_i(B) : i < w\}$ is indiscernible over A . We show that $\bigcup_{i < w} f_i(\text{tp}(\bar{c}/B))$ is consistent.

Without loss of generality A and B are subgroups. We choose a subgroup B_I such that:

- $A \subseteq B_I \subseteq B$.
- For $b \in B_I$ $f_i(b/Z) = b/Z$ for all $i < \omega$ and B_I/Z is maximal with respect to this property.
- $B_I \cap Z = \{b \in B \cap Z : f_i(b) = b \text{ for } i < w\}$.

Let $\langle V_{B_I}, W_{B_I}, \beta_{B_I} \rangle$, $\langle V_C, W_C, \beta_C \rangle$, $\langle V_B, W_B, \beta_B \rangle$, and $\langle V_E, W_E, \beta_E \rangle$ be the bilinear maps in \mathbb{B}^P corresponding to B_I , $\langle \bar{c}B_I \rangle$, B , and $\langle \bar{c}B \rangle$ respectively.

Let $\langle V_F, W_F, \beta_F \rangle$ be the free amalgam of $\langle V_C, W_C, \beta_C \rangle$ and $\langle V_B, W_B, \beta_B \rangle$ over $\langle V_{B_I}, W_{B_I}, \beta_{B_I} \rangle$ as described in Lemma 2.3. W.l.o.g. we assume that all embedding are the identity. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_F &= V_C \oplus_{V_{B_I}} V_B, \\ W_F &= W_C \oplus_{W_{B_I}} W_B \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{i < m \\ j < n}} (c_i \wedge b_j), \end{aligned}$$

where c_0, \dots, c_{m-1} is a basis of V_C over V_{B_I} , b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} is a basis of V_B over V_{B_I} , and β_F is defined by

$$\beta_F \upharpoonright V_C = \beta_C, \quad \beta_F \upharpoonright V_B = \beta_B \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_F(c_i, b_j) = c_i \wedge b_j.$$

Now we compare $\langle V_E, W_E, \beta_E \rangle$ and $\langle V_F, W_F, \beta_F \rangle$. By the first condition of the theorem $V_E = V_C \oplus_{V_{B_I}} V_B$ and by the second condition $W_C \oplus_{W_{B_I}} W_B$ can be considered as a subspace of W_E in the canonical way.

Hence $\langle V_E, W_E, \beta_E \rangle$ is an amalgam of $\langle V_C, W_C, \beta_C \rangle$ and $\langle V_B, W_B, \beta_B \rangle$ over $\langle V_{B_I}, W_{B_I}, \beta_{B_I} \rangle$. We obtain $V_E = V_F$, $W_E = W_F/H$ where H is a subspace of W_F with $H \cap (W_C \oplus_{W_{B_I}} W_B) = \langle 0 \rangle$, and β_E is induced by β_F .

Our aim is to show that $\bigcup_{i < n} f_i(\text{tp}(\bar{c}/B))$ is consistent for every $n < \omega$.

Let $\langle V_U, W_U, \beta_U \rangle$ be the bilinear map that corresponds to $\langle \bigcup_{i < n} f_i(B) \rangle$. As most of the

bilinear maps before it lives in $\langle V_{\mathcal{C}}, W_{\mathcal{C}}, \beta_{\mathcal{C}} \rangle$, the bilinear map of \mathcal{C} . Let $\langle V_i, W_i, \beta_i \rangle$ be the bilinear map that corresponds to $f_i(B)$. $\langle V_0, W_0, \beta_0 \rangle$ is $\langle V_B, W_B, \beta_B \rangle$. By indiscernibility of the $f_i(B)$ over B_I we have that the V_i are linearly independent modulo V_{B_I} and the W_i are linearly independent modulo W_{B_I} . Hence $V_U = \bigoplus_{i < n} V_i$ and $\bigoplus_{i < n} W_i$

is a subspace of W_U .

Since we have quantifier elimination for $T \cup_{i < n} f_i(\text{tp}(\bar{c}/B))$ can be considered as a set of quantifier free formulas in the group language with an extra predicate for the centre. Since T is the theory of a Fraïssé limit it is sufficient to find a structure in \mathcal{G}^P that is generated by $\langle \bigcup_{i < n} f_i(B) \rangle$ and a realization of $\bigcup_{i < n} f_i(\text{tp}(\bar{c}/B))$. By Lemma 2.1 we can work in IB^P .

Let $\langle V_X, W_X, \beta_X \rangle$ be the free amalgam of $\langle V_C, W_C, \beta_C \rangle$ and $\langle V_U, W_U, \beta_U \rangle$ over $\langle V_{B_I}, W_{B_I}, \beta_{B_I} \rangle$. Then $\langle V_X, W_X, \beta_X \rangle$ contains the free amalgam $\langle V_{F_i}, W_{F_i}, \beta_{F_i} \rangle$ of $\langle V_C, W_C, \beta_C \rangle$ and $\langle V_i, W_i, \beta_i \rangle$ for $i < n$. It is isomorphic to $\langle V_F, W_F, \beta_F \rangle$. Each W_{F_i} contains an image H_i of H according to the canonical isomorphism between $\langle V_F, W_F, \beta_F \rangle$ and $\langle V_{F_i}, W_{F_i}, \beta_{F_i} \rangle$. Then $H_i \cap (W_C \bigoplus_{W_{B_I}} W_i) = \langle 0 \rangle$, $H_i \subseteq W_{F_i}$ and the W_{F_i} are linearly independent modulo W_C . Hence

$$W_X = W_C \bigoplus_{W_{B_I}} \bigoplus_{i < n} (W_i) \bigoplus_{i < n} H_i \bigoplus_{i < n} K_i \bigoplus K$$

where $W_{F_i} = W_i \oplus H_i \oplus K_i$.

Then $\langle V_H, W_H, \beta_H \rangle$ with $V_H = V_X$, $W_H = W_X / \bigoplus_{i < n} H_i$ and β_H is induced by β_X is the desired structure.

It contains $\langle V_C, W_C, \beta_C \rangle$ and $\langle V_i, W_i, \beta_i \rangle$ and these both generate a structure isomorphic to $\langle V_E, W_E, \beta_E \rangle$ where the isomorphism comes from the identity for $\langle V_C, W_C, \beta_C \rangle$ and the canonical isomorphism of $\langle V_B, W_B, \beta_B \rangle$ onto $\langle V_i, W_i, \beta_i \rangle$ that is the identity on $\langle V_{B_I}, W_{B_I}, \beta_{B_I} \rangle$.

Now we show 2).

Dividing implies forking. It remains to show that non-dividing implies non-forking. For this we use the characterization of non-dividing in the first part of the theorem. So suppose $\text{tp}(\bar{c}/B)$ does not divide over A . Let C be a set that contains B . We have to show that there is a complete type over C that extends $\text{tp}(\bar{c}/B)$ and does not divide over A . W.l.o.g. we assume that A, B, C are all subgroups of \mathcal{C} . Let $C^* \in \mathcal{G}_{2,p}^p$ be isomorphic to C such that $P(C^*)$ corresponds to $Z(\mathcal{C}) \cap C$. Similarly we use $B^* \supseteq A^*$ to denote images of B and A in $\mathcal{G}_{2,p}^p$ where $P(B^*)$ is given by the image of $B \cap Z(\mathcal{C})$. Let $\langle \bar{c}^* B^* \rangle \in \mathcal{G}_{2,p}^p$ be an isomorphic image of $\langle \bar{c} B \rangle$ extending the isomorphism between B and B^* . Again P is given by $Z(\mathcal{C})$. Let E^* be the free amalgam of $\langle \bar{c}^* B^* \rangle$ and C^* over B^* . Then \bar{c}^* , A^* and C^* fulfil the condition of 1). Now we extend the isomorphism of C^* onto C to an embedding of E^* into \mathcal{C} . Let \bar{e} be the image of \bar{c}^* in \mathcal{C} . Hence $\text{tp}(\bar{e}/C)$ and A fulfil the condition in 1). Therefore $\text{tp}(\bar{e}/C)$ does not divide over A and it extends p , as desired. \square

References

- [1] A. Baudisch, A new uncountably categorical group, Transactions of the AMS 348 (1996), 3889-3940.
- [2] Z. Chatzidakis and E. Hrushovski, Model theory of difference fields, preprint 1995.
- [3] R. Fraïssé, Sur l'extension aux relations de quelques propriétés des ordres, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 71, 363-388.
- [4] W. Hodges, Model theory, Cambridge University Press 1993.
- [5] E. Hrushovski, Difference fields and the Manin-Mumford conjecture, preprint 1996.
- [6] B. Kim, Forking in simple unstable theories, to appear in the J. London Math. Soc.
- [7] B. Kim and A. Pillay, Simple theories, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 88 (1998), 149-164.
- [8] S. Shelah, Simple unstable theories, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 19 (1980), 177-203.
- [9] F. Wagner, Groups in simple theories, preprint 1997.

Andreas Baudisch
Institut für Mathematik
Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin
10099 Berlin
E-mail: baudisch@mathematik.hu-berlin.de